ABSTRACT: Nonaqueous foams in low-surface tension solvents (<25 mN·m⁻¹) are highly desired for applications in fire extinguishers and detoxification gels. However, their formation is a Holy Grail of the chemical industry due to the need for stabilizers with low surface energy and high recyclability. Herein, we disclose a new strategy to generate abundant foams in ethanol and a variety of low-surface tension solvents relying on the interfacial coadsorption of two different particles. The particles consist of surface-active fluorinated silica particles, used as a stabilizer, and a novel amphiphilic polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxane (POSS) decorated with isobutyl cage substituents, used as a frother. The interaction between POSS and fluorinated particles at the ethanol−air interface was thoroughly investigated by combining physicochemical methods (contact angle, dynamic surface tension, and dynamic light scattering methods) and catalytic tests using the model aerobic oxidation reaction of benzyl alcohol. Both particles could be conveniently recycled for at least 5 consecutive runs with high foamability and catalytic activity.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Nonaqueous foams are a specific type of foams that are produced using a liquid medium other than water as a continuous phase (e.g., hydrocarbons, oils, alcohols) and may contain air or any other gas as a disperse phase. Nonaqueous foams find applications in the cosmetic, oil recovery, and manufacturing industries.¹⁻³ Owing to the low surface tension of organic solvents (typically from 14 to 50 mN·m⁻¹), the generation of nonaqueous foams requires stabilizers with low surface energy (e.g., fluorinated surfactants, asphaltenes).⁴⁻⁶ In particular, the stabilization of foams in solvents with very low surface tension (γ < 25 mN·m⁻¹ at 20 °C) (e.g., ethanol, hydrocarbons) has been seldom achieved with foams showing poor stability (<5 min).⁷ Foams in low-surface tension solvents such as ethanol are, however, appealing, given their ability to spread easily and form thin films on surfaces, leading to high wetting and coating properties.

Particle-stabilized aqueous foams have been thoroughly studied during the past decade using a variety of stabilizers such as polymers, proteins, particles, and crystals.⁸⁻¹¹ However, very few studies have described the preparation of nonaqueous foams owing to their much lower surface tensions, restricting particle adsorption at the gas−liquid (G-L) interface.⁶,¹²,¹³ As a rule, the genesis of particle-stabilized foams relies on the ability of particles to form a jammed or closely packed interfacial armor of particles, preventing the coalescence of gas bubbles and drainage of the liquid phase. To adsorb at the G-L interface and generate foams, successful particles need to meet simultaneously three conditions: (1) the particles need to be overall oleophilic to disperse in the solvent before foaming without agglomeration; (2) the particles need a balanced surface density and distribution of oleophilic and oleophobic (gasophilic) groups to adjust the interfacial contact angle within the “stability window” range, which is much narrower than in particle-stabilized emulsions;¹⁴ and (3) the
particles need a controlled size to diffuse fast from the bulk liquid to the G-L interface.

Examples of nonaqueous foams stabilized by surface-active particles are scarce. Binks and co-workers prepared foams in nonpolar hydrocarbons and polar oils (28–63 mN m⁻¹ at 20 °C) using fluorinates such as polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) or fluorinates silica, showing stability for several months. Dyab et al. prepared stable foams based on glycerol and ethylene glycol (γ > 47 mN m⁻¹ at 20 °C) using dichlorodimethylsilane-modified silicas. We also prepared foams in aromatic solvents with intermediate surface tension (35–44 mN m⁻¹ at 20 °C) using biphenyl-bridged organosilica particles decorated with ethoxy (C2) groups that outperformed PTFE and fluorinates surfactants in benzyl alcohol. Likewise, the combination of fluorinated organosilica particles (oleophobic), used as a stabilizer, and fluorinated anisotropic polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxane (POSS) with phenyl cage substituents (i.e., Ph/F₁₃–POSS) (oleophilic), used as a frother, could stabilize foams in aromatic solvents with a surface tension ranging from 27 to 45 mN m⁻¹ by tuning the wettability of organosilica particles. Ph/F₁₃–POSS nanoparticles (0.1–0.2 wt %) adsorbed on the organosilica particles, embedding the fluorinated chains while exposing phenyl groups into the liquid phase. This promoted the dispersion of organosilica particles in the solvent and allowed fine-tuning of the interfacial contact angle within the “stability window,” resulting in stable foam formation.

