CARDIFF UNIVERSITY PRIFYSGOL CAERDYD

ORCA – Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/167387/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Hannah, Samuel, Ros Camacho, Ana and Young, Devi 2024. Detecting algebra objects from NIM-reps in pointed, near-group and quantum group-like fusion categories. Nuclear Physics B, 116525. 10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2024.116525

Publishers page: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2024.116525

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.

DETECTING ALGEBRA OBJECTS FROM NIM-REPS IN POINTED, NEAR-GROUP AND QUANTUM GROUP-LIKE FUSION CATEGORIES

SAMUEL HANNAH AND ANA ROS CAMACHO, WITH AN APPENDIX WITH DEVI YOUNG

ABSTRACT. In this article we study the possible Morita equivalence classes of algebras in three families of fusion categories (pointed, near-group and $(A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}}$) by studying the Non-negative Integer Matrix representations (NIM-reps) of their underlying fusion ring, and compare these results with existing classification results of algebra objects. Also, in an appendix we include a test of the exponents conjecture for modular tensor categories of rank up to 4.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Acknowledgements	2
2. Preliminaries	2
2.1. Group Actions	2
2.2. Fusion Categories	3
2.3. \mathbb{Z}_+ -Rings	4
2.4. NIM-Reps	6
2.5. Module Categories, Algebra Objects and NIM-Reps	7
3. NIM-Representations	10
3.1. Group Rings	10
3.2. Near-Group Fusion Rings	12
3.3. Admissible NIM-Reps and Algebra Objects for Pointed and Near-Group Fusion Categories	18
3.4. $(A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}}$ Fusion Rings and its Admissible NIM-Reps and Algebra Objects	19
Appendix A. Modular Invariants and the Exponents Conjecture for Low Rank Modular Tensor Categories,	,
joint with Devi Young	24
References	29

References

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of fusion rings and their associated non-negative integer matrix representations (or NIM-reps, for short) has stimulated a rich production in the literature, see e.g. [BPPZ00, DFZ90, EP21, Gan02, Gan05]. A good reason behind this is the connection of NIM-reps to boundary rational conformal field theory (or D-branes in string theory): in particular, finding NIM-reps is equivalent to solving Cardy's equation in these cases. Some recent papers have continued this work [CRSS23, YZL1806], showing that this interest keeps up after time.

Given a rational, c_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra describing the chiral symmetries of a rational conformal field theory, its category of representations is a modular tensor category [Hua08, HLZ]. In this paper we go for a slightly more general setting than modular and focus on the study and

Date: March 7, 2024.

classification of NIM-reps for two different families of fusion categories, pointed and near-group. We also include the case of the modular tensor category $(A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}}$, thus covering a certain amount of modular tensor categories known to date (see e.g. [BGN⁺20, BNRW16, BOM18, BPRZ21, Bru16, NRWW23, RSW09], more recently [NRW], and references thereof). Our main motivation for undertaking this work is to test the potential of NIM-reps as a detection tool for families of algebra objects in fusion categories that represent their corresponding module categories. In this way, we generalise the results of [BD12] for any pointed fusion category (recovering the expected group algebras as described by [Nat17, Ost03b]), extend results outlined in [Ost15, Appendix A] and our understanding of algebra objects in near-group categories as discussed in [MM12, Gal12]; and recover the results outlined by [EK9503] for \mathbb{Z}_+ -modules and by [Ost03a] for algebra objects in $(A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Furthermore, there is an interesting conjecture relating the exponents of NIM-reps and those of the modular invariants of the category they live on. In an appendix, we test explicitly this conjecture for unitary modular tensor categories up to rank 4 (which by [RSW09] include examples of the three families of categories considered in this paper for which we compute their respective NIM-reps).

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we introduce all the necessary background on fusion and module categories as well as algebra objects, and also on \mathbb{Z}_+ -modules and NIM-reps. In Section 3 we compute the NIM-reps for each category, and also the algebra objects derived from those. Appendix A includes the calculations testing the exponents conjecture for modular tensor categories of rank up to 4.

Acknowledgements. SH is supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council. ARC is supported by Cardiff University. Devi Young's contribution was supported by the Cardiff University On-Campus Internship Scheme 21/22. The authors would like to especially thank an anonymous referee for their helpful comments and suggestions.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we fix k to be an algebraically closed field. In this section, we collect some basic definitions necessary for our work.

2.1. Group Actions. Here we recall several standard definitions and well-known results on group actions, e.g. see [Arm10, Cam99].

Definition 2.1. Let G be a group and S a set. A G-action on S is a binary operation $*: G \times S \to S$ such that, for all $s \in S$,

$$e * s = s$$
, and $(g \cdot h) * s = g * (h * s)$,

where $e \in G$ is the group identity element. A set with such an action is called a *G*-set.

Definition 2.2. Let S be a G-set, and $s \in S$.

- The orbit of G through s is the subset of S defined by

$$\operatorname{Orb}(s) = \{g * s | g \in G\}.$$

- The G-action on S is called *transitive* if Orb(s) = S.
- The stabiliser of s is the subgroup of G defined by

$$\operatorname{Stab}(s) = \{g \in G | g \ast s = s\}.$$

For two elements $s, t \in S$, the orbits Orb(s), Orb(t) are either equal or disjoint. Hence the set S can be partitioned into a collection of transitive G-sets. The following results can be found at [Cam99, Theorem 1.3].

Proposition 2.3. Let S be a G-set, and take $s \in S$. There is an isomorphism of G-sets between the orbit Orb(s) and the set of left cosets G/Stab(s), where G acts on the set of left cosets by left-translation.

Proposition 2.4. Two left coset G-sets G/H, G/K are isomorphic as G-sets if and only if H, K are conjugate as subgroups of G.

As a result of these propositions, we can study all G-sets by studying the G-sets of left cosets for all conjugacy classes of subgroups in G.

2.2. Fusion Categories. In this subsection we follow [EGNO15].

A monoidal category \mathcal{C} consists of a tuple $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbb{1}, \alpha, l, r)$ where \mathcal{C} is a category, $\otimes: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is a bifunctor, $\mathbb{1} \in \mathsf{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, $\alpha_{X,Y,Z}: (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z \to X \otimes (Y \otimes Z)$ is a natural isomorphism for each $X, Y, Z \in \mathsf{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, and $l_X: \mathbb{1} \otimes X \to X$ and $r_X: X \otimes \mathbb{1} \to X$ are natural isomorphisms for all $X \in \mathsf{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, satisfying coherence axioms (pentagon and triangle).

A monoidal category is called *rigid* if it comes equipped with left and right dual objects — that means, for every $X \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$ there exists respectively an object $X^* \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$ with evaluation and coevaluation maps $ev_X : X^* \otimes X \to 1$ and $coev_X : 1 \to X \otimes X^*$, as well as an object $*X \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$ with evaluation and coevaluation maps $\tilde{ev}_X : X \otimes *X \to 1$ and $\tilde{coev}_X : 1 \to *X \otimes X$ satisfying in both cases the usual conditions.

A k-linear abelian category C is *locally finite* if, for any two objects $V, W \in Ob(C)$, $Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(V, W)$ is a finite-dimensional k-vector space and every object has a finite filtration by simple objects. Further, we say C is *finite* if there are finitely many isomorphism classes of simple objects. A *tensor category* is a locally finite, rigid, monoidal category such the the tensor product is k-linear in each slot and the monoidal unit is a simple object of the category.

At this point, it is useful to introduce the following notion. Given an abelian category \mathcal{C} , the *Grothendieck group* Gr (\mathcal{C}) of \mathcal{C} is the free abelian group generated by isomorphism classes X_i of simple objects in \mathcal{C} . If X and Y are objects in \mathcal{C} such that Y is simple then we denote as [X: Y] the multiplicity of Y in a Jordan-Hölder series of X. To any object X in \mathcal{C} we can canonically associate its class $[X] \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ given by the formula:

$$[X] = \sum_{i} [X \colon X_i] X_i.$$

A monoidal category \mathcal{C} is called *braided* if it comes equipped with natural isomorphisms $c_{X,Y} \colon X \otimes Y \to Y \otimes X$, for all $X, Y \in \mathsf{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, called the *braiding*, that are compatible with the monoidal structure of the category. This means, the braiding satisfies the so-called *hexagon identities* for any

three objects $X, Y, Z \in \mathsf{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$:



A ribbon category is a braided tensor category \mathcal{C} together with a ribbon twist, i.e., a natural isomorphism $\theta_X \colon X \to X$ which satisfies

$$\theta_{X\otimes Y} = (\theta_X \otimes \theta_Y) c_{Y,X} c_{X,Y}, \qquad \theta_1 = \mathsf{Id}_1, \qquad (\theta_X)^* = \theta_{X^*}.$$

In order to define modular tensor categories, we require the notion of non-degeneracy of a braided category. We say that an object X centralises another object Y of C if

$$c_{Y,X}c_{X,Y} = \mathsf{Id}_{X\otimes Y}.$$

A braided finite tensor category \mathcal{C} is *non-degenerate* if the only objects X that centralise *all* objects of \mathcal{C} are of the form $X = \mathbb{1}^{\oplus n}$ [EGNO15, Section 8.20]. Equivalently, \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate if and only if it is *factorisable*, i.e., there is an equivalence of braided monoidal categories $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\text{rev}} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}$, where \mathcal{C}^{rev} is \mathcal{C} as a tensor category, but with reversed braiding given by the inverse braiding [Shi19]. If \mathcal{C} is a *fusion category* (i.e., a semi-simple finite tensor category) with a ribbon structure, then the above notion of non-degeneracy is equivalent to the commonly used condition that the *S*-matrix is non-singular.

Definition 2.5 ([KL01,Shi19]). A braided finite tensor category is *modular* if it is a non-degenerate ribbon category.

2.3. \mathbb{Z}_+ -Rings. In this subsection we continue following [EGNO15]. Denote as \mathbb{Z}_+ the semi-ring of positive integers with zero.

Definition 2.6. Let R be a ring which is free as a \mathbb{Z} -module.

