DOI: 10.1002/ecv.4299

DATA PAPER



CamTrapAsia: A dataset of tropical forest vertebrate communities from 239 camera trapping studies

Calebe P. Mendes^{1,2} | Wido R. Albert³ | Zachary Amir² | Marc Ancrenaz⁴ | Eric Ash⁵ | Badrul Azhar⁶ | Henry Bernard⁷ | Jedediah Brodie⁸ Tom Bruce² | Elliot Carr² | Gopalasamy Reuben Clements⁹ | Glyn Davies¹⁰ | Nicolas J. Deere¹¹ | Yoan Dinata¹² | Christl A. Donnelly¹³ Somphot Duangchantrasiri¹⁴ | Gabriella Fredriksson¹⁵ | Benoit Goossens¹⁶ | Alys Granados¹⁷ | Andrew Hearn⁵ | Jason Hon¹⁸ | Tom Hughes¹⁹ Patrick Jansen²⁰ | Kae Kawanishi²¹ | Margaret Kinnaird²² | Sharon Koh¹⁸ | Alice Latinne²³ | Matthew Linkie²⁴ | Federica Loi²⁵ | Anthony J. Lynam²⁶ | Erik Meijaard²⁷ | Jayasilan Mohd-Azlan²⁸ | Jonathan H. Moore²⁹ Senthilvel K. S. S. Nathan³⁰ | Dusit Ngoprasert³¹ | Wilson Novarino³² | Ilvas Nursamsi² | Timothy O'Brien³³ | Robert Ong³⁰ | John Payne³⁰ | Dolly Priatna³⁴ | D. Mark Rayan³⁵ | Glen Reynolds³⁶ | Rustam Rustam³⁷ | Sasidhran Selvadurai⁶ | Amanda Shia⁴ | Muhammad Silmi³⁸ | Pablo Sinovas³⁹ | Kriangsak Sribuarod⁴⁰ | Robert Steinmetz⁴¹ | Matthew J. Struebig¹¹ | Ronglarp Sukmasuang⁴² | Sunarto Sunarto⁴³ Tarmizi Tarmizi⁴⁴ | Arjun Thapa² | Carl Traeholt⁴⁵ | Oliver R. Wearn⁴⁶ | Hariyo B. Wibisono⁴⁷ | Andreas Wilting⁴⁸ | Seth Timothy Wong⁴⁸ | Siew Te Wong⁴⁹ | Jettie Word⁵⁰ | Wen Xuan Chiok¹ | Zainal Zahari Zainuddin³⁰ | Matthew Scott Luskin^{2,51}

Correspondence Matthew Scott Luskin Email: mattluskin@gmail.com

Funding information

Nanyang Technological University; GBIF: Biodiversity Information Fund for Asia, Grant/Award Number: BIFA6 005: Australian Research Council, Grant/Award Number: DE210101440; National Geographic Society, Grant/Award Number: 9384-13; Smithsonian Institution ForestGEO program; University of

For affiliations refer to page 2

Abstract

Information on tropical Asian vertebrates has traditionally been sparse, particularly when it comes to cryptic species inhabiting the dense forests of the region. Vertebrate populations are declining globally due to land-use change and hunting, the latter frequently referred as "defaunation." This is especially true in tropical Asia where there is extensive land-use change and high human densities. Robust monitoring requires that large volumes of vertebrate population data be made available for use by the scientific and applied communities. Camera traps have emerged as an effective, non-invasive, widespread, and

-----This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2024 The Authors. Ecology published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of The Ecological Society of America.

Queensland Centre for Biodiversity and Conservation Science

Handling Editor: Miguel Acevedo

common approach to surveying vertebrates in their natural habitats. However, camera-derived datasets remain scattered across a wide array of sources, including published scientific literature, gray literature, and unpublished works, making it challenging for researchers to harness the full potential of cameras for ecology, conservation, and management. In response, we collated and standardized observations from 239 camera trap studies conducted in tropical Asia. There were 278,260 independent records of 371 distinct species, comprising 232 mammals, 132 birds, and seven reptiles. The total trapping effort accumulated in this data paper consisted of 876,606 trap nights, distributed among Indonesia, Singapore, Malaysia, Bhutan, Thailand, Myanmar, Cambodia, Laos, Vietnam, Nepal, and far eastern India. The relatively standardized deployment methods in the region provide a consistent, reliable, and rich count data set relative to other large-scale pressence-only data sets, such as the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF) or citizen science repositories (e.g., iNaturalist), and is thus most similar to eBird. To facilitate the use of these data, we also provide mammalian species trait information and 13 environmental covariates calculated at three spatial scales around the camera survey centroids (within 10-, 20-, and 30-km buffers). We will update the dataset to include broader coverage of temperate Asia and add newer surveys and covariates as they become available. This dataset unlocks immense opportunities for single-species ecological or conservation studies as well as applied ecology, community ecology, and macroecology investigations. The data are fully available to the public for utilization and research. Please cite this data paper when utilizing the data.