Herein, we designed a new dual-particle system combining fluorinated organosilica particles and a novel type of fluorinated POSS decorated with isobutyl group substituents, allowing for the first time the genesis of stable foams in pure ethanol among other solvents with very low surface tension (γ < 25 mN m⁻¹ at 20 °C). The interaction between organosilica and POSS particles was investigated in detail by combining contact angle, dynamic surface tension, and dynamic light scattering methods and catalytic tests to ascertain the underlying mechanism promoting particle adsorption at the ethanol–air interface.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Materials. Tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS, 98%), 1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorodecyltriethoxysilane (PFDTES, 97%), (3-mercaptopropyl)triethoxysilane (MPTES, >80%), isobutyltriethoxysilane (IBTES, ≥95%), trichloro(1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorooctyl) silane (97%), 3-Aminopropytriethoxysilane (APTES, 99%), lithium hydroxide pellets (99%), ammonium hydroxide solution (28–30%), Rhodamine B isothiocyanate (mixed isomer), anhydrous ethanol (99.9%), acetonitrile (99.8%), tetrahydrofuran (99.9%), palladium(II) acetate (98%), and potassium borohydride (KBH₄, 98%), all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, were used to prepare the catalytic organosilica particles and isopropyl-based POSS. Benzy alcohol (≥99%), benzaldehyde (99%), anhydrous ethanol (99.9%), acetonitrile (≥99.5%), decane (≥99%), isopropanol (≥99.5%), dodecane (≥99%), methanol (≥99.8%), dichloromethane (≥99.8%), octane (≥98%), 1-octene (98%), and toluene (≥99.5%), also supplied by Sigma-Aldrich, were used for the foaming tests and oxidation reactions.

2.2. Methods. 2.2.1. Synthesis of IBu/F₁₃–POSS. Isobutyl-based POSS was synthesized by hydrolytic condensation of isobutyltriethoxysilane catalyzed by lithium hydroxide (Figure S1a). Briefly, isobutyltriethoxysilane (23.06 g, 0.105 mol) was added dropwise to lithium hydroxide monohydrate (2.00 g, 0.048 mol) and water (1.60 g, 0.137 mol) in 100 mL of an aceton/methanol mixture (4:6 v/v) at 70 °C under reflux, and the reaction mixture was maintained at this temperature for 18 h. After the reaction, the mixture was cooled to room temperature, and the resulting solid was washed with acetonitrile and then dried at 80 °C overnight. The solid was labeled as IBu–POSS.

IBu/F₁₃–POSS was synthesized by a reaction between IBu–POSS and trichloro(1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorooctyl)silane, allowing corner-capping of Si-O-Li groups (Figure S1b). In a typical synthesis, 1.1 g of IBu–POSS was charged into a vial (20 mL) equipped with a magnetic stirrer, and 10 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran was added under vigorous stirring. The vial was then immersed into an ice–water bath, and trichloro(1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorooctyl)silane (0.368 mL, 0.99 mmol) was injected immediately. The mixture was maintained at 0 °C for 4 h and then increased to room temperature for 20 h. After the synthesis, the reaction mixture was centrifuged to remove the remaining lithium chloride salt, and the supernatant was transferred to a watch glass and heated at 80 °C on a hot plate to evaporate the solvent and other volatiles. The product that remained in the watch glass was washed with anhydrous ethanol by centrifugation 3 times to remove the unreacted silane and IBu–POSS. The obtained white gel was further dried at 80 °C in an oven for 12 h. The resulting solid was labeled as IBu/F₁₃–POSS.

2.2.2. Preparation of Pd@SiNP_F₃–POSS. Fluorinates organosilica particles (33 wt %F, 364 nm particle size) were synthesized by the Stöber method according to a previous protocol using 1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorodecyltriethoxysilane (PFDTES), (3-mercaptopropyl)triethoxysilane (MPTES), and tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) precursors, with TEOS/MPTES and TEOS/PFDTES molar ratios of 16 and 3, respectively. In a typical synthesis, 2 mL of TEOS, 5.6 mL of deionized water, and 3.2 mL of ammonia were dissolved in 40 mL of ethanol at 40 °C for 5 min. Then, 0.135 mL of MPTES and 1.33 mL of PFDTES were added to the solution. The molar ratio of TEOS/PFDTES was 3:1, with a TEOS/MPTES molar ratio of 16. The reaction was carried out at 40 °C for a further 30 min, and the mixture was subsequently centrifuged to collect the modified particles, which were washed with ethanol 3 times. The collected solid was dried at 80 °C for 10 h. The particles were labeled as SiNP_F₃–POSS.

