RESEARCH ARTICLE



The impacts of synthetic and cellulose-based fibres and their associated dyes on fish hosts and parasite health

Scott MacAulay¹ · Numair Masud¹ · Josh Davies-Jones² · Benjamin D. Ward² · Jo Cable¹

Received: 8 June 2022 / Accepted: 27 October 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

Plastic pollution is now a ubiquitous feature of freshwater systems and the majority of this is fibrous. Here, we test the effects of plastic and cellulose-based fibres (polyester, cotton, and bamboo from commercial clothing) on fish host-parasite interactions using a freshwater fish host-parasite model system (guppy *Poecilia reticulata-Gyrodactylus turnbulli*). For uninfected fish, polyester exposure was associated with significantly higher mortality rates compared with the other two fibre types. For infected fish, whilst polyester and cotton exposure were not associated with any significant changes to parasite burdens, fish exposed to bamboo fibres had significantly reduced maximum parasite burdens compared with fish not exposed to any fibres, indicating that the bamboo fibres and/or associated dyes conferred some degree of resistance or tolerance. Whilst unable to determine the exact nature of the chemical dyes, when testing off-host parasite survival on exposure to the fibre dyes, cotton and particularly polyester dyes were associated with higher parasite fibres and their associated dyes can be detrimental for both fish and parasite survival, and we highlight the need for increased transparency from textile industries on the chemical identity of fabric dyes.

Keywords Fibre pollution · Microplastic · Freshwater fish health · Parasite resistance

Introduction

Textile industries are key players contributing to the everincreasing burden of particulate pollution, especially microplastics, an ecological issue that has recently taken centre stage. Over 80% of environmental microplastics (plastics < 5 mm) are fibrous (freshwater: Horton et al. 2017; marine: Vince and Stoett 2018; terrestrial: Rillig and Lehmann 2020) and 35% of oceanic microplastic pollution is attributed to the fashion industry that produce garments from non-degradable synthetic polymers (United Nations Climate Change 2018). Fast fashion produces cheap, lowquality clothing per year (Young 2021) providing affordable

Responsible Editor: Philippe Garrigues

¹ School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF10 3AX, UK fashion to those on a budget, but such garments are only worn on average ten times before being discarded and deposited in landfills (Barnardos 2015; TRAID 2018). Fibres from discarded garments typically persist in the environment, many ending up in water bodies through run-off, and are incorporated throughout the food web (De Falco et al. 2019). The sheer scale of fibre waste generation is concerning, with a single-household wash cycle releasing 100–300 mg of fibres per kg of fabric (De Falco et al. 2019). Scaling this up leads to exorbitant quantities; estimates in Finland, for example, reveal annual household production of 154,000 kg for synthetic polyester fibres and 411,000 kg for cotton fibres (Sillanpää and Sainio 2017). Whereas natural polymers are degraded by microbes (Pekhtasheva et al. 2012; Arshad et al. 2014), synthetic fibres are resistant to such breakdown (Resnick 2019) so greater use of natural polymers is one mitigation strategy in the global initiative to reduce non-degradable plastic pollution. It is essential, however, to assess whether these products marketed as 'ecologically friendly' are less harmful for organisms when released into multiple habitats. Indeed, one of the key issues raised in response to the EU Directive 2019/904 is giving a green

Numair Masud MasudN@cardiff.ac.uk

² School of Chemistry, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF10 3AT, UK

light to non-plastic polymers, such as bamboo, hemp, or fruit fibres, before their biological impact is assessed (European Environment Agency 2022).

To date, biological assessments of fibre exposure and/or consumption are mostly limited to invertebrates and reveal no clear trend and typically focus on plastic fibres, ignoring cellulose-based fibres such as cotton, rayon, and bamboo (Suran 2018). Consumption of polyester fibres by earthworms (Lumbricus terrestris) revealed no effect on mortality or avoidance behaviour (Prendergast-Miller et al. 2019), whereas land snails (Achatina fulica) exposed to polyethylene terephthalate (PET) fibres showed reduced feeding ability and increased oxidative stress (Song et al. 2019). For vertebrates, fibre pollution has been detected in the guts of many animal groups including fish (Lusher et al. 2015), birds (Lourenço et al. 2017), and mammals (Lusher et al. 2015), but only two studies to the best of our knowledge have assessed the direct biological effects of fibres on fish health. Adult Japanese medaka (Oryzias latipes) exposed to polyester and polypropylene fibres for 21 days were not impacted in terms of reproductive changes and mortality, but did show aneurysm in lamellae, opercular swelling, and abnormal mucosal cell proliferation (Hu et al. 2020). Juvenile Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) that were exposed shorter term (10 days) to polyester fibres showed no changes in gut mass (Spanjer et al. 2020). Fibre pollution could also impact fish health by reducing resistance to parasitic infections; however, this has yet to be tested. Indeed, it is well known that pollutants can directly impact fish resistance to parasitic disease by influencing underlying immunity (reviewed in Tort 2011). Microplastics, which are a key component of fibre pollution within aquatic environments, can impact fish immunity by impacting the regulation of gene expression and immune cells (Limonta et al. 2019; Zwollo et al. 2021), and have been shown to reduce resistance to parasitic infections in freshwater sticklebacks (Gasterosteus aculeatus; see Masud et al. 2022).

Here, we investigate the impact of plastic and cellulosebased fibres (polyester, cotton, and bamboo) on fish hostparasite interactions. Synthetic polyester and cotton were chosen as they are two of the most used fibre types for clothing material (Carr 2017). The durability of synthetic polyesters makes them resistant to natural degradation (Carr 2017), whereas cotton is a natural fibre crop, but with a large water footprint (Chapagain et al. 2006). Bamboo, on the other hand, requires substantially less water than cotton and is also a natural polymer. Therefore, a key question here is whether cellulose-based fibres are 'better' in relation to their potential impact on fish welfare compared with polyester fibres. Furthermore, we assessed whether dyes associated with these fibres had any impacts on the independent (i.e. off host) survival of parasites. We hypothesised that polyester fibres would be associated with increased disease susceptibility, whereas the cellulose-based fibres (cotton and bamboo) would have more attenuated impacts on fish welfare, and dyes associated with the tested fibres, would impact off-host parasite survival. To test these hypotheses, we used an established host-parasite system, the guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*)-*Gyrodactylus turnbulli* model.

