

Reviewed Preprint v1 • September 24, 2024 Not revised Developmental Biology Stem Cells and Regenerative Medicine

# Differential growth and transcriptomic profile of stem cell-derived midbrain astrocytes

# Zongze Li, Lucia Fernandez Cardo, Michal Rokicki, Jimena Monzón-Sandoval, Viola Volpato, Frank Wessely, Caleb Webber 🎽, Meng Li 🎽

Dementia Research Institute, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Hadyn Ellis Building, Maindy Road, Cardiff, CF24 4HQ, UK • Neuroscience and Mental Health Innovation Institute, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Hadyn Ellis Building, Maindy Road, Cardiff, CF24 4HQ, UK

d https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Open\_access

© Copyright information

# Abstract

Regional specificity of stem cell-derived astrocytes is believed to be an important prerequisite for their applications in disease modelling and cell-based therapies. The regional identity of these astrocytes is often defined by the positional characteristics of their antecedent, stem cell-derived neural progenitors patterned to a fate of interest, with the assumption that the positional specification is to be preserved by the derived astrocytes. Using a human induced pluripotent stem cell line designed for tracing midbrain floor plate derivatives, here we show that lineage composition of the derived astrocytes is not a faithful recapitulation of the founder progenitor population, as demonstrated by the loss of floor plate differentiated progeny in the final astrocyte products. Using deep single cell RNA sequencing, we identified distinct transcriptomic signatures of midbrain floor plate-derived astrocytes. Our study highlights the need for rigorous characterisation of pluripotent stem cell-derived regional astrocytes and provides a valuable resource for assessing midbrain floor plate-derived human astrocytes.

### eLife assessment

The manuscript by Li and coworkers analyzed astrocytic differentiation of midbrain floor plate-patterned neural cells originating from human iPS cells, with a LMX1A reporter. This **valuable** work identifies transcriptomic differences at the single-cell level, between astrocytes generated from LMX1A reporter positive or negative cells, as well as non-patterned astrocytes and neurons. The evidence is **solid**, but the paper can be strengthened by further analyses of the transcriptomic data, and astrocytic morphology; also, searching for some of the differentially expressed genes by immunohistochemistry in different regions of the mammalian brain, or in human specimens, would be very informative.

https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.96423.1.sa3

# Introduction

Astrocytes are the most abundant cells in the brain. They play important roles in the central nervous system in supporting neuronal survival and synaptic activities, including the regulation of ionic homeostasis, providing energetic support, elimination of oxidative stress, and neurotransmitter removal and recycle (Verkhratsky and Nedergaard 2018 🖒). Abnormalities in astrocytes have been linked to various neurodegenerative and neurodevelopmental disorders, such as Parkinson's disease, Alzheimer's disease, Huntington's disease, autism spectrum disorders and Alexander's disease (Molofsky et al. 2012 🖒; Phatnani and Maniatis 2015 🖒; Booth et al. 2017 🖒). There is therefore a growing interest in using human pluripotent stem cell (PSC)-derived astrocytes for disease modelling *in vitro* (Chandrasekaran et al. 2016 🖒).

Contrary to the widely held belief that astrocytes in the brain are largely identical, recent studies revealed diversity in their transcriptomic profile, physiological properties and function (Oberheim et al. 2009 **C**; Schober et al. 2022 **C**). For example, single cell and spatial transcriptomic studies have identified several astrocyte subpopulations in the mouse cortex (Zhu et al. 2018 **C**; Batiuk et al. 2020 **C**). In human, although astrocyte heterogeneity remains largely elusive, heterogeneity in radial glia across brain regions and within midbrain has been reported (La Manno et al. 2016 **C**; Li et al. 2023 **C**). Furthermore, different molecular and physiological features and distinct responses to stimuli were also observed in astrocytes from different mouse brain regions (Takata and Hirase 2008 **C**; Chai et al. 2017 **C**; Morel et al. 2017 **C**; Itoh et al. 2018 **C**; Kostuk et al. 2019 **C**; Makarava et al. 2019 **C**; Xin et al. 2019 **C**; Lozzi et al. 2020 **C**). Indeed, astrocyte heterogeneity has been suggested to underly the regional susceptibility to human diseases (Schober et al. 2022 **C**). Therefore, recapitulating astrocyte regional specificity in PSC-derived astrocytes is generally accepted as an important prerequisite.

Several reports have described the generation of regional astrocytes from human embryonic stem cells or induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) which include forebrain (Krencik et al. 2011 , Zhou et al. 2016 ; Tcw et al. 2017 ; Lin et al. 2018 ; Bradley et al. 2019 ; Hedegaard et al. 2020 ; Peteri et al. 2021 ), ventral midbrain (Booth et al. 2019 ; Barbuti et al. 2020 ; Crompton et al. 2021 ; de Rus Jacquet et al. 2021 ), hindbrain and spinal cord (Roybon et al. 2013 ; Serio et al. 2013 ; Holmqvist et al. 2015 ; Bradley et al. 2019 ; di Domenico et al. 2019 ; Yun et al. 2019 ; Nun et al. 2019 ; Barbuti et al. 2019 ; Yun et al. 2019 ; Nun et al. 2019 ; Barbuti et al. 2019 ; Yun et al. 2019 ; Serio et al. 2010 ; Serio

Using a human iPSC line that allows the tracing of LMX1A expressing midbrain floor plate neural progenitors and their differentiated progeny (Cardo et al. 2023 <sup>C2</sup>), we discovered an unexpected gradual depletion of LMX1A<sup>+</sup> progenitor progeny during astrocyte induction from a bulk population of ventral midbrain patterned progenitors despite LMX1A<sup>+</sup> progenitors being the predominant starting population. LMX1A<sup>+</sup> progenitor derived astrocytes can however be generated if astrocytic induction is initiated from purified LMX1A<sup>+</sup> progenitors, indicating that the positional constituents of the founding cell population may not be preserved faithfully in the derived astrocytes. Single cell RNA sequencing (scRNAseq) of astrocytes derived from both parental populations identified distinct transcriptomic signatures, providing a useful resource for assessment of human PSC-derived midbrain astrocytes.



# Results

### Depletion of LMX1A<sup>+</sup> progenitors and/or derivatives in ventral midbrain patterned neural progenitor cultures during astrogenic induction

To investigate whether regionally patterned neural progenitors retain their lineage identity during astrogenic induction and glial progenitor expansion, we made use of the LMX1A-Cre/AAVS1-BFP iPSCs tracer line, which enables the tracking of LMX1A<sup>+</sup> midbrain floor plate progenitors and their differentiated progeny(Cardo et al. 2023 🖒). We differentiated the LMX1A-Cre/AAVS1-BFP iPSCs towards the ventral midbrain fate following a modified protocol based on Jaeger et al. and Nolbrant et al. (Jaeger et al. 2011 C; Nolbrant et al. 2017 C) (Figure 1A C). Immunocytochemistry of day (d)19 cultures confirmed a high proportion of cells expressing BFP (96.01±0.42%) and ventral midbrain progenitor markers LMX1A (92.94±0.91%), FOXA2 (94.76±0.57%) and OTX2 (97.82±0.28%; Figure 1B-D 🗹 with the original images shown in Figure S1A 🖒). Most cells (91.26±1.64%) co-expressed LMX1A and FOXA2 (Figure 1B 🖸 and 1D 🗹). At this stage, all BFP<sup>+</sup> cells also stained positive to a pan neural progenitor marker NESTIN (Figure 1C 🖄). We detected a small proportion of cells expressing midbrain basal plate marker NKX6.1 (2.03±0.47%, Figure 1B-**C** whose expression domain in the early developing ventral midbrain partially overlap with that of (Andersson et al. 2006 <sup>C2</sup>) (Andersson et al. 2006 <sup>C2</sup>). However, few PAX6<sup>+</sup> cells were present (Figure 1C <sup>IC</sup>), which marks the forebrain and (Duan et al. 2013 <sup>IC</sup>)(Duan et al. 2013 <sup>IC</sup>). Immunocytochemical analysis of FACS-sorted BFP<sup>+</sup> cells confirmed highly enriched expression of LMX1A (95.51±0.09%) and FOXA2 (95.35±0.14%), and co-expression of both markers (94.68±0.10%; Figure 18 <sup>C</sup> and 10 <sup>C</sup>). In contrast, only a small number of LMX1A<sup>+</sup> cells (4.96±0.70%) were present in the sorted BFP<sup>-</sup> population (**Figure 1B**<sup>C</sup> and 1D). These findings provide further support that BFP expression faithfully recapitulate LMX1A expression and that LMX1A<sup>+</sup> ventral midbrain progenitors represent the major cell population in d19(Cardo et al. 2023 C)(Cardo et al. 2023 🔼 ).

The d19 cells were then induced to undergo astrogenic switch in media containing FGF2 and EGF with the BFP content monitored by flow cytometry at each weekly passaging (Figure 1A 2, representative gating strategy is shown in **Figure S1B-E**  $\square$ ). We found, unexpectedly, a dramatic decrease of BFP<sup>+</sup> cell proportion from the starting point of 88.20±1.10% to only 27.59±8.28% at d43 and to nearly absent as the differentiation continued (**Figure 1E** <sup>(2)</sup>). We did not observe evident cell death during culture and replating; thus, the absence of BFP could be either due to the silencing of BFP expression in the derivatives of LMX1A<sup>+</sup> progenitors or the loss of these cells through growth competition. To address this question, we performed progenitor expansion and astrogenic induction under the same culture condition with purified d19 BFP<sup>+</sup> progenitors isolated using fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). Interestingly, the sorted BFP<sup>+</sup> cells exhibited similar population growth rate to that of unsorted cultures, and the proportion of BFP<sup>+</sup> cells remained at approximately 90% throughout the astrogenic induction and glial progenitor expansion period (Figure 1E<sup>III</sup>). This observation demonstrates that BFP expression can be maintained in the derivatives of LMX1A<sup>+</sup> midbrain floorplate progenitors, and that the loss of BFP<sup>+</sup> cells in the unsorted culture is likely due to their growth disadvantage compared to the derivatives of LMX1A<sup>-</sup> progenitors.

