

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/164889/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Whiteside, Sarah K., Grant, Francis M., Alvisi, Giorgia, Clarke, James, Tang, Leqi, Imianowski, Charlotte J., Zhang, Baojie, Evans, Alexander C., Wesolowski, Alexander J., Conti, Alberto G., Yang, Jie, Lauder, Sarah N., Clement, Mathew, Humphreys, Ian R., Dooley, James, Burton, Oliver, Liston, Adrian, Alloisio, Marco, Voulaz, Emanuele, Langhorne, Jean, Okkenhaug, Klaus, Lugli, Enrico and Roychoudhuri, Rahul 2023. Acquisition of suppressive function by conventional T cells limits antitumor immunity upon Treg depletion. *Science Immunology* 8 (90), eabo5558. 10.1126/sciimmunol.abo5558

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.abo5558>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



# Counterregulatory suppression by CD4<sup>+</sup> conventional T cells limits anti-tumor immunity driven by T<sub>reg</sub> depletion

Sarah K. Whiteside<sup>1,2</sup>, Francis M. Grant<sup>2</sup>, Giorgia Alvisi<sup>3</sup>, James Clarke<sup>4</sup>, Leqi Tang<sup>1</sup>, Charlotte J. Imianowski<sup>1,2</sup>, Baojie Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Alexander C. Evans<sup>1</sup>, Alexander J. Wesolowski<sup>1</sup>, Alberto G. Conti<sup>1</sup>, Jie Yang<sup>1,2</sup>, Sarah N. Lauder<sup>5</sup>, Matt Clement<sup>5</sup>, Ian R. Humphreys<sup>5</sup>, James Dooley<sup>2</sup>, Oliver Burton<sup>2</sup>, Adrian Liston<sup>2</sup>, Marco Alloisio<sup>6,7</sup>, Emanuele Voulaz<sup>6,7</sup>, Jean Langhorne<sup>8</sup>, Klaus Okkenhaug<sup>1</sup>, Enrico Lugli<sup>3</sup>, and Rahul Roychoudhuri<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Pathology, University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, CB2 1QP, UK.

<sup>2</sup> Immunology Programme, Babraham Institute, Babraham Research Campus, Cambridge, Cambridgeshire, CB22 3AT, UK.

<sup>3</sup> Laboratory of Translational Immunology, IRCCS Humanitas Research Hospital, Via Manzoni 56, 20089 Rozzano – Milan, Italy.

<sup>4</sup> La Jolla Institute for Allergy and Immunology, La Jolla, CA.

<sup>5</sup> Division of Infection and Immunity/System Immunity University Research Institute, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF14 4XN, UK.

<sup>6</sup> Department of Biomedical Sciences, Humanitas University, Via Rita Levi Montalcini 4, 20072 Pieve Emanuele – Milan, Italy.

<sup>7</sup> Division of Thoracic Surgery, IRCCS Humanitas Research Hospital, Via Manzoni 56, 20089 Rozzano – Milan, Italy

<sup>8</sup> The Francis Crick Institute, 1 Midland Road, London NW1 1AT

Correspondence should be addressed to S.K.W. (*sw925@cam.ac.uk*) and R.R. ()

**Abbreviations:** Chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 8 (CCR8), regulatory T (T<sub>reg</sub>), conventional T (T<sub>conv</sub>), T helper (Th), Diphtheria toxin (DTx), CellTrace Violet™ (CTV), antigen presenting cells (APCs), wildtype (WT), diphtheria toxin receptor (DTR), enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)

## Abstract

Regulatory T ( $T_{\text{reg}}$ ) cells are essential for immune homeostasis but inhibit immune rejection of cancer. Strategies to disrupt  $T_{\text{reg}}$ -mediated cancer immunosuppression have been met with limited clinical success, but the underlying mechanisms for this failure are poorly understood. By modeling  $T_{\text{reg}}$ -targeted immunotherapy in mice, we find that a subset of  $CD4^+ \text{Foxp3}^-$  conventional T ( $T_{\text{conv}}$ ) cells with potent suppressive function undergoes activation and expansion upon depletion of  $\text{Foxp3}^+ T_{\text{reg}}$  cells and limits therapeutic efficacy. We noted that  $\text{Foxp3}^- T_{\text{conv}}$  cells within tumors adopt a  $T_{\text{reg}}$ -like transcriptional profile upon  $T_{\text{reg}}$  depletion and acquire suppressive function. This is attributable to  $CD4^+ T_{\text{conv}}$  cells marked by expression of C-C motif receptor 8 (CCR8) and enriched in  $T_{\text{reg}}$ -associated transcripts.  $\text{CCR8}^+ T_{\text{conv}}$  cells are found in mouse and human tumors. Upon  $T_{\text{reg}}$  depletion,  $\text{CCR8}^+ T_{\text{conv}}$  cells undergo systemic and intratumoral activation and expansion, and mediate IL-10 dependent suppression of anti-tumor immunity. Consequently, conditional deletion of *Il10* within T cells augments anti-tumor immunity upon  $T_{\text{reg}}$ -depletion in mice, and antibody blockade of IL-10 signaling synergizes with  $T_{\text{reg}}$  depletion to overcome treatment resistance. These findings reveal a secondary layer of immunosuppression by  $T_{\text{conv}}$  cells released upon therapeutic  $T_{\text{reg}}$  depletion and suggest that broader consideration of suppressive function within the T cell lineage is required for development of effective  $T_{\text{reg}}$ -targeted therapies.

**One sentence summary:**  $\text{CCR8}^+ T_{\text{conv}}$  cells undergo expansion and activation upon  $T_{\text{reg}}$  depletion and limit anti-tumor immunity through production of IL-10.

## Introduction

Immune checkpoint blockade therapies targeting the inhibitory receptors PD-1 and CTLA-4 on  $T_{\text{conv}}$  cells have revolutionized the treatment of advanced cancer (1-5). However, only a minority of patients with a subset of cancers respond to existing therapies (6-8), necessitating development of mechanistically distinct modes of immunotherapy.  $T_{\text{reg}}$  cells play a critical role in suppressing both endogenous and therapy-driven anti-tumor immunity (9-11). High relative ratios of  $T_{\text{reg}}$  cells to  $CD4^+$  or  $CD8^+$   $T_{\text{conv}}$  cells within tumors are associated with poor prognosis in patients with a variety of cancers, including ovarian cancer (12, 13), breast cancer (14), non-small cell lung carcinoma (15), hepatocellular carcinoma (16), renal cell carcinoma (17), pancreatic cancer (18), gastric cancer (19), cervical cancer (20), intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (21) and colorectal carcinoma (22).  $Foxp3^+$   $T_{\text{reg}}$  cells also powerfully contribute to immunotherapy resistance, including to immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy (15, 23-25). There is intense medical interest in therapeutically depleting  $T_{\text{reg}}$  cells or modulating their immunosuppressive function in cancer patients.

Despite abundant experimental evidence of the immunosuppressive role of  $T_{\text{reg}}$  cells in cancer,  $T_{\text{reg}}$ -targeted therapies have had limited success in the clinic. Agents developed for depletion of  $T_{\text{reg}}$  cells in humans have included Daclizumab (Zenapax), a monoclonal antibody against CD25 which is expressed highly on the surface of most  $T_{\text{reg}}$  cells; Denikeukin Difitox (Ontak), an IL-2:diphtheria toxin fusion protein which targets  $T_{\text{reg}}$  cells through their ability to bind IL-2; and Mogamulizumab, a depleting monoclonal antibody against CCR4, which is expressed by high frequencies of tumor-infiltrating  $T_{\text{reg}}$  cells (26). Daclizumab therapy failed to enhance the efficacy of a dendritic cell vaccine in metastatic melanoma patients (27), and only modestly increased immune response parameters in patients with glioblastoma (28) and breast

cancer (29), while Denikeukin Difitox treatment failed to induce clinical responses in metastatic melanoma patients (30). Mogamulizumab therapy lacked anti-tumor efficacy in advanced cancer patients (31), likely attributable to concomitant depletion of activated CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells expressing CCR4 (32). Lack of clinical efficacy despite robust depletion of T<sub>reg</sub> cells in many cases indicates a need to better understand the basis for treatment failure of T<sub>reg</sub>-targeted therapies.

In this study, we sought to better understand mechanisms of treatment failure of T<sub>reg</sub>-targeting cancer immunotherapies. We systematically evaluated the consequence of experimental T<sub>reg</sub> cell ablation on T<sub>conv</sub> cells within tumors. While CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells were markedly transcriptionally distinct from T<sub>reg</sub> cells under steady-state conditions, T<sub>reg</sub> cell ablation caused T<sub>conv</sub> cells to adopt a T<sub>reg</sub>-like transcriptional profile, upregulating expression of molecules associated with T<sub>reg</sub> cell suppressive function. Consistent with acquisition of a T<sub>reg</sub>-like transcriptional profile, Foxp3<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells from T<sub>reg</sub>-depleted animals acquired a potent ability to suppress T<sub>conv</sub> activation and proliferation *in vitro*, attributable to the expansion of a subset of T<sub>conv</sub> cells marked by expression of CCR8. This subset of suppressive T<sub>conv</sub> cells was found to be enriched in both murine and human tumors, and its suppressive function was dependent upon IL-10. Consequently, conditional deletion of *Il10* specifically within T cells, and blockade of IL-10 receptor (IL-10R) signaling during T<sub>reg</sub> cell depleting immunotherapy reversed treatment failure and resulted in enhanced tumor clearance. These findings indicate that compensatory suppression by T<sub>conv</sub> cells limits efficacy of T<sub>reg</sub>-targeted therapy and suggests that broader consideration of suppressive activity within the T cell lineage will be required for development of more effective therapies.

## Results

### *T<sub>reg</sub> ablation causes T<sub>conv</sub> cells to adopt a T<sub>reg</sub>-like transcriptional profile*

T<sub>reg</sub> depletion has had limited success in clinical trials of cancer patients with advanced disease. To better understand mechanisms underlying treatment failure in the context of therapeutic T<sub>reg</sub> depletion, we utilized *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> mice, which express human diphtheria toxin receptor (DTR) and enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) under the transcriptional control of the endogenous *Foxp3* gene. Administration of diphtheria toxin (DTx) to *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> mice enables selective depletion of Foxp3<sup>+</sup> T<sub>reg</sub> cells (33). We subcutaneously implanted syngeneic B16-F10 melanoma cells into *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> mice and ablated T<sub>reg</sub> cells through administration of DTx. Early T<sub>reg</sub> cell ablation resulted in incomplete rejection of primary tumors, whereas T<sub>reg</sub> cell depletion in mice with established tumors had little discernible effect upon tumor growth (**Fig. 1A**), despite near complete ablation of Foxp3-expressing T<sub>reg</sub> cells within the systemic and intratumoral compartments of DTx-treated mice (**Fig. 1B**).

