IMPORTANCE Large-scale biobanks provide important opportunities for mental health research, but selection biases raise questions regarding the comparability of individuals with those in clinical research settings.

OBJECTIVE To compare the genetic liability to psychiatric disorders in individuals with schizophrenia in the UK Biobank with individuals in the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC) and to compare genetic liability and phenotypic features with participants recruited from clinical settings.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS This cross-sectional study included participants from the population-based UK Biobank and schizophrenia samples recruited from clinical settings (CLOZUK, CardiffC0GS, Cardiff F-Series, and Cardiff Affected Sib-Pairs). Data were collected between January 1993 and July 2021. Data analysis was conducted between July 2021 and June 2023.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES A genome-wide association study of UK Biobank schizophrenia case-control status was conducted, and the results were compared with those from the PGC via genetic correlations. To test for differences with the clinical samples, polygenic risk scores (PRS) were calculated for schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, depression, and intelligence using PRS-CS. PRS and phenotypic comparisons were conducted using pairwise logistic regressions. The proportions of individuals with copy number variants associated with schizophrenia were compared using Firth logistic regression.

RESULTS The sample of 517,375 participants included 1438 UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia (550 [38.2%] female; mean [SD] age, 54.7 [8.3] years), 499,475 UK Biobank controls (271,884 [54.4%] female; mean [SD] age, 56.5 [8.1] years), and 4 schizophrenia research samples (4758 [28.9%] female; mean [SD] age, 38.2 [21.0] years). Liability to schizophrenia in UK Biobank was highly correlated with the latest genome-wide association study from the PGC (genetic correlation, 0.98; SE, 0.18) and showed the expected patterns of correlations with other psychiatric disorders. The schizophrenia PRS explained 6.8% of the variance in liability for schizophrenia case status in UK Biobank. UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia had significantly lower schizophrenia PRS than 3 of the clinically ascertained samples and significantly lower rates of schizophrenia-associated copy number variants than the CLOZUK sample. UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia had higher educational attainment and employment rates than the clinically ascertained schizophrenia samples, lower rates of smoking, and a later age of onset of psychosis.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE Individuals with schizophrenia in the UK Biobank, and likely other volunteer-based biobanks, represent those less severely affected. Their inclusion in wider studies should enhance the representation of the full spectrum of illness severity.
arge population-based volunteer biobanks are increasingly being used to study human disease. Millions of participants across the world from newly available biobanks will be made available for research within the next 5 years. However, these samples are known to be subject to ascertainment biases, in particular healthy volunteer bias. For example, of the 9.2 million people invited to participate in the UK Biobank, the 5.5% that participated are disproportionately female, socioeconomically advantaged, and White. They are also less likely to be obese or to smoke, report fewer health conditions, and have lower mortality rates. While ascertainment bias clearly affects prevalence estimates, it has been argued that it does not affect exposure-disease associations or scientific inference. However, studies have shown that these biases can change effect sizes in genetic association studies and impact downstream analyses, and new methods are being developed to detect biases and offset them.

It is unclear how these selection biases coupled with differing methods of identifying and defining affected status, such as the use of self-report and electronic health records, influence the features of schizophrenia cohorts identified through large population-based samples and how such cohorts will differ from clinically ascertained samples. Nonrandom participation does not just affect population-based cohorts. Clinically ascertained studies of serious mental illness, typically through secondary care, can be underrepresented for those who have difficulty obtaining such care due to socioeconomic and other causes of health care disparities. Moreover, they are also likely to be underrepresented for people with mild forms of the disorder who may not be referred to secondary care, much less hospitalized, while those with excellent clinical outcomes are likely to be discharged early from secondary care, biasing against secondary care or hospital-based recruitment.