Materials and methods

Host-parasite system

The guppy is a tropical fish species, native to the Caribbean Islands and Venezuela, and an invasive non-native species on every continent except Antarctica (Magurran 2005). The genus, Gyrodactylus, is a species rich group of fish parasites that are ecologically and economically important (Bakke et al. 2007). The primary monogenean ectoparasite G. turnbulli is a major pest in the ornamental fish trade (Cable 2011). For this investigation, we used size-matched, mature, mixed ornamental male guppies (Poecilia reticulata from Guppy Farm UK-6-8 months old). Upon arrival at Cardiff University, all fish (n = 240) were acclimated for 24 h in groups (~10-15 individual fish) within 40-L aquaria at 24 ± 0.5 °C on a 12:12 light/dark photoperiod. All fish were then confirmed as ectoparasite free, via three daily consecutive microscopic screens (see Schelkle et al. 2009). Briefly, this involved mildly anaesthetising individual fish using 0.02% MS-222 and observing the surface of each fish for visible signs of parasitaemia (e.g. raised fins, white spots, abnormal growths) and any infected fish were excluded. To rule out bacterial infection, all fish were treated with the antibacterial treatment (Myxazin) as per manufacturer instructions (Waterlife) 2 weeks prior to the start of the experiment. We acknowledge that chemical derivatives from the Myxazin treatment may have persisted in the tissue of the experimental fish, but as our model parasite is an epidermal feeder, any tissue-based toxicity is unlikely to have impacted the parasites. Furthermore, all fish (controls and fibre treatments) were exposed to Myxazin. For experimental infections, we used the Gt3 strain of Gyrodactylus turnbulli, isolated from a Nottingham aquarium pet store (King and Cable 2007; see Fig. 1A). This parasite has been cultured under laboratory conditions since establishment in November 1997. All fish, prior to experimental infections, were weighed on an electronic scale by mildly anaesthetising individuals with 0.02% MS-222, and fish were then weighed again at day 52 (i.e. end of experiment) to determine if fibre exposure and consumption impacted wet mass.



Fig. 1 Particle size distribution and Scanning Electron Micrographs of (i) polyester, (ii) cotton, and (iii) bamboo fibres shed after 24 h (**A**) and 60 days in water (**B**). In A, the mean particle sizes with standard deviation of the fibres are 2933.7 μ m±SD 723.5 for polyester, 2273.7 μ m±SD 566.8 for cotton, and 1867.7 μ m±SD 605.4 for

bamboo. In B, the equivalent values are 1615.4 μ m±SD 803.4 for polyester, 539.1 μ m±SD 463.6 for cotton, and 446.8 μ m±SD 364.6 for bamboo. Note the physical degradation of fibres between panels A and B is more apparent for cotton and bamboo compared to polyester

Fibre preparation, exposure, and chemical analysis

Fish were separated into four treatment groups: (1) control (n=60), (2) polyester fibre (n=60), (3) cotton fibre (n=60), and (4) bamboo fibre (n=60). For the polyester and cotton fibres, we sourced 100% polyester and 100% cotton shirts, respectively. The bamboo fabric consisted of 95% bamboo viscose and 5% elastane. Due to the structural property of bamboo (i.e. short inflexible fibres), 100% bamboo is typically not utilised for commercial bamboo clothing and elastane is added to ensure flexibility of finalised fabrics (Muthu 2017). All materials were black (to avoid colour as a confounding variable) and obtained from commercial retailers. Whilst we were unable to ascertain the exact nature of the dye (due to commercial sensitivity), the most commonly used black dye is Reactive black 5 (industrial name Setazol Black) and therefore the most likely to be found in the fabrics tested in this experiment (Bilińska et al. 2016; Al-Tohamy et al. 2020).

The fabrics were first cut into 7.5-cm² squares, then shred into 1.5-cm² pieces using sterile scissors and immersed in 1 L of aquarium water (i.e. the same water used for fish tanks mentioned above), and agitated to promote fibre shedding to simulate a washing cycle. After 24 h, the fibre water was aliquoted into 50-mL bottles and this stock solution was used throughout the study for dosing fish; therefore, by the end of the experiment the fibres had been soaked for 52 days. A drop of fibre water (50 μ L) was then viewed under a compound microscope and the number of fibres counted. This was repeated 10 times per fibre treatment (fibre number ranged for bamboo 22-52, cotton 22-50, and polyester 21-65) to calculate the mean number per 1 mL, which was approximately 700 fibres/L. The size distribution of fibres used in this study was determined using a Titachi TM3030 Plus benchtop microscope in Back Scattering Electron (BSE) mode at 15 kV. To isolate the fibres from the solution, a centrifuge was used to spin 50 mL of soaked fibre solution at $4000 \times g$. The resulting pellets were washed with deionised water three times and resuspended in 5 mL. To provide optimal contrast for fibre identification, three drops of this highly concentrated solution from each treatment were sequentially drop cast onto a steel disc. We counted a total of 100 fibres from each treatment and plotted a particle size distribution with a Gaussian kernel-smooth curve fit (see Fig. 1).

Our analysis showed that the mean fibre length of all three fibre types decreased with prolonged soaking time, with a greater decrease observed in cotton and bamboo fibres than polyester fibres. After a 24-h soak, the average fibre length was 2933.7 μ m for polyester, 2273.7 μ m for cotton, and 1867.7 μ m for bamboo. This decreased further by the end of the experiment and measured at 60 days to be 1615.4 μ m for polyester, 539.1 μ m for cotton, and 446.8 μ m for bamboo (Fig. 1). Additionally, we observed evidence of particle degradation in the micrographs of cotton and bamboo fibres. In contrast, although some bowing, and roughness could be seen on the surface of polyester fibres, there were no clear areas of degradation evident. For this study we were unable to quantify the rate of fibre degradation. As guppies are gape limited predators (Magurran 2005) and we know that the fibres degrade and decrease in size over time, the male guppies in this study with a gape of diameter range 1.5–2.5 mm would have been able to consume the fibres.