To understand mechanisms of treatment resistance, we first examined the consequence of T<sub>reg</sub> cell ablation on the transcriptional profiles of CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells within tumors. Surprisingly, while intratumoral *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP<sup>-</sup></sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells were markedly transcriptionally distinct from *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP<sup>+</sup></sup> T<sub>reg</sub> cells under steady-state conditions, T<sub>reg</sub> cell ablation caused T<sub>conv</sub> cells to adopt a T<sub>reg</sub>-like transcriptional profile. We noted that a large proportion of genes specifically enriched within tumor-associated T<sub>reg</sub> cells compared with CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells ( $|FC| > 4$ ,  $q < 0.05$ ) under steady-state conditions were induced at high levels within CD4<sup>+</sup> or CD8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells upon T<sub>reg</sub> cell ablation (**Fig. 1C and 1D; Data file S1**). Clusters A and B comprised intratumoral T<sub>reg</sub>-associated genes upregulated in both CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells upon T<sub>reg</sub> cell ablation; Cluster C comprised intratumoral T<sub>reg</sub>-associated genes whose expression was

upregulated exclusively in CD8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells upon T<sub>reg</sub> cell ablation; Cluster D comprised intratumoral T<sub>reg</sub>-associated genes whose expression was upregulated exclusively in CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells; Cluster E comprised a limited set of T<sub>reg</sub>-specific transcripts that were not expressed at high relative levels in CD4<sup>+</sup> or CD8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells upon T<sub>reg</sub> cell ablation, including *Foxp3*, *Lrrc32*, *Ikzf2*, *Runx2*, and *Ctla4*. Similarly, a substantial fraction of transcripts highly expressed within intratumoral T<sub>conv</sub> cells compared with T<sub>reg</sub> cells were downregulated within T<sub>conv</sub> cells upon T<sub>reg</sub> cell ablation. (**Fig. S1; Data file S2**). Consistent with these observations, hierarchical clustering analysis of Pearson distances between global transcriptional profiles of samples revealed that intratumoral CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells from T<sub>reg</sub>-depleted animals clustered more strongly with T<sub>reg</sub> cells than T<sub>conv</sub> cells from T<sub>reg</sub>-sufficient animals (**Fig. 1E**). Moreover, differences in gene expression between CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells in the absence versus presence of T<sub>reg</sub> cells were significantly positively correlated with differences in gene expression between intratumoral T<sub>reg</sub> cells and CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells from T<sub>reg</sub>-sufficient animals (**Fig. 1F**). Collectively, these results show that intratumoral T<sub>conv</sub> cells adopt a T<sub>reg</sub>-like transcriptional profile upon experimental ablation of T<sub>reg</sub> cells *in vivo*.

#### *Ablation of T<sub>reg</sub> cells promotes the induction of T<sub>conv</sub> cell suppression*

T<sub>reg</sub> cells suppress the proliferation of naïve T<sub>conv</sub> cells when co-cultured *in vitro* (34-36). Given their acquisition of a T<sub>reg</sub>-like transcriptional profile, we asked whether T<sub>conv</sub> cells develop suppressive function upon depletion of T<sub>reg</sub> cells. To test this, we purified *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP<sup>-</sup></sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells or *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP<sup>+</sup></sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>reg</sub> cells by FACS from B16 tumor bearing *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> mice and incubated them with congenically distinct naïve CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells *in vitro* (**Fig. 2A**). Strikingly, CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells from the tumors of mice whose T<sub>reg</sub> cells had been ablated by administration of DTx profoundly suppressed the proliferation of CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cell responders compared with CD4<sup>+</sup>

T<sub>conv</sub> cells from tumors of animals with intact T<sub>reg</sub> cell populations (**Fig. 2B and C**). The level of suppression was only marginally less than the level of suppressive activity of a similar number of intratumoral Foxp3<sup>+</sup> T<sub>reg</sub> cells. Splenic CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells from DTx-treated mice were also more suppressive than CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> from the spleens of PBS treated animals (**Fig. S2A-B**). In addition to suppressing T cell proliferation, T<sub>conv</sub> cells from tumors of T<sub>reg</sub>-depleted animals suppressed stimulation-driven induction of the activation marker CD44 on responder cells in contrast to T<sub>conv</sub> cells from tumors of non-T<sub>reg</sub>-depleted animals (**Fig. 2D and E**). T<sub>conv</sub> cells from T<sub>reg</sub>-depleted animals expressed similar levels of the co-inhibitory molecules TIGIT, TIM-3 and GITR to intratumoral T<sub>reg</sub> cells (**Fig. 2F and G**). They also expressed higher levels of CTLA-4 compared to CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells from tumors with intact T<sub>reg</sub> populations.. Acquisition of suppressive function by T<sub>conv</sub> cells was not an artefact of DTx treatment, since administration of DTx to *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> and control *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP</sup> mice resulted in induction of suppressive activity only among CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells from *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> animals, whose T<sub>reg</sub> cells are sensitive to DTx treatment (**Fig. S2**). Thus, upon T<sub>reg</sub> cell ablation, CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells within tumors acquire transcriptional and functional characteristics of T<sub>reg</sub> cells.

#### *T<sub>reg</sub> cell ablation results in induction of CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells within tumors*

To understand whether the changes in the transcriptional and functional properties of bulk populations of T<sub>conv</sub> cells in the absence of T<sub>reg</sub> cells were driven by specific subpopulations, we performed scRNA-Seq of bulk T cell populations sorted by FACS from B16 melanoma tumors of DTx- and PBS-treated *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> animals at day 16 after tumor implantation. Single-cell gene expression data were clustered using Seurat and global transcriptional differences between cells were visualized in two-dimensional space using Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection



(UMAP). *k*-means clustering revealed the presence of 8 transcriptionally distinct clusters of cells (**Fig. 3A**). Clusters 2 and 3 were enriched in control samples, whereas Clusters 0, 1, 5 and 7 were enriched among T cells from tumors of T<sub>reg</sub>-depleted animals (**Fig. 3B and C**). Enrichment analysis was used to determine which clusters of cells are most responsible for induction of T<sub>reg</sub>-like gene expression within bulk RNA-Seq profiles of T<sub>conv</sub> cells from T<sub>reg</sub>-depleted animals. This revealed that Cluster 0 (present at a ~3:1 ratio in the DTx treatment condition) was most enriched in genes specifically upregulated by CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells upon DTx treatment (**Fig. 3D**). To define surface markers which would enable isolation of cells of Cluster 0, we performed an analysis of uniquely upregulated transcripts within each cluster. This analysis revealed that Cluster 0 cells express transcripts associated with T cell activation but which are also highly expressed by T<sub>reg</sub> cells, including *Il2ra*, *Tigit* and *Tnfrsf4* (**Fig. 3E** and **Data file S3**). Strikingly, *Ccr8* mRNA expression was also upregulated in Cluster 0 cells upon depletion of T<sub>reg</sub> cells, which was notable since we and others have shown that chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 8 (CCR8) marks highly suppressive T<sub>reg</sub> cells under steady-state conditions within both murine and human tumors (37-41). A more focused analysis of the CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells in Cluster 0 revealed a population of cells (subcluster 5) enriched in expression of transcripts encoding molecules associated with Th2 differentiation, including *Ccr8*, *Gata3*, *Maf* and *Il10*, and suppressive/co-inhibitory function, including *Pdcd1*, *Tigit*, *Il10* and *Lag3* (**Fig. S3, Data file S4**). Accordingly, an analysis of the distribution of cells expressing *Ccr8*, *Il2ra*, *Tigit* and *Tnfrsf4* revealed that while in T<sub>reg</sub>-replete animals, these markers are largely expressed by intratumoral of *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP+</sup> T<sub>reg</sub> cells, they became expressed by a subset of *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells upon T<sub>reg</sub> depletion (**Fig. 3F** and **Fig. S4**).

We therefore analyzed the expression of CCR8 on the surface of T<sub>reg</sub> and T<sub>conv</sub> cells in tumors and lymphatics of *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> animals treated with PBS or DTx (**Fig. 3H and I**). We

found that the relative frequency of CCR8<sup>+</sup> cells among total CD4<sup>+</sup> *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells increases within both the spleen and draining lymph nodes (dLN) of T<sub>reg</sub>-depleted animals, but that their relative frequency within tumors was unchanged. However, since there is an expansion of CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells within all tissues upon T<sub>reg</sub> depletion, the absolute number of CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells within all tissues including tumors was increased.

#### *CCR8 expression marks highly suppressive T<sub>conv</sub> cells within tumors*

To better understand the identity of CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells, we purified CCR8<sup>+</sup> and CCR8<sup>-</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells by FACS from B16-F10 tumors of DTX-treated *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> mice and subjected them to bulk RNA-Seq. CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells were enriched in transcripts encoding molecules associated with both T cell activation such as *Tnfrsf9* (encoding 4-1BB) and T<sub>reg</sub> cell suppressive function, including *Il2ra*, *Areg*, and *Il10* (**Fig. 4A** and **Data file S5**). Interestingly, CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells were not enriched for transcripts indicative of the suppressive type 1 regulatory T cell (Tr1) subset such as *Eomes*, *Gzmk*, *Itga2* (encoding CD49b), *Ccr5*, or *Cd226*, suggesting that they are distinct from Tr1 cells. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of global gene expression differences between CCR8<sup>+</sup> and CCR8<sup>-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells revealed a negative enrichment of genes upregulated in *Foxp3*<sup>-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells vs *Foxp3*<sup>+</sup> T<sub>reg</sub> cells among CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells compared with CCR8<sup>-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells (**Fig. 4B**). Consistently, we observed that global differences in gene expression between CCR8<sup>+</sup> and CCR8<sup>-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells were positively correlated with global differences in gene expression between intratumoral T<sub>reg</sub> and T<sub>conv</sub> cells (**Fig. 4C**), further suggesting that CCR8<sup>+</sup> *Foxp3*<sup>-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells possess a T<sub>reg</sub>-like transcriptional profile.