- (1) A \mathbb{Z}_+ -basis of R is a basis $B = \{b_i\}_{i \in I}$, where I is an indexing set, such that $b_i b_j = \sum_{k \in I} c_{ij}^k b_k$, where $c_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.
- (2) A Z₊-ring is a ring with a fixed Z₊-basis and with an identity 1 which is a non-negative linear combination of the basis elements. If 1 is a basis element, then it is called a *unital* Z₊-ring.

(3) Given a \mathbb{Z}_+ -ring (R, B), a \mathbb{Z}_+ -module is an R-module T with a fixed \mathbb{Z} -basis $M = \{m_l\}_{l \in L}$ such that for any $m_l \in M$, $b_i \in B$, then $b_i \rhd m_l = \sum_{k \in I} a_{i,l}^k m_k$, where $a_{i,l}^k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Example 2.7. For C a fusion category with X_i the representatives of the isomorphism classes of simple objects, the tensor product on C induces a natural multiplication on Gr(C) defined by the formula:

$$X_i X_j := [X_i \otimes X_j] = \sum_{k \in I} [X_i \otimes X_j \colon X_k] X_k$$

where $i, j \in I$. This multiplication is associative, and thus $\operatorname{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ is a \mathbb{Z}_+ -ring with unit [1]. $\operatorname{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ is called the *Grothendieck ring* of \mathcal{C} .

Let (R, B) be a \mathbb{Z}_+ -ring, and let $i \in I_0 \subset I$ such that b_i appears in the decomposition of 1. Then, let $\tau : R \to \mathbb{Z}$ denote the group homomorphism defined by:

$$\tau (b_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & i \in I_0, \\ 0 & i \notin I_0. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.8. A \mathbb{Z}_+ -ring (R, B) is called a *based ring* if there exists an involution $()^* \colon I \to I$, $i \mapsto i^*$ of the label set I such that the induced map

$$a = \sum_{i \in I} a_i b_i \mapsto a^* = \sum_{i \in I} a_i b_{i^*},$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, is an anti-involution of the ring R and

$$\tau (b_i b_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j^*, \\ 0 & i \neq j^*. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 2.9 (Proposition 3.1.6, [EGNO15]). In any based ring, the number $c_{i,j}^{k^*}$ is invariant under cyclic permutations of i,j,k.

We arrive to one of the main definitions of this paper:

Definition 2.10. A *fusion ring* is a unital, based ring of finite rank.

In order to later introduce the NIM-reps, it is convenient to observe the following property:

Proposition 2.11. (Rigidity property) A fusion ring (R, B) can be equipped with a symmetric bilinear form $(-, -) : R \times R \to \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $(b_i b_j, b_k) = (b_j, b_{i^*} \cdot b_k)$.

Proof. Let (-, -) be the symmetric bilinear form defined by the condition $(b_i, b_j) = \delta_{i,j}$. Then the property of $\tau(b_i b_j) = \delta_{i,j*}$ can be reformulated as

$$(1, b_i b_j) = (b_i, b_{j^*}) = (b_{i^*}, b_j)$$

It is clear that $(1, (b_i b_j) b_k) = \tau((b_i b_j) b_k) = c_{ij}^{k^*}$, and that $(1, (b_i b_j) b_k) = (1, b_i (b_j b_k))$ by associativity. Thus, we find that

$$((b_i b_j)^*, b_k) = (b_{j*} b_{i*}, b_k) = (b_{i*}, b_j b_k)$$

where the first equality uses that the induced map is an anti-involution. Relabelling of the indices gives the stated result. $\hfill \Box$

Notation 2.12. Whenever clear from the context, we may refer to a fusion ring simply as R instead of (R, B).

Example 2.13. Let \mathcal{C} be a semi-simple rigid monoidal category. Then the Grothendieck ring is a fusion ring, with the simple objects acting as the basis. The involution is then taking the dual of an object and the symmetric bilinear form is $(X, Y) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} (\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}} (X, Y))$, for simple objects $X, Y \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$.

The following explicit examples will be studied in detail in later Sections.

Example 2.14 (*Group rings*). Take a finite group G, and construct the group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$ where addition is linear and multiplication is given by the group operation. Then $(\mathbb{Z}G, G)$ is a fusion ring with involution $g^* = g^{-1}$.

Example 2.15 (*Ising fusion ring*). Let $B = \{1, X, Y\}$, and R the integer span $\mathbb{Z}B$ with addition defined linearly and multiplication given by the fusion rules

$$X^2 = 1 + Y, \quad Y^2 = 1 \quad XY = YX = X.$$

 $(\mathbb{Z}B, B)$ is a fusion ring with the self-dual involution $X^* = X, Y^* = Y$.

2.4. **NIM-Reps.** In this subsection we introduce the main character of this article, following [BPPZ00, BPRZ21, Gan02, Gan05, Gan06].

Definition 2.16. Let (R, B) be a fusion ring. A non-negative integer matrix representation (NIM-rep for short) of (R, B) is a \mathbb{Z}_+ -module (T, M) that satisfies the following condition;

- (Rigidity condition): let T have a symmetric bilinear form $(-, -): M \times M \to \mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$(m_l, m_k) = \delta_{l,k}$$

for any $l, k \in L$. Then we must have, for any $i \in I, l, k \in L$

$$(b_i \triangleright m_l, m_k) = (m_l, b_{i^*} \triangleright m_k).$$

Remark 2.17. In this definition, unlike Proposition 2.11, rigidity is a condition, not a property.

Example 2.18. A fusion ring can always be considered as a NIM-rep of itself, with the module action simply the ring multiplication.

Remark 2.19. Note that, for $t \in T, m_l \in M$, the symmetric bilinear form (t, m_l) counts the multiplicity of m_l in the basis decomposition of t. We then immediately see that (t, t) = 1 if and only if $t \in M$.

Viewed as \mathbb{Z}_+ -modules, it is straightforward to define the direct sum of NIM-reps: given two NIM-reps (T, M), (T', M') over a fusion ring (R, B) the *direct sum of NIM-reps* is the *R*-module $T \oplus T'$ with a distinguished basis $M \oplus M'$. Other basic notions like *sub-NIM-rep* are defined in a similar way.

Definition 2.20 ([EGNO15] Section 3.4, [Ost03a] Lemma 2.1.). A NIM-rep is called *irreducible* if it has no proper sub-NIM-reps¹.

¹One can also have the notion of *indecomposable NIM-rep*, meaning one which is not isomorphic to a non-trivial direct sum of NIM-reps. Since we are working over fusion rings, these two notions are equivalent.

Remark 2.21. Suppose we have a NIM-rep (T, M) over a fusion ring (R, B) that satisfies $b_i \triangleright m_j = 0_R$ for some $b_i \in B, m_j \in M$. The rigidity condition then imposes that $m_j = 0_R \in M$. However, the only way 0_R appears in the NIM-rep basis is if $\{0_R\} = M$, (i.e this NIM-rep is the trivial NIM-rep). We shall remove this NIM-rep from future considerations.

Definition 2.22 ([BD12]). Let (T, M), (T', M') be two NIM-reps over a fusion ring (R, B). A *NIM-rep morphism* is a function $\psi \colon M \to M'$ inducing a \mathbb{Z} -linear map between the modules. If ψ is a bijection, and the induced map is an isomorphism of *R*-modules, then we say that the NIM-reps are *equivalent*.

Notation 2.23. Unlike the case of a fusion ring, since we will be working mostly with the basis of the NIM-rep, we may refer to a NIM-rep simply as M instead of (T, M).

We can visually express the data of a NIM-rep in the following way. For a given NIM-rep (T, M) over a fusion ring (R, B), a *NIM-graph* (sometimes also called in the literature 'fusion graphs') is constructed with a node for each element of the basis M, and a directed arrow with source m_l and target m_k , labelled by an element $b_i \in B$, for every copy of m_k in $b_i \triangleright m_l$. Every node in a NIM-graph will have a self-loop labelled by the ring identity, which we omit for simplicity.

Example 2.24. If we consider the Ising fusion ring from Example 2.15 as a NIM-rep over itself, the corresponding NIM-graph is given by;



Remark 2.25. - The NIM-graph allows us to visualise irreducibility of the corresponding NIM-rep, as a NIM-rep is irreducible if and only if the NIM-graph is connected.

In [Gan05, Gan06], NIM-reps are defined equivalently as an assignment of a matrix with non-negative integer entries to each element in Ob(C), satisfying several compatibility conditions. We will not use this description in the main text but it will be useful in Appendix A.

2.5. Module Categories, Algebra Objects and NIM-Reps. In this section, unless specified $C = (C, \otimes, 1, \alpha, l, r)$ is a fusion category.

Definition 2.26. An algebra in \mathcal{C} is a triple (A, m, u), with $A \in \mathsf{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, and $m: A \otimes A \to A$ (multiplication), $u: \mathbb{1} \to A$ (unit) being morphisms in \mathcal{C} , satisfying unitality and associativity constraints:

$$m(m \otimes \mathsf{Id}_A) = m(\mathsf{Id}_A \otimes m)\alpha_{A,A,A}, \qquad m(u \otimes \mathsf{Id}_A) = l_A, \qquad m(\mathsf{Id}_A \otimes u) = r_A.$$

Example 2.27. Let \mathcal{C} be a tensor category, then $\mathbb{1}$ is an algebra. In fact, for any $X \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$ the object $A = X \otimes X^*$ has a natural structure of an algebra with unit $u = \operatorname{coev}_X$ and multiplication $m = \operatorname{Id}_X \otimes \operatorname{ev}_X \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{X^*}$.

Definition 2.28. (a) An algebra A in C is *indecomposable* if it is not isomorphic to a direct sum of non-trivial algebras in C.

(b) An algebra A in C is *separable* if there exists a morphism $\Delta' : A \to A \otimes A$ in C so that $m\Delta' = \mathsf{Id}_A$ as maps in C with

$$\left(\mathsf{Id}_A \otimes m\right) \alpha_{A,A,A} \left(\Delta' \otimes \mathsf{Id}_A\right) = \Delta' m = \left(m \otimes \mathsf{Id}_A\right) \alpha_{A,A,A}^{-1} \left(\mathsf{Id}_A \otimes \Delta'\right).$$

Just like in abstract algebra, one can construct the related notion of a module over an algebra in the following way.