A preliminary trial was conducted on n=5 fish per fibre treatment where individual fish were maintained in 1-L containers. Fish were exposed for 7 days to ~700 fibres/L, equivalent to fibre loads found in some natural environments (Carr 2017). This involved adding 1 mL of the fibre mixture at the same time as adding flake food (Aquarian®) to each 1-L container. Control fish (n=5) were maintained under the same conditions but without fibre exposure. Each day, faecal matter from the water was transferred using a glass pipette into a pre-cleaned glass petri dish and then dissected under a dissecting microscope to count the number of fibres encapsulated within the faeces. Fibres were clearly observed within all faeces of fish exposed to fibres even within the first 24 h of exposure (fibre range = 12–23 per faecal pellet; see Fig. 2B and C).

For the main experiment, all fish were isolated into 1-L containers (i.e. 1 fish per 1-L container) and fish were exposed to fibres (i.e. ~700 fibres/L) for 21 days. This time frame of exposure was chosen as it corresponds to significant effects seen in changes to host-parasite responses to granular microplastic exposure on the guppy-*G. turnbulli* system (Masud and Cable 2023). Control fish were fed the same quantity of flake food (2% of body weight) without fibre addition, to ensure that nutrition was not a confounding

variable. Due to this immersion mode of fibre exposure, it is likely that consumption of fibres occurred passively. A full water change (for the preliminary trial and the main experiment fish) occurred every alternate day coinciding with feeding, which involved removing all water from the 1-L containers in which fish were housed and replacing with fresh temperature-controlled dechlorinated water. It is acknowledged that water changes may cause some degree of stress to fish; however, as this cleaning method was applied across all treatments using the same technique, any potential confounding effects of handling stress would average out. During feeding, all precaution was taken to ensure that the experimenters' clothing did not contribute to fibre contamination by ensuring short-sleeved clothing was worn during all feeding regimes. However, we acknowledge that even under tightly controlled laboratory conditions atmospheric fibre contamination is possible (Gwinnett and Miller 2021), but would have been consistent across treatments.

Experimental infection

After 21 days of fibre exposure, half of the fish in each treatment group were infected (n = 30) and half remained uninfected (n = 30). Fish to be infected with *G. turnbulli* were lightly anaesthetised with 0.02% MS-222 and then held in water alongside a donor fish. Using a dissecting microscope with fibre optic lighting, transfer of two gyrodactylid worms to the caudal fin of the recipient fish was observed following the standard methods of King and Cable (2007). Uninfected fish were anaesthetised and handled in the same manner without the introduction of parasites to control for any handling stress (sham infections). All infected and sham infected fish were maintained within 1-L containers throughout the experiment to ensure that transmission was not a



Fig. 2 The host-parasite system with fibre optic illumination. A *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* individuals on the caudal fin of guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*) under $\times 10$ magnification. **B** Fibre (arrow) being egested by male guppy at $\times 2$ magnification. **C** Faecal pellet of a guppy with

a fibre encased within $at \times 4$ magnification, indicating the passage of fibres through the guppy gastrointestinal tract. A 1-mm scale bar shown for all pictures

confounding variable for this experiment. Parasite numbers were assessed every 48 h for 31 days and this involved mildly anaesthetising infected fish (using 0.02% MS-222) and counting the number of worms present under a dissecting microscope with fibre optic illumination (see King and Cable 2007 for detailed description). Infection monitoring terminated at 31 days as this was the time point at which all fish had either cleared their infections and/or mortality of hosts occurred. As we were able to follow infection trajectories on the same fish over time, pseudo-replication was taken into consideration when statistically analysing parasite data from each fish/replicate (see "Statistical analysis" section below). Fish were categorised as either resistant (parasite numbers on a host fail to increase and individual hosts often managed to clear their infections), susceptible (parasite numbers consistently increase), or responder (parasite numbers increase but then plateau or decrease) (see Bakke et al. 2002 for more in-depth explanation of these categories). The same feeding regimes continued during the infection phase of the experiment, i.e. both fibres and flakes. Any host mortalities were recorded throughout the study.

Gyrodactylus turnbulli off-host survival

As all fibres within our stock solution released dyes into the water (see Supplementary Material for chromatographic analysis of dyes), we wanted to determine the effect of any chemical dye exposure on off host parasite survival. To investigate this, 40 wells of sterile 96-well plates were inoculated with 100 µL of the same liquid that the fish were exposed to during each fibre treatment (i.e. stock solution) as these contained any leached-out dyes. Individuals of G. turnbulli were removed from a recently sacrificed infected fish by gentle agitation with a needle and transferred to the prepared wells via pipette. Worms exposed to fibre dye treatments (n = 120, 40 worms per treatment) and control worms exposed to dechlorinated water (n = 40) were observed and survival monitored every hour under a dissecting microscope. Any worms that died (mortality confirmed via worm immobility and non-responsive to pin touch) within the first hour were excluded from the experiment. Survival was then monitored until the last G. turnbulli worm died at 33 h; hour of death was noted for every expired worm.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted in R Studio Version 1.3.1073. When analysing host infections, the following response variables were considered: maximum parasite burden, peak parasite day, Area Under Curve (AUC), rate of parasite increase, and host disease status. Here, maximum parasite burden is defined as the maximum number of G. *turnbulli* worms at a particular time point (day), defined as

peak parasite day. To calculate AUC, a common parasite metric quantifying total parasite burdens over the course of an entire infection trajectory, we used the trapezoid rule (White 2011). Rates of parasite increase, indicative of parasite reproduction, were calculated as the slope of the curve of individual infection trajectories.

To analyse host infection responses, we used Generalised Linear Models and Generalised Linear Mixed Models (GLMs and GLMMs). For analysing maximum parasite burdens, we used a GLM with a negative binomial error family and a square root link function, within the MASS package in R Studio. When analysing peak parasite day and AUC, a GLM with a Gamma error family and log link was used. For rates of parasite increase we used a GLMM within the lme4 R package to prevent pseudo-replication as rates of parasite increase were calculated on each fish at multiple time points. For the GLMM we used a Gamma error family and a square root link function. When analysing host disease categories (i.e. fish that were categorised as either resistant, susceptible, or responders; see methodology above for more details), a GLM with a Poisson error family and log link function was used. A GLM with a Gaussian error family and log link function was used to determine if there was a significant association between fish wet mass change before and after fibre exposure and the type of fibre used, where the difference in mass was the response variable and fibre treatment was the independent variable. After all model assumptions were met (i.e. normality of residuals and homogeneity of variance), all final models were chosen based on the lowest AIC values (Thomas et al. 2013).