Our finding is unexpected and demonstrates that the regional or lineage identity of PSC-derived astrocytic cells should not be assumed merely based on the dominant regional property of their cellular origin, given that no *in vitro* fate specification paradigm is 100% efficient.



### Figure 1.

# Depletion of LMX1A<sup>+</sup> progenitors and their derivatives during astrogenic induction in ventral midbrain patterned neural progenitor cultures.

A, Schematic diagram of ventral midbrain neural differentiation and astrogenic induction. B-C, Representative view of immunocytochemistry of ventral midbrain neural progenitor markers and other regional markers in d19 unsorted, sorted BFP<sup>+</sup>, and sorted BFP<sup>-</sup> population. Scale bar represents 100 µm. Images shown were cropped to 300 µm×300 µm by randomly selecting the region of interest in the nuclei-only channel (uncropped greyscale images are shown in **Figure S1A** <sup>CD</sup>). D, Quantification of marker expression in unsorted, sorted BFP<sup>+</sup>, and sorted BFP<sup>-</sup> population. Error bars represent the standard error of means (SEM) of three independent experiments. E, Flow cytometry quantification of unsorted and BFP+ population during astrogenic induction and progenitor expansion. Each data point represents one biological replicate. The gating strategy used is shown in **Figure S1B** <sup>CD</sup>.

### Astrogenic switch occurred earlier in

### derivatives of LMX1A<sup>+</sup> midbrain progenitors

Since sorted BFP<sup>+</sup> (LMX1A<sup>+</sup> or their derivatives) and unsorted astrocytic cultures differ distinctively in BFP expression soon after the initiation of astrogenic induction, for simplicity, these cultures are hereafter referred to as the BFP<sup>+</sup> and BFP<sup>-</sup> cultures, respectively. Wondering whether the two cell populations behave differently in the process of astrogenic switch, we examined astrocytic marker expression in these cultures at d45 and d98 by immunocytochemistry. SOX9 and NFIA are transcription factors crucial for the initiation of astrogenesis and acquisition of astrogenic competence in the developing central nervous system (Stolt et al. 2003 C; Deneen et al. 2006 C), while CD44 identifies astrocyte-restricted precursors (Liu et al. 2004 C). We found that all these markers were more abundantly detected in the BFP<sup>+</sup> cultures (NFIA: 65.89±2.81%; SOX9: 57.19±4.25%) than the BFP<sup>-</sup> cultures (NFIA: 4.26±1.28%; SOX9: 8.88±1.82%) at d45 (Two-way ANOVA with post-hoc Turkey test, NFIA: p=2.52×10<sup>-5</sup>, SOX9: p=9.21×10<sup>-6</sup>; **Figure 2A-B** C with the original images shown in **Figure S2** C). Although the number of NFIA<sup>+</sup> and SOX9<sup>+</sup> cells significantly increased in the BFP<sup>-</sup> cultures by d98 (NFIA: 44.07±4.56% on d98, p=3.58×10<sup>-4</sup>; SOX9: 44.28±2.84% on d98, p=1.21×10<sup>-4</sup>), the BFP<sup>+</sup> cultures still contained more cells expressing NFIA (65.71±4.25%; p=2.06×10<sup>-2</sup>) and SOX9 (73.25±2.12%; p=5.51×10<sup>-4</sup>) than BFP<sup>-</sup>cultures (**Figure 2A** C and **2C** C).

To investigate whether the temporal difference in astrocytic switch between the BFP<sup>+</sup> and BFP<sup>-</sup> cultures affects maturation and functionality of the derived astrocytes, we initiated astrocyte terminal differentiation by exposing the BFP<sup>+</sup> and BFP<sup>-</sup> astrocyte precursors to CNTF and BMP4 (Krencik et al. 2011 ; Bradley et al. 2019 ) from d87 (referred to as early astrocytes) and d136 (late astrocytes). Both BFP<sup>+</sup> and BFP<sup>-</sup>cultures exhibited a similar expression profile of classic astrocyte markers, including AQP4, EAAT2, and S100B, but few GFAP<sup>+</sup> cells at both time points (**Figure 2B** and **2D** with the original images shown in **Figure S3** ).

As a reference, we also generated neural progenitors without employing any patterning cues and induced astrogenic switch and astrocyte differentiation from these non-patterned neural progenitors (**Figure S4A-B** <sup>(2)</sup>). We found that, while the astrocyte cultures derived from the non-patterned progenitors contained a similar proportion of cells expressing AQP4, EAAT2 and S100B compared to the BFP<sup>+</sup> and BFP<sup>-</sup> astrocyte cultures, there are more GFAP<sup>+</sup> cells in the non-patterned astrocyte preparations (17.89±5.4%, **Figure S4A-B** <sup>(2)</sup>).

Functional astrocytes exhibit transient calcium (Ca<sup>2+</sup>) spikes upon chemical stimulation, such as ATP (Zhang et al. 2016). Using a FLIPR Ca<sup>2+</sup> release assay, we observed a sharp increase in the intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration upon ATP administration in both the early and late BFP<sup>+</sup> astrocyte populations (early BFP<sup>+</sup>: p=5.37×10<sup>-12</sup>; late BFP<sup>+</sup>: p<2.2×10<sup>-16</sup>; **Figure 2E-F**  $\square$ ). ATP induced Ca<sup>2+</sup> release is partially mediated by inositol trisphosphate. Indeed, addition of an inositol trisphosphate receptor antagonist 2-aminoethoxydiphenylborate (2-APB) reduced the amplitude (early BFP<sup>+</sup>: p=5.88×10<sup>-5</sup>; late BFP<sup>+</sup>: p=9.22×10<sup>-10</sup>; **Figure 2F**  $\square$ ) and rise time (early BFP<sup>+</sup>: p=2.63×10<sup>-5</sup>; late BFP<sup>+</sup>: p=9.22×10<sup>-10</sup>; **Figure 2G**  $\square$ ) of ATP-induced Ca<sup>2+</sup> response in both the early and late BFP<sup>+</sup> astrocytes. Interestingly, the early BFP<sup>+</sup> astrocytes had significantly lower peak amplitude than that observed in late BFP<sup>+</sup> astrocytes (p=6.92×10<sup>-9</sup>; **Figure 2F**  $\square$ ), despite their similar level of astrocyte marker expression, suggesting a difference in maturity at the functional level. Early and late BFP<sup>-</sup> astrocytes exhibited a similar profile of time-dependent increase in the amplitude of ATP-induced Ca<sup>2+</sup> response but did not reach statistical significance (**Figure 2E-F**  $\square$ ). However, late BFP<sup>-</sup> astrocytes showed a significantly lower peak amplitude than late BFP<sup>+</sup> astrocytes (p<2.2×10<sup>-16</sup>; **Figure 2E-F**  $\square$ ).

Taken together, our data demonstrate that astrogenesis occurred earlier in BFP<sup>+</sup> cultures than BFP<sup>-</sup> cells. This temporal difference is also reflected in functional maturity of the derived astrocytes, despite a similar expression profile of classic astroglial markers.



### Figure 2.

#### Early astrogenic switch and astrocyte maturation in derivatives of LMX1A<sup>+</sup> midbrain progenitors.

A, Representative view of immunocytochemistry of astrogenic marker expression in BFP<sup>+</sup> and unsorted progenitors at day 45 and 98. Scale bar represents 100 µm. Images shown were cropped to 462 µm×462 µm by randomly selecting the region of interest in the nuclei-only channel (uncropped greyscale images are shown in **Figure S2 1**). B, Representative view of immunocytochemistry of astrocyte marker expression in early and late, BFP<sup>+</sup> and BFP<sup>-</sup> (unsorted) astrocytes. Scale bar represents 100 µm. Images shown were cropped to 300 µm×300 µm by randomly selecting the region of interest in the nuclei-only channel (uncropped greyscale images are shown in **Figure S3**<sup>2</sup>). C, Quantification of immunocytochemistry of astrogenic marker in BFP<sup>+</sup> and BFP<sup>-</sup> progenitors at day 45 and 98 shown in Panel A. Error bars represent the standard error of means (SEM) of three independent experiments. Two-way ANOVA was performed to compare between lineages (NFIA: p=5.389×10<sup>-6</sup>, df=1, effect size=3.62; SOX9: p=1.96×10<sup>-6</sup>, df=1, effect size=4.77) and days of differentiation (NFIA: p=7.82×10<sup>-5</sup>, df=1, effect size=1.99; SOX9: p=2.62×10<sup>-5</sup>, df=1, effect size=2.99) D, Quantification of immunocytochemistry of astrocyte marker expression in astrocytes. Error bars represent SEM of three independent experiments. Kruskal-Wallis test results following Bonferroni correction are shown on the top of the figure (AQP4: p.adjust=0.12, df=3, H=8.95; EAAT2: p.adjust=1.00, df=3, H=0.95; GFAP: p.adjust=0.06, df=3, H=10.38; S100B: p.adjust=0.11, df=3, H=9.05). E, Averaged trace of ATP-induced Ca<sup>2+</sup> response assayed using FLIPR. Drugs or DMSO were applied at 1 minute of the assay. The line represents the average fluorescence change ( $\Delta$ F/F0) in at least three independent experiments each with at least three replicate wells. The shaded area represents the SEM across at least three independent experiments. F, Quantitative comparison of the peak amplitude of ATP-induced Ca<sup>2+</sup> response among conditions (two-way ANOVA, p<2.2×10<sup>-16</sup>, df=2, effect size=2.54) and samples (p=2.87×10<sup>-16</sup>, df=2, effect size=2.54) and samples (p=2.87×10<sup>-16</sup>) <sup>14</sup>, df=3, effect size=2.17). Error bars represent the SEM across at least independent experiments. G: Quantitative comparison of the rise time of ATP-induced  $Ca^{2+}$  response among conditions (two-way ANOVA, p=2.19×10<sup>-13</sup>, df=2, effect size =1.958) and samples (p=0.064, df=3, effect size=0.76). Intergroup comparison was performed using Post-hoc Tukey test. Error bars represent the SEM across at least three independent experiments. (\*\*\*\*: p<0.0001, \*\*\*: p<0.001, \*\*: p<0.01, \*: p<0.05, ns: not significant).