The UK Biobank offers the opportunity to learn lessons of general relevance for large-scale volunteer-based studies. While the UK Biobank population as a whole has been well characterized, the genetic and phenotypic features of those with serious mental illness have not. Here, we investigate the extent to which schizophrenia as diagnosed in UK Biobank resembles schizophrenia in large genetic studies, as represented by those included in the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC) or as diagnosed in clinically ascertained samples. We compared genetic correlations of the UK Biobank with the PGC and compared polygenic risk scores (PRS), rates of copy number variation (CNV), and phenotypic features of individuals with schizophrenia in the UK Biobank with 4 independent UK-based samples. These findings are of general relevance to studies from other human biobanks, mental health cohorts defined from electronic health records, and other alternative sources.

Methods
Participants
Participants were included from the UK Biobank (approximately 500 000 individuals) and 4 schizophrenia sample collections (approximately 14 000 individuals from CLOZUK, 767 from CardiffCOGS, 648 from Cardiff F-Series, and 381 from Cardiff Affected Sib-Pairs). Genetic analyses included all samples, and phenotypic analyses included all samples apart from CLOZUK. This study followed the Strengthening the Reporting of Genetic Association Studies (STREGA) reporting guideline.

UK Biobank is a biomedical database and research resource of approximately 500 000 individuals from across the UK aged 40 to 69 years at recruitment (between 2006 and 2010). There are 4 sources from which a schizophrenia diagnosis can be detected in UK Biobank: self-report (field identifiers 20002 and 20544), International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision (ICD-10) code F20 medical record diagnosis from hospital admissions (field identifiers 41202 and 41204) or death records (field identifiers 40001 and 40002), or an equivalent read code from primary care records (field identifier 130875). Appendix 1 in Supplement 1 further describes these sources. We defined schizophrenia in UK Biobank as a schizophrenia diagnosis reported from at least 1 of these sources. A total of 1438 participants met 1 or more of these criteria at the time of analysis (eTable 1 in Supplement 1), which was based on data extracted in July 2021. Controls were defined as participants who had no indication of a psychotic disorder from the above sources (ICD-10 codes F21-29 inclusive). The North-West Multi-Centre Ethics Committee granted ethical approval to UK Biobank, and all participants provided written informed consent. This study was conducted under UK Biobank project numbers 13310 and 14421.

CLOZUK is an anonymized sample of approximately 14 000 individuals taking clozapine in the UK with a diagnosis of treatment-resistant schizophrenia, as previously described. CardiffCOGS (n = 767), Cardiff F-Series (n = 648), and Cardiff Affected Sib-Pairs (n = 381) participants were recruited from community, inpatient, and voluntary mental health services in the UK. The Cardiff Affected Sib-Pairs sample includes families with 2 or more siblings diagnosed with schizophrenia (or schizoaffective disorder, provided one of the siblings...
had schizophrenia). *ICD-10* code F20 or *DSM-IV* schizophrenia diagnoses in CardiffCOGS, Cardiff F-Series, and Cardiff Affected Sib-Pairs were based on *Schedules for Clinical Assessment in Neuropsychiatry*¹³ interviews and lifetime psychiatric clinical case notes. All schizophrenia sample collections received UK National Research Ethics Service approval, and study participants provided written informed consent.

**Statistical Analysis**

**Comparison With PGC**

We conducted a genome-wide association study (GWAS) of schizophrenia in the UK Biobank and used the results to calculate genetic correlations with the PGC samples. The GWAS compared participants in UK Biobank with schizophrenia with participants without any mental or behavioral disorder (defined as *ICD-10* codes F00-F99 in field category 1712) to circumvent artificial enrichments in the genetic correlations with other psychiatric conditions. UK Biobank participants were genotyped on either the UK Biobank Axiom or the UK BiLEVE Axiom purpose-built arrays. Standard quality-control procedures were applied prior to imputation using the Haplotype Reference Consortium panel, as previously described.¹⁴,¹⁵ Single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) were excluded using PLINK version 2.0¹⁶ in line with thresholds used by the PGC¹⁷: minor allele frequency less than 0.01, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium *P* values less than 1.00 × 10⁻⁶ using the midp and keep-fewhet options for multipopulation datasets, imputation quality information score less than 0.9, and SNV call rate less than 0.95. Individuals with SNV missingness greater than 0.05 were excluded.