Kaplan–Meier survival analyses were also conducted for in vitro effects of fibres and/or chemical dye exposure on parasite and host survival (infected and uninfected groups). For analysing parasite survival, we used a parametric model and a Cox-proportional hazard model for host survival, and in this regard, we used the R *survival* package. To visualise survival data, we plotted a probability distribution using the *survminer* and *ggfortify* work package. All final survival models were chosen based on the lowest AIC values.

Results

Host and parasite survival

For infected fish, there was no significant difference in mortality between any of the infected treatments related to fibre exposure and/or consumption (p > 0.05; see Supplementary Material for detailed statistical outputs). When analysing mortality of uninfected fish, those exposed to polyester suffered significantly earlier mortality compared with control uninfected fish (coef=2.06, exp(coef)=7.85, p=0.004; see Fig. 3A for prediction plot), whilst there was no significant



Fig. 3 Host (guppy, *Poecilia reticulata*) and off-host parasite (*Gyro-dactylus turnbulli*) survival. A Prediction curve shows the probability of uninfected *P. reticulata* survival over 52 days of fibre exposure. All mortalities were monitored daily for 52 days with *P. reticulata* iso-

lated in individual 1-L pots. **B** Prediction curve shows the probability of *G. turnbulli* in vitro survival over 33 h of fibre exposure in 96-well microtiter plates

difference for cotton or bamboo exposed fish. No significant association was found between the change of fish body mass over 52 days of fibre exposure and the type of fibre that the fish were exposed to (p > 0.05). No mortalities were observed in control uninfected fish (i.e. not exposed to any fibres/dyes or parasites).

Gyrodactylid parasites removed from their hosts and exposed to polyester and cotton fibre dyes in vitro died significantly earlier compared to control parasites in just dechlorinated water (i.e. with no dye addition, cotton: z = -2.58, SE = 0.11, p = 0.01; polyester: z = -3.35, SE = 1.55, p = 0.0008). In contrast, exposure to bamboo dye solutions in vitro did not impact parasite survival (Fig. 3B).

Host disease response

Fish exposed to bamboo fibres had the lowest maximum parasite burdens compared with control fish not exposed to any fibre (GLM: Est. = -3.15, SE = 0.97, p = 0.001; Fig. 4A). All other fish within the remaining fibre treatments (cotton and polyester) did not significantly vary in their maximum parasite burdens when compared with control fish (see Table 1 for all test statistic outputs). Polyester-exposed fish achieved the highest mean parasite intensity compared with bamboo exposed which achieved the lowest (Fig. 4B), but these were not statistically significant. Peak parasite burden occurred significantly later in bamboo and polyester-exposed fish compared with control infected fish (GLM: bamboo, Est=0.17, SE=0.03, p < 0.001; polyester,

Est = 0.08, SE = 0.03, p = 0.01). Supporting this, rates of parasite increase were significantly lower for fish in the bamboo treatment compared with the controls (GLMM: bamboo, Est. = -1.95, SE = 0.85, p = 0.02). When analysing total parasite burdens over time using AUC metrics though, there was no significant difference between any of the treatments (p > 0.05; see Fig. 4B and Table 1). Furthermore, in terms of host disease categories (i.e. resistant, susceptible, and responder), there was no significant difference in the proportion of fish in each category between the different treatments.

Discussion

Fibre contamination is now recognised as the major constituent of particulate pollution in marine, freshwater, and terrestrial ecosystems (Horton et al. 2017; Vince and Stoett 2018). In freshwater systems, fibre contaminants are present in multiple fish species (e.g. Silva-Cavalcanti et al. 2017; Su et al. 2019). Despite the prevalence of fibres in freshwater systems, this study is the first to assess the functional impacts of plastic and cellulose-based fibres and associated dye exposure and consumption (polyester, cotton, and bamboo) on fish host-parasite dynamics, specifically host mortality, disease resistance, and off-host parasite survival. For infected fish, whilst polyester and cotton had no major effects on disease dynamics, bamboo was associated with significantly lower maximum parasite burdens. Polyester



Fig. 4 Infection dynamics of guppies exposed to fibres and subsequently infected with *Gyrodactylus turnbulli*. **A** Box plot distribution with mean marker (cross), median line, and inter-quartile range of maximum parasite burdens of guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*) exposed to fibres for 21 days and then infected with *G. turnbulli*. **B** The mean

parasite intensity with standard error over the entire 31-day infection trajectory for each fibre exposure treatment. The shape of the smoothed function for each treatment is influenced by mortality of hosts and clearance of parasites

exposure and/or consumption were also associated with significantly increased mortality of uninfected hosts. Analysis of fibre-based dyes on off-host parasite survival revealed that cotton- and polyester-associated dyes were linked to significantly reduced parasite survival, with the polyester dyes associated with the highest parasite mortalities.

Polyester, specifically polyethylene terephthalate, is the most common thermoplastic polymer used for clothing (Ji 2013) and unsurprisingly most prevalent in multiple surveys of fibre types in fish gastrointestinal tracts (Su et al. 2019). The only studies we are aware off that have tested polyester health effects in fish revealed no significant detrimental effects (Spanjer et al. 2020; Hu et al. 2020). Juvenile Chinook salmon successfully cleared 94% of their gut polyester fibres over 10 days (following in-feed exposure of 20 fibres per food pellet with guts sampled at days 0, 3, 5, 7, and 10) and polyester exposure had no effect on their mean gastrointestinal mass when compared with fish not exposed to any fibres (Spanjer et al. 2020). This Chinook salmon study though was based on short-term exposure and the authors commented that longer term studies may reveal detrimental health effects. In contrast, exposure of adult medaka for 21 days to polyester at levels × 14 greater than those tested in the current study (10,000 fibres/L versus 700 fibres/L) reported denuded epithelium on gill arches, fusion of primary lamellae, and increased mucus production, but no changes in adult growth, weight, or mortality, nor embryonic mortality (Hu et al. 2020). In contrast, the current study which exposed fish to polyester fibres for 52 days demonstrated significantly reduced survival for uninfected hosts, and we acknowledge that longer exposure times may have revealed even more pronounced effects. A plausible reason why polyester was associated with increased mortality of fish and also a limitation of the current study is that polyester fibres were, on average, larger particles than bamboo and cotton fibres. This is certainly linked to the greater physical degradation over time that we observed with cotton and bamboo compared with polyester. Therefore, the larger polyester fibres may have been linked to increased gut blockages and/or morbidities associated with the intestinal lining (e.g. necrosis and lesions), certainly something noted for other microplastic types within fish in previous studies (e.g. polystyrene in Ahrendt et al. 2020). However, in the current study we were unable to assess gut morphology or control for the size of the fibres being released from the commercial fabrics.

