

Journal of Experimental Pathology

**Research Article** 

# Differential Expression of Long Non-coding Ribonucleic Acid (RNA) Genes in Endometriosis-associated Ovarian Cancer (EAOC): A Pilot Meta-analysis for Pathological Insights and Potential Diagnostic Biomarker Identification

# A Finall<sup>1,\*</sup>, D James<sup>2</sup>, M Quintela-Vazquez<sup>2</sup>, RS Conlan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cellular and Molecular Pathology, Morriston Hospital, Swansea Bay University Health Board, Swansea, SA6 6NL, UK

<sup>2</sup>Reproductive Biology and Gynaecology Oncology, Medical School, Swansea University, Singleton Park, Swansea, SA2 8PP, UK

\*Correspondence should be addressed to Dr A Finall, alison.finall3@wales.nhs.uk

## Received date: October 28, 2022, Accepted date: November 24, 2022

**Citation:** Finall A, James D, Quintela-Vazquez M, Conlan RS. Differential Expression of Long Non-coding Ribonucleic Acid (RNA) Genes in Endometriosis-associated Ovarian Cancer (EAOC): A Pilot Meta-analysis for Pathological Insights and Potential Diagnostic Biomarker Identification. J Exp Pathol. 2022;3(2):40-54.

**Copyright:** © 2022 Finall A, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

# Abstract

**Introduction:** Endometrioid and clear cell carcinomas of the ovary are the most common subtypes of epithelial malignancy arising from endometriosis and are often termed endometriosis-associated ovarian carcinomas (EAOCs). There is a paucity of experimental evidence in the medical literature regarding the role of long non-coding ribonucleic acid (RNA) gene expression in the pathogenesis of these carcinomas.

**Purpose:** There is a need to develop understanding of the pathogenesis of these carcinomas for neoplastic risk stratification in endometriosis and to develop novel diagnostic biomarkers. Clear cell carcinoma of the ovary, in particular, has a poor prognosis as a result of resistance to standard platinum-based chemotherapy.

**Methods:** RNAseq datasets from EAOCs were downloaded from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) and compared with normal ovarian control sequences using a customized bioinformatic pipeline.

**Results:** We found 88 differentially expressed non-coding RNA molecules present in both endometrioid and clear cell carcinoma types compared with controls. A further 117 were specifically differentially expressed in the endometrioid carcinoma group and 128 in clear cell carcinoma samples alone. Genes of interest for further study from the 88 shared set in both EAOC types include CASC9, RP4-561L24.3, SLC2A1-AS1, LUCAT1, XIST, CASC15, and MIR99AHG. These genes appear to influence ferroptosis as a common pathway.

**Conclusions:** Alterations in the ferroptosis pathway may be a key event in development of EAOC in ovarian endometriosis patients. Further work is required to elucidate the function of the candidate RNA genes identified in this study by *in-vitro*, cell line and cultured organoid experiments. These candidate RNA gene biomarkers have potential clinical utility in early diagnosis, risk stratification of endometriosis, and post-surgical monitoring.

**Keywords:** Long non-coding RNA, Endometriosis- associated ovarian carcinoma, Pathogenesis, RNA sequencing, Endometrioid adenocarcinoma, Clear cell carcinoma, Ovarian endometriosis

## Introduction

## **Clinical pathology**

Ovarian cancer affects 15 women per 100,000 in Europe [1] but it is not one disease. Epithelial malignancy the most common type of ovarian malignancy and defines the groups termed carcinoma [2,3]. Other malignant subtypes include sarcomas, germ cell tumors and sex-cord stromal tumors [1]. Ovarian carcinomas are subdivided based on histological features, the most common being high-grade serous carcinoma which makes up around 70% of ovarian carcinomas [2,4]. Up to 10% of ovarian carcinomas are endometrioid subtype, having phenotypic and molecular resemblances to endometroid adenocarcinomas that arise in the endometrial cavity [3.5] Clear cell carcinoma (OCCC) and endometrioid carcinoma of the ovary (EnOC) occur on a background of ovarian endometriosis in as many as 70% of cases [6,7]. OCCC is equally as common as endometroid type, perhaps reflecting this shared origin and collectively are often referred to as Endometriosis-Associated Ovarian Carcinomas (EAOCs). Mucinous carcinoma is less common than endometriosisrelated carcinomas at around 3% of ovarian carcinomas [2]. Mucinous and low-grade serous carcinomas are rare. Lowgrade serous carcinoma has a distinct molecular origin from high-grade serous carcinoma and is regarded as entirely separate entity despite the similarity in their names [2,4,8].