Association testing was based on the Scalable and Accurate Implementation of Generalized Mixed Model (SAIGE) method.¹⁸ The SAIGE method is appropriate when case-control numbers are unbalanced and/or in the context of population structure. The null logistic model was conducted on a reduced dataset of relatively independent SNVs (n = 90 684), created using PLINK’s¹⁶ pruning procedure (R² less than .05 and 500-kilobase window). Covariates included in the null logistic model were the first 5 principal components, plus any principal components from the first 20 that were associated with schizophrenia, genotyping array, self-reported ethnicity, sex, and age at interview (individuals with schizophrenia were younger than unaffected controls). The leave-1-chromosome-out option was implemented to account for related individuals. Post-GWAS processing was conducted using FUMA GWAS version 1.5.0 (Department of Complex Trait Genetics at VU University Amsterdam)¹⁹ to annotate and visualize the results.

Genetic correlations were calculated using linkage disequilibrium score regression⁰⁻²¹ between the schizophrenia GWAS in UK Biobank and GWAS for schizophrenia,⁰⁻¹⁷ bipolar disorder,²² major depressive disorder (MDD),²³ attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder,²⁴ autism spectrum disorder,²⁵ anorexia nervosa,²⁶ cannabis use disorder,²⁷ alcohol use disorder,²⁸ and intelligence.²⁹ Corresponding genetic correlations were also calculated for the PGC GWAS for schizophrenia, and differences with UK Biobank schizophrenia results assessed via χ² tests. Unless otherwise stated, significance was set at *P* < .05. All *P* values were 2-tailed.

A schizophrenia PRS was calculated in UK Biobank using a method consistent with the PGC¹⁷ to allow comparison of the variance explained in schizophrenia case-control with the PGC and UK Biobank. The PRS was calculated via a clumping and thresholding approach in PRSicev²⁰ for those of European genetic ancestry, as previously described.¹⁴

**Comparison With Clinically Ascertained Cohorts**

We compared PRSs, rates of CNVs, and phenotypic features between cohorts. Unless otherwise stated, statistical analyses were conducted in R version 4.2.1 (The R Foundation).

**PRS** | CardiffCOGS, Cardiff F-Series, and Cardiff Affected Sib-Pairs were genotyped on the Illumina HumanOmniExpress version 8 or 12 (Illumina). CLOZUK samples were genotyped on either the Illumina HumanOmniExpress-12 or Illumina Hu-

---

**Table 1. Cohort Descriptions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia</th>
<th>UK Biobank unaffected controls</th>
<th>CLOZUK</th>
<th>CardiffCOGS</th>
<th>Cardiff F-Series</th>
<th>Cardiff Affected Sib-Pairs</th>
<th>PGC Schizophrenia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total, No.</td>
<td>1438</td>
<td>499 475</td>
<td>14 666</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>67 390 Cases and 94 015 controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>34.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>65.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at recruitment (SD), y</td>
<td>54.7 (8.3)</td>
<td>56.5 (8.1)</td>
<td>37.7 (11.9)</td>
<td>42.9 (12.3)</td>
<td>42.0 (12.0)</td>
<td>41.5 (12.6)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ancestry description</td>
<td>Multiancestry</td>
<td>Multiancestry</td>
<td>Multiancestry</td>
<td>European ancestry</td>
<td>European ancestry</td>
<td>European ancestry</td>
<td>Multiancestry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment resistance</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100% TRS</td>
<td>56.2% TRS</td>
<td>27.5% TRS</td>
<td>40.7% TRS</td>
<td>Estimated minimum of 19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascertainment</td>
<td>Volunteer-based biobank</td>
<td>Volunteer-based biobank</td>
<td>Anonymously ascertained from routine clozapine monitoring services</td>
<td>Clinically ascertained</td>
<td>Clinically ascertained</td>
<td>Clinically ascertained</td>
<td>Primarily clinically ascertained</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: NA, not applicable; PGC, Psychiatric Genomics Consortium; TRS, treatment-resistant schizophrenia.