KEYWORDS

abundance, animal, biodiversity, bird, community, count, distribution, mammal, occurrence, richness, tropical forest, vertebrate

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Open access publishing facilitated by The University of Queensland, as part of the Wiley - The University of Queensland agreement via the Council of Australian University Librarians.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data and code are available as Supporting Information (Data S1) and are available in Zenodo at https://doi.org/ 10.5281/zenodo.10780971.

AFFILIATIONS

 ¹Asian School of the Environment, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore
²School of the Environment, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia
³Fauna & Flora International, Jambi, Indonesia ⁴HUTAN, Kinabatangan, Malaysia ⁵WildCRU, Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK ⁶Department of Forest Science and Biodiversity, Faculty of Forestry and Environment, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Malaysia ⁷Institute for Tropical Biology and Conservation, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia ⁸Wildlife Biology, University of Montana, Missoula, Montana, USA ⁹Department of Biological Sciences, Sunway University, Petaling Jaya, Malaysia ¹⁰Sabah Landscape Programme, World Wildlife Fund, Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia ¹¹Durrell Institute of Conservation and Ecology (DICE), University of Kent, Canterbury, UK ¹²Indonesia Program, Zoological Society of London, London, UK

¹³Department of Statistics, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK ¹⁴Wildlife Research Division, "Department of National Parks, Plant, and Wildlife Conservation", Bangkok, Thailand ¹⁵Pro Natura Foundation, Balikpapan, Indonesia ¹⁶School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK ¹⁷Felidae Conservation Fund, Mill Valley, California. USA ¹⁸Malaysia Program, World Wildlife Fund, Kuching, Malaysia ¹⁹Conservation Medicine, Sungai Buloh, Malavsia ²⁰Wildlife Ecology and Conservation, Wageningen University, Wageningen, Netherlands ²¹Malaysian Conservation Alliance for Tigers (MYCAT). Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia ²²Mpala Research Centre, Nanvuki, Kenva ²³Viet Nam Country Program, Wildlife Conservation Society, New York, New York, USA ²⁴Indonesia Program, Wildlife Conservation Society, Bogor, Indonesia ²⁵Regional Veterinary Epidemiological Observatory, Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della Sardegna, Cagliari, Italy ²⁶Thailand Program, Wildlife Conservation Society, Nonthaburi, Thailand ²⁷Borneo Futures, Bandar Seri Begawan, Brunei ²⁸Department of Biology, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, Kota Samarahan, Malaysia ²⁹Environmental Science, SUSTech University, Shenzhen, China ³⁰Borneo Rhino Alliance, Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia ³¹Conservation Ecology, King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi, Thon Buri, Thailand ³²Department of Biology, Andalas University, Padang, Indonesia ³³Wildlife Conservation Society, New York, New York, USA ³⁴Graduate School of Environmental Management, Pakuan University, Bogor, Indonesia ³⁵Malaysia Program, Wildlife Conservation Society, New York, New York, USA ³⁶Conservation Programme, South East Asia Rainforest Research Partnership (SEARRP), Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia ³⁷Faculty of Forestry, Mulawarman University, Kota Samarinda, Indonesia ³⁸Biodiversity Division, United Plantations Berhad— PT Surya Sawit Sejati, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia ³⁹Cambodia Programme, Fauna & Flora International, Phnom Penh, Cambodia

National Park Wildlife and Plant, Khlong Saeng Wildlife Research Station, Bangkok, Thailand ⁴¹World Wildlife Fund—Thailand, Bangkok, Thailand ⁴²Kasetsart University, Bangkok, Thailand ⁴³Indonesia Program, World Wildlife Fund, Jakarta, Indonesia ⁴⁴Leuser International Foundation, Jakarta, Indonesia ⁴⁵Research and Conservation Division, Copenhagen Zoo, Copenhagen, Denmark ⁴⁶Vietnam Programme, Fauna & Flora International, Hanoi. Vietnam ⁴⁷Conservation Programme, San Diego Zoo, Escondido, California. USA ⁴⁸Department of Ecological Dynamics, Leibniz Institute of Zoo and Wildlife Research, Berlin, Germany ⁴⁹Bornean Sun Bear Conservation Centre, Sandakan, Malaysia ⁵⁰Borneo Project, Berkeley, California, USA ⁵¹Centre for Biodiversity and Conservation Science.

⁴⁰Klongsang Wildlife Research Station, Department of

University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

ORCID

Calebe P. Mendes https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1323-3287

Jedediah Brodie https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8298-9021 Nicolas J. Deere https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1299-2126 Alys Granados https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7222-341X Tom Hughes https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5713-9738 Patrick Jansen https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4660-0314 Jonathan H. Moore https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7265-5231

Ilyas Nursamsi https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7236-6683 Timothy O'Brien https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6131-5629 Matthew Scott Luskin https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5236-7096

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Mendes, Calebe P., Wido R. Albert, Zachary Amir, Marc Ancrenaz, Eric Ash, Badrul Azhar, Henry Bernard, et al. 2024. "CamTrapAsia: A Dataset of Tropical Forest Vertebrate Communities from 239 Camera Trapping Studies." *Ecology* e4299. <u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.1002/ecy.4299