# Single cell RNA sequencing confirms the authenticity of PSC-derived astrocytes

To further characterize the PSC-derived astrocytes, we performed full-length scRNAseq on early and late BFP<sup>+</sup> and BFP<sup>-</sup> astrocytes using the iCELL8 platform and SMART-seq technology, with nonpatterned astrocytes derived from the LMX1A-Cre/AAVS1-BFP tracer line as a control. A sample of iPSC-derived neurons was included to facilitate downstream cell type identification. We profiled FACS purified astrocytes expressing CD49f as well as unsorted cultures for comparison of all three astrocyte populations (Barbar et al. 2020 ); **Figure S4C-H** ). After stringent filtering (**Figure S5A-C** , see Methods and Materials on filtering), we obtained a total of 17478 protein-coding genes in 1786 qualifying cells, with an average of 6326 protein-coding genes detected per cell.

Unsupervised Louvain clustering identified 12 cell clusters (Figure 3A ). Cells clustered mainly based on sample type (astrocytes and neurons; Figure S6A <sup>(2)</sup>) and the estimated cell cycle phase (Figure S6B <sup>C2</sup>), while sorted CD49f<sup>+</sup> and unsorted astrocytes were clustered together (Figure **S6A** C). TagBFP was detected at a higher level in BFP<sup>+</sup> astrocyte samples than in BFP<sup>-</sup> and NP samples, while TagBFP expression was negligible in the neuronal samples derived from an iPSC line without BFP transgene (Figure S6C-D 2). Using a set of known astrocyte and neuronal signature genes (Figure 3B<sup>C</sup>), we identified cells in clusters 0, 1, and 5-11 as astrocytes (Figure 38 C), which were enriched in expression of SOX9, NFIA, NFIB, HES1, S100A13, S100A16, EGFR, CD44 and GJA1 (Figure 3B C). These transcripts were also detected at high levels in clusters 2 and 4, which were mostly estimated to be in cell cycle phases G2, M and S (Figure S6B C2). In addition, clusters 2 and 4 showed high levels of proliferation-related transcripts, such as TOP2A, MKI67, CDK1 and AURKA (Figure 3B 🖄), and are thus defined as astrocyte precursors. In contrast, Cluster 3 contains mostly cells from the neuronal sample (Figure 3A 🖸 and Figure S6A 🖄) and indeed expressed high levels of genes closely related to neuronal structure and function (such as STMN2, SYT1, DCX, MAPT, and SNAP25; Figure 3B 🖄 ). We did not detect transcripts indicative of endoderm (GATA4), mesoderm (TBXT and TBX6), and oligodendrocyte progenitors (SOX10 and *PDGFRA*) in any of these clusters (**Figure S6C** <sup>I</sup>).

To determine the authenticity of these PSC-derived astrocytes, we mapped our data to published scRNAseq datasets obtained from five foetal, an adult human brain and a PSC-derived astrocyte study using Seurat integration (La Manno et al. 2016 : Sloan et al. 2017 : Zhong et al. 2018 ; Polioudakis et al. 2019 ; Agarwal et al. 2020 ; Fan et al. 2020 ; Bhaduri et al. 2021 ; Eze et al. 2021 ). We found that cells in clusters annotated as astrocytes (clusters 0, 1, and 5-11) were indeed predominantly mapped to the reference astrocyte or astrocyte precursor populations with high confidence (prediction score over 0.5; **Figure 3C** and **Figure S7** ). In contrast, neuronal cluster 2 was mapped to progenitor populations in the foetal reference datasets (**Figure 3C** and **Figure S7** ). These findings demonstrate that our iPSC-derived astrocytes closely resemble those in the human brains.

# Distinct transcriptome fingerprints of LMX1A<sup>+</sup> midbrain floor plate-derived astrocytes

Significant advance has been made recently in understanding the molecular profiles of midbrain dopamine neurons. However, our knowledge about midbrain astrocytes in this regard remains limited and does not inform anatomic or lineage origin of the cells. In this regard, the BFP<sup>+</sup> astrocytes provide a unique resource to determine the transcriptomic characteristics of human midbrain floor plate derived astrocytes. By performing pairwise differential gene expression (details described in Methods and Materials), we identified 1153 genes differentially expressed (DEGs; adjusted p values less than 0.05 and log2 fold change over 0.25) in BFP<sup>+</sup> astrocytes when compared to either BFP<sup>-</sup> or non-patterned astrocyte populations (Supplementary Data 1). Of these,



### Figure 3.

### Single cell RNA sequencing confirms the authenticity of PSC-derived astrocytes.

A, Uniform manifold approximation and projection plot of unbiased clustering, coloured by clusters. B, Heatmap of the normalised expression of selected markers in different clusters. The assigned identity to each cluster is shown at the top of the plot. C, Sankey plot summarising the result of reference mapping of cells in different clusters to eight published reference human brain scRNAseq datasets. The thickness of the thread is proportional to the number of cells mapped to the same identity in the reference datasets (predicted identity). Detailed results of referencing mapping to each reference datasets are shown in **Figure S7A-H** and prediction score shown in **Figure S7I**. (PRE: precursors; N: neurons)



159 were unique to BFP<sup>+</sup> astrocytes (BFP<sup>+</sup> enriched, **Figure 4A**<sup>C</sup>), which include genes associated with midbrain dopamine neuron development such as SULF1, LMO3, NELL2, and RCAN2 (Figure 48 C) (Strelau et al. 2000 C; La Manno et al. 2016 C; Bifsha et al. 2017 C; Ahmed et al. 2021 C). Interestingly, LMX1A and FOXA2, which was used to evaluate PSC-derived midbrain astrocytes in previous studies, were not detected in BFP<sup>+</sup> astrocytes (**Figure S6D** <sup>2</sup>). We also identified 530 DEGs enriched in BFP<sup>-</sup> astrocytes only (BFP<sup>-</sup> enriched, **Figure 4A**<sup>C</sup> and Supplementary Data 1), which include those known to be expressed in the ventrolateral - dorsal domain of the midbrain and hindbrain, such as IRX3, IRX5, PAX3, and PAX7 (Figure 4B 2) (Houweling et al. 2001 2; Matsunaga et al. 2001 🖄). This transcription profile supports the notion that the BFP<sup>-</sup> astrocytes are descendants of the initial minor populations of lateral midbrain progenitors. Moreover, 72 DEGs were shared by BFP<sup>+</sup> and BFP<sup>-</sup> astrocytes against the non-patterned astrocytes (midbrain enriched, Figure 4A C and Supplementary Data 1). This set of genes includes NR2F1, NR2F2, ZEB2, KCN/6 and *SRPX* (Figure 4B <sup>C</sup>), which have been reported to be signatures of mouse midbrain astrocytes (Endo et al. 2022 C). Together, our findings provide a new entry to transcriptomic characteristics of midbrain astrocytes and specifically a gene expression map of midbrain floor plate derived human astrocyte lineage.

Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was performed on the 1153 DEGs enriched in BFP<sup>+</sup> astrocytes (Supplementary Data 2). The significantly enriched GO terms were mainly related to various aspects of metabolism, stress response, biosynthesis, lysosomal activity, and cellular respiration (**Figure 4C** <sup>C2</sup> and Supplementary Data 3). These biological processes have previously been shown to be disrupted by several mutations causing familial Parkinson's disease (di Domenico et al. 2019<sup>C2</sup>; Barbuti et al. 2020<sup>C2</sup>; Sonninen et al. 2020<sup>C2</sup>). In contrast, the GO terms associated with 530 BFP<sup>-</sup> astrocyte enriched DEGs were mostly related to formation of extracellular matrix and tissue development (**Figure 4D** <sup>C2</sup> and Supplementary Data 2-3). The differential enrichment of GO terms implicates functional difference between the BFP<sup>+</sup> and BFP<sup>-</sup> astrocytes and supports the need for generating regional specific astrocytes for disease modelling.

### **Discussion**

Despite the general belief that recapitulating astrocyte lineage heterogeneity is necessary for stem cell-based disease modelling and cell transplantation, the extent of astrocyte heterogeneity in different brain regions and subregions remains largely elusive. By harnessing an LMX1A based lineage tracing human iPSC line and cutting edge scRNAseq technology, we show that astrocytes derived from the LMX1A<sup>+</sup> midbrain floor plate progenitors, the same cells giving rise to midbrain dopaminergic neurons, possess distinct transcriptional landmarks from those derived from non-midbrain patterned neural progenitors as well as other midbrain patterned progenitors. Moreover, we discovered unexpected negative selection against derivatives of LMX1A<sup>+</sup> progenitors during astrocyte induction and progenitor expansion. Our study highlights the need for careful characterisation of PSC-derived astrocytes and provides a transcriptomic fingerprint for midbrain floor plate derived astrocytes.

Using a popular astrocyte in vitro differentiation paradigm, we found that astrocytes descended from the LMX1A<sup>+</sup> midbrain progenitors could only be obtained from purified progenitors. In contrast, astrocytes derived from bulk midbrain patterned progenitors exhibits transcriptomic profiles of the lateral-dorsal midbrain, despite LMX1A<sup>+</sup> midbrain progenitors being the predominant starting population. Our findings demonstrate that the lineage composition of the parent progenitors may not be faithfully preserved during astrocyte induction and progenitor expansion. FGF is the most popular inductive molecule used for astrocyte differentiation from stem cells (Chandrasekaran et al. 2016 <sup>CC</sup>). It is evident however that FGF expanded neural progenitors, originated either from the brain or neutralized PSCs, exhibit restricted regional competence and positional gene expression. For example, bulk expanded human ventral midbrain neural progenitors (Jain et al. 2003 <sup>CC</sup>), and fetal forebrain or spinal cord derived neural stem (NS)



### Figure 4.

#### Distinct transcriptome fingerprints of LMX1A<sup>+</sup> midbrain floor plate-derived astrocytes

A, Heatmap of the normalised expression of population-specific genes in different populations of astrocytes. B, Violin plots of the normalised expression of selected candidate markers for  $BFP^+$ ,  $BFP^-$ , and non-patterned (NP) astrocytes. C-D, Representative GO terms significantly enriched in  $BFP^+$  (C) and  $BFP^-$  (D) enriched genes. Semantically similar representative terms were shown with the same colour.



cells only give rise to GABAergic neurons (Sun et al. 2008 ); likewise, lt-NES cells display anterior hindbrain-like positional profile (Falk et al. 2012 ), while their antecedents, PSC-derived neural rosettes and early passage derivatives, express anterior forebrain markers (Koch et al. 2009 ). It is not clear whether this is due to deregulation of the original patterning at the level of gene expression or the loss of associated cell population (Gabay et al. 2003 ). In this study, since BFP<sup>+</sup> astrocytes can be generated under the same culture condition with purified LMX1A<sup>+</sup> progenitors, we reasoned that the loss of their derivatives in unsorted cultures was possibly due to differential growth capacity.