Definition 2.29. Take A := (A, m, u), an algebra in \mathcal{C} . A right A-module in \mathcal{C} is a pair (M, ρ_M) , where $M \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$, and $\rho_M := \rho_M^A : M \otimes A \to M$ is a morphism in \mathcal{C} so that

$$\rho_M(\rho_M \otimes \mathsf{Id}_A) = \rho_M(\mathsf{Id}_M \otimes m)\alpha_{M,A,A} \quad \text{and} \quad r_M = \rho_M(\mathsf{Id}_M \otimes u).$$

A morphism of right A-modules in \mathcal{C} is a morphism $f: M \to N$ in \mathcal{C} so that $f\rho_M = \rho_N(f \otimes \mathsf{Id}_A)$. Right A-modules in \mathcal{C} and their morphisms form a category, which we denote by $\mathsf{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}} - A$. The categories $A - \mathsf{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}$ of left A-modules and $A - \mathsf{Bimod}_{\mathcal{C}}$ of A-bimodules in \mathcal{C} are defined likewise.

We want to relate these categories of modules to the following notion:

Definition 2.30. Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category. A *left module category* over \mathcal{C} is a category \mathcal{M} equipped with an *action* (or *module product*) bifunctor $\otimes: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ and natural isomorphisms

 $m_{X,Y,M}$: $(X \otimes Y) \otimes M \to X \otimes (Y \otimes M)$,

called the module associativity constraint and

$$\lambda_M \colon \mathbb{1} \otimes M \to M_{\mathfrak{I}}$$

called the module unit constraint, such that both pentagon diagram:



and the *triangle diagram*:



are commutative $\forall X, Y, Z \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$ and $M \in Ob(\mathcal{M})$.

In a similar way, one defines a *right* C-module category.

A tensor category is the simplest example: it is a module category over itself. A convenient, less trivial example for us is the following:

Proposition 2.31 (Proposition 7.8.10, [EGNO15]). $Mod_{\mathcal{C}} - A$ is a left \mathcal{C} -module category.

In fact, given certain conditions one can go one step further.

Lemma 2.32 (Proposition 7.8.30, [EGNO15]). Let A be a separable algebra in a fusion category C. Then the category $Mod_{C} - A$ of right A-modules in C is also semisimple.

Example 2.33. (Follow-up from Definition 2.13) If we take a semi-simple module category \mathcal{M} over \mathcal{C} , then the Grothendieck group $\operatorname{Gr}(\mathcal{M})$ is a NIM-representation of $\operatorname{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$, with the isomorphism classes of simple objects acting as the basis. The NIM-rep action of $\operatorname{Gr}(\mathcal{M})$ on $\operatorname{Gr}(\mathcal{C})$ is induced from the module category action of \mathcal{M} on \mathcal{C} .

In particular, if C is a fusion category, we note that by Lemma 2.32 every separable algebra A in C gives rise to a NIM-rep over Gr(C).

We now describe how to detect potential algebra objects from certain NIM-reps. We state the following theorem using results from [EGNO15, Section 7.10]:

Theorem 2.34. Let C be a fusion category, \mathcal{M} an indecomposable semisimple C-module category, and $N \in Ob(\mathcal{M})$ such that [N] generates $Gr(\mathcal{M})$ as a based \mathbb{Z}_+ -module over $Gr(\mathcal{C})$. Then there is an equivalence $\mathcal{M} \simeq Mod_{\mathcal{C}} - A$ of C-module categories, where A = Hom(N, N).

We now translate this to the language of NIM-reps;

Lemma 2.35. Suppose we are in the setup of Theorem 2.34. Then the basis element [N] of the NIM-rep $Gr(\mathcal{M})$ satisfies the condition that, for all other basis elements $[N_i]$, there exists a basis element $[X_i]$ in $Gr(\mathcal{C})$ such that $[X_i] \triangleright [N] = [N_i]$.

Proof. This follows straightforwardly from the conditions in Theorem 2.34, as the class [N] generates $Gr(\mathcal{M})$ as a \mathbb{Z}_+ -module. This condition restricted to the basis elements gives the result. \Box

Definition 2.36. We shall call a NIM-rep (T, M) over the fusion ring (R, B) admissible if there exists an element $m_0 \in M$ such that, for every other element $m_i \in M$, there exists an element $b_j \in B$ that satisfies $b_j \triangleright m_0 = m_i$.

Proposition 2.37. Let (T, M) be an admissible NIM-rep over the fusion ring $Gr(\mathcal{C})$. If it is the underlying NIM-rep of an indecomposable semisimple \mathcal{C} -module category, as in the setup of Theorem 2.34, then the decomposition of the algebra $A = \underline{Hom}(N, N)$ is given by $\bigoplus_{i \in I} a_i b_i$, where a_i is the number of self-loops of m_0 labelled by b_i in the NIM-graph of (T, M).

Proof. Using the isomorphism from [EGNO15, Equation 7.21] applied to the algebra A, we have that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, A) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(X \triangleright N, N).$$

By Schur's Lemma, if we restrict X to the simple objects of C, then X appears in the decomposition of A if and only if N is in the decomposition of $X \ge N$. But by restricting to the NIM-reps picture, and the identification of m_0 with N, we see that this occurs exactly when X labels a self-loop on m_0 . This gives the result.

Example 2.38. Consider the Ising fusion ring as NIM-rep over itself. By looking at the NIM-graph from Example 2.24, we see that this is admissible by setting $m_0 = m_1$, as $X \triangleright m_1 = m_X$ and $Y \triangleright m_1 = m_Y$.

As every NIM-rep that can be constructed from an irreducible separable algebra is necessarily admissible, we now have a criteria that allows us to capture all possible object structures of these separable algebras.

SAMUEL HANNAH AND ANA ROS CAMACHO

3. NIM-Representations

In the following subsections we compute explicitly the NIM-reps of fusion rings associated to relevant examples of families of modular and fusion categories. We also extract from these any algebra objects and compare to existing results.

From now on, we refer to NIM-reps (T, M) simply as M for the sake of clarity.

3.1. Group Rings. Let G be a finite group. In this section, we will focus on classifying all possible NIM-reps over the group fusion rings $R(G) := (\mathbb{Z}G, G)$ described in Example 2.14.

Proposition 3.1. Let M be a NIM-rep over the fusion ring R(G). The NIM-rep module action restricts to a group action on M.

Proof. The NIM-rep module action will restrict to a group action on M if every element $g \triangleright m_l$ is in the basis of M. This can be seen as

$$(g \triangleright m_l, g \triangleright m_l) = (m_l, g^{-1} \triangleright (g \triangleright m_l)) = (m_l, m_l) = 1$$

and so $g \triangleright m_l$ is in the basis of M by Remark 2.19.

If we restrict ourselves to irreducible NIM-reps, we get the following result.

Proposition 3.2. Irreducible NIM-reps of the group fusion ring R(G) correspond to transitive group actions of G.

Proof. If a NIM-rep M over R(G) is not irreducible, then its corresponding group action will always be partitioned into G-orbits by restricting the action to the NIM-reps that sum to give M. Thus the group action is transitive only if the NIM-rep is irreducible.

Conversely, if the group action is not transitive, then we can write it as the sum of some finite combination of G-actions $\triangleright_i: G \times M_i \to M_i$. It is easy to see that each M_i is a \mathbb{Z} -basis for another NIM-rep over R(G). Hence the NIM-rep is irreducible only if the group action is transitive. \Box

We can thus explicitly describe the structure of such NIM-reps of R(G). By Proposition 2.3, the basis elements of an irreducible NIM-rep M are parametrised by the left cosets of H in G, for some subgroup $H \subseteq G$ i.e if we let $\{g_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq |G:H|}$ be a set of coset representatives, then $M = \{m_{g_i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq |G:H|}$.

The NIM-rep action is then given by the induced G-action on this set of left cosets,

 $Y \vartriangleright m_{g_i} = m_{g_i}, \quad Y \in G,$

where $Yg_i \in g_jH$. We shall write M(H) for such a NIM-rep.

Proposition 3.3. Two NIM-reps M(H), M(K) over R(G) are isomorphic if and only if H, K are conjugate subgroups of G.

Proof. This follows immediately by combining Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 3.2. \Box

Example 3.4. (NIM-reps of $R(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$)

The Klein-four group has presentation

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{a, b, c | a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = abc = e\}$$

There are 3 isomorphism classes of subgroups in $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$;

• $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ as a subgroup of itself; Then $M(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ has a single basis element corresponding to the single coset representative e. The NIM-rep graph is given by



• Isomorphism class of \mathbb{Z}_2 ; There are 3 conjugacy classes of subgroups in this case; $H_1 = \{e, a\}, H_2 = \{e, b\}, H_3 = \{e, c\}$. The NIM-reps $M(H_1), M(H_2), M(H_3)$ have two basis elements parameterised by coset representatives $\{e, b\}, \{e, c\}, \{e, a\}$ respectively.

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ \end{pmatrix} \underset{m_e}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{b}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{m_b}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{(b)}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{(b)}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{(b)}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{(c)}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{(c)}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{(c)}{\longleftarrow} \stackrel{(c)}{$$

• The trivial subgroup $H = \{e\}$; The basis elements of M(H) are simply parameterised by elements of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.



Example 3.5. (NIM-reps of $R(D_3)$)

Consider the dihedral group D_3 , with presentation

$$D_3 = \{x, a \mid x^2 = a^3 = e, xa = a^{-1}x\}$$

There are four conjugacy classes of subgroups of D_3 , giving four isomorphism classes of NIM-reps;

• D_3 as a subgroup of itself. This NIM-graph simply consists of a single basis element, with each group ring element acting trivially.



• The isomorphism class of \mathbb{Z}_3 , given by $H = \{e, a, a^2\}$.



• The isomorphism class of \mathbb{Z}_2 is given by 3 conjugate subgroups, $H = \{e, x\}, \{e, xa\}, \{e, xa^2\}$. This gives one NIM-graph, up to isomorphism of NIM-reps. We shall label our graph using the subgroup $H = \{e, x\}$;



• The trivial subgroup $H = \{e\}$. The basis elements are parameterised by the elements of D_3 ;



3.2. Near-Group Fusion Rings. In this subsection, we shall focus on another class of fusion rings that can be formed from a finite group G.

