

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/169842/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Lowe, John , Berrington, Janet, Gillespie, David , Turner, Mark and Kotecha, Sailesh 2024. Challenges of modulating the risk of bronchopulmonary dysplasia in clinical trials – Authors' reply. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine* 12 (8) , E44. 10.1016/S2213-2600(24)00173-5

Publishers page: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600\(24\)00173-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(24)00173-5)

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



Response to:

Challenges of modulating the risk of bronchopulmonary dysplasia in clinical trials

Brady Thomas, Patrick McNamara, Jennifer Bermick

Department of Pediatrics, Division of Neonatology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA

Title: Challenges of modulating the risk of bronchopulmonary dysplasia in clinical trials – Authors' Reply

John Lowe¹ PhD, Professor Janet Berrington^{2,3} MD FRCPCH, David Gillespie¹ PhD, Professor Mark Turner⁴, Professor Sailesh Kotecha⁵ FRCPCH PhD.

1. Centre For Trials Research, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK
2. Neonatal Medicine, Newcastle upon Tyne NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
3. Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Newcastle University, Newcastle, UK
4. Women and Children's Health, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK
5. Department of Child Health, Cardiff University School of Medicine, Cardiff, UK

We thank Thomas and colleagues for their comments.

AZTEC used prophylactic azithromycin aiming to improve survival without development of chronic lung disease of prematurity (CLD). The primary outcome was chosen after significant consideration, including ability to measure it in a large multi-centre RCT¹ and in keeping with other recent large neonatal trials². We believe that parents and clinicians value a treatment that improves survival without moderate/severe CLD, acknowledging different prognosis between moderate and severe CLD. Whilst we do not dispute existence of alternative primary outcomes, with several defined after AZTEC started, each has strengths and weaknesses. Therefore, given concerns about definitions of CLD, we are following up the recruited infants at one/two years of age to assess their respiratory status³. Likewise, although we do not dispute differing cardiological phenotypes of CLD, we are not aware of data of azithromycin impacting these. Disappointingly, trials targeting cardiovascular events including patent ductus arteriosus or pulmonary hypertension in the neonatal period to prevent development of CLD have also been unsuccessful^{2,5}.

Timing, dose, and duration of azithromycin for the prophylactic nature of the trial were selected following completion of a systematic review⁴. To our knowledge, no data emerging after trial initiation, suggest that our dose or duration was not optimal. We would be pleased to see publication of the data from Thomas et al. supporting their proposed treatment regime for preterm-born infants still requiring ventilation beyond six weeks of age, but these infants are very different from infants we recruited after requiring respiratory support within 72 hours of birth; only few of these infants remained

ventilated at 6 weeks of age. We advocate the considered approach that we followed with coherent development of the elements of randomised trials including dosage, validated assessments that are known to be consistently deployed across multiple centres, and phenotypes used for stratification or post hoc analysis that are identified before trials are opened. This approach takes time but are likely to lead to robust results.

References:

1. Lowe J, Gillespie D, Aboklaish A, Lau TMM, Consoli C, Babu M, Goddard M, Hood K, Klein N, Thomas-Jones E, Turner M, Hubbard M, Marchesi J, Berrington J, Kotecha S. Azithromycin therapy for prevention of chronic lung disease of prematurity (AZTEC): a multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2024 doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(24)00079-1.
2. Gupta S, Subhedar NV, Bell JL, Field D, Bowler U, Hutchison E, Johnson S, Kelsall W, Pepperell J, Roberts T, Sinha S, Stanbury K, Wyllie J, Hardy P, Juszczak E; Baby-OSCAR Collaborative Group. Trial of Selective Early Treatment of Patent Ductus Arteriosus with Ibuprofen. *N Engl J Med*. 2024 Jan 25;390(4):314-325.
3. Kotecha SJ, Course CW, Jones KE, et al. Follow-up study of infants recruited to the randomised, placebo-controlled trial of azithromycin for the prevention of chronic lung disease of prematurity in preterm infants-study protocol for the AZTEC-FU study. *Trials* 2022;23(1):796.
4. Lowe J, Watkins WJ, Edwards MO, et al. Association between pulmonary Ureaplasma colonization and bronchopulmonary dysplasia in preterm infants: updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2014;33(7):697-702.
5. Barrington KJ, Finer N, Pennaforte T. Inhaled nitric oxide for respiratory failure in preterm infants. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017 Jan 3;1(1):CD000509.