We compared the phenotype of CCR8<sup>-</sup> and CCR8<sup>+</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells from tumors of mice whose T<sub>reg</sub> cells had been depleted by DTx with that of T<sub>reg</sub> cells. Like T<sub>reg</sub> cells, we found that

CCR8<sup>+</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells expressed high levels of CD25 (**Fig. 4D** and **E**). Similar to T<sub>reg</sub> cells, CCR8<sup>+</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells also exhibited increased expression of OX40, GITR, TIGIT and LAG-3 compared to CCR8<sup>-</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells (**Fig. 4D** and **E**). CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells also expressed increased levels of the transcription factor GATA3, suggesting that they possess a Th2-like differentiation state. To test whether the accumulation of CCR8<sup>+</sup> cells within intratumoral T<sub>conv</sub> cell populations following T<sub>reg</sub> cell ablation accounts for their increased suppressive activity, we separately sorted CCR8<sup>+</sup> and CCR8<sup>-</sup> *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells from the tumors of DTx-treated *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> mice and assessed their ability to suppress naïve T<sub>conv</sub> cell proliferation *in vitro*. Notably, suppressive function was enriched within the CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cell fraction, which were more capable of restricting proliferation of responder cells compared to the CCR8<sup>-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cell fraction (**Fig. 4F** and **G**). Taken together, these results suggest that CCR8 expression marks a subset of highly activated and suppressive T<sub>conv</sub> cells which accumulate systemically and within tumors upon T<sub>reg</sub> cell depletion.

#### *CD4<sup>+</sup> FOXP3<sup>-</sup> CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells are found within tumors of NSCLC patients*

In order to determine if CCR8<sup>+</sup> FOXP3<sup>-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells are enriched in human cancer, we analyzed CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells from 48 patients with non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) by flow cytometry (**Fig. S5**). Similar to our observations in mouse, CCR8<sup>+</sup> FOXP3<sup>-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells expressed high levels of CD25 and were significantly enriched in tumor tissue compared to healthy adjacent tissue and blood from the same patients (**Fig. 5A** and **5B**). Moreover, the frequency of CCR8<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>+</sup> FOXP3<sup>-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells was inversely correlated with the frequency of cytotoxic CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells within tumors (**Fig. 5C**), suggesting that they possess suppressive function, and co-expressed the inhibitory receptors PD-1, TIGIT and TIM-3 (**Fig. 5D** and **E**). CD4<sup>+</sup> FOXP3<sup>-</sup> CCR8<sup>+</sup> T cells displayed increased expression of the tissue-residency marker CXCR6 and co-stimulatory receptor

CD27 compared to CCR8<sup>-</sup> cells and their phenotype was largely overlapping with that of FOXP3<sup>+</sup> T<sub>reg</sub> cells (**Fig. 5E** and **Fig 5F**). CD4<sup>+</sup> FOXP3<sup>-</sup> CCR8<sup>+</sup> T cells lacked expression of EOMES and granzyme K, providing further evidence that this cell type is distinct from Tr1 cells (**Fig. 5E**). The presence of CCR8<sup>+</sup> FOXP3<sup>-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells in human tumors under steady-state conditions and without T<sub>reg</sub> depletion is consistent with our observations within murine tumors (**Fig. 3H** and **I**), which contain a population of CCR8<sup>+</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells under basal conditions that undergo substantial expansion upon T<sub>reg</sub> cell ablation.

#### *T<sub>conv</sub> cell suppression is dependent on IL-10 signaling*

We sought to understand mechanisms by which intratumoral CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells exert their suppressive function. Informed by the results of our transcriptional analyses, we screened for the involvement of suppressive mechanisms by which T<sub>conv</sub> cells from T<sub>reg</sub>-depleted animals suppress T cell activation and proliferation *in vitro*. We tested whether blocking antibodies directed against CD25, CTLA-4, IL-10 receptor (IL-10R) and CCR8, neutralizing antibodies specific for transforming growth factor (TGF)- $\beta$ , or pharmacological inhibition of steroid biosynthesis preferentially produced by Th2 cells using aminoglutethimide (AG) (42), are able to reverse the suppressive activity of intratumoral T<sub>conv</sub> cells from T<sub>reg</sub>-depleted animals. While the proliferation of naïve CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells was suppressed by Foxp3<sup>-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells, these differences induced by the presence of suppressive Foxp3<sup>-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells were abolished upon treatment of cells with anti-IL-10R (**Fig. 6A** and **B**).

Given these observations, we asked whether CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells are the primary producers of *Il10* mRNA upon depletion of T<sub>reg</sub> cells. We first examined whether the expression of *Il10* mRNA is co-correlated with *Ccr8*, and therefore co-expressed by cells, using scRNA-Seq of T

cells from tumors of T<sub>reg</sub>-replete and -depleted animals. Since IL-10 is known to be produced by CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>R1</sub> cells which express LAG3 and CD49b (43-46), Eomes<sup>+</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells (47-49), exhausted CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells which express PD-1 (50) and CD4<sup>+</sup> Th2 cells which express GATA3, IL-4 and IL-13 (51, 52), we included the genes encoding these and other markers in our co-correlation analysis. We found that under steady-state (T<sub>reg</sub>-replete) conditions *Il10* formed a predominant co-correlation cluster with *Cd8a*, *Pdcd1*, *Ifng*, *Tnf*, and *Eomes* but also a smaller cluster containing *Gata3* and *Foxp3*, suggesting that CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in differential states of exhaustion, and Th2-like T<sub>reg</sub> cells are a predominant source of IL-10 under steady-state conditions (**Fig. 6C**). However, we found that T<sub>reg</sub> depletion results in a striking change in the co-correlation relationship of *Il10* mRNA with the other genes examined, forming a predominant cluster of co-correlated genes containing *Cd4*, *Ccr8*, *Il13*, *Il14* and *Gata3*. These striking results suggested that upon T<sub>reg</sub> ablation, the source of *Il10* shifts to the previously identified CD4<sup>+</sup> CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cell subset with Th2-like characteristics. To confirm this, we sorted CCR8<sup>-</sup> and CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells from tumors of T<sub>reg</sub>-replete and -depleted animals and subjected them to qRT-PCR. We found that *Il10* mRNA expression was enriched among CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> from T<sub>reg</sub>-depleted animals compared with both CCR8<sup>-</sup> cells from T<sub>reg</sub>-depleted animals and CCR8<sup>+</sup> or CCR8<sup>-</sup> cells from T<sub>reg</sub>-replete animals (**Fig. 6D**). These findings supported the hypothesis that CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells become the predominant source of T cell-expressed IL-10 upon T<sub>reg</sub> depletion.

We therefore asked whether T<sub>reg</sub> cell depletion triggers induction of IL-10-dependent suppressive activity among Foxp3<sup>-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells, limiting efficacy of T<sub>reg</sub>-depletion *in vivo*. To test whether IL-10 production by T<sub>conv</sub> cells is responsible for resistance to T<sub>reg</sub>-depleting therapy *in vivo*, we generated *Il10*<sup>flox/flox</sup> *Cd4*<sup>Cre</sup> *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> and littermate *Cd4*<sup>Cre</sup> *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> (IL-10 proficient) control mice. This allowed us to examine the effect of T<sub>reg</sub> depletion in animals whose

T cells can or cannot produce IL-10. Strikingly, we found that conditional deletion of IL-10 within T cells resulted in loss of resistance to T<sub>reg</sub> ablation, as indicated by reduced tumor growth when T<sub>reg</sub> cells were ablated in animals lacking T cell-restricted IL-10 expression, but not when either condition was present alone (**Fig. 6D**). Similar to results with IL-10R blockade, T<sub>reg</sub> cell ablation in animals bearing a conditional deletion of *Il10* within T cells was associated with increased frequencies of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells expressing the cytokines IFN- $\gamma$  and TNF (**Fig. 6E-F**). Furthermore, there was an increase in expression of the activation marker CD44 on CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells from animals bearing a conditional deletion of *Il10* and whose T<sub>reg</sub> cells had been ablated (**Fig. 6G**). These results demonstrate a critical role for T cell-produced IL-10 in resistance to T<sub>reg</sub>-depletion.

We therefore asked whether antibody blockade of IL-10 signaling synergizes with T<sub>reg</sub> depletion *in vivo*. We had observed that T<sub>reg</sub> depletion was ineffective at significantly reducing growth of established B16 tumors, while T<sub>reg</sub> depletion during early disease delayed tumor growth but was ineffective at inducing complete responses (**Fig. 1A**). We first tested whether blockade of IL-10R using anti-IL-10R antibodies reverses resistance to T<sub>reg</sub>-depleting therapy. We found that late T<sub>reg</sub> cell depletion or IL-10R blockade alone failed to drive significant reduction in tumor growth, whereas their combination resulted in potent tumor regression (**Fig. 7A**). Moreover, IL-10 blockade synergized with early T<sub>reg</sub> cell ablation to induce complete responses in a proportion of animals receiving combined therapy (**Fig. S6**). We found that IL-10R blockade both alone and in combination with T<sub>reg</sub> depletion increased the ratio (percentage) of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells expressing IFN- $\gamma$  and TNF within tumors, but the absolute number of IFN- $\gamma$ - and TNF-expressing CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells was markedly increased upon combined T<sub>reg</sub> ablation and IL-10R blockade, reflecting a combination of increased T cell infiltration and cytokine production (**Fig. 7B**). Similarly, the

combination of T<sub>reg</sub> depletion and IL-10R blockade resulted in an increase in the frequency and absolute number of IFN- $\gamma$ - and TNF-expressing CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (**Fig. 7C and D**). These findings demonstrate that T<sub>conv</sub> cells within tumors adopt IL-10 dependent suppressive activity upon therapeutic elimination of T<sub>reg</sub> cells, contributing to treatment failure of T<sub>reg</sub> cell depleting immunotherapies and that combined targeting of T<sub>reg</sub> cells and counterregulatory IL-10-dependent suppression invoked upon their depletion may enhance therapy.

## Discussion

T<sub>conv</sub> cells and T<sub>reg</sub> cells share components of their activation programs to meet similar metabolic, proliferative and migratory requirements as they transition from quiescent states to activated states (53-55). A substantial effort is now underway to develop therapies which specifically target molecules that distinguish T<sub>reg</sub> cells within tumors from their T<sub>conv</sub> cell counterparts. These efforts have been informed by comparative analyses of the molecular profiles of T<sub>reg</sub> cells and T<sub>conv</sub> cells within tumors under steady-state conditions (56, 57). We compared the transcriptional profiles of T<sub>reg</sub> cells with T<sub>conv</sub> cells not only under steady-state conditions, but upon immune activation provoked by experimental T<sub>reg</sub> cell ablation. This analysis revealed that T<sub>conv</sub> cells adopt a highly similar transcriptional profile to T<sub>reg</sub> cells upon T<sub>reg</sub> cell depletion. The extent of this reprogramming goes beyond what would be expected as a result of the shared properties of T<sub>reg</sub> cell and T<sub>conv</sub> cell activation programs and reveals that a subset of T<sub>conv</sub> cells marked by CCR8 expression undergo activation and take on counterregulatory IL-10-dependent suppressive function when T<sub>reg</sub> cells are eliminated.

