Biomechanical analysis of combi-hole locking compression plate during fracture healing: A numerical study of screw configuration
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Abstract
Locking compression plates (LCPs) have become a widely used option for treating femur bone fractures. However, the optimal screw configuration with combi-holes remains a subject of debate. The study aims to create a time-dependent finite element (FE) model to assess the impacts of different screw configurations on LCP fixation stiffness and healing efficiency across four healing stages during a complete fracture healing process. To simulate the healing process, we integrated a time-dependent callus formation mechanism into a FE model of the LCP with combi-holes. Three screw configuration parameters, namely working length, screw number, and screw position, were investigated. Increasing the working length negatively affected axial stiffness and healing efficiency ($p < 0.001$), while screw number or position had no significant impact ($p > 0.01$). The time-dependent model displayed a moderate correlation with the conventional time-independent model for axial stiffness and healing efficiency ($r \approx 0.733, p < 0.025$). The highest healing efficiency (95.2%) was observed in screw configuration C125 during the 4–8-week period. The results provide insights into managing fractures using LCPs with combi-holes over an extended duration. Under axial compressive loading conditions, the use of the C125 screw configuration can enhance callus formation during the 4–12-week period for transverse fractures. When employing the C12345 configuration, it becomes crucial to avoid overconstraint during the 4–8-week period.
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Introduction
The use of locking compression plates (LCP) in plate osteosynthesis is a significant advancement. In comparison to conventional plates, such as dynamic compression plates (DCP), LCPs offer several advantages in reducing the risk of biological complications, including infection and non-union.¹² This is achieved through indirect reduction that avoids direct bone-implant contact, promoting relative stability rather than absolute stability. The non-contact features of LCPs contribute to optimal healing and biological callus formation, with factors such as axial stiffness and inter-fragmentary movement (IFM) being crucial to the process.³⁴ Proper levels of axial stiffness and IFM are beneficial to healing,³⁴ but excessive or insufficient levels can be detrimental and even cause non-union. Therefore, achieving the optimal trade-off between these mechanical variables is essential for successful healing.¹⁰⁻¹³

LCPs with combi-holes, which combine conventional and threaded holes, provide versatility and flexibility by accommodating both conventional and locking head screws. However, this introduces uncertainty that requires careful consideration of the biomechanical implications of different screw configurations. Although previous biomechanical studies have mainly investigated the effects of screw configurations, none have analysed the use of LCPs with combi-holes.⁹⁻¹⁶ Therefore, it is important to investigate the mechanical
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properties of LCPs with combi-holes to determine the most effective screw configurations and optimize their clinical use.

During the fracture healing process, bone and soft tissues undergo continuous changes in shape and material properties, posing a challenge in determining the optimal screw configurations. Numerical finite element (FE) modelling has shown promise in simulating this healing process. For example, Gardner et al.\textsuperscript{17} simulated the formation of callus tissue successfully and calculated the Young’s modulus of callus at different healing stages; expanding upon Gardner’s works,\textsuperscript{18} Kim developed a time-dependent callus model to investigate the influence of the plate materials on tibia DCP fixation stiffness; building on this research, Mehboob et al.\textsuperscript{19} used a stress-based rejection coefficient algorithm to calculate callus properties during the healing process. However, these studies focused primarily on healing simulation or were limited to a DCP system, making them incapable of investigating the effects of different screw configurations in an LCP system over an extended duration.

This study aims to develop a finite element modelling framework for simulating callus growth during the fracture healing process. To achieve this, a time-dependent callus model was incorporated into an FE model of the bone-implant construct. Three configuration parameters, namely the working length (WL), screw number (SN) and screw position (SP) were investigated to assess the quantitative impact of screw configuration on fracture healing under given loading conditions. We hypothesised that the screw configuration affects mechanical variables, specifically, axial stiffness and interfractionary strain (IFS), which were known to influence healing efficiency. This information can provide insights into managing fractures at different stages based on the selection of screw configurations for LCP plates with combi-holes. Such consideration may potentially contribute to improved healing efficiency throughout the entire healing process.

**Methods and materials**

**The bone-implant construct**

A standardised LCP with 10 combi-holes (VP4031, APLUS BIOTEC Ltd) and locking screws (LS5034, APLUS BIOTEC Ltd) was modelled using Solidworks 2020 (DS Solidworks Corp., USA), as illustrated in Figure 1(a). The LCP had dimensions of 150 mm length, 10 mm width, and 4 mm thickness, with an 11 mm distance between adjacent combi-holes.

