The use of extra-oral bitewing radiographs for treatment planning in paediatric patients
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**Abstract:** The extra-oral bitewing is becoming increasingly popular amongst clinicians to help overcome some of the challenges posed when taking intra-oral radiographs for paediatric patients. When combined with clinical examination, this radiographic view provides important diagnostic information in the management of caries in the posterior dentition. In this paper, the use of extra-oral bitewings will be explored. The introduction of a decision tree provides the dental practitioner working in either a primary or secondary care setting, a pathway to assist with deciding the optimal radiographic prescription.

**CPD/ Clinical Relevance:** This paper introduces extra-oral bitewings as a useful radiographic view for dental caries diagnosis in the mixed dentition where intra-oral bitewings are not indicated due to patient specific factors or orthodontic considerations.

**Introduction**

Modern panoramic units offer a number of different programmes including extra-oral bitewings (EBWs) which potentially would be very useful in children. However, there is limited guidance on when EBWs should be requested. The Paediatric Dental Department at the University Dental Hospital, Cardiff carried out a literature review to develop guidance, to aid colleagues in both primary and secondary care who have panoramic units with an EBW programme.

**Background**

Though an inherent contradiction calling an extra-oral approach a ‘bitewing’, this term best describes the panoramic alternative. The terms ProxiPan and Proxi-optimised radiograph have been suggested, however the term extra-oral bitewing is more widely used and is the term used in this article. The European Academy of Paediatric Dentistry (EAPD) advise that any radiographs should be optimised in terms of dose, following the ‘As Low as Diagnostically Achievable being indication-oriented and patient-specific’ principle. Indications for radiographs in children include suspected and active caries, dental and facial trauma, pathology of teeth and bone - including infections and abscesses, suspected loss of vitality and developmental abnormalities. EAPD guidance recommend an EBW may be considered when a child is unable to tolerate a conventional intra-oral bitewing, whether through disability or sensory discomfort.

Intra-oral bitewings are recommended for assessing caries (proximal and occlusal), existing restorations and bone levels; and give the lowest radiation dosage when compared to extra-oral views. They are considered the standard of care in assessing caries with the greatest accuracy and so should be utilised as such. However, they can increase gag reflex, presenting further challenges in uncooperative paediatric patients, leading to improper positioning or re-takes causing re-exposure. EBWs and cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) have been found to be comparable to intra-oral bitewings for assessing proximal caries and reduce risks in terms of gaging and also aerosol production during COVID-19. However CBCT is not indicated for routine caries assessment due to the higher radiation dose and the issue of artefacts arising from metallic restorations.

Oblique lateral radiographs may be used for caries assessment in patients who are unable to accept intra-oral bitewings and are unable to keep still long enough for panoramic or EBW radiographs. However, it is technique sensitive and requires the use of cassettes. Cassettes are only available for film-based systems and phosphor plate digital systems. Most new panoramic systems in general practice are solid state detector systems for which there is no cassette available.
When performing a conventional intra-oral bitewing, the image receptor is placed in the lingual sulcus parallel to the dental arch and the X-ray beam is aimed perpendicular to the image receptor and the posterior teeth with a slight downward angulation on the beam. The use of an image receptor holder and beam aiming device aids positioning and reduces image faults and retakes. On the other hand, traditional panoramic radiography produces a tomographic image. Modern panoramic units produce the tomographic layer by using complex synchronised rotations of the X-ray source and image receptor, producing a curved horseshoe shaped tomographic layer that matches the dental arch. Positioning of the jaws within the focal trough is of paramount importance to produce an accurate undistorted image. Even so, overlap of the premolar contact points is common. EBWs are also produced by using tomographic techniques with additional beam collimation. The tomographic movements have been described as using ‘improved orthogonality’. This change in image geometry allows separation of the contact points between the posterior teeth, optimising caries detection, making them comparable to intraoral imaging.8,12

The literature review findings were discussed at a multidisciplinary team meeting involving paediatric and orthodontic colleagues which contributed to the development of an EBW decision tree (Figure 1) to aid appropriate prescription in all care settings.

Factors to take into account when considering EBWs

Caries diagnosis
It is important to note that despite bitewing radiographs being described as the gold standard for detection of proximal caries lesions,13 the requesting of radiographs is patient specific and is also not a replacement for dental examination by a clinician.2 In paediatric patients, bitewing radiographs are beneficial to detect proximal caries in enamel and dentine, occlusal dentine caries, secondary caries, and assess the quality of a dental restoration.2 The use of EBWs, combined with clinical examination provides practitioners with the information to identify approximal surface caries in primary and mixed dentitions.14 EBW views should be considered for caries diagnosis in cases when children are unable to tolerate the intra-oral alternative. Disadvantages in using panoramic views for caries detection include the distortion from movement/ incorrect positioning and presence of ghost shadows.2