Acquisition of a T<sub>reg</sub>-like transcriptional profile by T<sub>conv</sub> cells upon T<sub>reg</sub> cell ablation suggests that in practice there are very few molecules whose targeting will enable highly specific depletion of T<sub>reg</sub> cells within tumors. Nevertheless, a small cluster of genes was identified in our analyses which has an expression profile limited to T<sub>reg</sub> cells compared with T<sub>conv</sub> cells under both steady-state conditions and upon T<sub>reg</sub> cell depletion. While this cluster of genes may contain targets for specific depletion of T<sub>reg</sub> cells within tumors, a question raised by this study is whether specific depletion of T<sub>reg</sub> cells is indeed desirable, given induction of counterregulatory suppressive function by subsets of intratumoral T<sub>conv</sub> cells.



It is known that CCR8 marks highly suppressive T<sub>reg</sub> cells found in both mouse and human tumors (37-41, 58). There is significant interest in the development of therapies which deplete CCR8<sup>+</sup> Treg cells within tumors. Given our observation that CCR8 also marks T<sub>conv</sub> cells whose suppressive function is induced upon experimental T<sub>reg</sub> cell ablation *in vivo*, it is reasonable to postulate that depletion of CCR8-expressing cells is a superior approach to T<sub>reg</sub> cell depletion using other Treg-expressed markers for induction of anti-tumor immunity, since CCR8-depleting therapies would target both T<sub>reg</sub> cells and suppressive T<sub>conv</sub> cells for destruction. It will be important to consider effects of CCR8-depleting therapies on both Treg cells and CCR8<sup>+</sup> Tconv cells within tumors, both in pre-clinical investigations (39, 58), and once robust intratumoral depletion of CCR8<sup>+</sup> cells in the human clinical context has been achieved. Alternatively, our data suggests that combining T<sub>reg</sub>-targeted immunotherapies with blockade of IL-10 signaling will overcome counter-regulatory suppression by T<sub>conv</sub> cells.

It is interesting that the CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cell subset observed in human tumors did not phenotypically overlap with previously described Tr1 cells within tumors. Suppressive Tr1 cells have been characterized in several human tumors including head neck and squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) (59), colorectal cancer (49, 60), hepatocellular carcinoma (46), Hodgkin lymphoma (61), metastatic melanoma (62) and non-small-cell lung cancer (49), and their presence is often associated with tumor progression. The suppressive subset of CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells we observe does not express EOMES or granzyme K, markers previously reported to be indicative of Tr1 cells. Recent studies have described a contribution of tumor-infiltrating follicular helper T (T<sub>FH</sub>) cells and follicular regulatory T (T<sub>FR</sub>) cells to anti-tumor immunity (63, 64). However, CXCR5 was not expressed by CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells suggesting their distinction from T<sub>FH</sub> cells. We did however observe high levels of GATA3 and *Il10* expression among CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells, suggesting that they

represent a Th2-like subset expressing high levels of markers associated with T cell activation, including CD25, expanded systemically and within tumors upon T<sub>reg</sub> depletion. Prior work are consistent with these data, showing systemic expansion of Th2 cells expressing either GATA3 or Th2 cytokines upon experimental T<sub>reg</sub> ablation (65, 66), and the intratumoral presence of CD4<sup>+</sup> Tconv cells expressing CCR8(37-41, 58). We found that the frequency of CCR8<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>+</sup> FOXP3<sup>-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells inversely correlates with the frequency of tumor-infiltrating CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, suggesting that they play an inhibitory role in human tumor immunity. The observation that CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells expand and undergo activation to express IL-10 following depletion of T<sub>reg</sub> cells provides an explanation of how bulk T<sub>conv</sub> cells from tumors of T<sub>reg</sub>-depleted animals acquire IL-10-dependent suppressive function despite lack of a change in the relative frequency of CCR8<sup>+</sup> cells within the tumor CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> compartment. While our pre-clinical data from mouse models suggests that CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells expand numerically within tumors upon experimental T<sub>reg</sub> cell ablation, this is difficult to formally assess in humans due to the lack of specific markers that are differentially expressed in comparison with FOXP3<sup>+</sup> T<sub>reg</sub> cells, enabling their isolation *ex vivo*, and of clinically approved T<sub>reg</sub>-depleting therapies in widespread use. However, a number of T<sub>reg</sub>-targeted therapies are under development and it will be an important topic of future investigation to determine their effect upon CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells in humans. It will also be important to better define how CCR8<sup>+</sup> Tconv cells contribute to immune regulation in other contexts including infection and inflammation, as clones of Foxp3<sup>-</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells expressing CCR8, CD25 and IL-10 have previously been described in mice with experimental pulmonary granulomata (67), while CD4<sup>+</sup> Tconv cells expressing CCR8 are observed upon experimental allergic lung inflammation in mice (68), and infiltrating human skin (69).

Our findings show that in the context of T<sub>reg</sub> cell depleting immunotherapies, IL-10 production by T<sub>conv</sub> cells reveals a secondary layer of immune suppression responsible for driving immunotherapy resistance. It is essential that this immunosuppressive function of IL-10 in limiting the efficacy of T<sub>reg</sub> cell targeted therapies is appreciated, especially since in other contexts, IL-10 has been shown to possess immunostimulatory activity both in pre-clinical models and in clinical trials (70-72). Our findings suggest that IL-10 blocking antibodies may be used as a synergistic therapy with T<sub>reg</sub>-targeted immunotherapies to improve patient outcomes.

Preclinical studies suggest that T<sub>reg</sub> cell depletion can reinvigorate T<sub>conv</sub> cell responses, however clinical trials of T<sub>reg</sub> cell depleting therapies have thus far been met with limited clinical efficacy (32, 73). While our analysis of human tumor-infiltrating Foxp3<sup>-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells revealed a fraction of CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells under steady-state conditions, it will be valuable to examine whether such cells are expanded upon immunotherapy with either novel T<sub>reg</sub>-depleting therapies, or anti-CTLA-4 therapy, the therapeutic efficacy of which is postulated to in part depend upon depletion or blockade of the suppressive function of T<sub>reg</sub> cells (74, 75). Indeed, the prognostic significance of such cells in determining the outcome of the immunotherapy responses will reveal insights into their contribution to immunotherapy resistance.

## Materials and Methods

### *Study design*

The objective of this study was to understand how T<sub>reg</sub> depletion affects the function and immunoregulatory capacity of the T cell lineage in the context of tumor immunity. We used a syngeneic B16-F10 melanoma heterotopic tumor implantation model and modeling therapeutic T<sub>reg</sub> ablation by experimentally depleting T<sub>reg</sub> cells using the well-established *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> model. We examined the consequences of T<sub>reg</sub> depletion for tumor progression, as measured by blinded serial caliper measurements and tumor immunity, as assessed by scRNA-Seq and flow cytometry. We found that T<sub>conv</sub> cells acquire T<sub>reg</sub>-like suppressive functions upon depletion of T<sub>reg</sub> cells. Using transcriptional profiling and *in vitro* suppression assays to better understand the nature of this suppressive activity, we found that this suppressive function was enriched among a Th2-like T<sub>conv</sub> subset marked by expression of CCR8. Moreover, using antibody blockade and conditional *Il10* deletion experiments, we found that the suppressive activity induced upon T<sub>reg</sub> depletion was dependent upon IL-10. The sample size for each experiment is specified in the figure legends. The number of independent experiments performed is stated in the figure legends. Age-matched male and female mice were randomly assigned to each group.

### *Mice*

*Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> mice were originally described by Kim et al. (33), *Foxp3*<sup>IRES-EGFP</sup>, *Ptprc*<sup>a</sup> (CD45.1), and *Rag2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory. *Il10*<sup>flox/flox</sup> and *Cd4*<sup>Cre</sup> mice were obtained from Jean Langhorne (Francis Crick Institute) and crossed with *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> mice to generate *Il10*<sup>flox/flox</sup> *Cd4*<sup>Cre</sup> *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> animals. Experiments were performed using 8-14 week old mice, with male and female mice equally distributed between experimental and control groups. Mice were housed at the University of Cambridge University Biomedical Services (UBS) Gurdon

Institute Facility and Babraham Institute Biological Services Unit (BSU). Experiments were conducted in accordance with UK Home Office guidelines and were approved by University of Cambridge Animal Welfare and Ethics Review Board or by the Babraham Research Campus Animal Welfare and Ethics Review Board.

#### *Human primary tissues*

Primary tumors and adjacent healthy tissue were acquired from 48 NSCLC patients. Patients gave consent to be included in the study which was approved by the institutional review board of Humanitas Research Hospital (protocols no. 2578). Patients did not receive chemotherapy, radiotherapy or palliative surgery before samples were obtained. Samples were processed using the gentleMACS Dissociator (Miltenyi Biotec) into single cell suspensions as previously described (76), resuspended in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) and stored in liquid nitrogen.

#### *High-dimensional flow cytometry analysis of human samples and computational processing of flow cytometric data.*

Samples were prepared for flow cytometry as previously described (76). Panels were developed according to an established protocol (77). Briefly, Flow Cytometry Standard (FCS) 3.0 files were analysed by standard gating in FlowJo version 9 to remove dead cells and cell aggregates, and identify CD4<sup>+</sup> FOXP3<sup>-</sup> T cells. 5,000 CD4<sup>+</sup> FOXP3<sup>-</sup> T cells per tumor sample (n = 48) were subsequently imported into FlowJo (version 10), biexponentially transformed, and exported in order to be analyzed by a custom-made publicly available pipeline of PhenoGraph (<https://github.com/luglilab/Cytopenograph>). All samples were converted into comma separated value (CSV) files and concatenated in a single matrix by using the merge function of pandas

package. The K value, indicating the number of nearest neighbors identified in the first iteration of the algorithm, was set at 500. Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) was obtained by UMAP Python package.

#### *Tumor challenge and treatment*

Mice were injected subcutaneously in the left flank with  $1.25 \times 10^5$  B16-F10 melanoma cells (ATCC). Tumors were measured at serial time points following implantation using digital calipers and tumor area was calculated as the length  $\times$  width. Tumor measurements were completed by an independent investigator who was not aware of treatment groups or genotypes. When tumors reached a size of  $\sim 25\text{mm}^2$ , mice were injected intraperitoneally (i.p) with 1  $\mu\text{g}$  of diphtheria toxin (DTx) to achieve transient depletion of  $T_{\text{reg}}$  cells in  $Foxp3^{\text{EGFP-DTR}}$  mice every other day for a total of four injections. Anti-IL-10R (clone 1B1.3A) was used *in vivo* and obtained from BioXcell. Mice received 250  $\mu\text{g}$  of anti-IL-10R daily for 10 days starting when tumors reached a size of  $25\text{mm}^2$ . DTx from *Corynebacterium diphtheriae* (Sigma-Aldrich) was obtained in lyophilized powder form and reconstituted in sterile double-distilled water according to the manufacturer's instructions.