When assessing the impacts of fibre-associated dyes on parasite mortality, we observed that cotton and polyester

 Table 1
 All independent variables for host-parasite metrics analysed

 via Generalised Linear Models and Linear Mixed Models (GLM and GLMM)

Treatment	Est	Std. error	p value
Maximum parasit	e burden (GLM)		
Bamboo	-3.15	0.97	0.001
Cotton	1.09	1.01	0.28
Polyester	-1.41	1	0.15
Peak parasite day	of (GLM)		
Bamboo	0.17	0.03	< 0.001
Cotton	0.02	0.03	0.52
Polyester	0.08	1	0.01
Area under curve	for (GLM)		
Bamboo	-0.48	0.26	0.07
Cotton	-0.42	0.25	0.09
Polyester	-0.09	0.25	0.71
Rates of parasite	increase (GLMM))	
Bamboo	-1.95	0.85	0.02
Cotton	-1.16	0.81	0.51
Polyester	-1.28	0.82	0.11

Shown here are the model estimates, standard error, and respective p values. Control fish not exposed to any fibres (not shown here) and subsequently infected are the baseline against which all other treatments (i.e. bamboo, cotton, and polyester) are compared within the statistical models. Significant p values highlighted in bold

dyes were linked to significantly higher off-host parasite mortalities compared with bamboo dyes and controls. Offhost survival for this G. turnbulli strain typically ranges from 2 to 31 h (mean 13.7 h at 25 °C; Schelkle et al. 2013), which was reflected in our control worms (i.e. not exposed to any dyes). Ecological parasitology is increasing our understanding of how pollutants, such as the fabric chemical dyes in this study, impact the health of parasites, making parasites potential indicators of environmental health (reviewed by Vidal-Martínez et al. 2010; Sures et al. 2017). Typically, studies on parasite responses to pollutants have tended to focus on their ability to bioaccumulate toxins, especially heavy metals, particularly for endoparasites (e.g. cestodes and acanthocephalans; Palm and Rückert 2009) and fewer studies have assessed survival of free-living stages of parasites such as trematode cercariae (e.g. Hock and Poulin 2012). For this studies parasite species, G. turnbulli, has a direct life cycle (i.e. no intermediate free-living stage); whilst we did reveal impacts of the dyes on the parasite's direct survival (underlying mechanism unknown), we did not attempt to assess the ability of these monogenean worms to bioaccumulate dyes. Of the three fibre dye types, polyester had the highest number of parasite mortalities and whilst we were unable to tackle the mechanism underlying this increased parasite or host mortality, polyester was leaching a specific dye component (as identified via LCMS analysis; see Supplementary Material) more than bamboo and cotton, and this might have been a contributing factor. The chromatographic analysis also revealed that comparable amounts of dye were released from the bamboo and cotton fibres tested, but for some reason the cotton associated dye/s led to higher parasite mortalities. Current industry practices mean that the chemical identity of fabric dyes tends to remain confidential (Chen and Burns 2006), and unfortunately, we were unable to determine the exact nature of the dye. Whilst it has been shown that wastewater effluent containing textile dyes can be toxic to fish (Zhang et al. 2013; Kaur and Dua 2015), targeting specific fabric dyes and their impacts on fish welfare is much harder without actually knowing the specific dyes in question. However, plausible reasons why fibres and their dyes were associated with increased host and off-host parasite mortality include (1) development of biofilms harbouring infectious agents (Di Pippo et al. 2020; Tang et al. 2020); (2) gastrointestinal-related pathologies (i.e. gut blockage and lesions; Jovanović 2017); (3) toxic effects related to a breakdown product from either the fibres and/or the associated dyes; and/or (4) immune priming associated with microfibres being treated as antigens leading to reduced host survival (Tort 2011).

Unlike the thermoplastic plastic polymer polyester, cotton and bamboo are cellulose-based fibres and with the push to utilise natural alternatives, such as bamboo and hemp (Raj 2021), the biological impacts of these alternatives must be established. Tropical fish, such as guppies used in this study, would naturally consume cellulosebased foliage (Zandona et al. 2011), and the current study revealed that, compared with controls not exposed to any fibres, cotton consumption did not impact disease burden or mortality. Though bamboo is also composed of cellulose, the bamboo utilised in this study was 95% viscose based and therefore technically synthetic in nature (i.e. chemically treated) using regenerated cellulose (structurally the same as natural cellulose; Kauffman 1993) and 5% elastane, which is a synthetic polymer. Elastane is known for its extreme flexibility and hence an essential addition to such clothing, but it is also recognised as non-recyclable and not easily degraded in natura (see Yin et al. 2014). For this study bamboo exposure and consumption was associated with significantly lower maximum parasite burdens compared with fish not exposed to any fibres, whilst also reaching these peaks much later than the control treatments. It is unclear why bamboo consumption was associated with such low maximum parasite burdens, but this could be related to the host immune system being primed to a chronic pollutant leading to a heightened disease response (i.e. increased resistance—see Tort 2011) and/ or reduced parasite survival and/or reproduction rate in response to the bamboo fibre and associated dye exposure. However, off-host parasite survival was not affected by

direct bamboo dye exposure, leaving potential reproductive changes to the parasite, host immune related effects, or increased host tolerance to the parasites themselves as the likely explanation behind why bamboo may be conferring some degree of protection to the fish.

Concluding remarks

This study investigated the effects of three fibre types, polyester, cotton, and bamboo and their associated dyes on host-parasite dynamics utilising a freshwater fish model, specifically testing disease resistance, host mortality, and off-host parasite survival. Our results have revealed two key findings. Firstly, polyester fibres were associated with increased host mortality, and polyester and cotton dyes were also linked to increased off-host parasite mortality. Secondly, bamboo fibre exposure and consumption were associated with significantly reduced parasite burdens. With fibre pollution being a dominant form of anthropogenic waste within freshwater environments, studies such as the current one provide important biological assays on potential detrimental impacts on organism welfare.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-30794-0.