Our study highlights the need for careful assessment of astrocyte positional identity. A common practice in this regard is to confirm the regional characteristics of the founder progenitors following fate directed neural induction, with the assumption that the dominant positional features will be maintained by the astrocyte progeny (Krencik et al. 2011 C). This strategy is at least partly dictated by our limited knowledge in gene expression signatures of regional- and/or lineage-specific astrocytes. Hence, an end point evaluation of PSC-derived astrocytes often relies on region-specific markers defined in the developmental brain during the neurogenic period. For example, LMX1A and FOXA2 expression were used as criteria for midbrain astrocytes in previous studies (Barbuti et al. 2020 C; Crompton et al. 2023 C). However, scRNAseq of human fetal ventral midbrain and adult substantia nigra revealed negligible expression of these transcripts in astrocytes (La Manno et al. 2016 C; Agarwal et al. 2020 C; Kamath et al. 2022 C). Consistent with these findings, we did not detect LMX1A or FOXA2 in neither the BFP<sup>+</sup> nor BFP<sup>-</sup> astrocytes. Our analysis however identified new positive and negative markers that could be applied to confirm the regional identity of ventral midbrain astrocytes.

In addition to distinct transcriptomic profile, BFP<sup>+</sup> and BFP<sup>-</sup> astrocytes may also be functionally different. Astrocytes generated from progenitors broadly patterned to the dorsal forebrain, ventral forebrain and spinal cord have been shown to exhibiting different GO enrichment profile as well as different physiological and functional properties (Bradley et al. 2019 <sup>CD</sup>). In comparison to the BFP<sup>-</sup> and non-patterned astrocytes, the current study revealed that GO terms enriched in BFP<sup>+</sup> astrocytes, which originated from the same progenitor giving rise to midbrain dopaminergic neurons, were closely related to various biological processes disrupted in astrocytes carrying familial Parkinson's disease mutations (di Domenico et al. 2019 <sup>CD</sup>; Barbuti et al. 2020 <sup>CD</sup>). Such a distinct enrichment profile implicates BFP<sup>+</sup> astrocytes being functionally adapted to supporting midbrain dopaminergic neurons compared to BFP<sup>-</sup> and non-patterned astrocytes.

In conclusion, this study lends further support on regional diversity of astrocytes and identified a set of midbrain enriched genes. Crucially, the transcriptomic fingerprint of human midbrain floor plate-derived astrocytes described here offers a much-needed resource for assessing the authenticity of stem cell derived astrocytes in studies associated with Parkinson's disease.

# **Methods and Materials**

### Stem cell culture and astrocyte differentiation

KOLF2 human iPSCs were maintained in E8 flex media (ThermoFisher) and manually dissociated using Gentle Cell Dissociation Reagent (STEMCELL Technologies) as previously described (Cardo et al. 2023<sup>(2)</sup>). Astrocytes were differentiated using a three-stage stepwise strategy consisting neural induction and regional patterning, astrogenic switch and progenitor expansion, and astrocyte terminal differentiation. Midbrain floor plate progenitors were generated as previously described (Cardo et al. 2023<sup>(2)</sup>). At day 19, cells were replated as single cells onto poly-D-lysine-laminin-coated plates at 1×10<sup>6</sup> cells/cm<sup>2</sup> for astrogenic switch and progenitor expansion in N2B27 media supplemented with 10 ng/mL FGF2 (Peprotech) and 10 ng/mL Human EGF (Peprotech) and



replated every 6-8 days. For astrocyte terminal differentiation, expanded neural progenitors were re-plated at a density of  $3 \times 10^4$  cells/cm<sup>2</sup> in expansion media and 24 hours later switched to N2B27 supplemented with 10 ng/mL human recombinant CNTF (Peprotech) and 10 ng/mL human recombinant BMP4 (Peprotech) for 7 days followed by media containing CNTF alone for another 13 days. 10  $\mu$ M Y-27632 was used for 24 hours before and after each replating. The protocol for generating non-patterned astrocytes was the same as for floor plate-derived astrocyte except the neural progenitors were derived with duo-SMAD inhibitors only without ventral patterning reagents.

### Flow cytometry analysis and cell isolation

Cells were dissociated in Accutase as described above and washed twice with DPBS by centrifugation for 5 minutes at 200 rcf. For evaluating BFP expression, dissociated cells were resuspended in 0.5 mM EDTA in DPBS (Sigma-Aldrich) and analysed on a BD LSRFortessa cell analyser (BD Biosciences). For purifying BFP<sup>+</sup> cells, dissociated cells were resuspended in the same cell culture media. Background autofluorescence was compensated for using KOLF2 parental cell line at a similar stage of differentiation to define BFP<sup>-</sup> gating. For purifying CD49f<sup>+</sup> astrocytes, dissociated cells were stained with Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated rat anti-CD49f antibody (5% v/v in a 100 µL reaction; BD Biosciences) for 25 minutes at 37°C on an orbital shaker at 200 rcf and resuspended in DPBS containing 0.5% bovine serum albumin and 50 units/mL DNase I (Sigma Aldrich). Background autofluorescence was compensated for using KOLF2 parental cell line at a similar stage of differentiation to define BFP<sup>-</sup> gating and unstained astrocytes to define CD49f<sup>-</sup> gating. Cell sorting was performed on a BD FACSAria III (BD Biosciences) using an 80 µm nozzle. Sorted cells were collected in the same media as for resuspension. Flow cytometry data were analysed in FlowJo v10.8.1 (BD Biosciences) as shown in Figure S1B-E Cd. Briefly, non-debris events were selected using the eclipse gates on dot graphs of SSC-A versus FSC-A. Singlet events were sequentially gated using polygonal gates on dot graphs of FSC-H versus FSC-A and SSC-H versus SSC-A by selecting the events in the diagonal region. The positive and negative gates in the fluorescence channel were set as bifurcate gates at a minimum of 99.9% percentile (usually at 99.99% percentile) on the histogram of the fluorescence intensity of the negative control sample of the same flow cytometry experiment and applied to all samples of the same flow cytometry experiment.

### Immunocytochemistry

Cultures were fixed with 3.7% PFA for 15-20 min at 4 °C. For nuclear antigen detection, an additional fixation with methanol gradient was performed, which include 5 mins each in 33% and 66% methanol at room temperature followed by 100% methanol for 20 min at -20°C. Cultures were then returned to PBST via inverse gradient and were then permeabilized with three 10-minute washes in 0.3% Triton-X-100 in PBS (PBS-T) and then blocked in PBS-T containing 1% BSA and 3% donkey serum. Cells were incubated with primary antibodies in blocking solution overnight at 4°C. Following three PBS-T washes, Alexa-Fluor secondary antibodies (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were added at 1:1000 PBS-T for 1 hour at ambient temperature in the dark. Three PBS-T washes were then performed that included once with DAPI (Molecular Probes). Images were taken on a Leica DMI6000B inverted microscope. Quantification was carried out in Cell Profiler (Stirling et al. 2021 C) or manually using ImageJ (Schindelin et al. 2012 C) by examining at least four randomly selected fields from three independent experiments. The antibodies used are provided in the **Supplementary Table 1** C. Representative images shown in main figures were cropped by randomly selecting the region of interest in the DAPI-stained channel only, with the original unedited images shown in **Figure S1A**, **S2** C and **S3** C.



### Single-cell RNA-sequencing

Cells were dissociated with Accutase with 10 units/mL of papain (Sigma-Aldrich) for 10 minutes at 37°C and resuspended in 0.5% bovine serum albumin (Sigma Aldrich) with 50 units/mL DNase I in DPBS without calcium or magnesium (Gibco) and passed through a cell strainer with 35 µm mesh. Cells were stained with 1 µM SYTO16 (Invitrogen) and 0.08% (v/v) propidium iodide (part of the Invitrogen ReadyProbes<sup>™</sup> Cell Viability Imaging Kit, Blue/Red) for 20 minutes on ice and then dispensed into the nano-well plates of the ICELL8® cx Single-Cell System (Takara). Wells containing single viable cells were automatically selected using the ICELL8 cx CellSelect v2.5 Software (Takara) with the green and not red logic. Manual triage was performed to recover additional candidate wells that contain viable single cells. The library for sequencing was then prepared using the SMART-Seq ICELL8 application kit (Takara) following the manufacturer's recommended protocol. Next-generation sequencing was performed using the NovaSeq6000 and the Xp Workflow on a S4 flow cell for 200 cycles of pair-end sequencing.

### Single-cell RNA-sequencing analysis

Using the Cogent NGS Analysis Pipeline software v 1.5.1 (Takara), FASTQ files containing all indices for each chip were demultiplexed to FASTQ files containing one index per file. Adaptor sequences were removed using cutadapt 3.2 with the following settings: -m 15 --trim-n --max-n 0.7 -q 20. Trimmed FASTQ files were aligned to the *Homo sapiens* GRCh38.106 primary assembly with the BFP reporter gene attached to the end of the genome, using STAR 2.7.9a (Dobin et al. 2013 2 ) with the following settings: --outSAMtype BAM Unsorted --quantMode TranscriptomeSAM -outReadsUnmapped Fastx --outSAMstrandField intronMotif --chimSegmentMin 12 -chimJunctionOverhangMin 8 --chimOutJunctionFormat 1 --alignSJDBoverhangMin 10 -alignMatesGapMax 100000 --alignIntronMax 100000 --alignSJstitchMismatchNmax 5 -1 5 5 -chimMultimapScoreRange 3 --chimScoreJunctionNonGTAG -4 -- chimMultimapNmax 20 -chimNonchimScoreDropMin 10 --peOverlapNbasesMin 12 - peOverlapMMp 0.1 -alignInsertionFlush Right -- alignSplicedMateMapLminOverLmate 0 --alignSplicedMateMapLmin 30. After alignment, gene-level quantification was performed using featureCounts from subread 2.0.0 (Liao et al. 2013 2) with the following settings: -t exon --primary -R CORE -F GTF -Q 0 -B -g gene\_id. The count matrix of each index was combined in R 4.2.0 (Team 2023 2).