#### *Suppression assays*

The suppressive capacity of tumor  $T_{\text{conv}}$  and  $T_{\text{reg}}$  cell was measured *in vitro* as previously described (78). Briefly,  $\text{GFP}^+ \text{CD4}^+ T_{\text{reg}}$  cells were isolated from B16-F10 tumors of  $Foxp3^{\text{EGFP-DTR}}$  mice treated with PBS using fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) 16 days post implantation.  $\text{GFP}^- \text{CD4}^+ T_{\text{conv}}$  cells were isolated from B16-F10 tumors of  $Foxp3^{\text{EGFP-DTR}}$  mice treated with PBS or DTx by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) at day 16 post-implantation. Naïve  $\text{CD4}^+ T_{\text{conv}}$  cells ( $\text{CD25}^- \text{CD44}^- \text{CD62L}^+$ ) were purified from the spleens of WT CD45.1 mice by FACS and

stained with CellTrace Violet™ (CTV) according to the manufacturer's protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific).  $2.5 \times 10^4$  or  $1.25 \times 10^4$  (as indicated) suppressor  $CD4^+ T_{reg}$  or  $T_{conv}$  cells were co-cultured with  $1 \times 10^5$   $T_{resp}$  cells in the presence of anti-CD3 (BioLegend 1  $\mu$ g/mL) and  $5.0 \times 10^4$   $Rag2^{-/-}$  antigen presenting cells (APC). Naïve  $CD4^+ T_{conv}$  cells cultured without tumor  $T_{reg}$  cells or  $T_{conv}$  cells were used as a control. Cell division was evaluated by flow cytometry after 4 days of culture.

#### *Flow cytometry of murine samples*

Tumor samples were digested using collagenase and DNase for 30 minutes at 37 °C. Percoll was used to isolate lymphocytes from tumors. Tumors and spleens were mechanically dissociated over a 40  $\mu$ m cell strainer. Red blood cells were lysed using ACK Lysing Buffer (Gibco). Cells were stained with the Fixable Viability Dye eFluor™ 780 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to discriminate between live and dead cells and then incubated with the following surface antibodies for 30 minutes on ice: anti-TCR $\beta$  PE (H57-597), anti-CD8 PE-Cy7 (53-6.7), anti-CD25 APC (PC61.5), anti-CD44 PerCP-Cyanine5.5 (IM7), anti-CD45.1 APC (A20), anti-GITR (DTA-1), anti-LAG-3 (C9B7W) anti-OX40 (OX-86) anti-TIGIT (GIGD7), anti-TIM-3 (RMT3-23) from eBioscience anti-CD4 BUV395 (GK1.5), anti-CD62L BUV-737 (MEL-14), from BD Biosciences and anti-CCR8 BV421 (SA214G2), anti-Thy1.2 BV605 (clone 53-2.1), from BioLegend. Cells were stimulated with phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) and ionomycin and blocked with brefeldin A (BFA) for 4 hours in RPMI 1640 complete medium. The intracellular antibodies anti-Foxp3 APC (FJK-16S), anti-CTLA-4 (UC10-4B9) anti-IFN- $\gamma$  FITC (XMG1.2), anti-GATA3 (TWAJ) and anti-TNF PE-Cy7 (MP6-XT22) were purchased from eBioscience and used with the eBioscience Foxp3/Transcription Factor Staining Buffer Set (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's protocol. For determination of CCR8 expression by

Foxp3<sup>-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells, cells from tumor-bearing *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> animals were surface-stained and analyzed unfixed using flow cytometry, with EGFP expression used to discriminate T<sub>reg</sub> and T<sub>conv</sub> cells. Samples were analyzed using BD Fortessa and Beckman Coulter CytoFLEX analyzers. After analysis, data were analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star, Inc.).

### *scRNA Sequencing and analysis*

Single cell suspensions of T cells were purified by total pan T cell enrichment, and live TCR-β<sup>+</sup> cells were sorted from B16 tumors by FACS 16 days post implantation. RNA libraries were prepared for single cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-Seq) using the Chromium Single Cell 5' Library & Gel Bead Kit v2 (10x Genomics) processed with Chromium (10x Genomics), and sequenced using the HiSeq 4000 System (Illumina). Raw 10x sequencing data were processed as previously described and mapped to mm10. We confirmed that cells were sequenced to saturation. Data were merged with cell ranger aggr (cellranger-v5.0.0). Merged data were transferred to the R statistical environment for analysis primarily using the package Seurat (v3.2.2) in R v4.0.3. The analysis included only cells expressing between 200 and 2,500 genes, <5% mitochondrial-associated transcripts, and genes expressed in at least three cells. The data were then log-normalized and scaled per cell, and variable genes were detected using the FindVariableFeatures function in Seurat, as per default settings, using 2000 features and further processed as per the ScaleData function. Principal component analysis (PCA) was run on the variable genes, and the first six principal components (PCs) were selected for further analyses, based on the standard deviation of the PCs, as determined by an “elbow plot” in Seurat. Cells were clustered using the FindClusters function in Seurat with default settings, resolution = 0.5, and six PCs. UMAP was calculated using six PCs (RunUMAP function). For broadly defining the transcriptional features of each cluster,



the FindAllMarkers function (only.pos = FALSE, min.pct = 0.1, thresh.use = 0.2, test.use = “MAST”) was used, and the associated heatmap was generated using the DoHeatmap function using up to the top 10 transcripts identified per cluster as defined by FindAllMarkers. The transcriptomic score of a particular cluster was calculated using the AddModuleScore function with default settings. Further visualizations of exported normalized data were generated using the Seurat RidgePlot functions and custom R scripts.

### *RNA Sequencing and analysis*

Single-cell suspensions were purified by FACS, as described above 16 days post tumor implantation, and stored in 40 µl RNAlater™ Stabilization Solution at -80 °C. RNA was extracted from samples using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen) with optional QIAshredder step according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA-Sequencing (RNA-Seq) analyses were performed using  $\geq 2$  biological replicates. RNA-Seq was performed and analyzed as described previously (54). RNA Libraries were prepared using the Clontech SMARTer Ultra Low-input RNA kit (Takara) and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 instrument using Illumina TruSeq v4.0 chemistry. The resulting FastQ files underwent quality control with FastQC, adaptor trimming with Cutadapt and alignment to the NCBI37 *Mus musculus* genome annotation with hisat2 using ClusterFlow pipelines. Uniquely mapped reads were used to calculate gene expression and FPM values normalized to total library size with intergenic read normalization were calculated. Differential expression and statistical significance were calculated using the Wald test with adjustment for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg method using DESeq2 (79). Differentially expressed genes were further analyzed using R. PCA was performed using R *plotPCA* with count data transformed using variance stabilizing transformation (VST) from fitted dispersion-mean

relationships generated using DESeq2 *vst*. Expression heatmaps were generated using FPM values normalized to row maxima using the R *pheatmap* package. Hierarchical clustering was performed using the Ward method. Dendrograms were cut at levels sufficient to allow 3-5 clusters to be discriminated.

### *Statistical Analysis*

Statistical analysis was performed using Graphpad Prism software. Two-tailed Student's *t* tests or one-way ordinary ANOVAs were used as indicated to calculate statistical significance of the difference in sample means. *P* values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical tests used are specified in the figure legends. In all figures, data represent the mean  $\pm$  the standard error of the mean (SEM). *P* values correlate with symbols as follows: ns = not significant, \*  $P \leq 0.05$ , \*\*  $P \leq 0.01$ , \*\*\*  $P \leq 0.001$ , \*\*\*\*  $P \leq 0.0001$ .

## Supplementary Materials

**Figure S1.** A majority of transcripts highly expressed within intratumoral T<sub>conv</sub> cells compared with T<sub>reg</sub> cells are downregulated within T<sub>conv</sub> cells upon T<sub>reg</sub> cell ablation.

**Figure S2.** Isolation of CD4<sup>+</sup> FOXP3<sup>-</sup> T cells from tumors of NSCLC patients.

**Figure S3.** IL-10 blockade synergized with early T<sub>reg</sub> cell ablation to induce complete responses in a proportion of animals receiving combined therapy.

**Data file S1.** Expression of T<sub>reg</sub>-associated transcripts ( $q < 0.05$ ;  $|FC| > 3$ ) in indicated cell types isolated from B16 tumors 18 days following tumor implantation in *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> animals administered with either PBS or DTx.

**Data file S2.** Expression of transcripts upregulated in tumor T<sub>conv</sub> cells versus T<sub>reg</sub> cells under steady-state conditions ( $q < 0.05$ ;  $|FC| > 3$ ) within indicated cell types isolated from B16 tumors of *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> animals administered with either PBS or DTx.

**Data file S3.** Differentially expressed genes significantly up-/down-regulated within clusters identified using scRNA-Seq of T cells isolated from B16 tumors 18 days following tumor implantation in *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> animals administered either PBS or DTx.

**Data file S4.** Differentially expressed genes significantly up-/down-regulated within subclusters in cluster 0 identified using scRNA-Seq of T cells isolated from B16 tumors 18 days following tumor implantation in *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> animals administered either PBS or DTx.

**Data file S5.** Differentially expressed genes between CCR8<sup>-</sup> and CCR8<sup>+</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells isolated from B16 tumors 18 days following tumor implantation in *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> animals.