Acknowledgements We thank BAM Bamboo for supporting this work and providing the bamboo fabric.

Author contribution S. M., N. M., and J. C. designed the study. S. M. and N. M. conducted all experimental work related to fish-parasite maintenance and experimental infections. B. W. performed the chromatography analysis of all water samples. J. D. J. conducted the SEM and spectroscopy analysis. All authors contributed to and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding This work was funded by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research, a Council-funded South West Biosciences Doctoral Training studentship (BB/T008741/1), and the Plastic Fish Grant BB/X007960/1.

Data availability All data pertaining for this manuscript will be made available via the data repository Dryad upon acceptance for publication.

Declarations

Ethical approval All animal work was approved by the Cardiff University Animal Ethics Committee and conducted under UK Home Office licence PPL 303424.

Consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Ahrendt C, Perez-Venegas DJ, Urbina M, Gonzalez C, Echeveste P, Aldana M, Pulgar J, Galbán-Malagón C (2020) Microplastic ingestion cause intestinal lesions in the intertidal fish *Girellal-aevifrons*. Mar Pollut Bull 151:110795. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. marpolbul.2019.110795
- Al-Tohamy R, Sun J, Fareed MF, Kenawy E-R, Ali SS (2020) Ecofriendly biodegradation of Reactive Black 5 by newly isolated *Sterigmatomyces halophilus* SSA1575, valued for textile azo dye wastewater processing and detoxification. Sci Rep 10:12370. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-69304-4
- Andrady AL (2011) Microplastics in the marine environment. Mar Pollut Bull 62(8):1596–1605. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul. 2011.05.030
- Andrady AL (2017) The plastic in microplastics: a review. Mar Pollut Bull 119(1):12–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.01.082
- Arshad K, Skrifvars M, Vivod V, Valh J, Voncina B (2014) Biodegradation of natural textile materials in soil. Tekstilec 57(2):118–132. https://doi.org/10.14502/Tekstilec2014.57.118-132
- Bakke TA, Harris PD, Cable J (2002) Host specificity dynamics: observations on gyrodactylid monogeneans. Int J Parasitol 32(3):281–308. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519(01)00331-9
- Bakke TA, Cable J, Harris PD (2007) The biology of gyrodactylid monogeneans: the "Russian-doll killers". In: Adv Parasitol. Elsevier, 161–460. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-308X(06)64003-7
- Banaee M, Soltanian S, Sureda A, Gholamhosseini A, Haghi BN, Akhlaghi M, Derikvandy A (2019) Evaluation of single and combined effects of cadmium and micro-plastic particles on biochemical and immunological parameters of common carp (*Cyprinuscarpio*). Chemosphere 236:124335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.07.066
- Barboza LGA, Vethaak AD, Lavorante BRBO, Lundebye AK, Guilhermino L (2018) Marine microplastic debris: an emerging issue for food security, food safety and human health. Mar Pollut Bull 133:336–348. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.05.047
- Barnardo's (2015) Once worn, thrice shy British women's wardrobe habits exposed! [online] Available online: https://tfn.scot/ news/once-worn-thrice-shy-womens-wardrobe-habits-exposed. Accessed 12 August 2021
- Bilińska L, Gmurek M, Ledakowicz S (2016) Comparison between industrial and simulated textile wastewater treatment by AOPs
 — biodegradability, toxicity and cost assessment. Chem Eng J 306:550–559. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.07.100
- Cable J (2011) Poeciliid parasites. In: Evans JP, Pilastro A, Schlupp I (eds) Ecology and evolution of poeciliid fishes. Chicago University Press, Chicago, IL, pp 82–89
- Cable J, van Oosterhout C (2007) The impact of parasites on the life history evolution of guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*): the effects of host size on parasite virulence. Int J Parasitol 37(13):1449–1458. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2007.04.013

- Carr SA (2017) Sources and dispersive modes of micro-fibres in the environment. Integr Environ Assess Manag 13:466–469. https:// doi.org/10.1002/ieam.1916
- Chapagain AK, Hoekstra AY, Savenije HHG, Gautam R (2006) The water footprint of cotton consumption: an assessment of the impact of worldwide consumption of cotton products on the water resources in the cotton producing countries. Ecol Econ 60:186–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2005.11.027
- Chen HL, Burns LD (2006) Environmental analysis of textile products. Cloth Text Res J 24:248–261. https://doi.org/10.1177/08873 02X06293065
- De Falco F, Di Pace E, Cocca M, Avella M (2019) The contribution of washing processes of synthetic clothes to microplastic pollution. Sci Rep 9(1):1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-43023-x
- Di Pippo F, Venezia C, Sighicelli M, Pietrelli L, Di Vito S, Nuglio S, Rossetti S (2020) Microplastic-associated biofilms in lentic Italian ecosystems. Water Res 187:116429. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. watres.2020.116429
- Dias MS, Tedesco PA, Hugueny B, Jézéquel C, Beauchard O, Brosse S, Oberdorff T (2017) Anthropogenic stressors and riverine fish extinctions. Ecol Ind 79:37–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind. 2017.03.053
- Dušek L, Gelnar M, Šebelová Š (1998) Biodiversity of parasites in a freshwater environment with respect to pollution: metazoan parasites of chub (*Leuciscus cephalus* L.) as a model for statistical evaluation. Int J Parasitol 28:1555–1571. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0020-7519(98)00130-1
- European Environment Agency (2022) Textiles and the Environment: The Role of Design in Europe's Circular Economy, available at: https://www.eea.europa.eu/
- Frias JPGL, Nash R (2019) Microplastics: finding a consensus on the definition. Mar Pollut Bull 138:145–147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. marpolbul.2018.11.022
- Gwinnett C, Miller RZ (2021) Are we contaminating our samples? A preliminary study to investigate procedural contamination during field sampling and processing for microplastic and anthropogenic microparticles. Mar Pollut Bull 173:113095. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.marpolbul.2021.113095
- Hock SD, Poulin R (2012) Exposure of the snail *Potamopyrgus antipodarum* to herbicide boosts output and survival of parasite infective stages. Int J Parasitol: Parasites Wildl 1:13–18. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ijppaw.2012.10.002
- Horton AA, Walton A, Spurgeon DJ, Lahive E, Svendsen C (2017) Microplastics in freshwater and terrestrial environments: evaluating the current understanding to identify the knowledge gaps and future research priorities. Sci Total Environ 586:127–141. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.190
- Hu L, Chernick M, Lewis AM, Ferguson PL, Hinton DE (2020) Chronic microfiber exposure in adult Japanese medaka (*Oryzias-latipes*). PLOS ONE 15:e0229962. https://doi.org/10.1371/journ al.pone.0229962
- Ji LN (2013) Study on preparation process and properties of polyethylene terephthalate (PET). AMM 312:406–410. https://doi.org/10. 4028/www.scientific.net/amm.312.406
- Jovanović B (2017) Ingestion of microplastics by fish and its potential consequences from a physical perspective. Integr Environ Assess Manag 13(3):510–515. https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.1913
- Kauffman GB (1993) Rayon: the first semi-synthetic fiber product. J Chem Educ 70(11):887–893
- Kaur R, Dua A (2015) 96 h LC50, behavioural alterations and histopathological effects due to wastewater toxicity in a freshwater fish *Channa punctatus*. Environ Sci Pollut Res 22:5100–5110. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3710-1
- King TA, Cable J (2007) Experimental infections of the monogenean Gyrodactylus turnbulli indicate that it is not a strict specialist. Int J Parasitol 37:663–672. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2006.11.015