Catalytic Pd nanoparticles were further loaded on SiNP_F₃–POSS (300 mg) by wet impregnation using an ethanol solution of Pd(OAc)₂ (1 g L⁻¹). The particle dispersion was stirred mildly at room temperature for 2 h. Then, the particles were isolated by centrifugation and were reduced with KBH₄ dissolved in 20 mL of ethanol. After mild stirring for 3 h at room temperature, the solid was isolated by centrifugation, washed four times with anhydrous ethanol, and dried at 80 °C for 10 h. The particles were labeled as Pd@SiNP_F₃–POSS (1–3) (1.35 wt % Pd).

2.2.3. Preparation of SiNP_F₃–POSS–RB Particles. In the preparation of SiNP_F₃–POSS–RB particles, 2 mL of TEOS, 5.6 mL of deionized water, and 3.2 mL of ammonia were dissolved in 40 mL of ethanol at 40 °C for 5 min. Then, 0.135 mL of MPTES and 1.33 mL of PFDTES were added to the solution. The molar ratio of TEOS/PFDTES was 3:1, with a TEOS/MPTES molar ratio of 16. The reaction was carried out at 40 °C for an additional 30 min, and the mixture was subsequently centrifuged to collect the modified particles, which were washed with ethanol 3 times. The collected solid was dried at 80 °C for 10 h. In a further step, 0.3 g of the above particles were dispersed in 20 mL of ethanol, 10 mg of Rhodamine B isothiocyanate was added into the suspension, and the suspension was stirred at 60 °C for 24 h. After the reaction, the mixture was washed 3 times with ethanol, the solid was separated by centrifugation, and the precipitate was dried at 80 °C for 10 h. The particles were labeled as SiNP_F₃–POSS–RB.

2.3. Catalyst characterization. 2.3.1. TG Analysis. The thermal profiles of the different particles were measured by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). Before the tests, the samples (~10 mg in a 100 μL alumina crucible) were treated from 30 to 900 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C/min under an airflow of 30 mL (STP)/min.

2.3.2. ICP-MS. The Pd composition of the Pd@SiNP_F₃–POSS (1–3) particles was analyzed by inductively coupled plasma (ICP) on an ICP-MS apparatus (PerkinElmer Optima). In a given test, 20 mg of the sample was weighed and loaded in an ICP tube with 4 mL of an aqueous solution of H₂SO₄, and the mixture was heated to 150 °C for
20 min. Then, HNO$_3$ (10 mL) was added, and the tube was heated to 180 °C for 60 min. After this period, additional HNO$_3$ (10 mL) was added, and the tube was kept at 180 °C for 60 min.

2.3.3. ICP-MS. The high-resolution mass spectrometry spectra of IBu$_7$/F$_{13}$-POSS were measured on a Xevo G2-XS QTof mass spectrometer using methanol as a solvent.

2.3.4. FT-IR. The Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) spectra of IBu$_7$-POSS and IBu$_7$/F$_{13}$-POSS particles were measured from 500 to 4000 cm$^{-1}$ on a Bruker Vertex FT-IR spectrometer with 4 cm$^{-1}$ resolution. Each spectrum was measured after 256 scans.

2.3.5. $^{13}$C and $^{29}$Si NMR MAS. Solid-state $^{13}$C and $^{29}$Si NMR MAS spectra were acquired on a Bruker AVANCE III 500 spectrometer equipped with a wide bore 11.7 T magnet by using an operational frequency of 500 MHz. A 4 mm triple resonance probe in double polarization (CP) for proton decoupling was applied. The relaxation delay, $d_p$, between accumulations was 5 and 60 s for $^{13}$C and $^{29}$Si, respectively. All chemical shifts were reported using the δ scale and were externally referenced to glycine for $^{13}$C and TMS for $^{29}$Si NMR MAS. The samples were packed into an NMR rotor and dehydrated at 573 K under vacuum (1 × 10$^{-4}$ mbar) for 2 h prior to loading into the magnet and recording the spectra.

2.3.6. Contact Angles. Contact angles were measured by the sessile drop method on a Dataphysics tensiometer by depositing a small drop of 4 µL of liquid on pellets formed from powder (repeated three times per sample). The pellets were prepared using at least 240 mg of particle powder made by compression under a load of 10 kN using a Leica DM750 optical microscope with GXCAM software, 10 x, 40 x, 100 x objectives. ImageJ software was used to quantify the average droplet size.

2.3.7. DLS. Dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements of particle dispersions were measured using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS particle size analyzer at 25 °C. Around 3 mL of well-dispersed particle dispersion was transferred into a glass cuvette. Each measurement was passed in 8 runs, with each run lasting 30 s. The repeatability for each sample was confirmed after 6 measurements.