**Data file S6.** Raw data file.

## Reproducibility checklist

## References and Notes

1. F. S. Hodi *et al.*, Improved survival with ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. *N Engl J Med* **363**, 711-723 (2010).
2. C. Robert *et al.*, Ipilimumab plus dacarbazine for previously untreated metastatic melanoma. *N Engl J Med* **364**, 2517-2526 (2011).
3. M. M. Gubin *et al.*, Checkpoint blockade cancer immunotherapy targets tumour-specific mutant antigens. *Nature* **515**, 577-581 (2014).
4. J. Larkin *et al.*, Efficacy and Safety of Nivolumab in Patients With BRAF V600 Mutant and BRAF Wild-Type Advanced Melanoma: A Pooled Analysis of 4 Clinical Trials. *JAMA Oncol* **1**, 433-440 (2015).
5. J. Larkin *et al.*, Combined Nivolumab and Ipilimumab or Monotherapy in Untreated Melanoma. *N Engl J Med* **373**, 23-34 (2015).
6. P. Sharma, S. Hu-Lieskovan, J. A. Wargo, A. Ribas, Primary, Adaptive, and Acquired Resistance to Cancer Immunotherapy. *Cell* **168**, 707-723 (2017).
7. M. Reck *et al.*, Pembrolizumab versus Chemotherapy for PD-L1-Positive Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *N Engl J Med* **375**, 1823-1833 (2016).
8. O. Hamid *et al.*, Safety, Clinical Activity, and Biological Correlates of Response in Patients with Metastatic Melanoma: Results from a Phase I Trial of Atezolizumab. *Clin Cancer Res* **25**, 6061-6072 (2019).
9. G. Plitas, A. Y. Rudensky, Regulatory T Cells in Cancer. *Annual Review of Cancer Biology* **4**, 459-477 (2020).
10. K. DePeaux, G. M. Delgoffe, Metabolic barriers to cancer immunotherapy. *Nature reviews. Immunology*, (2021).

11. S. Sakaguchi *et al.*, Regulatory T Cells and Human Disease. *Annu Rev Immunol* **38**, 541-566 (2020).
12. E. Sato *et al.*, Intraepithelial CD8+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and a high CD8+/regulatory T cell ratio are associated with favorable prognosis in ovarian cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 18538-18543 (2005).
13. T. J. Curiel *et al.*, Specific recruitment of regulatory T cells in ovarian carcinoma fosters immune privilege and predicts reduced survival. *Nat Med* **10**, 942-949 (2004).
14. G. J. Bates *et al.*, Quantification of regulatory T cells enables the identification of high-risk breast cancer patients and those at risk of late relapse. *J Clin Oncol* **24**, 5373-5380 (2006).
15. R. P. Petersen *et al.*, Tumor infiltrating Foxp3+ regulatory T-cells are associated with recurrence in pathologic stage I NSCLC patients. *Cancer* **107**, 2866-2872 (2006).
16. Q. Gao *et al.*, Intratumoral balance of regulatory and cytotoxic T cells is associated with prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma after resection. *J Clin Oncol* **25**, 2586-2593 (2007).
17. R. W. Griffiths *et al.*, Frequency of regulatory T cells in renal cell carcinoma patients and investigation of correlation with survival. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* **56**, 1743-1753 (2007).
18. N. Hiraoka, K. Onozato, T. Kosuge, S. Hirohashi, Prevalence of FOXP3+ regulatory T cells increases during the progression of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma and its premalignant lesions. *Clin Cancer Res* **12**, 5423-5434 (2006).
19. G. Perrone *et al.*, Intratumoural FOXP3-positive regulatory T cells are associated with adverse prognosis in radically resected gastric cancer. *Eur J Cancer* **44**, 1875-1882 (2008).

20. E. S. Jordanova *et al.*, Human leukocyte antigen class I, MHC class I chain-related molecule A, and CD8+/regulatory T-cell ratio: which variable determines survival of cervical cancer patients? *Clin Cancer Res* **14**, 2028-2035 (2008).
21. G. Alvisi *et al.*, Multimodal single-cell profiling of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma defines hyperactivated Tregs as a potential therapeutic target. *J Hepatol* **77**, 1359-1372 (2022).
22. F. A. Sinicrope *et al.*, Intraepithelial effector (CD3+)/regulatory (FoxP3+) T-cell ratio predicts a clinical outcome of human colon carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* **137**, 1270-1279 (2009).
23. T. Maj *et al.*, Oxidative stress controls regulatory T cell apoptosis and suppressor activity and PD-L1-blockade resistance in tumor. *Nat Immunol* **18**, 1332-1341 (2017).
24. T. R. Simpson *et al.*, Fc-dependent depletion of tumor-infiltrating regulatory T cells co-defines the efficacy of anti-CTLA-4 therapy against melanoma. *J Exp Med* **210**, 1695-1710 (2013).
25. P. Yu *et al.*, Intratumor depletion of CD4+ cells unmasks tumor immunogenicity leading to the rejection of late-stage tumors. *J Exp Med* **201**, 779-791 (2005).
26. C. Liu, C. J. Workman, D. A. Vignali, Targeting regulatory T cells in tumors. *FEBS J* **283**, 2731-2748 (2016).
27. J. F. Jacobs *et al.*, Dendritic cell vaccination in combination with anti-CD25 monoclonal antibody treatment: a phase I/II study in metastatic melanoma patients. *Clin Cancer Res* **16**, 5067-5078 (2010).
28. J. H. Sampson *et al.*, A pilot study of IL-2/Ralpha blockade during lymphopenia depletes regulatory T-cells and correlates with enhanced immunity in patients with glioblastoma. *PLoS One* **7**, e31046 (2012).

29. A. J. Rech *et al.*, CD25 blockade depletes and selectively reprograms regulatory T cells in concert with immunotherapy in cancer patients. *Sci Transl Med* **4**, 134ra162 (2012).
30. P. Attia, A. V. Maker, L. R. Haworth, L. Rogers-Freezer, S. A. Rosenberg, Inability of a fusion protein of IL-2 and diphtheria toxin (Denileukin Diftitox, DAB389IL-2, ONTAK) to eliminate regulatory T lymphocytes in patients with melanoma. *J Immunother* **28**, 582-592 (2005).
31. D. Zamarin *et al.*, Mogamulizumab in Combination with Durvalumab or Tremelimumab in Patients with Advanced Solid Tumors: A Phase I Study. *Clin Cancer Res* **26**, 4531-4541 (2020).
32. K. Kurose *et al.*, Phase Ia Study of FoxP3+ CD4 Treg Depletion by Infusion of a Humanized Anti-CCR4 Antibody, KW-0761, in Cancer Patients. *Clin Cancer Res* **21**, 4327-4336 (2015).
33. J. M. Kim, J. P. Rasmussen, A. Y. Rudensky, Regulatory T cells prevent catastrophic autoimmunity throughout the lifespan of mice. *Nat Immunol* **8**, 191-197 (2007).
34. T. Takahashi *et al.*, Immunologic self-tolerance maintained by CD25+CD4+ naturally anergic and suppressive T cells: induction of autoimmune disease by breaking their anergic/suppressive state. *Int Immunol* **10**, 1969-1980 (1998).
35. L. W. Collison, M. R. Pillai, V. Chaturvedi, D. A. Vignali, Regulatory T cell suppression is potentiated by target T cells in a cell contact, IL-35- and IL-10-dependent manner. *J Immunol* **182**, 6121-6128 (2009).
36. A. M. Thornton, E. M. Shevach, CD4+CD25+ immunoregulatory T cells suppress polyclonal T cell activation in vitro by inhibiting interleukin 2 production. *J Exp Med* **188**, 287-296 (1998).

37. D. O. Villarreal *et al.*, Targeting CCR8 Induces Protective Antitumor Immunity and Enhances Vaccine-Induced Responses in Colon Cancer. *Cancer Res* **78**, 5340-5348 (2018).
38. S. K. Whiteside *et al.*, CCR8 marks highly suppressive Treg cells within tumours but is dispensable for their accumulation and suppressive function. *Immunology* **163**, 512-520 (2021).
39. H. Van Damme *et al.*, Therapeutic depletion of CCR8(+) tumor-infiltrating regulatory T cells elicits antitumor immunity and synergizes with anti-PD-1 therapy. *J Immunother Cancer* **9**, (2021).
40. J. R. Campbell *et al.*, Fc-Optimized Anti-CCR8 Antibody Depletes Regulatory T Cells in Human Tumor Models. *Cancer Res* **81**, 2983-2994 (2021).
41. G. Alvisi *et al.*, IRF4 instructs effector Treg differentiation and immune suppression in human cancer. *J Clin Invest* **130**, 3137-3150 (2020).
42. B. Mahata *et al.*, Tumors induce de novo steroid biosynthesis in T cells to evade immunity. *Nat Commun* **11**, 3588 (2020).
43. H. Groux *et al.*, A CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell subset inhibits antigen-specific T-cell responses and prevents colitis. *Nature* **389**, 737-742 (1997).
44. M. G. Roncarolo *et al.*, Autoreactive T cell clones specific for class I and class II HLA antigens isolated from a human chimera. *J Exp Med* **167**, 1523-1534 (1988).
45. N. Gagliani *et al.*, Coexpression of CD49b and LAG-3 identifies human and mouse T regulatory type 1 cells. *Nat Med* **19**, 739-746 (2013).
46. A. Pedroza-Gonzalez *et al.*, Tumor-infiltrating plasmacytoid dendritic cells promote immunosuppression by Tr1 cells in human liver tumors. *Oncoimmunology* **4**, e1008355 (2015).



47. P. Zhang *et al.*, Eomesodermin promotes the development of type 1 regulatory T (T(R)1) cells. *Sci Immunol* **2**, (2017).
48. P. Gruarin *et al.*, Eomesodermin controls a unique differentiation program in human IL-10 and IFN-gamma coproducing regulatory T cells. *Eur J Immunol* **49**, 96-111 (2019).
49. R. J. P. Bonnal *et al.*, Clonally expanded EOMES(+) Tr1-like cells in primary and metastatic tumors are associated with disease progression. *Nat Immunol* **22**, 735-745 (2021).
50. H. T. Jin *et al.*, Cooperation of Tim-3 and PD-1 in CD8 T-cell exhaustion during chronic viral infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 14733-14738 (2010).
51. D. F. Fiorentino, M. W. Bond, T. R. Mosmann, Two types of mouse T helper cell. IV. Th2 clones secrete a factor that inhibits cytokine production by Th1 clones. *J Exp Med* **170**, 2081-2095 (1989).
52. K. W. Moore, R. de Waal Malefyt, R. L. Coffman, A. O'Garra, Interleukin-10 and the interleukin-10 receptor. *Annu Rev Immunol* **19**, 683-765 (2001).
53. F. M. Grant *et al.*, BACH2 drives quiescence and maintenance of resting Treg cells to promote homeostasis and cancer immunosuppression. *J Exp Med* **217**, (2020).
54. R. Roychoudhuri *et al.*, BACH2 regulates CD8(+) T cell differentiation by controlling access of AP-1 factors to enhancers. *Nat Immunol* **17**, 851-860 (2016).
55. K. Igarashi, T. Kurosaki, R. Roychoudhuri, BACH transcription factors in innate and adaptive immunity. *Nat Rev Immunol* **17**, 437-450 (2017).
56. M. De Simone *et al.*, Transcriptional Landscape of Human Tissue Lymphocytes Unveils Uniqueness of Tumor-Infiltrating T Regulatory Cells. *Immunity* **45**, 1135-1147 (2016).
57. G. Plitas *et al.*, Regulatory T Cells Exhibit Distinct Features in Human Breast Cancer. *Immunity* **45**, 1122-1134 (2016).