Definition 3.6 ([Ost15, Sie03]). Let G be a finite group and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The *near-group fusion ring* is the fusion ring constructed by taking the integer span of the set $G \cup \{X\}$, with multiplication of the group elements as the group operation, and with the element X as:

$$Xg = gX = X,$$

$$X^{2} = \sum_{g \in G} g + \alpha X$$

for $g \in G$. The element X is self-dual, i.e $X^* = X$. This is a fusion ring, which we shall denote by $K(G, \alpha)$.

Example 3.7. [EGNO15, Example 4.10.5] The case $\alpha = 0$ is known as the *Tambara-Yamagami* fusion ring. Notice that this ring is categorifiable if and only if G is abelian.

The action of $K(G, \alpha)$ on a NIM-rep M consists of the action of the group G and the non-invertible element X. By the results of Section 3.1, we know that the NIM-action of the group component will correspond to some G-action on the NIM-rep basis. However, unlike Section 3.1, we cannot guarantee that this G-action is transitive on the basis M, due to the action of the non-invertible element X. This can be seen in the following example:

Example 3.8. (NIM-rep over $K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 0)$) The Ising fusion ring from Example 2.15 can be viewed as the near-group fusion ring $K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 0)$. Viewed as a NIM-rep over itself, using the notation from Example 2.24, the NIM-basis can be partition into *G*-orbits, $M = \{m_1, m_Y\} \cup \{m_X\}$, with stabiliser groups $\{e\}$ and \mathbb{Z}_2 respectively. The two orbits are connected by the action of *X*, with $X \triangleright m_1 = X \triangleright m_Y = m_X, X \triangleright m_X = m_1 + m_Y$.

From this example, we see that the NIM-rep basis M can be partitioned into G-orbits, which are connected to each other by the action of X. We will write this partition as

$$M = \bigcup_{i=1}^{p} \{m_l^i\}_{1 \leq l \leq |G:H_i|},$$

where the *i*-label counts the *p* distinct orbits, each defined by a stabiliser subgroup $\{H_i\}$. The *l*-label denotes the individual elements in each orbit. Hence, a NIM-rep *M* over $K(G, \alpha)$ consists of $\sum_{i=1}^{p} |G: H_i|$ basis elements, with the NIM-rep action of the group part of $K(G, \alpha)$ having already been covered in Section 3.1. Thus, we now need to focus only on the action of the non-invertible element *X*.

Proposition 3.9. Let M be an irreducible NIM-rep over $K(G, \alpha)$. For a fixed group orbit label i in the partition of M, we have that $X \triangleright m_{l_1}^i = X \triangleright m_{l_2}^i$ for all $1 \leq l_1, l_2 \leq |G: H_i|$.

Proof. As $m_{l_1}^i$ and $m_{l_2}^i$ are in the same *G*-orbit, there is a group element $g \in G$ such that $m_{l_1}^i = g \triangleright m_{l_2}^i$. Then, using the module action and the fusion rules in Definition 3.6, we have that

$$X \rhd m_{l_1}^i = X \rhd (g \rhd m_{l_2}^i) = (Xg) \rhd m_{l_2}^i = X \rhd m_{l_2}^i.$$

Notation 3.10. We shall write $c_{i,j} := (X \triangleright m_l^i, m_k^j)$. It is clear from Proposition 3.9 that varying the l, k labels has no effect. Additionally, note that by the rigidity condition of the NIM-rep, we have $c_{i,j} = c_{j,i}$.

Remark 3.11. Irreducibility of a NIM-rep over $K(G, \alpha)$ implies that the group orbits are connected to each other by the non-invertible element X.

From now on, we shall denote the action of X on an element m_l^i by

(3.2.1)
$$X \rhd m_l^i = \sum_{j=1}^p c_{i,j} \sum_{k=1}^{|G:H_j|} m_k^j.$$

If we act on both sides of Equation (3.2.1) with X, using the fusion rules in Definition 3.6 along with Proposition 3.9, we find that

$$|H_i| \sum_{k=1}^{|G:H_i|} m_k^i + \alpha X \rhd m_l^i = \sum_{j=1}^p c_{i,j} |G:H_j| X \rhd m_l^j.$$

By counting in this equation the multiplicities of the orbit labelled with i, and one labelled by $q \neq i$ respectively, we get that

(3.2.2)
$$|H_i| + \alpha c_{i,i} = \sum_{j=1}^p c_{i,j}^2 |G:H_j|,$$

(3.2.3)
$$\alpha c_{i,q} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} c_{i,j} c_{j,q} |G:H_j|.$$

Remark 3.12. Should our NIM-rep partition contain only one *G*-orbit, then we would only have one equation of the form of Equation (3.2.2) as we clearly can pick no q such that $q \neq i$.

To classify all NIM-reps over a near-group fusion ring, we thus need to find solutions for this set of equations. To better visualise this problem, we can think of these equations in terms of matrices. By setting $C := \{c_{i,j}\}$, the matrix that determines the action of X, and $B = \text{diag}\{|G : H_i|\}$, the action of X is thus governed by the matrix equation

$$(3.2.4) CBC = \alpha \cdot C + |G| \cdot B^{-1}.$$

We are thus seeking to find choices of subgroups $\{H_i\}$ such that there is an non-negative integer-valued symmetric matrix C satisfying Equation (3.2.4).

As the matrix B is invertible, we obtain a quadratic matrix equation in the variable CB;

$$(CB)^2 = \alpha \cdot (CB) + |G| \cdot I,$$

where I is the identity matrix. As all of the coefficient matrices commute with each other, we can solve via the quadratic equation, giving us

(3.2.5)
$$CB = \frac{1}{2}\alpha \cdot I \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + |G|\right) \cdot I} = \frac{1}{2}\alpha \cdot I \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + |G|\right)} \cdot Y,$$

where Y is a square root of the identity matrix I. As all the elements in CB are non-negative, the non-diagonal elements of Y must all have the same sign. As both Y and -Y are square roots of the identity matrix, only one can provide a valid solution for CB, so we can always take the sign in Equation (3.2.5) to be positive.

Remark 3.13. As the elements of *CB* are integers, the non-diagonal elements of *Y* must be divisible by $(\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + |G|)^{-1/2}$.

Hence, the problem of classifying NIM-reps over $K(G, \alpha)$ comes down to finding suitable square roots of identity matrices. We do this in full detail for p = 1, 2 in the following propositions.

Proposition 3.14. *NIM-reps over* $K(G, \alpha)$ *consisting of one group orbit are parametrised by pairs* $(H, c_{1,1})$, where $H \subseteq G$ a subgroup and $c_{1,1} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, such that $\alpha = c_{1,1}|G:H| - \frac{|H|}{c_{1,1}}$, $c_{1,1}$ divides |H| and $(c_{1,1})^2|G:H| \ge |H|$.

Proof. As p = 1, Y = 1 is the only possible choice that leads to a valid solution. Let H be the subgroup of G that governs this orbit. The action of the non-invertible element X is given by a single non-negative integer $c_{1,1} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. By Remark 2.21, this integer is in fact strictly positive.

If we switch to the element-wise notation, Equation (3.2.5) can be written as

$$\sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + |G|} = c_{1,1}|G:H| - \frac{1}{2}\alpha.$$

By squaring both sides,

$$\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + |G| = (c_{1,1})^2 |G:H|^2 - \alpha c_{1,1} |G:H| + \frac{\alpha^2}{4}.$$

Rearranging this for α gives the required equation, with the other conditions following from the condition that α must be a non-negative integer.

Proposition 3.15. All irreducible NIM-reps over $K(G, \alpha)$ consisting of two group orbits are parametrised by tuples $(H_1, H_2, c_{1,1}, c_{2,2})$, where $H_1, H_2 \subseteq G$ are subgroups and $c_{1,1}, c_{2,2} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, such that $\alpha = c_{1,1}|G: H_1| + c_{2,2}|G: H_2|$, |G| divides $|H_1||H_2|$, and $(\frac{|H_1||H_2|}{|G|} + c_{1,1}c_{2,2})$ is a square number.

Proof. It is well known that all square roots Y of the 2-by-2 identity matrix are either diagonal matrices whose non-zero elements are from the set $\{-1, 1\}$, or have the form

(3.2.6)
$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_{1,1} & y_{1,2} \\ y_{2,1} & -y_{1,1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } y_{1,1}^2 + y_{1,2}y_{2,1} = 1, \quad y_{1,2}, y_{2,1} \neq 0.$$

It is clear by Remark 3.11 that irreducible NIM-reps can only come from square root matrices of the form in Equation (3.2.6).

Let H_1, H_2 be subgroups of G that govern the group orbits in the NIM-rep, and $C = \{c_{i,j}\}_{1 \le i,j \le 2}$ the matrix governing the NIM-action of X. By inputting this data into Equation (3.2.5), we get the following system of equations:

$$c_{1,1}|G:H_1| = \frac{1}{2}\alpha + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + |G|}y_{1,1},$$

$$c_{2,2}|G:H_2| = \frac{1}{2}\alpha - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + |G|}y_{1,1},$$

$$c_{1,2}|G:H_2| = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + |G|}y_{1,2},$$

$$c_{1,2}|G:H_1| = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + |G|}y_{2,1}.$$

By adding the first two equations, we get that $\alpha = c_{1,1}|G:H_1| + c_{2,2}|G:H_2|$. By multiplying the first two equations together and the last two equations together, we obtain

$$c_{1,1}c_{2,2}|G:H_1||G:H_2| = \frac{\alpha^2}{4} - \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + |G|\right)(y_{1,1})^2,$$
$$(c_{1,2})^2|G:H_1||G:H_2| = \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + |G|\right)y_{1,2}y_{2,1}.$$

By using the defining relation in Equation (3.2.6), and rearranging to solve for $(c_{1,2})^2$, we find that

$$(c_{1,2})^2 = \frac{|H_1||H_2|}{|G|} + c_{1,1}c_{2,2}.$$

Hence we can always obtain $c_{1,2}$ from the other input data. The remaining conditions come from the fact that $c_{1,2}$ must be a positive integer.