To construct the bone-implant model, the contour of femoral cortical bone was derived from magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans of a healthy male subject (age: 44 years, height: 1.84 m, weight: 96 kg) using Mimics (Mimics 19.0, Materialise, Belgium). The average cross-sectional area was 103.9 mm\textsuperscript{2} and the cortical thickness was 3.5 mm, as shown in Figure 1(b). It was then extruded longitudinally to a length of 140 mm to construct the three-dimensional shape. To enhance computational efficiency, this work excluded the trabecular structure.\textsuperscript{19,21} In addition, to simulate a 32-A3
femoral shaft fracture, a transverse gap of 2.1 mm was created in the middle of the cortical bone. The transverse fracture introduces symmetry along the fracture gap, and the size of the transverse gap is consistent with previous studies, within the range of 2–5 mm.7–9,22 In the context of midshaft transverse fracture, previous studies also indicated the limited impact of the bone length.18,25,31

A time-independent FE model was created using ABAQUS (2020, Dassault Systèmes, USA). The model incorporated a 2 mm offset between the bone and plate, and symmetry along the longitudinal axis, effectively reducing computational cost.23 The screw-bone and screw-plate interfaces were represented as tied. One end of the bone was fixed, while the other end was subjected to a compressive load of 1053.6 N, equivalent to 1.12 times the body weight of the subject.24 The LCP plate and screws were made of homogeneous and isotropic Titanium alloy (Ti–6Al–4V) and cobalt-based superalloy, respectively. The cortical bone was anisotropic.

Table 1 provides detailed information on the material properties for bone, screw and LCP.25–27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parts</th>
<th>Young's modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Poisson ratio</th>
<th>Yielding stress (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cortical bone</td>
<td>Axial</td>
<td>Transverse</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.425</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt-based superalloy screw</td>
<td>215.026</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium alloy LCP</td>
<td>113.827</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our study, we divided a complete healing process into four stages (i.e., 1–4 weeks, 4–8 weeks, 8–12 weeks and 12–16 weeks).17 As a result, the Young’s modulus of callus ($E_n$) during a particular healing stage ($n$) was estimated as follows:

$$E_n = \frac{\delta_{n}}{C_0_n} \cdot E_{standard, n} + (1 - \delta_{n-1}) \cdot E_{n-1}$$

where $E_{standard, n}$ represents the standard callus modulus and its values at four stages are outlined in Table 2. These values are determined under the condition where the healing efficiency ($\delta$) is equal to 100%.17 $E_{n-1}$ is Young’s modulus of the callus at the $(n-1)$ healing stage; $\delta_{n-1}$ is the healing efficiency at the $(n-1)$ healing stage, calculated from the FE model. The iterative calculation for the callus modulus is illustrated in Figure 2(b). There are four layers of callus connecting the fracture gap along the axial direction, meshed using 8-node linear hexahedral solid elements with reduced integration (C3D8R) and a size of 0.5 mm. In total, there are 2852 elements.

The compressive loading conditions were varied in the time-dependent model to account for the mobility improvement after the operation (Table 2): during the initial two stages (1–4 weeks and 4–8 weeks), the compressive load was equal to 1.12 times body weight (BW);24 in the third stage (8–12 weeks), the load was increased to two times BW, representative of walking with a walking-stick;31 in the final stage (12–16 week), the load was raised to three times BW, representative of normal walking without a walking-stick.31 The axial stiffness is defined as the axial compressive load divided by the displacement at the centre points of the two ends of the bone (as illustrated in Figure 1(a)).

**Design of screw configurations**

Different screw configurations were studied (Figure 3) by varying the working length (the distance between the closest screws on either side of the fracture, case 1), the number of screws (case 2), and the position of screws (case 3). For case 1 (C15, C25, C35, C45), the working length was increased with two screws. For case 2 (C15, C145, C1345, C12345) the screw numbers were
increased with a constant working length. For case 3 (C125, C135, C145), the middle screw was positioned differently relative to the fracture gap with a constant screw number and working length. The fifth screw (the screw near the distal side) was tightened in each configuration to ensure an adequate torsion stiffness of fixation. This resulted in nine different screw configurations, each tested under five scenarios: post-operation using the time-independent model; 1–4 weeks, 4–8 weeks, 8–12 weeks and 12–16 weeks using the time-dependent model. In total, 45 simulation scenarios were conducted.