58. Y. Kidani *et al.*, CCR8-targeted specific depletion of clonally expanded Treg cells in tumor tissues evokes potent tumor immunity with long-lasting memory. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **119**, (2022).
59. C. Bergmann *et al.*, T regulatory type 1 cells in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: mechanisms of suppression and expansion in advanced disease. *Clin Cancer Res* **14**, 3706-3715 (2008).
60. M. Scurr *et al.*, Highly prevalent colorectal cancer-infiltrating LAP(+) Foxp3(-) T cells exhibit more potent immunosuppressive activity than Foxp3(+) regulatory T cells. *Mucosal Immunol* **7**, 428-439 (2014).
61. N. A. Marshall *et al.*, Immunosuppressive regulatory T cells are abundant in the reactive lymphocytes of Hodgkin lymphoma. *Blood* **103**, 1755-1762 (2004).
62. H. Yan *et al.*, Primary Tr1 cells from metastatic melanoma eliminate tumor-promoting macrophages through granzyme B- and perforin-dependent mechanisms. *Tumour Biol* **39**, 1010428317697554 (2017).
63. R. Zappasodi *et al.*, Non-conventional Inhibitory CD4(+)Foxp3(-)PD-1(hi) T Cells as a Biomarker of Immune Checkpoint Blockade Activity. *Cancer Cell* **33**, 1017-1032 e1017 (2018).
64. S. Eschweiler *et al.*, Intratumoral follicular regulatory T cells curtail anti-PD-1 treatment efficacy. *Nat Immunol* **22**, 1052-1063 (2021).
65. K. Lahl *et al.*, Nonfunctional regulatory T cells and defective control of Th2 cytokine production in natural scurfy mutant mice. *J Immunol* **183**, 5662-5672 (2009).
66. L. Tian *et al.*, Foxp3(+) regulatory T cells exert asymmetric control over murine helper responses by inducing Th2 cell apoptosis. *Blood* **118**, 1845-1853 (2011).

67. C. M. Freeman *et al.*, CCR8 is expressed by antigen-elicited, IL-10-producing CD4+CD25+ T cells, which regulate Th2-mediated granuloma formation in mice. *J Immunol* **174**, 1962-1970 (2005).
68. Z. Mikhak *et al.*, Contribution of CCR4 and CCR8 to antigen-specific T(H)2 cell trafficking in allergic pulmonary inflammation. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* **123**, 67-73 e63 (2009).
69. M. L. McCully *et al.*, CCR8 Expression Defines Tissue-Resident Memory T Cells in Human Skin. *J Immunol* **200**, 1639-1650 (2018).
70. Y. Guo *et al.*, Metabolic reprogramming of terminally exhausted CD8(+) T cells by IL-10 enhances anti-tumor immunity. *Nat Immunol* **22**, 746-756 (2021).
71. A. Naing *et al.*, PEGylated IL-10 (Pegilodecakin) Induces Systemic Immune Activation, CD8(+) T Cell Invigoration and Polyclonal T Cell Expansion in Cancer Patients. *Cancer Cell* **34**, 775-791 e773 (2018).
72. N. M. Tannir *et al.*, Pegilodecakin as monotherapy or in combination with anti-PD-1 or tyrosine kinase inhibitor in heavily pretreated patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma: Final results of cohorts A, G, H and I of IVY Phase I study. *Int J Cancer* **149**, 403-408 (2021).
73. B. S. Glisson *et al.*, Safety and Clinical Activity of MEDI0562, a Humanized OX40 Agonist Monoclonal Antibody, in Adult Patients with Advanced Solid Tumors. *Clin Cancer Res* **26**, 5358-5367 (2020).
74. E. Romano *et al.*, Ipilimumab-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity of regulatory T cells ex vivo by nonclassical monocytes in melanoma patients. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**, 6140-6145 (2015).

75. F. Arce Vargas *et al.*, Fc Effector Function Contributes to the Activity of Human Anti-CTLA-4 Antibodies. *Cancer Cell* **33**, 649-663 e644 (2018).
76. J. Brummelman *et al.*, High-dimensional single cell analysis identifies stem-like cytotoxic CD8(+) T cells infiltrating human tumors. *J Exp Med* **215**, 2520-2535 (2018).
77. J. Brummelman *et al.*, Development, application and computational analysis of high-dimensional fluorescent antibody panels for single-cell flow cytometry. *Nat Protoc* **14**, 1946-1969 (2019).
78. L. W. Collison, D. A. Vignali, In vitro Treg suppression assays. *Methods Mol Biol* **707**, 21-37 (2011).
79. M. I. Love, W. Huber, S. Anders, Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550 (2014).

## **Acknowledgements**

We thank members of the University of Cambridge UBS Gurdon Facility and Babraham Institute Biological Services Facility for technical support with animal experiments. The authors gratefully acknowledge the flow cytometry facility from the School of the Biological Sciences for their support and assistance in this work, and Dr. Simone Puccio (Humanitas) for help with computational analyses, and the members of the Department of Pathology flow cytometry and high-throughput sequencing facilities. We thank staff at the CRUK Cambridge Institute sequencing facility for their assistance with single-cell RNA-Seq. We thank members of the Roychoudhuri laboratory for sharing of reagents, protocols, ideas and discussion. We apologize to those authors whose work we were unable to reference due to space restrictions.

## **Funding**

The research was supported by the UK Medical Research Council (MRC; grant MR/S024468/1), the Wellcome Trust / Royal Society (grant 105663/Z/14/Z), Cancer Research UK (grant C52623/A22597), the Italian Ministry of Health (Grant Giovani Ricercatori GR-2018-12367258 to E.L.). E.L. is a CRI Llyod J. Old STAR (CRI award 3914).

## **Author contributions**

Conceptualization: SKW, RR

Methodology: SKW, OB, JY, IRH, JL, RR

Investigation: SKW, FMG, GA, JC, LT, CJI, BZ, ACE, AC, AW, SNL, MC, MA, JD, EV

Visualization: SKW, RR

Funding acquisition: SKW, EL, KO, RR

Project administration: SKW, RR

Supervision: SKW, OB, AL, EL RR

Writing – original draft: SKW

Writing – review & editing: SKW, RR, CJI, EL

## **Competing interests**

R.R. holds or has held paid consultancies with Lyell Immunopharma, Achilles Therapeutics and Enhanc3D Genomics; and is a principal investigator of research projects funded by FStar Therapeutics and AstraZeneca on unrelated topics that do not constitute competing interests. E.L. served as a consultant for BD Biosciences on a topic unrelated to this work. All other authors declare no competing interests.

## **Data and materials availability**

All bulk RNA-seq and scRNA-seq data will be made publicly available under NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) accession number GSE236825. All other data needed to support the conclusions of the paper are present in the paper or the Supplementary Materials.

## Figure Legends

### Figure 1. T<sub>reg</sub> ablation results in acquisition of T<sub>reg</sub> cell transcriptional features by T<sub>conv</sub> cells.

(A) Tumor growth of B16-F10 tumors subcutaneously implanted into *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> mice. Gray shading indicates time period over which PBS or DTx was administered (day 7-13 post-implantation, early disease; day 10-16 post-implantation, established). Dashed lines indicate individual mice. Solid line indicates average tumor area over time. Data representative of 3 individually repeated experiments,  $n > 8$   $^{**}P < 0.01$ ; ordinary one-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparisons.. (B) Representative frequency of *Foxp3*<sup>+</sup> T<sub>reg</sub> cells among total CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells within spleens (top) or tumors (bottom) of *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> mice with established tumors administered PBS or DTx. (C) Heatmap showing the relative expression of transcripts upregulated in intratumoral T<sub>reg</sub> cells compared with CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells ( $q < 0.05$ ; FC > 3) in the indicated T cell subsets isolated at day 16 after implantation of B16-F10 tumors in *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> animals administered PBS or DTx. Colors indicate expression normalized to row maxima.  $x$ -axis hierarchical clustering of intratumoral T<sub>reg</sub>-expressed transcripts identifies 5 clusters of genes with distinct expression patterns. Gray bars to right of heatmap indicate expression greater than a third of the expression of given transcripts in intratumoral T<sub>reg</sub> cells. (D) Average expression of genes within the 5 clusters identified in each T cell subset. (E) Heatmap showing pairwise Pearson distances between the global gene expression profiles of the indicated T cell subsets from B16-F10 tumor-bearing *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> animals administered PBS or DTx. (F) Scatterplot comparing the global differences in gene expression between intratumoral T<sub>reg</sub> cells and T<sub>conv</sub> cells with transcriptional differences between CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells isolated from DTx versus PBS-treated animals. A highly significant correlation is observed indicating transcriptional convergence of intratumoral T<sub>reg</sub> cells with T<sub>conv</sub>

cells in absence of  $T_{reg}$  cells. Data from 2-4 biological replicates isolated on independent days (C-F).

**Figure 2.  $T_{reg}$  ablation promotes induction of  $T_{conv}$  cell suppression.** (A) Experimental schema. B16-F10 cells were subcutaneously implanted into  $Foxp3^{EGFP-DTR}$   $CD45.2^+$  mice and administered PBS or DTx on day 7, 9, 11, and 13. Cells were harvested from tumors of PBS- and DTx-treated animals at day 16 post-implantation. (B and C)  $CD45.2^+$   $TCR\beta^+$   $CD4^+$   $GFP^-$   $T_{conv}$  and  $TCR\beta^+$   $CD4^+$   $GFP^+$   $T_{reg}$  suppressor cells were sorted by FACS from tumors and co-cultured with  $CD45.1^+$  CTV-labeled naïve  $CD4^+$   $T_{conv}$  cell responders at a ratio of 1:4, with  $2.5 \times 10^4$  suppressor  $CD4^+$   $T_{reg}$  or  $T_{conv}$  cells co-cultured with  $1 \times 10^5$   $T_{resp}$  cells in the presence of  $5.0 \times 10^4$  antigen-presenting cells (APC).  $TCR\beta^+$   $CD4^+$   $GFP^+$   $T_{reg}$  suppressor cells were sorted by FACS from mice treated with PBS only and used as controls. Representative histograms and replicate measurements of dividing  $CD45.1^+$  responder cells incubated with intratumoral  $T_{reg}$  or  $T_{conv}$  cells. Naïve splenic  $T_{conv}$  cells without intratumor  $T_{reg}$  or  $T_{conv}$  cells were used as a control. Data are representative of >4 independently repeated experiments,  $n > 5$  per group. \* $P < 0.05$  \*\*\*\*,  $P < 0.0001$ ; ns, not significant; ordinary one-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparisons. Bars and error show mean and s.e.m. (D and E) Representative histograms and replicate measurements of  $CD44^{hi}$   $CD45.1^+$  responder cells incubated with indicated suppressor cell populations. Naïve  $T_{conv}$  cells without tumor  $T_{conv}$  cells were used as control. (F) Representative flow cytometry and (G) replicate measurements of the expression of the indicated proteins from intratumoral  $T_{reg}$  cells and  $CD4^+$   $T_{conv}$  cells isolated at day 16 after implantation of B16-F10 tumors in  $Foxp3^{EGFP-DTR}$  mice treated with PBS or DTx. Data are representative of > 3 independently repeated experiments,  $n > 7$  per



group. \*\*\*\* $P < 0.0001$ ; ns, not significant; ordinary one-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparisons. Bars and error show mean and s.e.m.