Hence, a two-orbit NIM-rep over $K(G, \alpha)$ has input data of $(H_1, H_2, c_{1,1}, c_{2,2})$ satisfying the above conditions, and explicit X-action given by

$$X \rhd m_i^1 = c_{1,1} \sum_{k=1}^{|G:H_1|} m_k^1 + \sqrt{\frac{|H_1||H_2|}{|G|}} + c_{1,1}c_{2,2} \sum_{k=1}^{|G:H_2|} m_k^2,$$

$$X \rhd m_i^2 = \sqrt{\frac{|H_1||H_2|}{|G|}} + c_{1,1}c_{2,2} \sum_{k=1}^{|G:H_1|} m_k^1 + c_{2,2} \sum_{k=1}^{|G:H_2|} m_k^2.$$

While this only completes the classification for NIM-reps consisting of two group orbits, this is sufficient to completely classify irreducible NIM-reps over the Tambara-Yamagami fusion rings.

Corollary 3.16. All irreducible NIM-reps over the Tambara-Yamagami fusion ring K(G, 0) consist of at most two group orbits.

Proof. By setting $\alpha = 0$ in Equation (3.2.4), we easily see that the matrix *CBC* must be diagonal. Element-wise, this means that

$$(CBC)_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^{p} c_{i,k} c_{k,j} |G:H_i| = 0,$$

for all $i \neq j$. However, by Remark 3.11, there always exist a choice of $i \neq j$ such that both $c_{i,k}, c_{i,j} \neq 0$ when $p \ge 3$. Thus, as C is symmetric, the result follows.

Example 3.17. (NIM-reps over the Ising fusion ring)

Recall Example 2.15. The Ising fusion ring can be viewed as the Tambara-Yamagami fusion ring $K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 0)$. So by Corollary 3.16, we only need to check for 1 and 2-orbit NIM-reps.

When p = 1, we must have $c_{1,1}|\mathbb{Z}_2 : H| = |H|/c_{1,1}$ by Proposition 3.14. But as the only choices for H are the trivial group and \mathbb{Z}_2 , both of which lead to non-integer values for $c_{1,1}$, we see that there are no 1-orbit NIM-reps over $K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 0)$.

For p = 2, by Proposition 3.15 we must have $0 = c_{1,1}|G : H_1| + c_{2,2}|G : H_2|$, which only occurs when $c_{1,1} = c_{2,2} = 0$. Hence, we see that $(c_{1,2}^2) = \frac{|H_1||H_2|}{|G|}$, which must be an square number. The only possible choice of subgroups is $H_1 \cong \{1\}, H_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ (or vice versa, which gives an equivalent NIM-rep). In this case, $c_{1,2} = 1$.

Thus, there is only one irreducible NIM-rep over the Ising fusion ring, given by the tuple $(\{1\}, \mathbb{Z}_2, 0, 0).$

For $p \ge 3$, there is not an explicit classification of square roots of the identity matrix. For instance, it is still unknown whether there exists a Hadamard matrix of order 4k for every positive integer k. So, in what follows we will detail some relevant examples.

Example 3.18. A Hadamard matrix of order n satisfies $H_nH_n = nI_n$, and rows are mutually orthogonal and the matrix contains only +1 and -1, so clearly $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}H_n$ is a square root of the identity. However, H_n contains exactly n(n-1)/2 elements that are -1, which contradicts the fact all non-diagonal elements of Y must be non-negative, of which there are n(n-1). So the only value valid is n = 1, which is the trivial case.

Example 3.19. (NIM-rep over $K(\mathbb{Z}_q, q-1), q$ prime)

Consider the *p*-by-*p* matrix that has $-\frac{1}{2}\alpha$ as its diagonal elements, and 1 for all off-diagonal elements;

(3.2.7)
$$Z_p = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}\alpha & 1 & \dots & 1\\ 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots\\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 1\\ 1 & \dots & 1 & -\frac{1}{2}\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

If there is a NIM-rep that corresponds to this matrix, it must have $c_{i,i} = 0$ for all i by looking Equation (3.2.5)

We want to find values of α and G such that this matrix is a square root of $(\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + |G|) \cdot I_p$. It is easily verified that that

$$(Z_p^2)_{i,i} = \frac{\alpha^2}{4} + p - 1, \quad (Z_p^2)_{i,j} = p - 2 - \alpha, \ i \neq j.$$

Thus, the matrix Z_p may give a NIM-rep via Equation (3.2.5) only if $\alpha = p - 2$ and |G| = p - 1. Thus Z_p may give a NIM-rep over K(G, p - 2), where |G| = p - 1. The existence of such a NIM-rep depends on the particular choice of group, but we can provide the case such that p = q + 1, where q is prime.

The only group of order q is the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_q , so Z_{q+1} defines a NIM-rep only over $K(\mathbb{Z}_q, q-1)$. By putting Equation (3.2.7) into Equation (3.2.5), it is clear that $c_{i,j}|G:H_j|=1$ for all $i \neq j$. As the only subgroups of \mathbb{Z}_q are the trivial subgroup and the group itself, the only choice that leads to an integer value of $c_{i,j}$ is if $H_j \cong \mathbb{Z}_q$ for all j. This gives $c_{i,j} = 1$.

Thus, for q prime, we have a NIM-rep M over $K(\mathbb{Z}_q, q-1)$ where the M consists of q+1 elements $\{m^i\}_{1 \leq i \leq q+1}$, the \mathbb{Z}_q -action on M is trivial, and the action of the non-invertible element X is given by

$$X \vartriangleright m^i = \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j \neq i}}^{q+1} m^j$$

Note that to look at the possible case that a NIM-rep over $K(G, \alpha)$ can be partitioned into three or more orbits, one would need to find symmetric integer matrix solutions to the matrix equation. We can use the following result to reduce the options in some circumstances.

Proposition 3.20. A NIM-rep over $K(G, \alpha)$ consists of an odd number of group orbits if and only $\sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + |G|}$ is a rational number.

Proof. As the matrix CB contains only integers, from Equation (3.2.5) we see that the matrix $\sqrt{\left(\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + |G|\right)} \cdot Y$ contains only rational numbers. Its determinant is then rational. But Y is a square root of the identity matrix, that has determinant ± 1 . Hence, the determinant of $\sqrt{\left(\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + |G|\right)} \cdot Y$ is equal to $\left(\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + |G|\right)^{p/2}$. The result is then immediate, as the rational numbers are closed under multiplication, so this determinant is rational if and only if $\left(\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + |G|\right)^{1/2}$ is rational.

We can also express α in terms of elements of C and B:

Corollary 3.21. Suppose M is an irreducible NIM-rep over $K(g, \alpha)$ that can be partitioned into p G-orbits.

If p = 1, then $\alpha = c_{1,1}|G: H_1| - |H_1|/c_{1,1}$. If $p \ge 2$. Then $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{c_{i,j}c_{j,q}}{c_{i,q}}|G: H_j|$ for any orbit labels $i \ne q$ where $c_{i,q} > 0$.

Proof. For the p = 1 case, we simply rearrange Equation (3.2.2) for α . The p = 2 case is just as immediate, instead rearranging Equation (3.2.3). Using the fact $c_{i,1}$ is non-zero by Remark 3.11, we always have at least one choice of matrix elements to write α in this form.

We conclude this section with some concrete examples.

Example 3.22. An example of such a NIM-rep is given by the tuple ($\mathbb{Z}_{75}, \mathbb{Z}_{75}, 5, 5$) over the fusion ring $K(\mathbb{Z}_{75}, 10)$. As the two subgroups that govern the partition of the NIM-rep are the whole group, these orbits consist of one NIM-element each, so $M = \{m, n\}$.

By the found formulas, $c_{1,1} = c_{2,2} = 5$, $c_{1,2} = 10$ and so the NIM-rep has the following structure;

$$g \triangleright m = m \quad , \quad g \triangleright n = n \qquad \qquad \forall g \in \mathbb{Z}_{75},$$
$$X \triangleright m = 5m + 10n \quad , \quad X \triangleright n = 10m + 5n.$$

We note that by [Ost15, Theorem A.14], this instance is not a categorifiable one as \mathbb{Z}_{75} is abelian. However, if we let $G = (\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$, which has order 75 but is not abelian, then the NIM-rep (G, G, 5, 5) with the same structure as above is a NIM-rep over K(G, 10). Note that this case can admit a categorification.

Example 3.23. $K(\mathbb{Z}_{175}, 62)$ has a NIM-rep corresponding to $(\mathbb{Z}_{35}, \mathbb{Z}_{25}, 11, 1)$. Labelling the two group orbits by $\{m_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 5}, \{n_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq 7}$, the explicit structure of the non-invertible element is given by

$$X \triangleright m_i = 11 \sum_{l=1}^{5} m_l + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{7} n_k \quad , \quad X \triangleright n_j = 4 \sum_{l=1}^{5} m_l + \sum_{k=1}^{7} n_k.$$

Note that by [Ost15, Theorem A.6], this fusion ring is not categorifiable.

3.3. Admissible NIM-Reps and Algebra Objects for Pointed and Near-Group Fusion Categories. Finally, we extract algebra objects from the NIM-reps we have computed. While we cannot read out explicit algebra morphisms, we still are able to recover a collection of familiar results.

Proposition 3.24. (Admissible NIM-reps for group rings) All irreducible NIM-reps over a group fusion rings R(G) are admissible.

Proof. Let M(H) be a NIM-rep over R(G). Recall that the basis elements are parametrised by the left cosets G/H. For any two NIM-basis elements m_{g_i} , m_{g_j} , we have that $(g_j g_i^{-1}) \triangleright m_{g_i} = m_{g_j}$. Hence any NIM-basis element can take the role of m_0 in Lemma 2.35, thus M(H) is admissible. \Box

Remark 3.25. The algebra object associated to a NIM-rep M(H) over R(G) is then given by $\bigoplus_{h \in H} b_h$. It is clear from Examples 3.4 and 3.5 that this object can be seen by counting the self-loops present in the NIM-graph. This agrees with the classification of algebras in pointed categories given in [Nat17, Ost03b].

Proposition 3.26. An admissible irreducible NIM-rep over a near-group fusion ring consists of either one group orbit, parameterised by $(H, c_{1,1})$, or two group orbits, parametrised by $(H_1, H_2, 0, c_{2,2})$.