- Lafferty KD (1997) Environmental parasitology: what can parasites tell us about human impacts on the environment? Parasitol Today 13:251–255. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-4758(97)01072-7
- Limonta G, Mancia A, Benkhalqui A, Bertolucci C, Abelli L, Fossi MC, Panti C (2019) Microplastics induce transcriptional changes, immune response and behavioral alterations in adult zebrafish. Sci Rep 9:15775. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-52292-5
- Lourenço PM, Serra-Gonçalves C, Ferreira JL, Catry T, Granadeiro JP (2017). Plastic and other microfibers in sediments, macroinvertebrates and shorebirds from three intertidal wetlands of southern Europe and west Africa. Environmental pollution 231:123–133
- Lusher AL, Hernandez-Milian G, O'Brien J, Berrow S, O'Connor I, Officer R (2015) Microplastic and macroplastic ingestion by a deep diving, oceanic cetacean: the True's beaked whale *Mesoplodon mirus*. Environ Pollut 199:185–191. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.envpol.2015.01.023
- Magurran AE (2005) Evolutionary ecology: the Trinidadian guppy. Oxford University Press, New York
- Masud N, Cable J (2023) Microplastic exposure and consumption increases susceptibility to gyrodactylosis and host mortality for a freshwater fish. Dis Aquat Org 153:81–85. https://doi.org/10. 3354/dao03721
- Masud N, Davies-Jones A, Griffin B, Cable J (2022) Differential effects of two prevalent environmental pollutants on host-pathogen dynamics. Chemosphere 295:133879. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.chemosphere.2022.133879
- Muthu SS (2017) Sustainable fibres and textiles. Woodhead Publishing, 171–189
- Napper IE, Thompson RC (2019) Environmental deterioration of biodegradable, oxo-biodegradable, compostable, and conventional plastic carrier bags in the sea, soil, and open-air over a 3-year period. Environ Sci Technol 53(9):4775–4783. https://doi.org/ 10.1021/acs.est.8b06984
- Niinimäki K, Peters G, Dahlbo H, Perry P, Rissanen T, Gwilt A (2020) The environmental price of fast fashion. Nat Rev Earth Environ 1(4):189–200. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s43017-020-0039-9
- Palm HW, Rückert S (2009) A new approach to visualize ecosystem health by using parasites. Parasitol Res 105:539. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00436-009-1423-z
- Pekhtasheva E, Neverov A, Kubica S, Zaikov G (2012) Biodegradation and biodeterioration of some natural polymers. Chem Chem Technol 6(3):263–280. http://ena.lp.edu.ua:8080/handle/ ntb/15969
- Persson LM, Breitholtz M, Cousins IT, de Wit CA, MacLeod M, McLachlan MS (2013) Confronting unknown planetary boundary threats from chemical pollution. Environ Sci Technol 47:12619– 12622. https://doi.org/10.1021/es402501c
- Prendergast-Miller MT, Katsiamides A, Abbass M, Sturzenbaum SR, Thorpe KL, Hodson ME (2019) Polyester-derived microfibre impacts on the soil-dwelling earthworm *Lumbricus terrestris*. Environ Pollut 251:453–459. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol. 2019.05.037
- Qiao R, Deng Y, Zhang S, Wolosker MB, Zhu Q, Ren H, Zhang Y (2019) Accumulation of different shapes of microplastics initiates intestinal injury and gut microbiota dysbiosis in the gut of zebrafish. Chemosphere 236:124334. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chemosphere.2019.07.065
- Raj P (2021) Why alternative fabrics are the need of the hour in fashion. Available online: https://www.vogue.in/fashion/content/whyalternative-fabrics-are-the-need-of-the-hour-in-fashion. Accessed 17 August 2021
- Resnick B (2019) More than ever, our clothes are made of plastic. Just washing them can pollute the oceans. Available online: https://www.vox.com/the-goods/2018/9/19/17800654/cloth