**Figure 3. T<sub>reg</sub> ablation promotes the expansion of tumor-infiltrating CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells. (A)**

Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) of scRNA-seq of TCR $\beta$ <sup>+</sup> cells isolated at day 16 after implantation of B16-F10 tumors in *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> mice treated with PBS or DTx on days 7, 9, 11 and 13. (B) Density plots showing change in distribution of cells in PBS- and DTx-treated animals. (C) Relative frequency of cells within each cluster normalized to their average ratio among PBS replicates. (D) Average enrichment of expression of the genes in Cluster D from Fig. 1C across scRNA-Seq clusters, (n=3, unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test, \* $P < 0.05$ , \*\* $P < 0.01$ ). (E) Heatmap showing the expression of differentially upregulated genes in each cluster identified. (F) UMAP plots showing expression of indicated genes within T cells of tumors from tumor-bearing PBS- or DTx-treated *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> animals. (G) Representative flow cytometry and (H) replicate measurements of the frequency and total counts of CCR8<sup>+</sup> of CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells from spleens, draining lymph nodes (dLN) and tumors of B16-F10 tumor-bearing *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> mice treated with PBS or DTx. Data are representative of 3 independently repeated experiments (G and H). Numbers in gates show percentages. n > 11. ordinary one-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparisons. \*\* $P < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $P < 0.001$ , \*\*\*\* $P < 0.0001$ ; . Bars and error show mean and s.e.m.

**Figure 4. CCR8 marks highly suppressive T<sub>conv</sub> cells. (A) Heatmap showing the relative**

expression of differentially expressed genes between intratumoral CCR8<sup>+</sup> and CCR8<sup>-</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub>

cells ( $q < 0.05$ ;  $|FC| > 3$ ) isolated at day 16 after subcutaneous implantation of B16-F10 tumors in *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> animals treated with DTx at days 7, 9, 11 and 13. Data from 4 biological replicates isolated on independent days. (B) Gene-set enrichment analysis (GSEA) demonstrating a negative enrichment of genes upregulated in *Foxp3*<sup>-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells vs *Foxp3*<sup>+</sup> T<sub>reg</sub> cells among CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells compared with CCR8<sup>-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells isolated from tumors of DTx-treated *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> mice. (C) Scatterplot comparing global changes in gene expression between intratumoral T<sub>reg</sub> and T<sub>conv</sub> cells with transcriptional differences between CCR8<sup>+</sup> and CCR8<sup>-</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells. (D) Representative flow cytometry and (E) replicate measurements of the expression of the indicated proteins from intratumoral T<sub>reg</sub> cells and CCR8<sup>+</sup> and CCR8<sup>-</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells from tumors of B16-F10 tumor-bearing *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> mice treated with PBS or DTx. Data are representative of 3 independently repeated experiments  $n > 4$ . ordinary one-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparisons.  $**P < 0.01$ ,  $***P < 0.001$ ,  $****P < 0.0001$ , ns, not significant. (F) Representative histograms and (G) replicate measurements of CTV dilution within gated CD45.1<sup>+</sup> responder cells incubated with intratumoral CD45.2<sup>+</sup> TCR $\beta$ <sup>+</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> GFP<sup>-</sup> CCR8<sup>-</sup> or CD45.2<sup>+</sup> TCR $\beta$ <sup>+</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> GFP<sup>-</sup> CCR8<sup>+</sup> suppressor T<sub>conv</sub> cells isolated at day 16 after implantation of B16-F10 tumors in *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> mice. Suppressor cells were incubated with responders at a ratio of 1:8, with  $1.25 \times 10^4$  suppressor CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells co-cultured with  $1 \times 10^5$  T<sub>resp</sub> cells in the presence of  $5 \times 10^4$  APC. Data are representative of 2 independently repeated experiments  $n > 3$ . ordinary one-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparisons.  $*P < 0.05$ ;  $***P < 0.001$ ,  $****P < 0.0001$ ; Bars and error show mean and s.e.m.

**Figure 5. CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells expressing high levels of CD25 are found within the tumors of NSCLC patients.** (A) CCR8 and CD25 expression among FOXP3<sup>-</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells from

representative samples from NSCLC patients (n=48). **(B)** Frequency of FOXP3<sup>-</sup> CCR8<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>bright</sup> cells among CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells from the indicated patients' samples. Lines indicate paired samples. **(C)** Correlation of the frequency of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (out of CD3<sup>+</sup> T cells) with FOXP3<sup>-</sup> CCR8<sup>+</sup> CD25<sup>bright</sup> cells (out of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells) in tumors from patient samples. **(D)** UMAP analysis of concatenated CD4<sup>+</sup> FOXP3<sup>-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells. Colors depict cell clusters identified by Phenograph (k=500). Separate UMAP plots of relative marker expression by concatenated CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells from tumors. **(E)** Representative frequency and **(F)** replicate measurements of indicated markers from patient samples. \*\* $P < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $P < 0.001$ , \*\*\*\* $P < 0.0001$ ; two-tailed Mann–Whitney  $U$ -test.

**Figure 6. IL-10 production by CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells limits anti-tumor efficacy of T<sub>reg</sub> depletion.**

**(A)** CD4<sup>+</sup> *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells (suppressors) isolated at day 16 from B16-F10 tumors of DTx-treated *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> animals. DTx was administered to mice on days 7, 9, 11, and 13. Cells were cultured alone (gray) or with suppressors (red) and with indicated reagents. Cell proliferation of responder cells was analyzed after 4 days. CD45.2<sup>+</sup> TCRβ<sup>+</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> GFP<sup>-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> suppressor cells were sorted by FACS from tumors and co-cultured with CD45.1<sup>+</sup> CTV-labeled naïve CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cell responders at a ratio of 1:8, with 1.25x10<sup>4</sup> suppressor CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells co-cultured with 1x10<sup>5</sup> T<sub>resp</sub> cells in the presence of 5.0x10<sup>4</sup> antigen-presenting cells (APC). Data are representative of 2 independently repeated experiments n > 4.  $P$  values show significance of difference between no suppressor and suppressor (Student  $t$  test) and are Benjamini-Hochberg corrected. \* $P < 0.05$ ; \*\*\*\* $P < 0.0001$ , ns, not significant. **(B)** Representative frequency of dividing CD45.1<sup>+</sup> responder cells incubated with tumor CD4<sup>+</sup> GFP<sup>-</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells in the presence of anti-IL-10R antibodies or vehicle control. Naïve CD4<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells without tumor T<sub>conv</sub> cells were used as a control. **(C)** Co-correlation between the expression of indicated genes within single cell gene expression profiles

of T cells from tumors of PBS- or DTx-treated B16 tumor-bearing *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> animals. Pearson correlation co-efficient values indicated by color scale and genes are hierarchically clustered to identify clusters of co-expressed transcripts within T cell populations. scRNA-Seq data are representative of 3 biological replicates per group. **(D)** Measurement of *Il10* mRNA expression within CCR8<sup>-</sup> and CCR8<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells from PBS- and DTx-treated animals. Data representative of 4 biological replicates per group. . ordinary one-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparisons. \**P* < 0.05; \*\*\**P* < 0.001, \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001; ns, not significant. **(E)** Tumor area of heterotopic B16-F10 melanoma tumors at indicated time-points following implantation into *Il10*<sup>flox/flox</sup> *Cd4*<sup>Cre</sup> *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> or *Il10*<sup>+/+</sup> *Cd4*<sup>Cre</sup> *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> control mice administered DTx or PBS from day 10-16 post-implantation. Data are representative of 2 independently repeated experiments n > 14. ordinary one-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparisons. \*\**P* < 0.01; \*\*\**P* < 0.001, \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001 **(F)** Representative histograms (top) and replicate measurements (bottom) of the frequency of CD8<sup>+</sup> CD44<sup>+</sup> T cells and Foxp3<sup>-</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> CD44<sup>+</sup> T<sub>conv</sub> cells from tumors of animals within indicated treatment groups. **(G-H)** Representative frequency **(G)** and replicate measurements **(H)** of the frequency and total counts of CD8<sup>+</sup> IFN-γ<sup>+</sup> TNF<sup>+</sup> T cells within tumors. Data are representative of 2 independently repeated experiments, . n >5, ordinary one-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparisons. \*\**P* < 0.01; \*\*\**P* < 0.001, \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001 **(E-H)** \**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01; \*\*\**p* < 0.001, \*\*\*\**p* < 0.0001; Ordinary one-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparisons. Bars and error show mean and s.e.m.

**Figure 7. Blockade of IL-10 signaling synergizes with T<sub>reg</sub>-depletion to drive potent anti-tumor immune responses.** **(A)** Tumor area of B16-F10 melanoma tumors at indicated time-points following implantation into *Foxp3*<sup>EGFP-DTR</sup> animals administered indicated combinations of DTx and anti-IL-10R or control reagents from days 10-16 after tumor implantation. Data are

representative of 2 independently repeated experiments.  $n > 6$ , ordinary one-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparisons.  $**P < 0.01$ ;  $***P < 0.001$ ,  $***P < 0.0001$  (B) Representative frequency and (C) replicate measurements of the frequency and total counts of CD8<sup>+</sup> IFN- $\gamma$ <sup>+</sup> TNF<sup>+</sup> T cells from tumors.  $n > 4$ , ordinary one-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparisons,  $*P < 0.05$ ;  $**P < 0.01$ . (D) Representative frequency and (E) replicate measurements of the frequency and total counts of Foxp3<sup>-</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> IFN- $\gamma$ <sup>+</sup> TNF<sup>+</sup> T cells from tumors. Data from  $>2$  independent biological replicates.  $n > 4$ , ordinary one-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparisons,  $*P < 0.05$ ;  $**P < 0.01$ ,  $***P < 0.001$ .; ns, not significant. Bars and error show mean and s.e.m.