Extra terrestrials: drought creates niche space for rare invertebrates in a large-scale and long-term field experiment
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Abstract

Freshwater habitats are drying more frequently and for longer under the combined pressures of climate change and overabstraction. Unsurprisingly, many aquatic species decline or become locally extinct as their benthic habitat is lost during stream droughts, but less is known about the potential ‘winners’—those terrestrial species that may exploit emerging niches in drying riverbeds. In particular, we do not know how these transient ecotones will respond as droughts become more extreme in the future. To find out we used a large-scale, long-term mesocosm experiment spanning a wide gradient of drought intensity, from permanent flows to full streambed dewatering, and analysed terrestrial invertebrate community assembly after one year. Droughts that caused stream fragmentation gave rise to the most diverse terrestrial invertebrate assemblages, including 10 species with UK conservation designations, and high species turnover between experimental channels. Droughts that caused streambed dewatering produced lower terrestrial invertebrate richness, suggesting that the persistence of instream pools may benefit these taxa as well as aquatic biota. Particularly intense droughts may therefore yield relatively few ‘winners’ among either aquatic or terrestrial species, indicating that the threat to riverine biodiversity from future drought intensification could be more pervasive than widely acknowledged.

1. Background

Climate change and overabstraction of water are leading to increased occurrence of droughts in rivers and streams [1]. As wetted habitat shrinks, aquatic invertebrate species are lost [2], and the reciprocal expansion of dry streambed leads to an increase in terrestrial invertebrate biodiversity [3]. However, these initial terrestrial species gains may be reversed as a drought further intensifies, as riverbeds become inhospitable and relict aquatic resources are exhausted [3]. Our currently limited understanding of these dynamics relies on observational data from seasonally dry streams, so we
know little of how terrestrial invertebrate communities might develop during the extreme, prolonged droughts set to become increasingly common [4].

In theory, particularly intense drying should expose species to harsher environmental conditions and more severe food resource shortages, thus eroding terrestrial biodiversity, consistent with the intermediate disturbance hypothesis [5]. Conversely, an increase in alpha diversity would be predicted by the species–area relationship as more terrestrial habitat becomes available [6], and would additionally be expected as plant succession creates greater niche space for invertebrates. It is also unclear how the trajectory of terrestrial community development may vary in space during prolonged drying, hampering our ability to predict the impacts of droughts on biodiversity patterns among reaches (i.e., beta diversity). Beta diversity may increase as different reaches follow different successional trajectories, or decline as complex wetland community types are replaced by a more uniform, dry channel. As future drought intensification gives rise to novel river- and reach-specific drying regimes, evidence of how terrestrial alpha and beta diversity respond will increasingly be required to inform adaptive river management and conservation.

Experiments are needed to expose ecological communities to these possible future conditions [7] in isolation from the confounding environmental gradients that beset field survey data [8]. We therefore simulated year-long (i.e., supraseasonal) droughts of varying intensity using artificial stream channels (mesocosms), and characterised the terrestrial invertebrate assemblages that developed. Drought treatments ranged from flowing streams retaining connectivity among riffles and pools, through to the disconnection of these habitats and, ultimately, to complete streambed drying. We analysed differences in invertebrate alpha and beta diversity between these treatments, thus exploring the potential impacts of drought intensification on terrestrial community assembly at local and network scales.
2. Methods

(a) Drought experiment and data collection

We used outdoor mesocosms to replicate conditions in perennial headwater streams, in Hampshire, UK [further details given in 8]. Of the 21 channels in the experiment, we used 18 for this study, with the remainder unable to be assigned to a particular treatment as their riffle habitat was partially but not fully submerged. All channels had gravel beds with riffle-pool sequences (four per channel), analogous to their natural counterparts. The channels were fed by borehole water and seeded with a “common garden” of water crowfoot (*Ranunculus penicillatus* subsp. *pseudofluitans*), algae, and aquatic invertebrates from the adjacent chalk stream. Following six months of aquatic community establishment under ambient flow, we left three channels as controls and adjusted flows across the remainder to create a gradient of drought intensity. This gradient spanned three characteristic habitat states [2]: (i) flowing channels, with no dry substratum (connected [*CON*]; *n* = six channels); (ii) fragmented channels, with approximately 50% dry substratum and isolation of pool habitats (fragmented [*FRAG*]; nine channels); and (iii) dewatered streambeds, with 95-99% dry substratum (dry [*DRY*]; three channels). These treatments were designed to capture a broad spectrum of hydrological states, from stable flows through to the harsh, patchy conditions, including prolonged ponding and drying, associated with supraseasonal drought [9,10].