Effective dose
Dose considerations are important because children are more susceptible to the stochastic risks of radiation. The effective dose of a panoramic radiograph is 2.7-38μSv and for an intra-oral bitewing between 0.3-21.6μSv.6 The radiation dose from a panoramic radiograph can be reduced by appropriate collimation of the beam.15 Interestingly however, a recent study showed that the effective dose from EBWs is comparable to that of a panoramic radiograph. The same study showed the dose from EBWs was 3-11 times higher than that of intra-oral bitewing examination.16

Patient specific factors
- The age of the patient must be taken into account when considering this investigation as good cooperation is required for the longer exposure cycle required for EBWs and panoramic radiographs. Intra-oral bitewings and oblique lateral radiographs only require a short exposure time, so movement errors are less likely.
- Paediatric patients with a sensitive gag reflex and/or an inability to co-operate with positioning of the intra-oral image receptor will find intra-oral bitewings difficult. Extra-oral radiographs such as the EBW eliminate the trigger factors initiating a gag reflex.
- Some patients with disabilities may not be able to stay still for the whole EBW or panoramic exposure. In these cases, intra-oral bitewings or oblique lateral radiographs would be more appropriate.
The panoramic unit can be adjusted for patients in wheelchairs. However, if there is a high headrest on the wheelchair panoramic radiographs and EBWs may not be possible.

Solid state detectors used for intra-oral radiographs are also bulky making intra-oral radiography more difficult in children. In addition, anatomical variations including large mandibular tori may prohibit correct positioning of an intra-oral image receptor. An extra-oral view overcomes this barrier.

Timing interval between bitewing radiographs

An effort should continue to be made amongst the dental profession to request radiographs on a patient specific, indication-orientated basis. However, it is recognised that caries is prevalent amongst young and adolescent populations and bitewings remain an important tool used to detect and monitor caries progression at regular intervals. Expert recommendation suggests the following interval of bitewing prescription:

- High caries risk ~6 month
- Moderate caries risk ~1 year
- Low caries risk ~12-18 month (primary dentition) and ~2 year (secondary dentition)

As a rule, it can be said the younger the patient and more extended/active the caries, the higher probability to progress which may indicate shorter monitoring intervals on a patient specific basis. There is no present literature specifying timing intervals for EBWs, but it seems sensible to adopt the same selection criteria used for standard intra-oral bitewings.

Orthodontic considerations

Clinical presentation of a patient in the mixed dentition with carious first permanent molars and/or molar incisor hypomineralisation will often require orthodontic opinion. In these cases, EBWs are usually sufficient for treatment planning as it generally provides a clear radiographic view of the developing second permanent molars (Figure 2). If the examination of the child shows evidence of crowding in anterior or buccal segments and/or the maxillary canines are not palpable in a child of nine years of age or over, or if an orthodontist wants to consider root anatomy, then a full panoramic radiograph is indicated as preference over an EBW. This prevents repeat radiographic views being taken and provides a holistic care approach between orthodontic and paediatric specialties.

Case report

The following case illustrates the use of EBWs during treatment planning. A patient presented for a dental examination, accompanied by his mother. Symptoms of irreversible pulpitis were determined from his upper right first permanent molar (UR6). Despite excellent compliance, the patient’s sensitive gag reflex meant that intra-oral bitewings were not possible so EBWs were obtained to assess the extent of the caries. Figure 3 shows correct positioning of a patient for EBW radiographs. Acceptable right and left EBWs (Figure 4) showed extensive caries in the UR6 and early radiographic signs of occlusal caries in the LR6 and LL6. However, nothing abnormal was clinically detected in the LR6 and LL6 so a decision was made to keep both lower molars under review with appropriate preventative measures in accordance with guidelines. The management plan, following consultation with an orthodontist, included immediate pulp extirpation and dressing of the UR6 for symptom relief, followed by treatment planning once the permanent dentition is fully established.
Conclusion

In specific cases, EBWs can play a vital role in dental caries assessment and are becoming increasingly accessible in general dental practice. EBWs can be useful when intra-oral bitewing views are not possible or appropriate due to compliance issues. However, the patient factors mentioned earlier in this article need to be taken into account when considering this radiographic view.
Figures

Figure 1: A flow diagram to assist decision making when considering dental radiographs for caries assessment in paediatric patients.

* Age 6 is a guide only and if a child is under 6 years of age and after clinical examination you feel extra-oral bitewings are the most appropriate radiograph (the child will be required to stand still for 10 seconds) then take these views.
Figure 2: Right and left extra-oral bitewing radiographs, grade acceptable, showing clear radiographic view of the developing second permanent molars.
Figure 3: A paediatric patient with a sensitive gag reflex, correctly positioned to have extra-oral bitewings taken.
Figure 4: Right and left extra-oral bitewing radiographs, taken for caries assessment in a paediatric patient who presented with irreversible pulpitis from UR6. Radiolucencies indicative of caries can be seen in UR6, URE, LR6, LL6 and LLE. In this case the developing upper second permanent molars are not fully visualised.
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