* A CLOZUK age at recruitment is estimated from CLOZUK2 only and from the age at registration with Leyden Delta’s monitoring system.
The genetic and phenotypic features of schizophrenia in the UK Biobank have been studied with a focus on the genetic correlation between schizophrenia and other psychiatric traits. This study aimed to identify schizophrenia-associated Copy Number Variants (CNVs) and to compare the UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia to other samples, controlling for sex and age at recruitment. A total of 499,475 UK Biobank controls and 550 participants with schizophrenia (38.2% female) were included in the analyses. The average age was 54.7 years (standard deviation, 8.3 years), and 271,884 participants had at least one source of phenotypic data on mental health conditions.

The schizophrenia-associated CNVs were identified using the DRAGON-Data protocol. The study compared the UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia to other samples, including Cardiff University samples, UK Biobank, CLOZUK, and Cardiff COGS. Pairwise comparisons were calculated between schizophrenia and other traits such as bipolar disorder, MDD, and intelligence, and the results were adjusted for multiple testing.

The genetic correlation between UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia and other samples was calculated using the KING robust kinship estimator in PLINK. The genetic correlation was 0.98, with a standard error of 0.18. The comparison suggested that the genetic correlation between UK Biobank and other samples was moderate, indicating a shared genetic risk for schizophrenia.

The study concluded that the genetic correlations between schizophrenia and other traits were significant, and the findings support the idea that schizophrenia is a genetically complex disorder with shared genetic risk factors. The results also highlighted the importance of including multiple sources of phenotypic data to improve the accuracy of genetic associations.

Results

The sample of 517,375 participants included 1438 UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia (550 [38.2%] female; mean [SD] age, 54.7 [8.3] years), 499,475 UK Biobank controls (271,884 [54.4%] female; mean [SD] age, 56.5 [8.1] years), and 4 schizophrenia research samples (4758 [28.9%] female; mean [SD] age, 38.2 [21.0] years). The 1438 individuals (0.3% of UK Biobank total sample) in UK Biobank were identified with a schizophrenia diagnosis from at least 1 of the available sources: 1102 (76.7%) from hospital records, 708 (49.2%) from self-report, 75 (5.2%) from primary care records, and 23 (1.6%) from death records. A total of 462 individuals had more than 1 source of diagnosis (eTable 1 in Supplement 1).

Comparison With PGC

After quality control, a GWAS including 1363 individuals with schizophrenia and 358,774 controls from UK Biobank did not identify any genome-wide significantly associated loci (threshold $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$), as expected for a case sample of this size (genomic control $\lambda = 1.03$; eFigures 4 and 5 in Supplement 1). Schizophrenia in the UK Biobank had a genetic correlation with the latest PGC schizophrenia GWAS that was close to 1 ($r_g = 0.98$; SE, 0.18). The genetic correlations between UK
Schizophrenia-Related Phenotypes

Phenotypic features of the samples are displayed in Figure 3 and eTable 7 in Supplement 1. Rates of comorbid affective diagnoses for the UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia are described in eAppendix 5 in Supplement 1. Compared with the clinically ascertained schizophrenia samples, UK Biobank participants had patterns consistent with lower severity of illness (Table 2); they were less likely to be male, and male participants were more likely to have children (there was no difference in female participants). All cognitive indices, including educational attainment and cognitive ability, were higher in UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia. These participants had higher rates of current employment and an older self-reported age of onset of psychosis compared with the clinically ascertained samples. UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia had lower rates of smoking but equivalent rates of comorbid physical illness once age was adjusted for.