After one year of drought, channels from each treatment had developed plant communities representative of the major hydrological classification groups of ephemeral chalk stream macrophytes [10], driven by wind dispersal of seeds (see supplementary material, Figure S1). There was a shift from fully aquatic taxa such as water crowfoot and water parsnip (*Berula erecta*) in connected streams to emergent (e.g. watercress; *Nasturtium officinale*) and wetland species (e.g. water moccasin; *Iris pseudacorus*) in fragmented channels.
reed canary grass; *Phalaris arundinacea*) in fragmented channels. The riffle habitats of fragmented channels had largely terrestrialised and so these channels also supported many of the non-aquatic herbs that dominated dry streams, such as willowherbs (*Epilobium* spp.), mayweed (*Tripleurospermum inodorum*) and nettle (*Urtica urens*). As plant growth is a key driver of terrestrial invertebrate diversity in riverine environments [11], we estimated the total volume of terrestrial vegetation in each channel. The percentage cover of plants was estimated across the top three riffles and three pools per channel at the end of the experiment. The volume (m$^3$) of each plant taxon was calculated from its areal coverage (m$^2$) multiplied by plant stand height (m). We then sampled terrestrial invertebrates through exhaustive (i.e. until no further individuals could be found) sweep netting and hand searching [see 12] of one randomly selected riffle-pool pair (1.5 m$^2$) to yield a single invertebrate sample for each channel. Invertebrates were collected from dry gravels, emergent and terrestrial plants and, in connected channels, from emergent fronds of water crowfoot. Invertebrate specimens were identified to species wherever possible, with aphids (Aphidae), chalcid wasps (Chalcidoidea), springtails (Collembola), vinegar flies (Drosophilidae) and non-biting midges (Chironomidae) identified to family level.

(b) Statistical analyses

All analyses were conducted in R (version 4.2.2) [13,14]. We quantified differences in invertebrate community composition between treatments using non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) and then tested for significant differences in alpha diversity. To account for the underlying influence of relative abundance on species detection success (and thus diversity estimation), we equalised samples by adjusting for sample coverage, which allows for fairer comparisons of diversity estimates drawn from communities with greater or lesser proportions of rare species [see 15]. We compared samples at 90% coverage (i.e. the level of sample completeness giving a 10% probability that the next recorded individual will belong to a previously undetected species), dropping a single sample
from all further analyses as it exhibited a particularly low coverage value (60%), and was therefore not deemed to be representative of the community in the (connected) channel from which it was collected. We then calculated alpha diversity as Hill-Shannon diversity to afford similar sensitivity to rare and common species and retain intuitive scaling behaviour (i.e. proportional to changes in richness [15]). We compared Hill-Shannon diversities using a Kruskal-Wallis one-way analysis of variance to account for different numbers of replicates per group. Following rejection of the null hypothesis (no significant difference between treatments), we conducted Conover-Imam tests to determine which treatments differed significantly in alpha diversity, controlling for the false discovery rate using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure [16].

Beta diversity, interpreted here as the dissimilarity in species composition among the communities of two (i.e. pairwise dissimilarity) or more (i.e. multiple site dissimilarity) channels [17], was calculated and decomposed into turnover (species replacement) and nestedness-resultant (species gain/loss; hereafter NRD) components using the partitioning methods of Baselga [18]. Under this framework, total beta diversity is calculated as Sorensen dissimilarity ($\beta_{\text{sor}}$), turnover as Simpson dissimilarity ($\beta_{\text{sim}}$) and NRD as the difference between these ($\beta_{\text{sor}} - \beta_{\text{sim}} = \beta_{\text{nes}}$). High turnover would imply the presence of many species unique to certain channels; whereas high NRD would signify (i) a nesting of species-poor assemblages within richer ones, and thus (ii) greater overlap in species’ identities among channels [18].