Proof. For a NIM-rep consisting of one orbit, we can argue in the same way as Lemma 2.35 that any NIM-basis element can be set as m_0 in Lemma 2.35.

For a NIM-rep consisting of more than one group orbit, the only way to have an m_0 that connects to the orbits it does not lie in is via the non-invertible element X. So for $n \in M$, where n is not in the same orbit as m_0 , we must have $X \triangleright m_0 = n$. But then by the fusion rules

$$X \rhd n = X^2 \rhd m_0 = \sum_{i \in G \cdot m_0} m_i + \alpha X \rhd m_0 = \sum_{i \in G \cdot m_0} m_i + \alpha m_i$$

From this we see that the group orbits of m_0 and n are only connected to each other, so by the irreducibility of the NIM-rep it only contains two group orbits.

If we label the orbit containing m_0 by 1, and the one containing n by 2, we note that the condition $X \triangleright m_0 = n$ implies $c_{1,1} = 0$. This gives the result.

Remark 3.27. We thus have two forms of algebra objects arising in categories associated to near-group fusion rings.

- For a NIM-rep parametrised by $(H, c_{1,1})$, the algebra is given as an object by $\bigoplus_{h \in H} b_h \oplus c_{1,1}X$.
- For a NIM-rep parametrised by $(H_1, H_2, 0, c_{2,2})$, the algebra object is given by $\bigoplus_{h \in H_1} b_h$, i.e it is of the form of a group algebra object.

Algebra objects representing module categories over near-group categories have been studied previously at [MM12, Gal12]: in the case of non-group theoretical Tambara-Yamagami categories with abelian G we have twisted group algebras, see [Gal12, Proposition 5.7]. [MM12, Section 8 and 9] proceed in a slightly more general way, for G-graded fusion categories with G not necessarily abelian. Only for the case of Tambara-Yamagami (see [MM12, Section 9]) they recover the same two families of algebras we observe.

3.4. $(A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}}$ Fusion Rings and its Admissible NIM-Reps and Algebra Objects. Following [NWZ22] (other useful references are [EP21, FK93]), we can construct a modular tensor category (A_1, l) from a quantum group of type A_1 at level $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The Grothendieck ring $Gr((A_1, l))$ has basis $\{V_i\}_{i \in [0,l]}$, and the fusion coefficients of $V_i V_j = \sum_{k=0}^{l} c_{i,j}^k V_k$ are given by:

(3.4.1)
$$c_{i,j}^{k} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |i-j| \le k \le \min(i+j, 2k-i-j) \text{ and } k \equiv i+j \mod 2, \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

We note here that, as seen by the fusion rules, $Gr((A_1, l))$ is commutative.

Definition 3.28. For an object $V_i \in Gr((A_1, l))$, we define the *length* of V_i to be length $(V_i) := \sum_{k=0}^{l} c_{i,i}^k$.

When l is a positive odd integer, we can define a modular subcategory $(A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}}$ by taking the full subcategory with simple objects

$$\operatorname{Irr}((A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}}) = \left\{ V_{2i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{l-1}{2} \right\}.$$

Remark 3.29. In the fusion ring $Gr((A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}})$, it is simple to check using the fusion rules that $V_i \neq V_j$ if and only if length $(V_i) \neq \text{length}(V_j)$.

We shall now focus on finding the admissible NIM-reps of $\operatorname{Gr}((A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}})$. As l = 1 results in the trivial ring, we shall assume $l \ge 3$. Recall from Lemma 2.35 that an admissible NIM-rep Mover a fusion ring has a distinguished basis element m_0 . We have seen in Section 3.3 that in the case of group and near-group fusion rings, we can have objects in the ring basis b_i, b_j such that $b_i > m_0 = b_j > m_0$, due to the invertibility of the group parts of these fusion rings. This is not the case when working with $\operatorname{Gr}((A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}})$.

Lemma 3.30. Let M be an admissible NIM-rep over $\operatorname{Gr}((A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}})$, and $V_i \neq V_j \in \operatorname{Gr}((A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}})$ such that $V_i \rhd m_0, V_j \rhd m_0 \in M$. Then $V_i \rhd m_0 \neq V_j \rhd m_0$.

Proof. If we assume that $V_i \triangleright m_0 = V_j \triangleright m_0$, then as the fusion ring is commutative, we have that $V_i^2 \triangleright m_0 = V_j^2 \triangleright m_0$. But by Remark 3.29, length $(V_i) \neq \text{length}(V_j)$, and so the only way that $V_i^2 \triangleright m_0 = V_j^2 \triangleright m_0$ is if some V_k in the decomposition of V_i^2 or V_j^2 (whichever has larger length), satisfies $V_k \triangleright m_0 = 0$. This has been ruled out by Remark 2.21.

Remark 3.31. If we have objects $V_i, V_j \in \operatorname{Gr}((A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}})$ such that $\operatorname{length}(V_i) > \operatorname{length}(V_j)$, then it is easily verified using the fusion rules in Equation (3.4.1) that $(V_i^2 \triangleright m_p, m_p) > (V_j^2 \triangleright m_p, m_p)$. Thus, if $V_i \triangleright m_p \in M$, then we immediately have that $V_j \triangleright m_p \in M$. In the case of an admissible NIM-rep M over $\operatorname{Gr}((A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}})$, if the basis M has cardinality d, we immediately get that the objects V_j that satisfy $V_j \triangleright m_0 \in M$ are exactly those of $\operatorname{length}(V_j) \leq d$.

Proposition 3.32. In any NIM-rep M over the fusion ring $Gr((A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}})$, $(V_{l-1} \triangleright m_p, m_q) \leq 1$ for all $m_p, m_q \in M$.

Proof. If we assume that $(V_{l-1} \triangleright m_p, m_q) = a_{l-1,p}^q \ge 2$, we can use the fusion rules in Equation (3.4.1) to obtain

$$m_p + V_2 \vartriangleright m_p = V_{l-1}^2 \rhd m_p = a_{l-1,p}^q V_{l-1} \vartriangleright m_q + \sum_{\substack{k \in L \\ k \neq q}} a_{l-1,p}^k V_{l-1} \rhd m_k$$

Applying the form $(-, m_p)$, and using the rigidity condition of the NIM-rep, we find that

$$(3.4.2) (V_2 \triangleright m_p, m_p) \ge a_{l-1,p}^q (V_{l-1} \triangleright m_q, m_p) - 1 \ge 3$$

The fusion rules in Equation (3.4.1) give that when $l \ge 3$, $V_{2j}V_2 = V_{2j-2} + V_{2j} + V_{2j+2}$, when $1 \le j \le \frac{l-3}{2}$, and $V_2V_{l-1} = V_{l-3} + V_{l-1}$. We let $h_{i,p} := \sum_{k \in L} a_{i,p}^k$, which counts the number of NIM-basis elements in the decomposition of $V_i > m_p$. Applying the fusion rules to $V_{2j}V_2 > m_p = \sum_{k \in L} a_{2,p}^k V_{2j} > m_k$, we obtain

$$V_{2j-2} \triangleright m_p + V_{2j+2} \triangleright m_p = (a_{2,p}^p - 1)V_{2j} \triangleright m_p + \sum_{\substack{k \in L \\ k \neq p}} a_{2,p}^k V_{2j} \triangleright m_k, \quad 1 \le j \le \frac{l-3}{2}$$
$$V_{l-3} \triangleright m_p = (a_{2,p}^p - 1)V_{l-1} \triangleright m_p + \sum_{\substack{k \in L \\ k \neq p}} a_{2,p}^k V_{l-1} \triangleright m_k$$

By noting that $h_{i,p} > 1$ for all choices of i, p, and $a_{2,p}^p \ge 3$ by Equation (3.4.2), when we count the NIM-basis elements on each side we obtain the following inequalities;

$$\begin{split} h_{2j-2,p} + h_{2j+2,p} &\ge 2h_{2j,p} + h_{2,p} - 3, \quad 1 \le j \le \frac{l-3}{2}, \\ h_{l-3,p} &\ge h_{l-1,p} + h_{2,p} - 3 \end{split}$$

By taking the inequality for each $1 \le j \le \frac{l-1}{2}$ and summing them together, we obtain

$$\begin{split} 1 + h_{2,p} + 2h_{4,p} + \ldots + 2h_{l-3,p} + h_{l-1,p} &\ge 2h_{2,p} + 2h_{4,p} + \ldots + 2h_{l-1,p} + \frac{l-1}{2}(h_{2,p} - 3) \\ &\implies \frac{3l-1}{2} \geqslant \frac{l+1}{2}h_{2,p} + h_{l-1,p} \geqslant \frac{l+1}{2}h_{2,p} + 2 \\ &\implies \frac{3l-5}{l+1} \geqslant h_{2,p} \end{split}$$

where the last inequality in the second line follows due to our initial assumption. However, the last inequality cannot be satisfied as the fraction on the left-hand side is strictly less than 3 for all values of l, which contradicts $(V_2 \triangleright m_p, m_p) = a_{2,p}^p \ge 3$. Hence we have a contradiction, and so, $(V_{l-1} * m_p, m_q) \le 1$, for all $m_p, m_q \in M$.

Lemma 3.33. In any NIM-rep M over $\operatorname{Gr}((A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}}), (V_{l-1}^2 \triangleright m_p, m_p) \leq 3$ for all $m_p, m_q \in M$.

Proof. If we assume that $(V_{l-1}^2 \triangleright m_p, m_p) > 4$, then by Proposition 3.32, we have that $h_{l-1,p} = (V_{l-1}^2 \triangleright m_p, m_p) > 4$. By the fusion rules in Equation (3.4.1), we have that $(V_2 \triangleright m_p, m_p) \ge 3$. We are in a very similar setup to the proof of Proposition 3.32, which if we follow through results in the inequality

$$\frac{3l-9}{l+1} \ge h_{2,p}.$$

This fraction is also strictly less than 3, so we obtain the desired contradiction.

Proposition 3.34. Let M be an admissible NIM-rep over $Gr((A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}})$. Then there exists no $m_k \in M$ such that $(V_{l-1}^2 \triangleright m_k, m_k) = 3$.