### Data processing and analysis

Non-parametric repeated measure Friedman tests were employed to assess the differences in axial stiffness and corresponding healing efficiency in different healing stages. Post-hoc comparisons were then conducted using a Wilcoxon signed-rank test with Bonferroni correction. Furthermore, Spearman’s correlation coefficient (\( \rho \)) was used to calculate any correlations in predicted stiffness and healing efficiency between the time-independent model and the time-dependent model. The strength of the correlations was categorized as poor (\( \rho < 0.3 \)), fair (0.3 < \( \rho < 0.5 \)), moderately strong (0.6 < \( \rho < 0.8 \)), very strong (0.8 < \( \rho < 1 \)) and perfect (\( \rho = 1 \)). All statistical analysis was performed with SPSS (R.26, IBM co. ltd, US) with a significance level of \( \alpha = 0.01 \).

### Result

The predicted axial stiffness using the time-independent model was compared with previous studies, as shown in Figure 4. Despite the variations in material properties

---

**Table 2.** Callus modulus and loading conditions at different healing stages in the time-dependent FE model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boundary conditions</th>
<th>1–4 week</th>
<th>4–8 week</th>
<th>8–12 week</th>
<th>12–16 week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard callus modulus (( E_{\text{standard}} ), MPa)(^{17} )</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading (N and BW)</td>
<td>1053.6 N (1.12 x BW)(^{24} )</td>
<td>1881.6 N (2 x BW)(^{31} )</td>
<td>2822.4 N (3 x BW)(^{31} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and loading conditions, our estimations were within a reasonable range (between 713.1 and 836.8 N/mm), indicating that our model was capable of predicting LCP stiffness accurately.

In the time-dependent model, Young’s modulus of callus increased across four stages, resulting in a corresponding increase in axial stiffness (Figure 5). Notably, the configuration of C45 exhibited the lowest axial stiffness (Figure 6(a)). The predicted axial stiffness from the time-dependent model exhibited a significant correlation with that from the time-independent model (Figure 6(c), \( r = 0.733, p \leq 0.025 \)) as well as with the healing efficiency (Figure 6(d), \( r = 0.717, p \leq 0.030 \)).

The highest healing efficiency accompanied by a strain of less than 2%, was observed at 4–8 weeks post-operation (Figure 7). Among the configurations tested, C12345 exhibited the largest area of strain that is less than 2% (Table 3).

The working length had a significant effect on both axial stiffness and healing efficiency (\( p \text{-adjust} \leq 0.001 \)). The addition of screws increased both the stiffness and healing efficiency, but its effect was not statistically significant (\( p \text{-adjust} \leq 0.017 \) and \( p \text{-adjust} \leq 0.024 \)).

**Discussion**

This study aimed to develop a finite element modelling framework for simulating callus growth during the fracture healing process, considering three configuration parameters: working length, screw number and screw position. According to clinical recommendations, the C12345 configuration is commonly believed to provide the highest stiffness and stability. However, when comparing different configurations over an extended duration, our modelling framework revealed that C125 outperformed C12345 in terms of both stiffness and healing efficiency during weeks 4-12, despite using fewer screws. During the 4–8-week period, C12345 exhibited the largest area of strain that was less than 2%, potentially impeding callus growth and resulting in lower healing efficiency. This effect persisted until the 8–12-week period, as the reduced healing efficiency at the 4–8-weeks contributed to the reduced callus modulus at the later stage. This finding suggests that C125 may represent a more effective screw configuration for...
LCP fixation under the given conditions, as indicated by the higher mean healing efficiency of 75.3% when compared to the mean healing efficiency of 73.7% in C12345 between weeks 4 and 12.

This study provided novel insights into the relationship between stiffness and healing efficiency in the LCP with combi-holes during the healing process. Our time-dependent model revealed a significant, positive

Table 3. The percentage of interfragmentary strain (IFS) area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IFS area (%)</th>
<th>1–4 week</th>
<th>4–8 week</th>
<th>8–12 week</th>
<th>12–16 week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 2</td>
<td>2–10</td>
<td>&gt; 10</td>
<td>&lt; 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C15</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C25</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C35</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C45</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C125</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C135</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C145</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1345</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12345</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

< 2, 2–10, and > 10 indicate the percentage of IFS area of less than 2%, between 2 and 10% and greater than 10%, respectively. The colour scale is based on the values of IFS area of less than 2%, with lighter shades representing values closer to 1.2 (the minimum) and darker shades representing values closer to 4.2 (the maximum).
The correlation between stiffness and healing efficiency in four different healing stages ($\rho \geq 0.717$) highlighting the importance of achieving an optimal level of stiffness for promoting bone healing. Interestingly, our results showed that most of the screw configurations resulted in a ‘loose’ fixation, as identified by the IFS greater than 10% (Figure 7). However, a moderate increase in fixation stiffness could increase the area of IFS between 2 and 10%, promoting callus growth and bone healing. This finding is consistent with the available literature, which suggests that increased compressive force can accelerate bone healing.42,43 Moreover, our study indicates that weight-bearing activities after 4 weeks of operation could be an effective means of achieving this goal.