We calculated (1) pairwise measures of beta diversity ($\beta_{\text{sor}}$, $\beta_{\text{sim}}$ and $\beta_{\text{nes}}$), to analyse turnover and NRD between the pooled communities of each of the three treatments; and (2) multiple site dissimilarity ($\beta_{\text{SOR}}$, $\beta_{\text{SIM}}$ and $\beta_{\text{NES}}$) to compare the communities of all channels within each treatment [18]. As each treatment contained a different number of channels, with a minimum of three (dry), we accounted for a sampling effort effect by conducting analyses on random subsets of three
3. Results

We recorded 166 terrestrial invertebrate taxa, 158 of which were found in fragmented and dry streams, and 131 of which were unique to these channels (full taxa list in supplementary material, Table S1). Taxa from connected channels were predominantly dipterans with an aquatic larval phase (but collected in their adult form so considered here as terrestrial invertebrates; e.g., non-biting midges, shore flies (Ephydridae) and dagger flies (Empididae)), while dry channels were associated with numerous species of arachnid and hymenopteran (Figure 1). Assemblages in fragmented channels were not simply intermediate combinations of those found in the other treatments but were instead diverse and distinct, comprising beetles, true bugs, dipterans and arachnids (Figure 1), reflecting high terrestrial plant coverage (see supplementary material, Figure S2). These channels harboured nine nationally scare species (i.e. those with species quality scores (SQS) of 4 in Pantheon (https://pantheon.brc.ac.uk/lexicon/sqs)) while a further species of conservation note, the UK Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) moth Scotopteryx chenopodiata, was recorded in both fragmented and dry channels. Hill-Shannon diversity differed between treatments (Kruskal-Wallis $\chi^2(2) = 13.2, p = 0.001$), being significantly greater in fragmented channels (mean = $21 \pm 4$) than in both connected (5 ± 3; Conover-Imam t(12) = 7.91, $p < 0.001$) and dry streams (11 ± 2; t(10) = 3.97, $p = 0.001$). The latter also contained significantly richer communities than connected channels (t(6) = 2.42, $p = 0.015$).
Communities of connected channels differed substantially from those of both fragmented ($\beta_{\text{SOR}} = 0.73$) and dry ($\beta_{\text{SOR}} = 0.66$) streams. This was driven primarily by NRD ($\beta_{\text{NES}} = 0.41$) and turnover ($\beta_{\text{SIM}} = 0.49$) respectively (Figure 2a). Fragmented and dry channel communities were relatively similar to each other ($\beta_{\text{SOR}} = 0.53$), with turnover the dominant component ($\beta_{\text{SIM}} = 0.32$). There were also large differences among channels within each treatment (connected $\beta_{\text{SOR}} = 0.63$; fragmented $\beta_{\text{SOR}} = 0.64$; dry $\beta_{\text{SOR}} = 0.65$; Figure 1b). Connected channel communities differed from one another due to both turnover ($\beta_{\text{SIM}} = 0.30$) and NRD ($\beta_{\text{NES}} = 0.33$), whereas differences among fragmented and to a slightly lesser extent dry channel communities were largely attributable to turnover ($\beta_{\text{SIM}} = 0.60$ and 0.54 respectively).

4. Discussion

This study has shown that streams exposed to supraseasonal drying can support diverse and distinct terrestrial invertebrate communities and provide refuges for rare species. However, dry streambeds did not support the most diverse or notable species assemblages, demonstrating that the persistence of instream pools through droughts, which are crucial for aquatic biota [19], could also be invaluable for terrestrial fauna. We therefore present rare experimental evidence that future drought intensification could threaten terrestrial as well as aquatic biodiversity across impacted riverscapes.