All downstream analysis was performed in R 4.3.0 using Seurat 4.3.0 (Stuart et al. 2019 C). Genelevel filtering was applied by including only protein-coding genes with at least five total counts across all cells and being expressed in at least 1% of all cells. Poor quality cells were then identified using the *is.outlier* function from the scater 1.28.0 (McCarthy et al. 2017 <sup>CD</sup>). Poor quality cells were defined as having a high percentage of mitochondrial gene count, or high or low the total number of genes detected, or high total gene counts. The thresholds of each metrics for each sample were determined as twice the median absolute deviation of the sample. Raw gene counts were log normalised with a scale factor setting of  $1 \times 10^5$ . Data from the two batches of experiments were integrated using the FindIntegrationAnchors and IntegrateData based on the common top 2000 highly variable genes and the first 30 dimensions of principal components. The percentage of mitochondrial gene count and total gene count were regressed out using the ScaleData function. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on the top 2000 high variable genes and the number of principal components used for uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) was determined using the JackStraw method (Chung and Storey 2015 2). UMAP and unbiased Louvain clustering was performed on the first 33 principal components. Pairwise differential gene expression analysis was performed using the MAST method (Finak et al. 2015 2) with "Chip" as the latent variable. Gene ontology enrichment analysis was performed using enrichGO function in the clusterProfiler 4.10.0 package (Stirling et al. 2021 🖄) with all genes in the filtered dataset as the background. GO term database was downloaded using the org.Hs.eg.db



3.18.0 package (Carlson 2019 C). Revigo v1.8.1 was used to group the representative GO terms based on semantic similarity using a size setting of 0.5, Homo sapiens database, and SimRel method for semantic similarity (Schlicker et al. 2006 C; Supek et al. 2011 C).

Published datasets were downloaded from NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus (Clough and Barrett 2016 🖸 ) and processed in R 4.2.0. Gene level filtering was performed by retaining only proteincoding genes with more than five total counts across all cells. Gene counts were normalised using the NormalizeData function (scale.factor settings are listed in Supplementary Table 2). PCA was performed based on the top 2000 highly variable genes to obtain the first 50 PCs. Visual inspection of the elbow plot was used to determine the number of PCs for downstream analysis. Batch effect between subjects was evaluated on the two-dimensional PC2~PC1 plot. Where inter-subject batch effect was observed, Harmony integration was performed based on the PCs selected in the previous step (**Supplementary Table 2**<sup>C</sup>). UMAP was performed based on either the PCA or Harmony reduction (using the top 30 dimensions), and Louvain clustering was performed (settings shown in Supplementary Table 2). Cluster identities were verified against the reported annotation where possible. For datasets without detailed annotation published or astrocyte lineage reported (Supplementary Table 2 <sup>CI</sup>), reannotation was performed based on the expression of known markers (Figure S7A-E<sup>C)</sup>). Reference mapping was performed using *FindTransferAnchors* and TransferData function in Seurat based on the first 30 dimensions of either the PCA or Harmony loadings of the reference dataset.

### **Statistical analyses**

All data were collected from at least three independent experiments and presented as mean ± standard error of means unless otherwise specified. Data were tested for normality with the Shapiro-Wilk test and for equal variance with Levene test before performing statistical analyses by two-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey test for multiple comparisons where relevant. Kruskal-Wallis test with post-hoc Dunn's test for pairwise comparison was used where parametric test was not suitable. Effect size was calculated as Cohen's f for ANOVA or eta squared based on the H-statistic for Kruskal-Wallis test. All statistical tests were performed in R4.3.0.

### **Supplementary Information**



### Figure S1.

# Original images of immunocytochemistry of d19 progenitors and gating strategy of BFP flow cytometry analysis.

A, the original images shown in **Figure 1B-C** . The gating strategy used for BFP flow cytometry analysis are shown in B-E and described in Methods and Materials. B, scatter plot of SSC-A versus FSC-A. C, scatter plot of FSC-H versus FSC-A. D-E, histogram of BFP fluorescence in the negative control (D) and BFP-expressing (E) samples.



### Figure S2.

Original images of immunocytochemistry of astrogenic markers shown in Figure 2A 🖄 .



### Figure S3.

Original images of immunocytochemistry of astrocyte markers shown in Figure 2B 🖄.



### Figure S4.

# Non-patterned astrocytes and fluorescence-activated cell sorting of astrocytes for single cell RNA sequencing.

A, Representative view of immunocytochemistry of astrocyte marker expression in non-patterned astrocytes (scale bar represents 100 μm). B, Quantification of astrocyte marker expression in astrocytes. Error bars represent SEM of three independent experiments. C-E, negative control samples; F-H, one sample of BFP+ astrocytes). C and F shows the dot plot of SSC-A versus FSC-A. D and G shows the dot plot of FSC-H versus FSC-A. E and H shows the dot plot of Alexa Fluor-647-A (labelling CD49f) versus DAPI-A (labelling BFP). P3 was used to isolate CD49f<sup>+</sup> population (including both BFP<sup>+</sup> and BFP<sup>-</sup>), while P4 was used to isolate CD49f<sup>+</sup>/BFP<sup>+</sup> population.



### Figure S5.

### Processing of single cell RNA sequencing data.

A-C, Violin plots showing the results of adaptive cell-level filtering based on the number of genes detected per cell (A), percentage of mitochondrial gene counts per cell (B), and total gene count per cell (C). D-E, UMAP plot of all filtered cells before (D) and after integration (E) coloured by chip. F-G, UMAP plot of the subset of sorted BFP<sup>+</sup> astrocytes on Chip A and B derived from the same astrocyte differentiation before (F) and after integration (G) coloured by chip. (N: neuron; SBN: sorted BFP<sup>-</sup>; SBP: sorted BFP<sup>+</sup>; SNP: sorted non-patterned; UBN: unsorted BFP<sup>-</sup>; UBP: unsorted BFP<sup>+</sup>; UNP: unsorted non-patterned; numbers represent independent samples)



### Figure S6.

#### Marker expression in scRNAseq.

A-B, UMAP plot of all filtered cells after integration coloured by sample type and sorting status (A) and estimated cell cycle phase (B). C, Raincloud plots of normalised expression of *BFP*. D, Histogram of the distribution of raw gene count of *BFP*. E, Violin plots of the normalised expression of endoderm, mesoderm, neuroectoderm, and oligodendrocyte progenitor markers. F, Violin plots of the normalised expression of classic ventral midbrain markers.



### Figure S7.

### Reference mapping to human brain single cell RNA sequencing datasets.

A-H, Sankey plot summarising the result of reference mapping of cells in different clusters to eight published reference human brain scRNAseq datasets. The thickness of the thread is proportional to the number of cells mapped to the same identity in the reference datasets (predicted identity). Cluster IDs in this study are shown on the left. Heatmap in Panel A-E shows the expression of marker genes in different clusters in the re-annotated reference datasets. I, Heatmap of prediction score from Seurat integration.

Target	Species	Producer	Catalogue number	Dilution for use
ALDH1L1	MS	Abcam	ab56777	1:500
AQP4	RB	Abcam	ab259318	1:200
BFP	rabbit	Evrogen	AB233	1:3000
CD44	mouse	Cell Signalling Technology	3750	1:400
EAAT2	goat	Abcam	ab235202	1:200
FOXA2	goat	R&D system	AF2400	1:1000
GFAP	rat	Life Tech AB10533		1:1000
LMX1A	rabbit	Millipore	HPA030088	1:2000
NESTIN	mouse	BD	BD611659	1:300
NFIA	rabbit	Abcam	AB228897	1:1000
NKX6.1	mouse	DSHB	F55A10	1:100
OTX2	goat	R&D system	AF1979	1:200
PAX6	mouse	DSHB	PAX6	1:1000
S100B	rabbit	Abcam	ab52642	1:1000
SOX9	goat	R&D system	AF3075	1:300
Anti-Goat IgG, Alexa Fluor 488	donkey	Invitrogen	A32814	1:1000
Anti-Goat IgG, Alexa Fluor 555	donkey	Invitrogen	A32816	1:1000
Anti-Goat IgG, Alexa Fluor 647	donkey	Invitrogen	A32849	1:1000

### Supplementary Table 1.

Antibodies used in this study.

Supplementary Table 1. (continued)								
Anti-Mouse IgG, Alexa Fluor 488	donkey	Invitrogen	A21202	1:1000				
Anti-Mouse IgG, Alexa Fluor 555	donkey	Invitrogen	A31570	1:1000				
Anti-Mouse IgG, Alexa Fluor 647	donkey	Invitrogen	A31571	1:1000				
Anti-Rabbit IgG, Alexa Fluor 488	donkey	Invitrogen	A21206	1:1000				
Anti-Rabbit IgG, Alexa Fluor 555	donkey	Invitrogen	A31572	1:1000				
Anti-Rabbit IgG, Alexa Fluor 647 donkey		Invitrogen	A31573	1:1000				
Anti-Rat IgG, Alexa Fluor 488	donkey	Invitrogen	A21208	1:1000				

### Supplementary Table 2.

Settings used for processing published datasets.

PMID	Tissue	Age	Scale factor	PCs used	Harmony integration	Clustering resolution	Re- annotatio n
3282 6893	SN and cortex	Adult	10000	50	No	0.5	No
3372 3434	multiple	CS22	10000	50	Yes	0.3	Yes
3461 6070	multiple	GW25	10000	50	Yes	0.4	Yes
3292 3614	Neocortex	GW9- 28	100000	30	Yes	0.9	Yes
3130 3374	Neocortex	GW17- 18	10000	30	Yes	0.5	Yes
2953 9641	Prefrontal cortex	GW8- 26	100000	30	Yes	0.9	Yes
2881 7799	PSC- derived astrocytes	-	10000	30	No	0.3	No
2771 6510	Ventral midbrain	GW7- 11	10000	30	No	0.5	No



# Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Mark Bishop and Joanne Morgan for conducting FACS and next generation sequencing, respectively. We thank Kathryn Peall and Laura Abram for providing iPSC-derived neurons for scRNAseq. We also thank the support of the Supercomputing Wales project, which is part-funded by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) via the Welsh Government.