Proof. Assume there exists some $m_k \in M$ such that $(V_{k-1}^2 \triangleright m_k, m_k) = 3$. By Lemma 3.33, we can write

$$V_{k-1} \triangleright m_k = m_x + m_y + m_z,$$

where $m_x, m_y, m_z \in M$ are distinct NIM-basis elements. The fusion rule of V_{l-1}^2 gives us that $(V_2 \triangleright m_k, m_k) = 2$.

As the NIM-rep is admissible and m_x, m_y, m_y are distinct NIM-basis elements, the cardinality of the NIM-basis M is at least 3, so by Remark 3.31 we know that $V_2 \triangleright m_0, V_{l-1} \triangleright m_0 \in M$. We also know that there exists a $V_j \in (A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}}$ such that $V_j \triangleright m_0 = m_k$. Using the fusion rules, we find that

$$V_{l-1} \triangleright m_k = V_{l-1}V_j \triangleright m_0 = V_{l-1-j} \triangleright m_0 + V_{l+1-j} \triangleright m_0.$$

Acting with V_{l-1} again on both sides and then using the form $(-, m_k)$, we have

$$m_k + V_2 \triangleright m_k = V_{k-1}^2 \triangleright m_0 = V_j \triangleright m_0 + 2V_{j+2} \triangleright m_0 + V_{j+4} \triangleright m_0$$

$$(3.4.3) \qquad \Longrightarrow V_2 \triangleright m_k = 2V_{j+2} \triangleright m_0 + V_{j+4} \triangleright m_0.$$

A second way to calculate $V_2 \triangleright m_k$ is as follows:

$$(3.4.4) V_2 \rhd m_k = V_2 V_j \rhd m_0 = V_{j-2} \rhd m_0 + V_j \rhd m_0 + V_{j+2} \rhd m_0.$$

By applying the form $(-, m_k)$ to both Equation (3.4.3) and Equation (3.4.4), we have that

$$(3.4.5) 2 = 2(V_{j+2} \triangleright m_0, m_k) + (V_{j+4} \triangleright m_0, m_k),$$

$$(3.4.6) 1 = (V_{j-2} \triangleright m_0, m_k) + (V_{j+2} \triangleright m_0, m_k).$$

By the fusion rules Equation (3.4.1) and Remark 3.31, it is clear that we can only satisfy Equation (3.4.5) when $j \leq \frac{l+1}{2}$, where the sign is determined by $l \equiv \mp 1 \mod 4$. But then we immediately have that $(V_{j-2} \triangleright m_k, m_1) = 0$ by Remark 3.31, so the above equations give us that

(3.4.7)
$$(V_{j+2} \triangleright m_0, m_k) = 1, \text{ and } (V_{j+4} \triangleright m_0, m_k) = 0.$$

If we calculate the fusion rules, we see that the only term that appears in the expansion of $(V_{j+2}V_j \triangleright m_0, m_0)$ and not in that of $(V_{j+4}V_j \triangleright m_0, m_0)$ is $(V_2 \triangleright m_0, m_0)$. But as $V_2 \triangleright m_0 \in M$ and V_2 is non-invertible, this term must always be 0. Hence we can never satisfy Equation (3.4.7), giving a contradiction. Thus we must have $(V_{l-1}^2 \triangleright m_0, m_0) \leq 2$ for all $m_k \in M$. \Box

Proposition 3.35. Up to isomorphism, there is only one admissible NIM-rep over $Gr((A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}})$.

Proof. Suppose we have an admissible NIM-rep M over $\operatorname{Gr}((A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}})$ where M has cardinality d. Then there exists a $V_j \in \operatorname{Gr}((A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}})$ where $\operatorname{length}(V_j) = d$ and $V_j \triangleright m_0 \in M$. Acting with V_{l-1} we get that

$$V_{l-1}V_j \triangleright m_0 = V_{l-1-j} \triangleright m_0 + V_{l+1-j} \triangleright m_0.$$

Using the fusion rules from Equation (3.4.1) and Definition 3.28, if $j < \frac{l\pm 1}{2}$, (where the sign depends on $k \equiv \mp 1 \mod 4$), then length $(V_{l+1-j}) = d+1$ and so $V_{l-1-j} \triangleright m_0 \notin M$, by Remark 3.31. Similarly, if $j > \frac{l\pm 1}{2}$, then $V_{l+1-j} \triangleright m_0 \notin M$. In both cases, this leads to

$$(V_{l-1}^2 V_j \triangleright m_0, V_j \triangleright m_0) \ge (V_{l-1-j} \triangleright m_0, V_{l-1-j} \triangleright m_0) + (V_{l+1-j} \triangleright m_0, V_{l+1-j} \triangleright m_0) = 3.$$

This contradicts $V_j \triangleright m_0 \in M$ by Proposition 3.34, and so we must have that $j = \frac{l+1}{2}$. All other objects V_i have length less than V_j , and so every $m_k \in M$ is of the form $V_i \triangleright m_0 \in M$. This gives that the full NIM-rep structure is simply generated by the fusion rules.

Remark 3.36. The algebra object corresponding to this single admissible NIM-rep over $\operatorname{Gr}((A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}})$ is the monoidal unit object 1.

Now that we have all admissible NIM-reps over $\operatorname{Gr}((A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}})$, we turn our attention back to (A_1, l) . We can form a second full subcategory $(A_1, l)_{\text{pt}}$ by taking the simple objects $\operatorname{Irr}((A_1, l)_{\text{pt}}) = \{V_0, V_l\}$.

Lemma 3.37 ([NWZ22] Section 4). There is an equivalence of modular tensor categories $(A_1, l) \simeq (A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}} \boxtimes (A_1, l)_{\text{pt}}$

On the level of Grothendieck rings, this equivalence tells us that the basis set of Gr((A, l)) is equivalent to the direct product of basis sets of $Gr((A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}})$ and $Gr((A_1, l)_{pt}) = R(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, with the ring structure induced component-wise from the ring structures of $Gr((A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}})$ and $R(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ respectively.

If we have a NIM-rep M over $\operatorname{Gr}((A_1, l))$, we immediately gain a NIM-rep over both $\operatorname{Gr}((A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}})$ and $R(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ by restricting along the natural embedding. NIM-reps over the Grothendieck ring $Gr((A_1, l))$ have been classified in [EK9503] and are in one-to-one correspondence with simply laced Dynkin diagrams with Coxeter number h = l + 2. In the case that l is an odd integer, this gives the only NIM-rep as $Gr((A_1, l))$ viewed as a NIM-rep over itself. The restriction of this NIM-rep to $Gr((A_1, l)_{\frac{1}{2}})$ is exactly the single admissible NIM-rep found in Proposition 3.35.

Appendix A. Modular Invariants and the Exponents Conjecture for Low Rank Modular Tensor Categories, joint with Devi Young

Here we would like to test a conjecture that relates NIM-reps of modular tensor categories and their so-called modular invariants. Let us first introduce several notions.

Definition A.1. Let C be a modular tensor category of rank k with modular data S and T. A modular invariant is a square matrix Z, with rows and columns labeled by Ob (C), satisfying:

MI1: ZS = SZ and ZT = TZ; MI2: $Z_{ab} \in \mathbb{N}, \forall a, b \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$; and

M13:
$$Z_{00} = 1$$
.

The easiest example of a modular invariant is the identity matrix. Indeed, the most interesting ones would be different ones than this one.

Definition A.2. Given a modular invariant Z associated to a modular tensor category \mathcal{C} of rank k with $\operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) = \{\mathbb{1}, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k-1}\}$, the *exponent* of Z is the multi-set \mathscr{E}_Z where $a \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ appears with multiplicity Z_{aa} . We will denote the exponent as: $\mathscr{E}_Z = (\mathbb{1}^{Z_{00}}, X_1^{Z_{11}}, \dots, X_{k-1}^{Z_{k-1k-1}}).$

Given a certain NIM-rep M in a modular tensor category, observed in the sense of [Gan05, Gan06] described in Remark 2.25, the matrices M_a can be simultaneously diagonalised by a unitary matrix. Each eigenvalue of M_a equals $S_{a,b}/S_{0,b}$, for some $b \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$. Each eigenvalue corresponds to at most one element in $Ob(\mathcal{C})$. This allows us to introduce a second, seemingly different notion of an exponent attached to the modular data:

Definition A.3. Let M be a NIM-rep associated to a modular tensor category of rank k with $Ob(\mathcal{C}) = \{\mathbb{1}, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k-1}\}$. The multiset associated to the assignment $a \mapsto M_a$ is defined to be $\mathscr{E}_a(M) = (\mathbb{1}_0^n, X_1^{n_1}, \dots, X_{k-1}^{n_{k-1}})$, where $n_b \in \{0, 1\}$ is the multiplicity of $S_{a,b}/S_{0,b}$ corresponding to an eigenvalue in M_a . This multiset is independent of a, so we define the *exponent* of M to be $\mathscr{E}(M) := \mathscr{E}_a(M)$.

These two notions seem to be related in the following way:

Conjecture A.4. Consider a rational conformal field theory described by a modular tensor category C. Then, for every modular invariant Z there is a NIM-rep N satisfying that:

$$\mathscr{E}(M) = \mathscr{E}_Z$$

There is certain evidence that this conjecture is not true in general, see e.g. [Gan02]. Still, it is an interesting question to see up to which point it holds, and why it is the case. In what follows we test this conjecture for all unitary modular tensor categories of rank less or equal 4 as classified in [RSW09]. For this, we use the NIM-reps we have computed in the present article since they all fall into the families we have classified. Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 contain the modular invariants and NIM-reps associated to each of these categories, as well as their respective associated exponents.

Here, note that the fusion rings of the Ising and $(A_1, 4)$ MTCs, are the same. As the NIM-reps do not carry any of the modular data beyond this, the NIM-reps for each of these pair of categories are the same. For the Ising and $(A_1, 2)$ pair, the remaining modular data is so similar that we cannot even distinguish them using their modular invariants. This is not the case for the toric and $(D_1, 4)$ pair. What we see is that for rank 2,3 MTCs each modular invariant has a corresponding NIM-rep, and the correspondence is true. However, at rank 4 it already starts breaking down: the \mathbb{Z}_4 MTC has a NIM-rep that does not correspond to a modular invariant, and the toric and $(D_4, 1)$ MTCs each having two modular invariants associated to a single NIM-rep.