Some differences in invertebrate community composition were apparent between our channels and that typical of riparian habitats and seasonally dry streams. Notable early riparian colonists of dry streambeds, such as ground beetles (Carabidae) [11], were absent from our samples, but the widespread presence of other common inhabitants of riparian zones, such as rove beetles (Staphylinidae) and money spiders (Linyphiidae), suggests that this is unlikely to reflect the lack of
riparian habitat in the mesocosms. It could instead point towards a key difference between the effects of seasonal drying and prolonged drought on terrestrial species composition, with adaptations that allow rapid colonisation of newly dry streambeds, such as inundation tolerance and strong flight among some carabids [11,20], becoming significantly less advantageous over longer dry periods. Further studies of prolonged stream droughts are needed to explore this.

The absence of some seasonally dry streambed specialists did not prevent the emergence of high species diversity in fragmented channels, which partly reflected a prevalence of phytophagous insects including leaf beetles (Chrysomelidae), mirid bugs (Miridae) and weevils (Curculionidae), consistent with high terrestrial plant coverage. Several of the nationally scarce species we recorded have close associations with specific plants, such as Gymnetron veronicae with speedwells (Veronica spp.) and Drupenatus nasturtii with cresses (Nasturtium spp.). As riparian plants close to a stream can differ markedly from those further away, reflecting differences in subsurface moisture and humidity [11], so those of fragmented streams would also seem to vary analogously between the centre of an exposed riffle and its margins. Pool and riffle interfaces were colonised by wetland plants (e.g., V. anagallis-aquatica), contrasting with the more terrestrial species (e.g., T. inodorum and U. urens) found in drier gravels. In fragmented streams, as in riparian zones, this patchiness would appear to produce high invertebrate richness due to the niche space afforded to monophagous taxa (see supplementary material, Figure S3).

Patchiness and host specificity could be strong drivers of the high species turnover we observed between different fragmented and dry channels, which arose despite their close spatial proximity (see supplementary material, Figure S4). This contrasted with the high nestedness observed between connected channels, which itself could reflect differences in the timing of insect emergence between streams. In fragmented channels turnover appeared to be driven partly by shifts in habitat
availability between treatments (e.g. semi-aquatic beetles present in fragmented but not dry
channels) but to a greater extent by patch dynamics, with fragmentation generating discrete areas
of streambed with different successional trajectories. For instance, while some fragmented pools
were dominated by emergent plants (e.g. *V. anagallis-aquatica*, *N. officinale*), others retained a
sizeable coverage of *R. penicillatus* in varying growth forms (see supplementary material, Figure S5).
Supraseasonal drought may therefore produce high terrestrial biodiversity among fragmented and
dry reaches at the stream network (i.e. metacommunity) scale, a pattern that contrasts with the loss
of beta diversity widely observed among insect communities in response to climate and land-use
change [21].

Our study provides rare experimental evidence of a mechanistic relationship between drought
intensity and riverine terrestrial biodiversity. Its findings suggest that drying stream channels can
provide important habitat for rare and threatened species, a particularly notable observation amid
growing concerns over the impacts of natural habitat loss and other pressures on terrestrial insect
populations [22]. The difference in alpha diversity between fragmented and dry streams reported
here nonetheless highlights the importance of drought-resilient surface water refuges in adaptive
river management and conservation.
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Figure 1 Non-metric multidimensional scaling output (stress = 0.1) illustrating differences in assemblage composition between treatments, with species scores displayed by taxonomic order. Shaded polygons are the minimum convex hulls that encompass all the channels in each treatment and photos depict an example channel from each treatment (clockwise from bottom left: connected, fragmented and dry). Labelled species are those designated nationally scarce in the UK.

Figure 2 Pairwise Sorensen dissimilarities between the pooled communities of connected, fragmented and dry treatments, partitioned into turnover ($\beta_{\text{sim}}$) and nestedness-resultant ($\beta_{\text{nes}}$) components (top) and multiple site dissimilarities among channels within each treatment (bottom). Error bars in both panels display +95% CI.