2.3.8. Dynamic Surface Tension. Dynamic surface tension of benzyl alcohol and ethanol with various particle concentrations was measured using a bubble pressure tensiometer-BP100 (KRÜSS). Typically, calibration was carried out with ultrapure water at 25 °C to obtain a precise capillary diameter. Before each measurement, 50 mL of a particle solution was prepared and dispersed well by sonication. Then, the solution was transferred into an SV20 glass vessel (121.5 mL, 70 mm) with a 66.5 mm diameter top, 66.5 mm diameter bottom, and 35 mm height center. The surface tension measurements were conducted using a 20 mm/min detection speed, 50 Pa detection sensitivity, and an initial surface age of 10 ms.

2.4. Foaming Tests. The foaming tests were carried out in an 8 mL glass vial (o.d. 17 mm, height 60 mm) with 1 mL of liquid. Different foaming methods were tested, including handshaking, ultraturrax (IKA T-10, 30 000 rpm, 30 s), ultrasonic probe (Sonics VCX750, 20% amplitude, pulse 15/15 s), and magnetic stirring (3 mm × 13 mm stirring bar) at 1500 rpm for 30 min. In these methods, variable amounts of IBu$_7$/F$_{13}$-POSS and SiNP$_{F_{13}}$ (1–3) particles were added to the vial. The foamability (i.e., foam height, measured with a ruler) and foam stability were measured immediately after aeration and monitored statically as a function of time. The bubble size distribution was measured using a Leica DM750 optical microscope with GXCAM software, 10× ocular, 4×, 10×, 40×, and 100× objectives. ImageJ software was used to quantify the average droplet size.

2.5. Catalytic Tests. The oxidation of benzyl alcohol in ethanol was conducted in a batch reactor under O$_2$ using a balloon (1 L) at ambient pressure. In a typical test, BnOH (50 mg, 0.46 mmol), ethanol (1 g), Pd@SiNP$_{F_{13}}$ (40 mg), and a given amount of IBu$_7$/F$_{13}$-POSS (0–1 mg) were added to a Schlenk tube (25 mL) with a magnetic stirring bar. The Schlenk tube was purged three times with O$_2$, and the inlet tube was connected to the O$_2$ balloon. Then, the Schlenk tube was connected to a condenser with a silicon rubber cap on top. In a typical test, the oxidation reaction was carried out at 60 °C for 60 min with stirring (1500 rpm). After the reaction, acetone was added to destabilize the as-generated foam, the liquid was centrifuged (7000 rpm) for 3 min, and the supernatant solution was recovered using a syringe to run the GC analyses.

The solution, after the reaction, was analyzed using an Agilent 7820A GC equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) and an HP-5 column (length 30 m, i.d. 0.25 mm). Mass balance errors were within 5% for all of the catalytic tests. The BnOH conversion and benzaldehyde (BnAH) yield were calculated by interpolation of the corresponding calibration curves using biphenyl as the internal standard as follows.
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Preparation of IBu-/F$_{13}$−POSS Nanoparticles. Isobutyl-based POSS (i.e., IBu−POSS) was synthesized by hydrolytic condensation of isobutyltriethoxysilane catalyzed by lithium hydroxide (see the ESI for experimental details). The sample was further functionalized with perfluorocarbon chains by reaction with trichloro(1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluoroctyl)silane, allowing corner-capping of Si-OLi groups (i.e., IBu−/F$_{13}$−POSS). The molecular structure of IBu−POSS and IBu−/F$_{13}$−POSS (Figure 1a) was confirmed by MS showing the presence of a characteristic molecular ion peak at $m/z = 1163.26$ matching the theoretical value ($m/z = 1163.27$) (Figure S2).

The solid-state $^{13}$C NMR MAS spectrum of IBu−/F$_{13}$−POSS (Figure 1b) displays a resonance band at 2.1 ppm that can be assigned to the carbon close to silicon in the perfluorocarbon chain. Additional bands are visible between 17.7 and 26.8 ppm that correspond to CH$_3$ groups in the isobutyl and perfluorocarbon chains. These bands confirm the closure of IBu−POSS (Figure 1a) was confirmed by MS showing the presence of a characteristic molecular ion peak at $m/z = 1163.26$ matching the theoretical value ($m/z = 1163.27$) (Figure S2).