es-plastic-pollution-polyester-washing-machine. Accessed 20 May 2021

- Rillig MC, Lehmann A (2020) Microplastic in terrestrial ecosystems. Science 368:1430. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb5979
- Rodrigues MV, Zanuzzo FS, Koch JFA, de Oliveira CAF, Sima P, Vetvicka V (2020) Development of fish immunity and the role of β -glucan in immune responses. Molecules 25(22):5378. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25225378
- Schelkle B, Shinn A, Peeler E, Cable J (2009) Treatment of gyrodactylid infections in fish. Dis Aquat Org 86:65–75. https://doi.org/ 10.3354/dao02087
- Schelkle B, Snellgrove D, Cable J (2013) In vitro and in vivo efficacy of garlic compounds against *Gyrodactylus turnbulli* infecting the guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*). Vet Parasitol 198(1–2):96–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2013.08.027
- Sillanpää M, Sainio P (2017) Release of polyester and cotton fibers from textiles in machine washings. Environ Sci Pollut Res 24(23):19313–19321
- Silva-Cavalcanti JS, Silva JDB, de França EJ, de Araújo MCB, Gusmão F (2017) Microplastics ingestion by a common tropical freshwater fishing resource. Environ Pollut 221:218–226. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.envpol.2016.11.068
- Song Y, Cao C, Qiu R, Hu J, Liu M, Lu S, Shi H, Raley-Susman KM, He D (2019) Uptake and adverse effects of polyethylene terephthalate microplastics fibers on terrestrial snails (*Achatina fulica*) after soil exposure. Environ Pollut 250:447–455. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.envpol.2019.04.066
- Spanjer AR, Liedtke TL, Conn KE, Weiland LK, Black RW, Godfrey N (2020) Evidence for rapid gut clearance of microplastic polyester fibers fed to Chinook salmon: a tank study. Environ Pollut 265:115083. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020. 115083
- Steffen W, Richardson K, Rockström J, Cornell SE, Fetzer I, Bennett EM, Biggs R, Carpenter SR, de Vries W, de Wit CA, Folke C, Gerten D, Heinke J, Mace GM, Persson LM, Ramanathan V, Reyers B, Sörlin S (2015) Planetary boundaries: guiding human development on a changing planet. Science 347:1259855. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1259855
- Stosik M, Tokarz-Deptuła B, Deptuła W (2019) Characterisation of thrombocytes in Osteichthyes. J Vet Res 63(1):123. https://doi. org/10.2478/jvetres-2019-0017
- Su L, Nan B, Hassell KL, Craig NJ, Pettigrove V (2019) Microplastics biomonitoring in Australian urban wetlands using a common noxious fish (*Gambusia holbrooki*). Chemosphere 228:65–74. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.04.114
- Suran M (2018) A planet too rich in fibre. EMBO Rep 19:e46701. https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201846701
- Sures B, Nachev M, Selbach C, Marcogliese DJ (2017) Parasite responses to pollution: what we know and where we go in 'Environmental Parasitology.' Parasit Vectors 10:65. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s13071-017-2001-3
- Tang Y, Liu Y, Chen Y, Zhang W, Zhao J, He S, Yang C, Zhang T, Tang C, Zhang C, Yang Z (2020) A review: research progress on microplastic pollutants in aquatic environments. Sci Total Environ 142572. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142572
- Thomas R, Vaughan I, Lello J (2013) Data analysis with R statistical software: a guidebook for scientists. Ecoexplore, Newport
- Tian C, Fu SY, Meng QJ, Lucia LA (2016) New insights into the material chemistry of polycaprolactone-grafted cellulose nanofibrils/polyurethane nanocomposites. Cellulose 23(4):2457–2473. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-016-0980-4

- Tort L (2011) Stress and immune modulation in fish. Dev Comp Immunol 35:1366–1375. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2011.07.002
- Tosetto L, Brown C, Williamson JE (2016) Microplastics on beaches: ingestion and behavioural consequences for beachhoppers. Mar Biol 163(10):1-13. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00227-016-2973-0
- TRAID (2018) The impacts of clothing fact sheets. Available online: https://traid.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/impacts_of_cloth ing_factsheet_23percent.pdf. Accessed 10 August 2021
- Triebskorn R, Braunbeck T, Grummt T, Hanslik L, Huppertsberg S, Jekel M, Knepper TP, Krais S, Müller YK, Pittroff M, Ruhl AS, Schmieg H, Schür C, Strobel C, Wagner M, Zumbülte N, Köhler HR (2019) Relevance of nano- and microplastics for freshwater ecosystems: a critical review. Trends Anal Chem 110:375–392. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2018.11.023
- United Nations Climate Change (2018) UN helps fashion industry shift to low carbon. Available online: https://unfccc.int/news/un-helpsfashion-industry-shift-to-low-carbon. Accessed 11 May 2021
- Vidal-Martínez VM, Pech D, Sures B, Purucker ST, Poulin R (2010) Can parasites really reveal environmental impact? Trends Parasitol 26:44–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2009.11.001
- Vince J, Stoett P (2018) From problem to crisis to interdisciplinary solutions: plastic marine debris. Mar Policy 96:200–203. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2018.05.006
- Wang J, Li Y, Lu L, Zheng M, Zhang X, Tian H, Wang W, Ru S (2019) Polystyrene microplastics cause tissue damages, sex-specific reproductive disruption and transgenerational effects in marine medaka (*Oryziasmelastigma*). Environ Pollut 254:113024. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113024
- White N (2011) The parasite clearance curve. Malar J 10:278. https:// doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-10-278
- Wright SL, Rowe D, Thompson RC, Galloway TS (2013) Microplastic ingestion decreases energy reserves in marine worms. Curr Biol 23(23):R1031–R1033. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.10.068
- Yin Y, Yao D, Wang C, Wang Y (2014) Removal of spandex from nylon/spandex blended fabrics by selective polymer degradation. Text Res J 84:16–27. https://doi.org/10.1177/0040517513487790
- Young P (2021) What's wrong with fast fashion? Available online: https://pebblemag.com/magazine/living/whats-wrong-with-fastfashion. Accessed 10 August 2021
- Zandona E, Auer SK, Kilham SS, Howard JL, López-Sepulcre A, O'Connor MP, Bassar RD, Osorio A, Pringle CM, Reznick DN (2011) Diet quality and prey selectivity correlate with life histories and predation regime in Trinidadian guppies. Funct Ecol 25(5):964–973. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2011.01865.x
- Zhang J, Zhang Y, Liu W, Quan X, Chen S, Zhao H, Jin Y, Zhang W (2013) Evaluation of removal efficiency for acute toxicity and genotoxicity on zebrafish in anoxic–oxic process from selected municipal wastewater treatment plants. Chemosphere 90:2662– 2666. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.11.043
- Zwollo P, Quddos F, Bagdassarian C, Seeley ME, Hale RC, Abderhalden L (2021) Polystyrene microplastics reduce abundance of developing B cells in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) primary cultures. Fish Shellfish Immunol 114:102–111. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.fsi.2021.04.01

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.