# **Author Contribution**

Z.L. and M.L. conceived the study and designed the experiments. Z.L. performed all cellexperiments and analysed all data. L.C. and M.L. designed the lineage tracing system, and L.C.generated the cell line with assistance from Z.L. M.R. performed iCELL8 library preparation. J.M.S.,F.W., and V.V. provided guidance and contributed to general discussions on scRNAseq dataanalysis. C.W. contributed to the design of scRNAseq and general discussions throughout the work.Z.L. and M.L wrote the paper. All authors edited and approved the paper.

# Funding

This work was supported by the UK Dementia Research Institute, jointly funded by the UK Medical Research Council, Alzheimer's Society and Alzheimer's Research UK, to C.W. (MC\_PC\_17112) and Z.L. (DRI-TRA2021-02), and a seed corn fund to Z.L. from the Neuroscience and Mental Health Innovation Institute, Cardiff University. Z.L. was funded by a UK Dementia Research Institute PhD studentship.

# **Data Availability**

The sequencing data discussed in this publication have been deposited in NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus (Clough and Barrett 2016 ) and are accessible through a GEO Series accession number which will be made available upon final publication.

# **Declaration of interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.



### References

Agarwal D., et al. (2020) A single-cell atlas of the human substantia nigra reveals cellspecific pathways associated with neurological disorders *Nat Commun* **11** https://doi.org /10.1038/s41467-020-17876-0

Ahmed M., Owens M. J. S., Toledo E. M., Arenas E., Bradley M., Ffrench-Constant C (2021) Combinatorial ECM Arrays Identify Cooperative Roles for Matricellular Proteins in Enhancing the Generation of TH+ Neurons From Human Pluripotent Cells Front Cell Dev Biol 9 https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.755406

Andersson E., et al. (2006) **Identification of intrinsic determinants of midbrain dopamine neurons** *Cell* **124**:393–405 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.10.037

Barbar L., et al. (2020) **CD49f Is a Novel Marker of Functional and Reactive Human iPSC-Derived Astrocytes** *Neuron* **107**:436–453 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.05.014

Barbuti P. A., et al. (2020) **IPSC-derived midbrain astrocytes from Parkinson's disease patients carrying pathogenic SNCA mutations exhibit alpha-synuclein aggregation, mitochondrial fragmentation and excess calcium release** *bioRxiv* https://doi.org/10.1101 /2020.04.27.053470

Batiuk M. Y., et al. (2020) **Identification of region-specific astrocyte subtypes at single cell resolution** *Nature Communications* **11** https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-14198-8

Bayraktar O. A., et al. (2020) **Astrocyte layers in the mammalian cerebral cortex revealed by** a single-cell in situ transcriptomic map *Nature Neuroscience* **23**:500–509 https://doi.org/10 .1038/s41593-020-0602-1

Bhaduri A., et al. (2021) **An atlas of cortical arealization identifies dynamic molecular signatures** *Nature* **598**:200–204 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03910-8

Bifsha P., Balsalobre A., Drouin J (2017) **Specificity of Pitx3-Dependent Gene Regulatory Networks in Subsets of Midbrain Dopamine Neurons** *Molecular Neurobiology* **54**:4921–4935 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-016-0040-y

Booth H. D. E., Hirst W. D., Wade-Martins R (2017) **The Role of Astrocyte Dysfunction in Parkinson's Disease Pathogenesis** *Trends in neurosciences* **40**:358–370 https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.tins.2017.04.001

Booth H. D. E., et al. (2019) **RNA sequencing reveals MMP2 and TGFB1 downregulation in LRRK2 G2019S Parkinson's iPSC-derived astrocytes** *Neurobiol Dis* **129**:56–66 https://doi.org /10.1016/j.nbd.2019.05.006

Bradley R. A., et al. (2019) **Regionally specified human pluripotent stem cell-derived astrocytes exhibit different molecular signatures and functional properties** *Development* **146** https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.170910



Cardo L. F., Monzón-Sandoval J., Li Z., Webber C., Li M (2023) Single-Cell Transcriptomics and In Vitro Lineage Tracing Reveals Differential Susceptibility of Human iPSC-Derived Midbrain Dopaminergic Neurons in a Cellular Model of Parkinson's Disease *Cells* 12 https: //doi.org/10.3390/cells12242860

Carlson M (2019) org.Hs.eg.db: Genome wide annotation for Human R package version

Chai H., et al. (2017) **Neural Circuit-Specialized Astrocytes: Transcriptomic, Proteomic, Morphological, and Functional Evidence** *Neuron* **95**:531–549 https://doi.org/10.1016/j .neuron.2017.06.029

Chandrasekaran A., Avci H. X., Leist M., Kobolák J., Dinnyés A (2016) **Astrocyte Differentiation** of Human Pluripotent Stem Cells: New Tools for Neurological Disorder Research *Frontiers in cellular neuroscience* **10**:215–215 https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2016.00215

Chung N. C., Storey J. D (2015) **Statistical significance of variables driving systematic variation in high-dimensional data** *Bioinformatics* **31**:545–554 https://doi.org/10.1093 /bioinformatics/btu674

Clough E., Barrett T (2016) **The Gene Expression Omnibus Database** *Methods Mol Biol* **1418**:93–110 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-3578-9\_5

Crompton L. A., McComish S. F., Stathakos P., Cordero-Llana O., Lane J. D., Caldwell M. A (2021) Efficient and Scalable Generation of Human Ventral Midbrain Astrocytes from Human-Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells *Journal of Visualized Experiments* 176 https://doi.org/10.3791 /62095

Crompton L. A., McComish S. F., Steward T. G. J., Whitcomb D. J., Lane J. D., Caldwell M. A (2023) Human stem cell-derived ventral midbrain astrocytes exhibit a region-specific secretory profile *Brain Commun* 5 https://doi.org/10.1093/braincomms/fcad114

de Rus Jacquet A., Tancredi J. L., Lemire A. L., DeSantis M. C., Li W. P., O'Shea E. K. (2021) **The LRRK2 G2019S mutation alters astrocyte-to-neuron communication via extracellular vesicles and induces neuron atrophy in a human iPSC-derived model of Parkinson's disease** *Elife* **10** https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.73062

Deneen B., Ho R., Lukaszewicz A., Hochstim C. J., Gronostajski R. M., Anderson D. J (2006) **The Transcription Factor NFIA Controls the Onset of Gliogenesis in the Developing Spinal Cord** *Neuron* **52**:953–968 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2006.11.019

di Domenico A., et al. (2019) **Patient-Specific iPSC-Derived Astrocytes Contribute to Non-Cell-Autonomous Neurodegeneration in Parkinson's Disease** *Stem cell reports* **12**:213–229 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2018.12.011

Dobin A., et al. (2013) **STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner** *Bioinformatics* **29**:15–21 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635

Duan D., Fu Y., Paxinos G., Watson C (2013) **Spatiotemporal expression patterns of Pax6 in the brain of embryonic, newborn, and adult mice** *Brain Structure and Function* **218**:353– 372 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-012-0397-2

Endo F., et al. (2022) **Molecular basis of astrocyte diversity and morphology across the CNS in health and disease** *Science* **378** https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adc9020



Eze U. C., Bhaduri A., Haeussler M., Nowakowski T. J., Kriegstein A. R (2021) **Single-cell atlas of** early human brain development highlights heterogeneity of human neuroepithelial cells and early radial glia *Nature Neuroscience* **24**:584–594 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-020 -00794-1

Falk A., et al. (2012) **Capture of neuroepithelial-like stem cells from pluripotent stem cells provides a versatile system for in vitro production of human neurons** *PLOS ONE* **7** https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0029597

Fan X., et al. (2020) **Single-cell transcriptome analysis reveals cell lineage specification in temporal-spatial patterns in human cortical development** *Science Advances* **6** https://doi .org/10.1126/sciadv.aaz2978

Finak G., et al. (2015) MAST: a flexible statistical framework for assessing transcriptional changes and characterizing heterogeneity in single-cell RNA sequencing data *Genome Biology* **16** https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-015-0844-5

Gabay L., Lowell S., Rubin L. L., Anderson D. J (2003) **Deregulation of dorsoventral patterning by FGF confers trilineage differentiation capacity on CNS stem cells in vitro** *Neuron* **40**:485–499 https://doi.org/10.1016/s0896-6273(03)00637-8

Hedegaard A., Monzón-Sandoval J., Newey S. E., Whiteley E. S., Webber C., Akerman C. J (2020) **Pro-maturational Effects of Human iPSC-Derived Cortical Astrocytes upon iPSC-Derived Cortical Neurons** *Stem cell reports* **15**:38–51 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2020.05.003

Holmqvist S., et al. (2015) Generation of human pluripotent stem cell reporter lines for the isolation of and reporting on astrocytes generated from ventral midbrain and ventral spinal cord neural progenitors *Stem Cell Research* **15**:203–220 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scr .2015.05.014

Houweling A. C., Dildrop R., Peters T., Mummenhoff J., Moorman A. F. M., Rüther U., Christoffels V. M. (2001) Gene and cluster-specific expression of the Iroquois family members during mouse development *Mechanisms of Development* **107**:169–174 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925 -4773(01)00451-8

Itoh N., et al. (2018) **Cell-specific and region-specific transcriptomics in the multiple sclerosis model: Focus on astrocytes** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**:E302–E309 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1716032115

Jaeger I., et al. (2011) **Temporally controlled modulation of FGF/ERK signaling directs midbrain dopaminergic neural progenitor fate in mouse and human pluripotent stem cells** *Development* **138**:4363–4374 https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.066746

Jain M., Armstrong R. J., Tyers P., Barker R. A., Rosser A. E (2003) **GABAergic immunoreactivity is predominant in neurons derived from expanded human neural precursor cells in vitro** *Exp Neurol* **182**:113–123 https://doi.org/10.1016/s0014-4886(03)00055-4