Compared with controls, UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia were more likely to be male, less likely to have been married or to have had children, had lower educational outcomes indexed by a high school qualification (General Certificate of Secondary Education) or a higher-education degree, and had lower cognitive ability as measured by fluid intelligence (Figure 3; Table 2). They had higher rates of depression, tobacco use, epilepsy, heart disease, and type 2 diabetes. Individuals with schizophrenia of working age had lower levels of current employment.

There was no evidence of an underrepresentation of individuals with schizophrenia from ethnic minority groups compared with CLOZUK or controls (eTables 8 and 9 in Supplement 1). All phenotypic analyses were consistent in analyses restricted to those of European genetic ancestry.

Discussion

We compared individuals with schizophrenia from UK Biobank with those with schizophrenia in the PGC and with 4 clinically ascertained schizophrenia research samples. Participants with schizophrenia in UK Biobank had the genomic and phenotypic features expected from...
A. Odds ratios (ORs) from the polygenic risk score (PRS) comparisons between UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia and the other cohorts (eTable 5 in Supplement 1). The vertical dotted line corresponds to 1 (null association). Error bars indicate 95% CIs. B-E, Distribution of each PRS for each cohort. The vertical dotted line represents the mean PRS in UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia. Diamonds represent the mean PRS for each sample.
Figure 3. Phenotypic Comparisons Between Cohorts

A. Binary variables

- Male sex
- Married or cohabited
- GCSE
- Higher education degree
- ICD-10 diagnosis of depression
- Ever tobacco smoker
- Epilepsy
- Type 2 diabetes
- Heart disease
- Currently employed

Cleveland plot of proportion (binary variables) or mean (continuous variables) values for each phenotype for each study. GCSE indicates General Certificate of Secondary Education; ICD-10, International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision.

previous research but consistent with them being less severely affected.

Schizophrenia in UK Biobank had a genetic correlation of 0.98 (SE, 0.18) with the latest PGC schizophrenia GWAS. Schizophrenia PRS explained 6.8% of the variance in liability for schizophrenia case-control status in those of European genetic ancestry in UK Biobank, which, while lower than the variance explained across the PGC samples as a whole (7.3% in all samples; 8.1% in those of European genetic ancestry), is within the range of other schizophrenia PGC samples. The association between schizophrenia PRS and schizophrenia case-control status in UK Biobank (all samples: OR, 1.69; 95% CI, 1.59-1.78; European genetic ancestry: OR, 2.04; 95% CI, 1.92-2.17) was also comparable with estimates from the PsycheMERGE consortium (OR, 1.55; 95% CI, 1.39-1.72) and US Veterans Affairs Health Care System (OR, 1.56; 95% CI, 1.52-1.61). A 2023 study found the average schizophrenia PRS did not differ between individuals with schizophrenia identified via different diagnostic sources in UK Biobank. In addition, we observed phenotypic associations expected of schizophrenia, such as an excess of male sex, lower cognitive outcomes, low rates of current employment, and rates of physical health comorbidities in UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia comparable with epidemiological samples of schizophrenia.

After PGC schizophrenia, the next highest genetic correlation for UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia was with bipolar disorder (0.73; SE, 0.14), the psychiatric disorder most genetically correlated with schizophrenia, and correlations with other psychiatric disorders were consistent with those from the PGC schizophrenia GWAS, indicating that the genetics of the schizophrenia diagnosis in UK Biobank is compatible with others typically used in genomic studies. This is further supported by the strength of the schizophrenia PRS (OR, 1.69; 95% CI, 1.59-1.78) association with schizophrenia case-control status in contrast to bipolar disorder (OR, 1.20; 95% CI, 1.13-1.27) or MDD (OR, 1.06; 95% CI, 1.00-1.12).

Comparisons with clinically ascertained schizophrenia cohorts indicated that those with schizophrenia in UK Biobank likely represent less severely affected cases. Compared with the other schizophrenia samples, UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia had lower rates of male sex, higher cognitive ability and educational attainment, lower rates of smoking, older age of onset of psychosis, and higher current employment. Further, the rate of schizophrenia-associated CNVs and the schizophrenia PRS was lower in UK Biobank cases compared with Cardiff schizophrenia samples, although the latter is well within the range of values for individual studies included in the PGC.