The solid-state $^{13}$C NMR MAS spectrum of IBu−/F$_{13}$−POSS (Figure 1b) displays a resonance band at 2.1 ppm that can be assigned to the carbon close to silicon in the perfluorocarbon chain. Additional bands are visible between 17.7 and 26.8 ppm that correspond to CH$_3$ groups in the isobutyl and perfluorocarbon chains. These bands confirm the closure of IBu−POSS (Figure 1a) was confirmed by MS showing the presence of a characteristic molecular ion peak at $m/z = 1163.26$ matching the theoretical value ($m/z = 1163.27$) (Figure S2).
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The solid-state $^{13}$C NMR MAS spectrum of IBu−/F$_{13}$−POSS (Figure 1b) displays a resonance band at 2.1 ppm that can be assigned to the carbon close to silicon in the perfluorocarbon chain. Additional bands are visible between 17.7 and 26.8 ppm that correspond to CH$_3$ groups in the isobutyl and perfluorocarbon chains. These bands confirm the closure of IBu−POSS (Figure 1a) was confirmed by MS showing the presence of a characteristic molecular ion peak at $m/z = 1163.26$ matching the theoretical value ($m/z = 1163.27$) (Figure S2).

3.2. Foaming Tests over Combined IBu−/F$_{13}$−POSS and Fluorinated Organosilica Particles. The foaming properties of IBu−/F$_{13}$−POSS in anhydrous ethanol ($\gamma = 22$ mN-m$^{-1}$ at 20 °C) were investigated at room temperature either
alone or combined with oleophobic organosilicas ([SiNP\(_{13}\)−F\(_7\)] (33 wt % F, 364 nm particle size, see TG profile in Figure S6)). The foams were prepared using four different methods (Figure S7, see the ESI for details): (1) handshaking, (2) ultra-turrax, (3) ultrasonic probe, and (4) magnetic stirring (1500 rpm for 30 min). Magnetic stirring provided the best foamability, and accordingly, this method was used hereinafter to measure the foaming properties of the particles. IBu\(_7\)/F\(_7\)−POSS nanoparticles alone (1−4 wt %) can disperse in ethanol and generate foams by either handshaking or stirring but vanish almost instantly, even at 4 wt % concentration (Figure 2b). This observation points to a lack of IBu\(_7\)/F\(_7\)−POSS adsorption at the ethanol−air interface. We also explored the foaming properties of SiNP\(_{13}\)−F\(_7\) particles after stirring at 1500 rpm. The particles can be wetted and dispersed in ethanol but display no foamability in the range of 1−8 wt % (Figure 2a).

Inspired by the already observed synergy between Ph\(_7\)/F\(_7\)−POSS and Pd@SiNP\(_{13}\) nanoparticles for generating foams in aromatic alcohols (γ > 30 mN m\(^{-1}\) at 20 °C),
\(^{10}\) we combined IBu\(_7\)/F\(_7\)−POSS and SiNP\(_{13}\)−F\(_7\) particles to assess their ability for stabilizing foams in ethanol. Keeping the IBu\(_7\)/F\(_7\)−POSS concentration at 1 wt %, adding SiNP\(_{13}\)−F\(_7\) particles at a concentration below 3 wt % generates a tiny foam layer that vanishes within 1 h (Figures 2c and S8). In contrast, thicker ethanol foams are generated above 3 wt % SiNP\(_{13}\)−F\(_7\) particles that keep stable for at least 2 h with a foamability (i.e., foam height) increasing with the SiNP\(_{13}\)−F\(_7\) concentration. When visualized by optical microscopy, gas bubbles are not resistant against compression by the coverslip below 3 wt % SiNP\(_{13}\)−F\(_7\) particles, suggesting poor interfacial coverage by SiNP\(_{13}\)−F\(_7\) and IBu\(_7\)/F\(_7\)−POSS assemblies (Figure 2d). In contrast, above 4 wt % SiNP\(_{13}\)−F\(_7\) particles, the bubbles are highly resistant, and further increase of the SiNP\(_{13}\)−F\(_7\) concentration to 5−8 wt % generates dense and robust ethanol foams with an average bubble size of in all cases about 200 µm. A representative bubble size distribution is provided in the SI (Figure S9).