Kamath T., et al. (2022) **Single-cell genomic profiling of human dopamine neurons identifies a population that selectively degenerates in Parkinson's disease** *Nature Neuroscience* **25**:588–595 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-022-01061-1

Koch P., Opitz T., Steinbeck J. A., Ladewig J., Brüstle O (2009) **A rosette-type, self-renewing human ES cell-derived neural stem cell with potential for in vitro instruction and synaptic integration** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**:3225–3230 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0808387106



Kostuk E. W., Cai J., Iacovitti L (2019) **Subregional differences in astrocytes underlie selective neurodegeneration or protection in Parkinson's disease models in culture** *Glia* **67**:1542– 1557 https://doi.org/10.1002/glia.23627

Krencik R., Weick J. P., Liu Y., Zhang Z.-J., Zhang S.-C (2011) **Specification of transplantable astroglial subtypes from human pluripotent stem cells** *Nature Biotechnology* **29** https://doi .org/10.1038/nbt.1877

La Manno G., et al. (2016) Molecular Diversity of Midbrain Development in Mouse, Human, and Stem Cells *Cell* **167**:566–580 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.09.027

Li Y., et al. (2023) **Spatiotemporal transcriptome atlas reveals the regional specification of the developing human brain** *Cell* **186**:5892–5909 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2023.11.016

Liao Y., Smyth G. K., Shi W (2013) **The Subread aligner: fast, accurate and scalable read mapping by seed-and-vote** *Nucleic Acids Res* **41** https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt214

Lin Y.-T., et al. (2018) APOE4 Causes Widespread Molecular and Cellular Alterations Associated with Alzheimer's Disease Phenotypes in Human iPSC-Derived Brain Cell Types *Neuron* 98:1141–1154 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.05.008

Liu Y., et al. (2004) **CD44 expression identifies astrocyte-restricted precursor cells** *Developmental Biology* **276**:31–46 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2004.08.018

Lozzi B., Huang T. W., Sardar D., Huang A. Y. S., Deneen B (2020) **Regionally Distinct Astrocytes Display Unique Transcription Factor Profiles in the Adult Brain** *Frontiers in Neuroscience* **14** https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2020.00061

Makarava N., Chang J. C.-Y., Kushwaha R., Baskakov I. V (2019) **Region-Specific Response of Astrocytes to Prion Infection** *Frontiers in Neuroscience* **13** https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2019 .01048

Matsunaga E., Araki I., Nakamura H (2001) **Role of Pax3/7 in the tectum regionalization** *Development* **128**:4069–4077 https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.128.20.4069

McCarthy D. J., Campbell K. R., Lun A. T. L., Wills Q. F (2017) **Scater: pre-processing, quality control, normalization and visualization of single-cell RNA-seq data in R** *Bioinformatics* **33**:1179–1186 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw777

Molofsky A. V., et al. (2012) **Astrocytes and disease: a neurodevelopmental perspective** *Genes & Development* **26**:891–907 https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.188326.112

Morel L., et al. (2017) **Molecular and Functional Properties of Regional Astrocytes in the Adult Brain** *J Neurosci* **37**:8706–8717 https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3956-16.2017

Nolbrant S., Heuer A., Parmar M., Kirkeby A (2017) **Generation of high-purity human ventral midbrain dopaminergic progenitors for in vitro maturation and intracerebral transplantation** *Nature Protocols* **12**:1962–1979 https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2017.078

Oberheim N. A., et al. (2009) **Uniquely hominid features of adult human astrocytes** *J Neurosci* **29**:3276–3287 https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.4707-08.2009



Peteri U.-K., Pitkonen J., Utami K. H., Paavola J., Roybon L., Pouladi M. A., Castrén M. L (2021) Generation of the Human Pluripotent Stem-Cell-Derived Astrocyte Model with Forebrain Identity Brain sciences 11 https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci11020209

Phatnani H., Maniatis T (2015) **Astrocytes in neurodegenerative disease** *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology* **7** https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a020628

Polioudakis D., et al. (2019) A Single-Cell Transcriptomic Atlas of Human Neocortical Development during Mid-gestation *Neuron* **103**:785–801 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron .2019.06.011

Roybon L., et al. (2013) **Human stem cell-derived spinal cord astrocytes with defined mature or reactive phenotypes** *Cell Rep* **4**:1035–1048 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2013.06 .021

Schindelin J., et al. (2012) **Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis** *Nature Methods* **9**:676–682 https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019

Schlicker A., Domingues F. S., Rahnenführer J., Lengauer T (2006) **A new measure for functional similarity of gene products based on Gene Ontology** *BMC Bioinformatics* **7** https: //doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-7-302

Schober A. L., Wicki-Stordeur L. E., Murai K. K., Swayne L. A (2022) **Foundations and implications of astrocyte heterogeneity during brain development and disease** *Trends in neurosciences* **45**:692–703 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2022.06.009

Serio A., et al. (2013) **Astrocyte pathology and the absence of non-cell autonomy in an induced pluripotent stem cell model of TDP-43 proteinopathy** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **110**:4697–4702 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas .1300398110

Sloan S. A., et al. (2017) Human Astrocyte Maturation Captured in 3D Cerebral Cortical Spheroids Derived from Pluripotent Stem Cells *Neuron* 95:779–790 https://doi.org/10.1016/j .neuron.2017.07.035

Sonninen T.-M., et al. (2020) **Metabolic alterations in Parkinson's disease astrocytes** *Scientific Reports* **10** https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-71329-8

Stirling D. R., Swain-Bowden M. J., Lucas A. M., Carpenter A. E., Cimini B. A., Goodman A (2021) CellProfiler 4: improvements in speed, utility and usability *BMC Bioinformatics* 22 https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12859-021-04344-9

Stolt C. C., Lommes P., Sock E., Chaboissier M.-C., Schedl A., Wegner M (2003) **The Sox9 transcription factor determines glial fate choice in the developing spinal cord** *Genes & Development* **17**:1677–1689 https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.259003

Strelau J., et al. (2000) **Growth/differentiation factor-15/macrophage inhibitory cytokine-1 is a novel trophic factor for midbrain dopaminergic neurons in vivo** *J Neurosci* **20**:8597– 8603 https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.20-23-08597.2000

Stuart T., et al. (2019) **Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data** *Cell* **177**:1888–1902 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.031



Sun Y., et al. (2008) Long-term tripotent differentiation capacity of human neural stem (NS) cells in adherent culture *Mol Cell Neurosci* **38**:245–258 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcn .2008.02.014

Supek F., Bošnjak M., Škunca N., Šmuc T (2011) **REVIGO Summarizes and Visualizes Long Lists of Gene Ontology Terms** *PLOS ONE* **6** https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0021800

Takata N., Hirase H (2008) Cortical Layer 1 and Layer 2/3 Astrocytes Exhibit Distinct Calcium Dynamics In Vivo *PLOS ONE* 3 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0002525

Tcw J., et al. (2017) **An Efficient Platform for Astrocyte Differentiation from Human Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells** *Stem cell reports* **9**:600–614 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr .2017.06.018

Team R. C (2023) A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing

Verkhratsky A., Nedergaard M (2018) **Physiology of astroglia** *Physiological Reviews* **98**:239–389 https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00042.2016

Xin W., Schuebel K. E., Jair K.-w., Cimbro R., De Biase L. M., Goldman D., Bonci A. (2019) **Ventral** midbrain astrocytes display unique physiological features and sensitivity to dopamine D2 receptor signaling *Neuropsychopharmacology* **44**:344–355 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-018 -0151-4

Yun W., et al. (2019) Generation of Anterior Hindbrain-Specific, Glial-Restricted Progenitor-Like Cells from Human Pluripotent Stem Cells Stem Cells and Development 28:633–648 https: //doi.org/10.1089/scd.2019.0033

Zhong S., et al. (2018) A single-cell RNA-seq survey of the developmental landscape of the human prefrontal cortex *Nature* **555**:524–528 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25980

Zhou S., et al. (2016) Neurosphere Based Differentiation of Human iPSC Improves Astrocyte Differentiation *Stem Cells Int* **2016** https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/4937689

Zhu Q., Shah S., Dries R., Cai L., Yuan G. C (2018) **Identification of spatially associated subpopulations by combining scRNAseq and sequential fluorescence in situ hybridization data** *Nat Biotechnol* **36**:1183–1190 https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4260

### **Editors**

Reviewing Editor **Ivan Velasco** Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico

Senior Editor

**Sofia Araújo** University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

#### **Reviewer #1 (Public Review):**

Summary:



In a previous study, the authors developed a human iPS cell line which expresses Cre under the control of the Lmx1a promoter in order to track, select for, and differentiate human dopamine neurons. In the manuscript under review, the authors are using methods which they have modified to generate astrocytes from the same cell line. The authors are interested in examining astrocytes which are derived from regionalized, floor plate progenitors.

The fundamental weakness of this paper is that the authors are making arguments about regional identity but their work is limited to experiments in vitro. Some of the claims that the authors make should be tested in vivo - ie, in sections, at least. Are floor plate markers or other ventral markers ever expressed in astrocytes or glial progenitors in the mammalian fetus? When do astrocytes emerge in the floor plate? All of the data here are based on an overly simplified in vitro platform.

Lmx1a expression is not limited to the ventral midbrain; it is also expressed in other parts of the developing, ventral CNS and in the roof plate and dorsal CNS (Millonig et al, Nature 2000). Indeed, many of the phenotypes of the Lmx1a mutant mouse (dreher) have little to do with the ventral midbrain. The authors are making an assumption that regional identity is fixed when they begin their astrocyte differentiation protocol - not necessarily true. After astrocytic differentiation is initiated, the authors have done little to demonstrate that floor plate identity is maintained even in selected cells; in fact, the transcriptomic data suggests that the cells are released from a floor plate fate. The authors seem to realize this but do not make any attempt to prove their thesis. If regional identity is not maintained, the authors need a better experiment.

If regional identity is not maintained, so what? Don't we already know that this can happen? The authors acknowledge that this is known in the discussion.