These findings reported here almost certainly reflect, in part, ascertainment differences. It is likely that focusing on clinically ascertained samples in research may bias estimates towards more severe outcomes and that UK Biobank could offer an opportunity to study those with better outcomes. In addition, we found ethnic minority groups to be equally represented in UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia compared with CLOZUK participants or controls. While biobanks have advantages, they also have biases and tend to undersample individuals with serious mental illness and hence are an inefficient way to recruit large numbers of representative schizophrenia cases. Further, many phenotypes routinely collected in clinical schizophrenia cohorts were not available in UK Biobank, and most people with schizophrenia did not complete online follow-up questionnaires, such as the mental health questionnaire. Given future studies of the genetic basis of...
heterogeneity in schizophrenia will require both large numbers and high-quality assessments, targeted cohorts will still be needed, but these could be enhanced by the use of linked electronic medical records.\(^45\) There is an inevitable added cost for studies recruiting individuals with serious forms of mental illness, but this is essential if we are to base our research on representative samples and be able to generalize our findings.

Our findings have important implications for schizophrenia research conducted within and outside of UK Biobank. They indicate the need to integrate both cases recruited from secondary mental health services, which will be weighted toward more severe outcomes, and those from biobank resources, which will capture a higher proportion of less severely affected cases, to encapsulate the full spectrum of schizophrenia.

Limitations
This study has limitations. In this article, we selected a pragmatic definition of schizophrenia that will be applicable to other biobank studies. More sophisticated definitions based on diagnostic algorithms or machine learning approaches are being developed and could offer further advantages to the field in the future. This study was conducted within the UK, and many of the individuals were identified from linked medical records, so results will need replication to ensure generalizability to other biobanks, countries, and health care settings. The small sample size for CNV analyses meant that power to demonstrate significant differences was low.

Conclusions
Individuals with schizophrenia in UK Biobank have genomic and phenotypic features consistent with expectations for those with a diagnosis of schizophrenia but represent those less severely affected. The inclusion of such cases in wider schizophrenia studies has the potential to enhance representation of the full spectrum of illness severity.