Category	Modular	Exponent	NIM-rep	Exponent
	invariant			
Semion	Id	$\left(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1\right)$	$X_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\left(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1\right)$
Fibonacci	Id	$\left(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1\right)$	$X_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\left(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1\right)$

TABLE 1. Modular invariants, NIM-reps and their respective exponents for rank 2 modular tensor categories

\mathbf{MTC}	Modular invariant	Exponent	NIM-rep	Exponent
\mathbb{Z}_3	Id	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1, X_2^1)$	$ X_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, $	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1, X_2^1)$
			$ X_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} $	
	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^0, X_2^0)$	$X_1, X_2 \mapsto (1)$	$(1^1, X_1^0, X_2^0)$
Ising/ $(A_1, 2)$	Id	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1, X_2^1)$	$ X_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, $	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1, X_2^1)$
			$ X_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} $	
$(A_1,5)_{1/2}$	Id	$\left(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1, X_2^1\right)$	$ X_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, $	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1, X_2^1)$
			$ X_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} $	

TABLE 2. Modular invariants, NIM-reps and their respective exponents for rank 3 modular tensor categories

MTC	Modular invariant	Exponent	NIM-rep	Exponent
\mathbb{Z}_4	Id	$\left(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1, X_2^1, X_3^1\right)$	$X_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$ $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\left(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1, X_2^1, X_3^1\right)$
			$X_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	
	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$		$X_3 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	
	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1, X_2^0, X_3^0)$	$X_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$ $X_2 X_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1, X_2^0, X_3^0)$
			$X_1, X_2, X_3 \mapsto (1),$	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^0, X_2^0, X_3^0)$
$(A_1, 7)_{1/2}$	Id	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1, X_2^1, X_3^1)$	$X_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1, X_2^1, X_3^1)$
			$X_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$	
			$X_3 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	

TABLE 3. Modular invariants, NIM-reps and their respective exponents for rank 4 modular tensor categories, \mathbb{Z}_4 and $(A_1, 7)_{1/2}$ cases

MTC	Modular invariant	Exponent	NIM-rep	Exponent
Toric	Id	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1, X_2^1, X_3^1)$	$X_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1, X_2^1, X_3^1)$
			$X_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$	
			$X_3 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	
	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0$	$\left(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1, X_2^0, X_3^0\right)$	$X_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$	$\left(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1, X_2^0, X_3^0\right)$
			$X_2, X_3 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	
	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^0, X_2^1, X_3^0)$	$X_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^0, X_2^1, X_3^0)$
			$X_1, X_3 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	
	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\left(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^0, X_2^0, X_3^1\right)$	$X_3 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^0, X_2^0, X_3^1)$
			$X_1, X_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	
	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\left(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^0, X_2^0, X_3^0\right)$	$X_1, X_2, X_3 \mapsto (1)$	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^0, X_2^0, X_3^0)$
	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^0, X_2^0, X_3^0)$	_	_

TABLE 4. Modular invariants, NIM-reps and their respective exponents for rank 4 modular tensor categories, toric case

MTC	Modular invariant	Exponent	NIM-rep	Exponent
$(D_4, 1)$	Id	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1, X_2^1, X_3^1)$	$X_{1} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$ $X_{2} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1, X_2^1, X_3^1)$
			$ \begin{array}{c} X_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ X_3 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, $	
	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1, X_2^0, X_3^0)$	$X_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^1, X_2^0, X_3^0)$
	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$		$\begin{array}{c} X_2, X_3 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$	
	$\left(\begin{array}{rrrrr} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^0 X_2^1, X_3^0)$	$X_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$	$\left(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^0, X_2^1, X_3^0\right)$
	(1 0 0 0)		$X_1, X_3 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	
	$ \left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^0, X_2^0, X_3^1)$	$X_3 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^0, X_2^0, X_3^1)$
			$X_1, X_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	
	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^0, X_2^0, X_3^0)$	$X_1, X_2, X_3 \mapsto (1)$	$\left(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^0, X_2^0, X_3^0\right)$
	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$(\mathbb{1}^1, X_1^0, X_2^0, X_3^0)$	_	_

TABLE 5. Modular invariants, NIM-reps and their respective exponents for rank 4 modular tensor categories, $(D_4, 1)$ case

References

- [Arm10] M. A. Armstrong, Groups and Symmetry, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 2010.
 [BD12] T. Booker and A. Davydov, Commutative algebras in Fibonacci categories, Joural of Algebra 355 (2012), 176–204.
- [BGN⁺20] P. Bruillard, C. Galindo, S.-H. Ng, J. Y. Plavnik, E. C. Rowell, and Z. Wang, Classification of supermodular categories by rank, Algebras and Representation Theory 23 (2020), 795–809.
- [BNRW16] P. Bruillard, S.-H. Ng, E. C. Rowell, and Z. Wang, On classification of modular categories by rank, International Mathematics Research Notices 24 (2016), 7546–7588.
- [BOM18] P. Bruillard and C. M. Ortiz-Marrero, Classification of rank 5 premodular categories, Journal of Mathematical Physics 59 (2018).
- [BPPZ00] R. E. Behrend, P. A. Pearce, V. B. Petkova, and J.-B. Zuber, Boundary conditions in rational conformal field theories, Nuclear Physics B 579 (2000), no. 3, 707–773.
- [BPRZ21] P. Bruillard, J. Plavnik, E. C. Rowell, and Q. Zhang, On classification of super-modular categories of rank 8, Journal of Algebra and Its Applications 20 (2021), no. 01, 2140017.
 - [Bru16] P. Bruillard, Rank 4 premodular categories, The New York Journal of Mathematics 22 (2016), 775-800.
- [Cam99] P. J. Cameron, *Permutation Groups*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [CRSS23] Y. Choi, B. C. Rayhaun, Y. Sanghavi, and S.-H. Shao, Remarks on boundaries, anomalies, and noninvertible symmetries, Physical Review D 108 (December 2023), no. 12.
- [DFZ90] P. Di Francesco and J.-B. Zuber, SU(N) lattice integrable models associated with graphs, Nuclear Physics B 338 (1990), 602–646.
- [EGNO15] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik, *Tensor Categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 205, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [EK9503] P. Etingof and M. Khovanov, Representations of tensor categories and Dynkin diagrams, International Mathematics Research Notices 1995 (199503), no. 5, 235-247, available at https://academic.oup.com/ imrn/article-pdf/1995/5/235/6768493/1995-5-235.pdf.
 - [EP21] D. E. Evans and M. Pugh, Classification of module categories for SO $(3)_{2m}$, Advances in Mathematics **384** (2021), 107713.
 - [FK93] J. Froehlich and T. Kerler, Quantum Groups, Quantum Categories and Quantum Field Theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1542, Springer, 1993.
 - [Gal12] C. Galindo, *Clifford theory for graded fusion categories*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **192** (2012), 841–867.
 - [Gan02] T. Gannon, Boundary conformal field theory and fusion ring representations, Nuclear Physics B 627 (2002), 506–564.
 - [Gan05] T. Gannon, Modular data: the algebraic combinatorics of conformal field theory, Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics 22 (2005), no. 2, 211–250.
 - [Gan06] T. Gannon, Moonshine beyond the Monster: The Bridge Connecting Algebra, Modular Forms and Physics, Cambridge University Press, 2006.
 - [HLZ] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky, and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory, VIII: Braided tensor category structure on categories of generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, preprint arXiv:1110.1931v2 [math.QA].
 - [Hua08] Y.-Z. Huang, Rigidity and modularity of vertex tensor categories, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics 10 (2008), no. suppl. 1, 871–911.
 - [KL01] T. Kerler and V. V. Lyubashenko, Non-semisimple topological quantum field theories for 3-manifolds with corners, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1765, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
 - [MM12] E. Meir and E. Musicantov, Module categories over graded fusion categories, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 216 (2012), 2449–2466.
 - [Nat17] S. Natale, On the equivalence of module categories over a group-theoretical fusion category, SIGMA: Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry. Methods and Applications 13 (2017), Paper No. 042, 9.
 - [NRW] S.-H. Ng, E. C. Rowell, and X.-G. Wen, *Classification of modular data up to rank 11*, preprint arXiv:2308.09670 [math.QA].

- [NRWW23] S.-H. Ng, E. Rowell, Z. Wang, and X.-G. Wen, Reconstruction of modular data from SL₂ (ℤ) representations, Communications in Mathematical Physics 402 (2023), 2465–2545.
 - [NWZ22] SH. Ng, Y. Wang, and Q. Zhang, Modular Categories with Transitive Galois Actions, Communications in Mathematical Physics 390 (2022), 1271–1310.
 - [Ost03a] V. Ostrik, Module categories, weak Hopf algebras and modular invariants, Transformation Groups 8 (2003), 177–206.
 - [Ost03b] V. Ostrik, Module categories over the Drinfeld double of a finite group, International Mathematics Research Notices 27 (2003), 1507–1520.
 - [Ost15] V. Ostrik, Pivotal fusion categories of rank 3, Moscow Mathematical Journal 15 (2015), no. 2, 373–396.
 - [RSW09] E. C. Rowell, R. Stong, and Z. Wang, On classification of modular tensor categories, Communications in Mathematical Physics 292 (2009), 343–389.
 - [Shi19] K. Shimizu, Non-degeneracy conditions for braided finite tensor categories, Advances in Mathematics 355 (2019).
 - [Sie03] J. Siehler, Near-group categories, Algebraic & Geometric Topology 3 (2003), 719–775.
- [YZL1806] C. Yuan, R. Zhao, and L. Li, *Irreducible* \mathbb{Z}_+ -modules of near-group fusion ring $K(\mathbb{Z}_3, 3)$, Frontiers of Mathematics in China **13** (201806).

School of Mathematics, Cardiff University, Abacws, Senghennydd Road, Cardiff, CF24 4AG, Wales *Email address*: hannahs@cardiff.ac.uk

School of Mathematics, Cardiff University, Abacws, Senghennydd Road, Cardiff, CF24 4AG, Wales *Email address*: roscamachoa@cardiff.ac.uk