The authors have done transcriptomics studies to follow the changes in these cells but they have not told us very much that is meaningful. It would be useful to validate some of the new astrocytic markers that they have identified - Pax and Irx genes (Welle et al., Glia 2021) come quickly to mind. What about genes related to Shh and Wnt signaling that are prevalent in the floor plate? In particular, a lot of work has been done examining the role of Shh on the properties and lineage of astrocytes (Farmer et al., Science 2016; Hill et al., eLife 2019; Gingrich et al., Neural Dev 2022; Xie et al., Cell Rep 2022). There are a lot of stones which remain unturned, here, and the authors could actually tell us much more without doing an immense amount of work. These suggestions and criticisms are described in far greater detail in the confidential comments to the authors.

Work Cited:

Chizhikov et al., Mamm Genome 2006. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17019651/

Chizhikov et al., Development 2004. https://journals.biologists.com/dev/article/131/11/2693/42269/Control-of-roof-plate-formation-by-Lmx1a-in-the

Chizhikov et al., PNAS 2010. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20498066/

Emsley and Macklis. Neuron Glia Biol 2007. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles /PMC1820889/

Farmer et al., Science 2016. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26912893/

Gross et al., Development 2016. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4958331/

Hill et al., eLife 2019. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31194676/

Gingrich et al., Neural Dev 2022. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35027088/



Iskusnykh et al., eLife 2023. https://elifesciences.org/articles/84095 Millonig et al, Nature 2000. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10693804/ Welle et al. Glia 2021. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36342840/ Xie et al., Cell Rep 2022. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35196485/ https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.96423.1.sa2

### **Reviewer #2 (Public Review):**

In the current manuscript Li et al., study the preservation of the regional identity during the process of astrocyte generation from pluripotent stem cells. More precisely, this work investigates if neural progenitor cells patterned for the ventral midbrain give rise to astrocytes with conserved regional specification, which could reflect the astrocytic heterogeneity in the brain. To this end, the authors utilized a previously generated reporter iPSC line in which the expression of introduced blue fluorescence protein (BFP) is subjacent to the activation of LMXA1, a ventral midbrain floor plate marker. The study reports that following a defined patterning protocol based on SHH and FGF8, over 90% of d19 cells, corresponding to a neural progenitor stage, acquired the midbrain floor plate identity. However, during the subsequent astrogenic induction and glial progenitor expansion, this identity is gradually lost, supposedly due to the growth advantage of cells deriving from the residual LMX1A- neural progenitors. Contrariwise, if the LMX1A+ progenitors were purified, regional identity would be maintained throughout the astrocytic generation and incur an early astrogenic switch and maturation of derived astrocytes. By using single-cell RNA sequencing, the authors further identified distinct transcriptomic signatures on the astrocytic progeny of LMX1A- and LMX1A- progenitors.

### Strengths and weaknesses:

(1) The main model utilized was engineered from the KOLF2 human iPSC line into an elegant LMX1A-reporter line based on the expression of BFP. This results in an attractive model for studies tracing the fate of LMX1A cells. However, consideration should be given to the fact that the parental line, exhibits a splice disruption in the COL3A1 gene encoding type III collagen (Pantazis 2022, doi:10.1016/j.stem.2022.11.004 ), which has been identified as being enriched in certain ventral astrocytic populations (Bradley 2019, doi:10.1242/dev.170910).

(2) The authors argue that the depletion of BFP seen in the unsorted population immediately after the onset of astrogenic induction is due to the growth advantage of the derivatives of the residual LMX1A- population. However, no objective data supporting this idea is provided, and one could also hypothesize that the residual LMX1A- cells could affect the overall LMX1A expression in the culture through negative paracrine regulation. Therefore, cell cycle or proliferation studies of these cells are needed to prove the authors' assumption. Furthermore, on line 124 it is stated that: "Interestingly, the sorted BFP+ cells exhibited similar population growth rate to that of unsorted cultures...". In the face of the suggested growth disadvantage of those cells, this statement needs clarification.

(3) Regarding the fidelity of the model system, it is not clear to me how the TagBFP expression was detected in the BFP+ population supposedly in d87 and d136 pooled astrocytes (Fig S6C) while no LMX1A expression was observed in the same cells (Fig S6F).

(4) The generated single-cell RNASeq dataset is extremely valuable. However, given the number of conditions included in this study (i.e. early vs late astrocytes, BFP+ vs BFP-, sorted vs unsorted, plus non-patterned and neuronal samples) the resulting analysis lacks detail. For



instance, from a developmental perspective and to better grasp the functional significance of astrocytic heterogeneity, it would be interesting to map the identified clusters to early vs late populations and to the BFP status. Moreover, although comprehensive, Figure S7 is complex to understand given that citations rather than the reference populations are depicted.

(5) Do the authors have any consideration regarding the morphology of the astrocytes obtained in this study? None of the late astrocyte images depict a prototypical stellate morphology, which is reported in many other studies involving the generation of iPSC-derived astrocytes and which is associated with the maturity status of the cell.

https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.96423.1.sa1

### Author response:

(1) Rationale of the study and key finding

We respectively disagree with Reviewer #1's comments on '*the fundamental weakness of this paper ... about regional identity ...*'. We believe that they misunderstood the rationale and key message of the paper.

The rationale of the study stems from the increasing recognition of the importance of generating 'regional-specific' astrocytes from iPSCs for disease modelling, due to astrocyte heterogeneity and their region-specific involvement in disease pathology. Regional astrocytes are typically differentiated from neural progenitors (NPCs) that are 'patterned' to the desired fate during iPSC neural induction. While the efficiency is not 100%, it is nevertheless assumed that the initial lineage composition (%) of patterned NPCs is preserved during the course of astrocyte differentiation and hence that the derived astrocytes represent the intended regional fate.

We questioned this approach using genetic lineage tracing with ventral midbrain-patterned neural progenitors as an example. By monitoring astrocytic induction of purified BFP+ NPCs and unsorted ventral midbrain-patterned NPC (referred to as BFP- in the paper, line 154 submitted PDF), we demonstrate that despite BFP+ NPCs being the vast majority (>90% LMX1A+ and FOXA2+) at the onset of astrocytic induction, their derivatives were lost in the final astrocyte product unless BFP+ NPCs were purified prior to astrocytic induction and differentiation.

Our findings demonstrate that iPSC-derived astrocytes may not faithfully represent the antecedent neural progenitor pool in terms of lineage, and that the regionality of PSC-derived astrocytes should not be assumed based on the (dominant) NPC identity. We believe that this finding is important for iPSC disease modelling research, especially where disease pathophysiology concerns astrocytes of specific brain regions.

Reviewer #1 raised several interesting questions concerning floor plate marker expression during astrocytic induction and astrocyte differentiation in normal development. These are important outstanding questions in developmental neurobiology, but they are outside the scope of this *in vitro* study. Indeed, the approach taken by published PSC-astrocyte studies - such as assigning regional identity of PSC-derived astrocytes based on the starting NPC fate or validating PSC-astrocyte using regional markers defined in the developing embryo - is partly due to our limited knowledge about the developing and mature astrocytes in different brain regions. This knowledge gap consequently restricts a thorough characterisation of the regional identity of PSC-astrocytes in such cases.

(2) LMX1A expression in the brain and LMX1A-BFP lineage tracer line



We thank Reviewer #1 for highlighting the wider expression of LMX1A. We are fully aware of this consideration and hence the thorough examination of PSC-derived ventral midbrain-patterned NPCs by immunostaining and single cell RNA-sequencing in this and a previous study (PMID: 38132179). All LMX1A+ cells produced in our protocol exhibit ventral midbrain progenitor gene expression profiles when compared to dataset obtained from human fetal ventral midbrain.

Some of the comments give us the impression that there might be some confusion regarding the lineage tracing system used in this study. The LMX1A-Cre/AAVS1-BFP line is not a classic reporter line that mark LMX1A-expressing cells in real time. Instead, it was designed as a tracer line that expresses BFP in the derivatives of LMX1A+ cells as well as cells expressing LMX1A at the time of analysis.

### (3) Is regional identity fixed?

We feel that Reviewer #1 misunderstood the paper in their comments 'The authors are making an assumption that regional identity is fixed when they begin their astrocyte differentiation protocol - not necessarily true...'. We in fact pointed out in the paper that expression of LMX1A and FOXA2, a signature of midbrain floor plate progenitors, is lost in our BFP+ astrocytes. In this paper, 'regional identity' was loosely used to also refer to lineage identity and genetic traits, not just gene expression. We will consider alternative wording during revision to avoid potential confusion.

(4) Splice disruption in the COL3A1 gene and potential effect on astrocyte differentiation of Kolf2 iPSCs

We thank Reviewer #2 for highlighting the variations in KOLF2C1 hiPSCs and the study by Bradley *et al.* (2019) on differential *COL3A1* expression in some ventral astrocytes. We noted that the progenitors produced by Bradley *et al.* were NKX2.1+ ventral forebrain cells, rather than the LMX1A+ ventral midbrain progenitors investigated in our study. Our scRNAseq data show that all three populations of astrocytes exhibit low levels of *COL3A1* expression. While we will continue to examine astrocyte *COL3A1* expression in publicly available gene expression datasets, we feel that a selective impairment in astrocyte differentiation of BFP+ cells is unlikely.

(5) Additional data analysis and validation of potential new markers

We will carefully consider the reviewers' suggestions on further analysis of our single-cell RNA sequencing dada during revision. Regarding eLife's assessment of validating differential gene expression in different brain regions, it is worth noting that both BFP+ and BFP- cells mapped to the published midbrain scRNAseq data set (La Manno et al, Cell 2016, PMID: 27716510), supporting their midbrain fate. We agree in principle that all single-cell RNA sequencing findings should be validated by immunostaining. It would be beneficial to experimentally verify that our candidate BFP+ differentially expressed genes indeed mark astrocytes derived from LMX1A+ NPCs *in vivo*, as opposed to other midbrain NPCs. However, this verification cannot be realistically performed in a human setting, but only in an analogous mouse tracer line.

The current eLife assessment nicely summarised part of our findings, in a sense secondary output of this work. We would appreciate a revised eLife assessment that include the message that iPSC-derived astrocytes, in terms of genetic lineage, can deviate greatly from the starting progenitor pool. We would be very happy to provide further information or clarification if it would be helpful. We are committed to doing our best as authors to enhance reader experience and support the continued success of eLife.

https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.96423.1.sa0