### Table 2. Phenotypic Comparisons Between UK Biobank Participants With Schizophrenia and Each Cohort\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia vs UK Biobank controls</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia vs CardiffCOGS</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia vs Cardiff F-Series</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia vs Cardiff Affected Sib-Pairs</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>1.95 (1.75-2.17)</td>
<td>1.30 × 10(^{-14})</td>
<td>0.81 (0.66-1.01)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.86 (0.69-1.09)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.91 (0.66-1.26)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at interview (per 1-y older)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.76-0.84)</td>
<td>1.22 × 10(^{-18})</td>
<td>2.51 (2.20-2.75)</td>
<td>2.29 × 10(^{-89})</td>
<td>2.38 (2.17-2.60)</td>
<td>2.21 × 10(^{-81})</td>
<td>3.31 (2.85-3.85)</td>
<td>2.53 × 10(^{-54})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of birth (per 1-y older)</td>
<td>1.26 (1.20-1.33)</td>
<td>2.17 × 10(^{-19})</td>
<td>0.33 (0.30-0.36)</td>
<td>2.02 × 10(^{-104})</td>
<td>0.80 (0.74-0.86)</td>
<td>4.44 × 10(^{-9})</td>
<td>1.01 (0.91-1.13)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married or cohabited</td>
<td>0.19 (0.16-0.23)</td>
<td>1.41 × 10(^{-78})</td>
<td>0.89 (0.68-1.16)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.19 (0.91-1.56)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.24 (0.88-1.77)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male children (per 1 child more)</td>
<td>0.64 (0.61-0.69)</td>
<td>4.39 × 10(^{-45})</td>
<td>1.18 (1.04-1.33)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.30 (1.08-1.56)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female children (per 1 child more)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.70-0.81)</td>
<td>7.44 × 10(^{-14})</td>
<td>0.96 (0.83-1.11)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.88 (0.79-1.05)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently employed</td>
<td>0.03 (0.03-0.03)</td>
<td>&lt;1 × 10(^{-216})</td>
<td>3.33 (2.38-4.64)</td>
<td>1.78 × 10(^{-12})</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2.98 (1.71-5.17)</td>
<td>1.11 × 10(^{-4})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCSE</td>
<td>1.20 (1.03-1.38)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>4.01 (3.09-5.21)</td>
<td>2.59 × 10(^{-25})</td>
<td>5.30 (4.23-6.65)</td>
<td>1.76 × 10(^{-47})</td>
<td>8.78 (6.56-11.76)</td>
<td>2.52 × 10(^{-48})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher-education degree</td>
<td>0.66 (0.59-0.75)</td>
<td>2.61 × 10(^{-11})</td>
<td>2.48 (1.85-3.32)</td>
<td>1.23 × 10(^{-9})</td>
<td>3.23 (2.39-4.36)</td>
<td>2.53 × 10(^{-14})</td>
<td>9.74 (4.95-19.16)</td>
<td>4.24 × 10(^{-11})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive ability(^a)</td>
<td>0.54 (0.49-0.60)</td>
<td>6.17 × 10(^{-55})</td>
<td>5.74 (4.59-7.18)</td>
<td>8.85 × 10(^{-53})</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever tobacco smoker</td>
<td>1.78 (1.60-1.99)</td>
<td>7.36 × 10(^{-26})</td>
<td>0.48 (0.38-0.60)</td>
<td>3.49 × 10(^{-10})</td>
<td>0.75 (0.59-0.95)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.48 (0.32-0.74)</td>
<td>7.21 × 10(^{-4})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-10 diagnosis of depression(^b)</td>
<td>7.57 (6.82-8.41)</td>
<td>&lt;1 × 10(^{-216})</td>
<td>0.68 (0.55-0.84)</td>
<td>3.27 × 10(^{-4})</td>
<td>4.63 (3.55-6.03)</td>
<td>1.01 × 10(^{-29})</td>
<td>3.35 (2.37-4.74)</td>
<td>8.63 × 10(^{-12})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy</td>
<td>4.77 (3.91-5.81)</td>
<td>1.08 × 10(^{-53})</td>
<td>0.93 (0.63-1.37)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>3.31 (2.92-3.77)</td>
<td>1.93 × 10(^{-75})</td>
<td>1.30 (0.89-1.73)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart disease</td>
<td>1.93 (1.68-2.21)</td>
<td>3.71 × 10(^{-21})</td>
<td>2.49 (1.65-3.75)</td>
<td>1.23 × 10(^{-5})</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset of psychosis</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.53 (1.33-1.74)</td>
<td>3.83 × 10(^{-10})</td>
<td>1.74 (1.50-2.01)</td>
<td>3.86 × 10(^{-13})</td>
<td>1.92 (1.55-2.37)</td>
<td>2.52 × 10(^{-9})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: GCSE, General Certificate of Secondary Education; ICD-10, International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision; NA, not available; OR, odds ratio.

\(^a\) Results from pairwise regressions between UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia and each of the other samples for each phenotype assessed. ORs refer to risk in UK Biobank participants with schizophrenia; if greater than 1, this indicates higher rates (or higher values for continuous phenotypes) of said phenotype in these participants compared with the other sample. Corresponding proportions and means are presented in eTable 7 in Supplement 1.

\(^b\) Below the threshold the software could calculate.

\(^c\) Cognitive ability defined in the UK Biobank from fluid intelligence (field identifier 20016) and from MATRICS composite score in CardiffCOGS (eTable 3 in Supplement 1).

\(^d\) Depression defined as ICD-10 codes F32 and F33.
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