Our hypothesis that the screw configuration affects fracture healing was partially supported. We found that only the working length significantly affected healing efficiency (Figure 8, $p < 0.001$), while the effects of the screw number and position were not significant in the healing process (Figure 8). Our findings are in line with previous studies, which have indicated that using an excessive number of screws may not always result in improved healing efficiency.44–46 While it is challenging to recommend a definite number of screws for LCP usage, it is advisable to anchor in the fragments proximal and distal to the fracture zone.47 Our findings align with this recommendation, as C15 outperformed the others with the same number of screws in terms of axial stiffness and healing efficiency.

Our time-dependent callus modelling was based on the strain-driven mechanism in which a displacement index IFS was applied to calculate the material property of the callus. This approach differs from some modelling studies that have used a stress-driven mechanism.48 Stress-driven models can be more susceptible to boundary conditions, and the strain-driven approach employed in this study could avoid contradictory results with previous studies. In the Appendix, the predicted stress pattern at the bone-callus interface was provided for comparison with the IFS strain pattern observed in previous studies.18

The study had several limitations that need to be considered when interpreting the results. Firstly, we only modelled one fracture scenario, namely the transverse fracture with a gap size of 2.1 mm. This established a baseline for the investigation of screw configurations. Additionally, only a limited set of screw configurations were modelled; other potential configurations, such as C1245, C2345 and C1235, were not considered. Importantly, it’s worth noting that the relative differences between screw configurations are not expected to be influenced by the size of the transverse fracture gap. Secondly, our model only incorporated the central callus and excluded the peripheral and adjacent regions, which avoids geometric nonlinearity and convergence issues. However, this limitation may affect the predicted stiffness due to peripheral tissue differentiation during the healing process. Third, the study did not account for intra-operative variability, such as soft tissues and patient/surgeon factors, which may confound the theoretical findings. Fourth, our FE model solely accounted for the compressive force along the

![Figure 7. The contour of the fracture area for different configurations during four healing stages. The coloured areas indicate an interfragmentary strain (IFS) between 2–10%; the grey areas indicate an IFS greater than 10%; and the black areas indicate an IFS less than 2% (i.e., C15, C125, C135, C145 and C12345 in 4–8 weeks post-operation).](image-url)
longitudinal direction of the femur and its increase at different healing stages, indicating improved mobility post-operation. However, it fails to adequately represent the physiological loading conditions as it overlooks thigh muscle contractions and shear from internal hip joint contact forces. These estimations pose computational challenges and are sometimes infeasible. Considering these limitations and modelling assumptions, we acknowledge that our results may not be directly transferable to clinical recommendations for patients. In clinical practice, significant variations exist among different fracture types and circumstances, both between patients and surgeons. However, our study leverages the advantages of numerical simulation to investigate the effects of screw configuration at different healing stages and their resulting influence on later stages, providing insights to enhance overall healing efficiency throughout the entire healing process, specifically for simple transverse fractures under axial loading conditions.

Conclusions

A novel time-dependent FE model was developed to assess the impact of screw configurations on the LCP fixation stiffness and healing efficiency throughout a complete fracture healing process. Under axial compressive loading conditions, our findings suggest that a decrease in the working length can effectively promote fixation stability and healing efficiency. The positive correlation between healing efficiency and axial stiffness also underscores the importance of using configurations with higher stiffness. However, it is important to note that during the 4–8-week post-surgery, configurations like C12345 may lead to overconstraint in bone motion. Overall, our study suggests that under axial compressive loading conditions, the use of the C125 screw configuration can enhance callus formation during the 4–12-week period for transverse fractures. The findings provide insights into managing fractures using LCPs with combi-holes over an extended duration, with the potential to improve healing efficiency.
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Figure A1. The stress pattern at fracture section for nine screw configuration in four healing period.