We next investigated the influence of the IBu\(_7\)/F\(_7\)−POSS concentration (range 0.2−4 wt %) at constant SiNP\(_{13}\)−F\(_7\) concentration (4 wt %) on the ethanol foaming properties (Figures 3 and S10). The foamability increases monotonically with the IBu\(_7\)/F\(_7\)−POSS concentration from 3 to 7 mm (Figure 3a). Further monitoring of the time-evolution of the foam height using 5 wt % SiNP\(_{13}\)−F\(_7\) concentration and 0.2−2 wt % IBu\(_7\)/F\(_7\)−POSS reveals high stability for 72 h with only moderate decline of the foam height (Figure S11a,b). Inspection of the time-evolution of normalized heights reveals slightly higher stability at higher IBu\(_7\)/F\(_7\)−POSS concentrations (Figure S11c). In line with these observations, the average size of bubbles remains almost unchanged while increasing the IBu\(_7\)/F\(_7\)−POSS concentration (Figure 3b). However, the bubbles become more fragile after being pressed by the coverslip (Figure 3c). This observation can be explained by a lower loading of adsorbed SiNP\(_{13}\)−F\(_7\) particles at the ethanol−air interface at a higher IBu\(_7\)/F\(_7\)−POSS concentration due to higher interfacial competition between both particles.

To appraise the relative adsorption between IBu\(_7\)/F\(_7\)−POSS and SiNP\(_{13}\)−F\(_7\) particles at the ethanol−air interface, we synthesized fluorescent fluorinated organosilica particles decorated with rhodamine b isothiocyanate groups (i.e., SiNP\(_{13}\)−F\(_7\)−RB, see the ESI for details). We then prepared foams by combining 0.5 wt % IBu\(_7\)/F\(_7\)−POSS and 8 wt % SiNP\(_{13}\)−F\(_7\) nanoparticles at the ethanol−air interface and the mechanism promoting the genesis of ethanol foams, we combined contact angle, dynamic surface tension, and dynamic light scattering measurements, together with catalytic tests.

3.3. Understanding the Interaction between IBu\(_7\)/
F\(_7\)−POSS and SiNP\(_{13}\)−F\(_7\) Particles. To rationalize the interaction between IBu\(_7\)/F\(_7\)−POSS and SiNP\(_{13}\)−F\(_7\) particles at the ethanol−air interface and the mechanism promoting the genesis of ethanol foams, we combined contact angle, dynamic surface tension, and dynamic light scattering measurements, together with catalytic tests.

3.3.1. Interfacial Contact Angle and Dynamic Surface Tension. We measured the interfacial contact angles and dynamic surface tension of ethanol in the presence of single and combined IBu\(_7\)/F\(_7\)−POSS and SiNP\(_{13}\)−F\(_7\)−POSS nanoparticles. SiNP\(_{13}\)−F\(_7\) particles alone show a contact angle of 40° with ethanol, whereas the contact angle for IBu\(_7\)/F\(_7\)−POSS nanoparticles is 53° (Figure S13). This observation points out a lower dispersion of IBu\(_7\)/F\(_7\)−POSS nanoparticles when suspended in ethanol.

Dynamic surface tension tests in ethanol and BnOH exhibit, in both cases, a decrease of the surface tension, pointing out the surface-active (i.e., surfactant-like) properties of IBu\(_7\)/F\(_7\)−POSS (Figure S14). The decline of surface tension is higher for BnOH evolving from 40 mN m\(^{-1}\) without IBu\(_7\)/F\(_7\)−POSS to 27.5 mN m\(^{-1}\) at 20 °C using 0.5 wt % IBu\(_7\)/F\(_7\)−POSS (Figure S14a). In the case of ethanol, despite its much lower surface tension (22.3 mN m\(^{-1}\) at 20 °C), a slight reduction to 21.9 mN m\(^{-1}\) (20 °C) is observed (Figure S14b). In light of these results, the surface-active nature of IBu\(_7\)/F\(_7\)−POSS nanoparticles is expected to promote the diffusion of
SiNP_F_{17}(1−3) particles to the ethanol–air interface during the foaming process. More details are provided in the subsections below.

### 3.3.2. Dynamic Light Scattering

The dispersion of the particles in ethanol was further studied by dynamic light scattering (DLS). When dispersed in ethanol, SiNP_F_{17}(1−3) (4 wt %) particles show an average particle size of ∼1000 nm that reveals partial agglomeration when compared to the nominal particle size (364 nm) (Figure S15a). Larger agglomeration is observed for IBu_7/F_{13}−POSS nanoparticles (0.2 wt %) with an average particle size of 170 nm. Using THF as a solvent, IBu_7/F_{13}−POSS nanoparticles exhibit higher dispersion with an average particle size below 10 nm at low IBu_7/F_{13}−POSS concentration (0.001 wt %), whereas small aggregates (34 nm) are observed at higher particle concentration (0.1 wt %) (Figure S15c). These observations point out that ethanol is a bad dispersant of both particles when being suspended alone.

Opposing the observations above, when IBu_7/F_{13}−POSS and SiNP_F_{17}(1−3) particles are dispersed together in ethanol, the average particle size is ∼410 nm, which is only slightly higher than the sizes measured for SiNP_F_{17}(1−3) and IBu_7/F_{13}−POSS particles alone (Figure S15a), and approaches the nominal size of SiNP_F_{17}(1−3) particles (364 nm). This value remains almost unchanged regardless of the IBu_7/F_{13}−POSS concentration (range 0.2 or 2.0 wt %) for 4 wt % SiNP_F_{17}(1−3) concentration. Additional DLS measurements at the same particle concentrations but using variable sonication times exhibit a drastic decline of the particle size from 1000 to 410 nm after 10 min of sonication (Figure S15b). These observations confirm the synergistic effect between both particles in ethanol, leading to complete dispersion of SiNP_F_{17}(1−3) in the presence of IBu_7/F_{13}−POSS. The slightly higher particle size measured by DLS compared to the nominal SiNP_F_{17}(1−3) particle size measured by HR-TEM (410 vs 364 nm) can be explained by partial particle solvation and IBu_7/F_{13}−POSS adsorption on SiNP_F_{17}(1−3) particles when dispersed in ethanol.

### 3.3.3. Catalytic Tests

We further characterized the SiNP_F_{17}(1−3) and IBu_7/F_{13}−POSS dual-particle self-assemblies at the ethanol–air interface using the aerobic oxidation of benzyl alcohol (BnOH) as a model reaction. The reaction was conducted using diluted BnOH in ethanol (5 wt %, 0.40 mM) at 60 °C. To render SiNP_F_{17}(1−3) catalytic, Pd nanoparticles were loaded by wet impregnation using an ethanolic solution of Pd(OAc)_2 (see the ESI for details). The final particles were
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denoted as Pd@SiNP_F (1.33 wt % Pd). In the catalytic tests, the Pd@SiNP_F (1−3) concentration was kept constant at 4 wt %, while the effect of the IBu−/F13−POSS concentration was studied from 0 to 1.0 wt %. Without IBu−/F13−POSS, the benzaldehyde (BAH) yield is only 8% after 1 h and increases to 32% after adding 0.2 wt % IBu−/F13−POSS (Figure 4b). Further increase of the IBu−/F13−POSS concentration to 1 wt % results in a marked decline of the BAH yield down to 8%. Overall, a volcano-type trend is observed for the BAH yield as a function of the IBu−/F13−POSS concentration with a maximum BAH yield at 0.2 wt %.

To rationalize the trend above, we measured the ethanol foamability and foam stability under the reaction conditions (60 °C, 1 h stabilization) for the Pd@SiNP_F (1−3) and IBu−/F13−POSS dual-particle system as a function of the IBu−/F13−POSS concentration. The foam height increases drastically upon addition of IBu−/F13−POSS from 0 to 1.0 wt %, reaching a plateau of ~10 mm at 0.3 wt % that keeps constant until 1.0 wt % (Figure 4a). The foam height keeps stable along the reaction (60 °C, 1 h) using 4 wt % Pd@SiNP_F (1−3) and 0.2 wt % IBu−/F13−POSS and decreases only slightly after 24 h and by reducing the temperature to 25 °C (Figure S16).

Figure 4c presents a schematic representation of the foamy and foam stability for the Pd@SiNP_F (1−3) and IBu−/F13−POSS dual-particle system as a function of the IBu−/F13−POSS concentration. Without IBu−/F13−POSS, Pd@SiNP_F (1−3) particles exhibit agglomeration, as suggested by the DLS plots (Figure S15). By adding a low concentration of IBu−/F13−POSS (0.2 wt %), Pd@SiNP_F (1−3) can disperse in ethanol driven by the synergy between both particles with no observable entropic depletion, and the particle assemblies can adsorb at the ethanol−air interface after stirring and thus generate stable foams. Further increase of the IBu−/F13−POSS concentration results in higher foamability and foam stability. In light of this observation, the volcano plot observed for the BAH yield (Figure 4b) can be explained by a lower interfacial density of fluorinated particles when increasing the IBu−/F13−POSS concentration. This leads to a reduction of available catalytic sites for the reaction, resulting in a lower catalytic activity. This deactivation mechanism differs from that already reported for the Ph−/F13−POSS + Pd@SiNP_F (1−3) dual-particle system, where addition of Ph−/F13−POSS (>0.1 wt %) results in a pronounced decline of foam stability.

3.4. Scope of Low-Surface Tension Solvents. With these results in hand, we examined the generality of combined IBu−/F13−POSS and SiNP_F (1−3) particles to generate stable foams for a library of solvents with surface tension in the range 22−28 mN m−1 (20 °C), including polar solvents such as methanol, isopropanol, acetone, and dichloromethane, as well as hydrocarbons such as octane, decane, dodecane, 1- octene, toluene, and alcohol mixtures. For comparison, we also tested solvents with higher surface tension (BnOH, ethylene glycol).

In analogy to ethanol, IBu−/F13−POSS nanoparticles can disperse in the different solvents and generate foams by either handshaking or stirring. However, their stability is ephemeral. Likewise, SiNP_F (1−3) particles can be wetted and dispersed in the solvents but do not exhibit foamability. By combining 1 wt % IBu−/F13−POSS and 5 wt % SiNP_F (1−3) particles, foams are generated after stirring at 1500 rpm for 30 min that keep stable for at least 2 h (Figure 5). Likewise, the combination of both particles promotes the generation of foams in alcohol mixtures for aromatic and long-chain aliphatic alcohols (e.g., 1-hexanol, 1-octanol, 1-dodecanol, 1-hexadecanol, 2-octanol) in ethanol (5 wt %) (Figure S17). The dual-
particle system can also generate foams in pure BnOH with a surface tension of 39 mN/m (25 °C). However, no foams are produced in very polar ethylene glycol with a surface tension of 48 mN/m (25 °C) due to the poor dispersion of SiNP_F17(1–3) and IBu7/F13-POSS (Figure S18).

Overall, these observations point out the versatility of the IBu7/F13-POSS and SiNP_F17(1–3) dual-particle system for stabilizing foams in solvents with low surface tension and combinations of alcohols with low surface tension. As in the case of ethanol, IBu7/F13-POSS promotes the dispersion of SiNP_F17(1–3) particles in the different solvents as inferred from DLS measurements (Figure S19). The average particle size approaches that of the nominal SiNP_F17(1–3) particles (range of 383–499 vs 364 nm) (Table S1).

3.5. Particle Recycling. We studied the recyclability of IBu7/F13-POSS and Pd@SiNP_F17(1–3) particles for the aerobic oxidation of BnOH in ethanol foam for five consecutive runs (see the ESI for details). Two different particle separation methods were implemented (see scheme in Figure S20).20 First, the IBu7/F13-POSS and Pd@SiNP_F17(1–3) dual-particle system mixture was separated after each run by adding twice the volume of deionized water. This protocol promotes IBu7/F13-POSS precipitation from the ethanol–water mixture and further separation by centrifugation (Figure S20a). The dual-particle mixture was then dried at 80 °C for 4 h and was used for a subsequent catalytic run.

Besides, to recycle both particles separately, Pd@SiNP_F17(1–3) was separated by centrifugation, while IBu7/F13-POSS remained in the supernatant (Figure S20b). The IBu7/F13-POSS was then precipitated by adding deionized water, and the nanoparticles were separated by centrifugation. The IBu7/F13-POSS nanoparticles were further used in the subsequent catalytic run after remixing with Pd@SiNP_F17(1–3). In both cases, the Pd@SiNP_F17(1–3) and IBu7/F13-POSS dual-particle system can be reused for at least five consecutive runs without appreciable loss of catalytic activity and foamy foams (Figure 6). No Pd leaching is observed after the reaction, as inferred by ICP-MS.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we prepared foams in a series of low-surface tension solvents stabilized by a dual-particle system consisting of surface-active oleophobic silica particles, used as a stabilizer, and a novel type of amphiphilic polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxane (IBu7/F13-POSS) nanoparticle decorated with isobutyl cage substituents, used as a frother. The foamyability in low-surface-tension solvents was drastically promoted in the presence of a low IBu7/F13-POSS concentration (0.2–4 wt %) compared to a suspension with oleophobic organosilica particles alone. The addition of IBu7/F13-POSS helped organosilica particles to disperse in ethanol and promoted interfacial self-assembly after stirring, resulting in stable and abundant foam formation. Further increase of the IBu7/F13-POSS concentration generated thicker and more stable foams that hindered the particle film permeability and, in turn, the catalytic activity. Particles were conveniently recycled with comparable foamyability and foam stability, and the catalytic activity was maintained for at least five consecutive runs. The results presented in this study pave the way to the design of on-purpose, adjustable dual-particle systems for generating nonaqueous foams à la carte for a large variety of solvents with low surface tensions.
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