Ovarian endometriosis is a common estrogen dependent disease affecting up to 10% of reproductive-age women

around the world [18,19]. It is characterized by the presence of endometrial glands and stroma in sites outside the endometrial cavity [19]. There is an increased lifetime risk of ovarian endometriosis progressing to malignancy of around 1% [20-22]. There is genomic and histological data to suggest that malignancy occurs through an intermediate, dysplastic stage called atypical endometriosis [20,23-26]. There is genomic, gene expression, and immunohistochemical evidence to support the theory that endometriosis is a premalignant condition [27-32] (**Table 1**).

## Gene expression data

It has been shown that increased expression of genes CCNB2, CORO2A, CSNK1G1, FRMD8, LIN54, PDK1, PEX6 and LIN00664 is associated with shorter progression free survival times as compared with serous carcinomas where the converse was observed [33]. This observation reinforces the importance of appropriately segregating ovarian carcinoma subtypes when looking for clinically significant gene expression profiles [33]. Tassi and colleagues found that FOXM1 was differentially expressed between a combined ovarian endometrioid, and clear cell carcinoma group as compared with high-grade serous carcinoma and that this was associated with a poorer prognosis in non-serous carcinoma subtypes [34]. Another tissue microarray study showed over-expression of GLRX, SLC16A3, MKL1, GNE, KIFC3, NAP1, ABCC3, NDRG1, TST, EML2, NP, RAP1GA1, AKR1C1, IGFBP3, ARHB, IMPA2, COL4A2, ANXA4, SLC4A3, FGFR4, TFAP2A, PTPRM, SMTN, ARHGAP8, and C1QTNF6 in OCCC [35]. In contrast, ESR1, ITPR2, WFDC2, FGFRL1, NFIA,

Table 1. Characteristics of Epithelial Ovarian Carcinoma subtypes.					
Histological Diagnosis	High-grade serous	Endometrioid	Clear cell	Mucinous	Low-grade serous
	[1,9-12]	[2,6,12,13]	[2,6,12,13]	[2,12,13]	[1,14-17]
Incidence (% of OC)	70	10	10	3	5-10
Average age at diagnosis	63	56	51	54	47
Gene mutations	TP53 BRCA1/2 RAD51C/D BRIP1 MSI genes	ARID1A, PTEN, CTNNB1, PIK3CA	ARID1A PIK3CA	KRAS, HER2 amplification TP53, c-myc	KRAS NRAS BRAF
Positive IHC	CK7, ER, WT1, p16, p53, PAX8	Vimentin, ER, PR, PAX8	CK7, napsinA	CK7, CK20, cdx2	CK7, ER, WT1, PAX8
FIGO Stage at diagnosis	51% stage III 29% stage IV	58-64% stage l	58-64% stage l	58-64% stage I	78% stage l
Platinum-based chemotherapy response	More than 70%	60%	22-56%	20-60%	4-40%
Five-year survival	10-26.9%	82%	66%	71%	88%
Abbreviations: FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; OC: Ovarian Carcinoma; IHC: Immunohistochemistry					

SELENBP1, CDH2, PKIB, SCNN1A, IGFBP2, ID4, CMAS, FLOT1, CYP4B1, UBE2F3, GAS1, WT1, EFNB2, MAP1B, DDR1, APOA1B1, TSC22, TRIP7, and EDN1 were under-expressed in the same study [35]. It should be noted that these findings are based on expression data from just six patients. Having said this, high gene expression levels for ANXA4 (annexinA4) and GLRX (glutaredoxin thiotransferase) have been replicated in another study using different experimental techniques [36].

# Non-coding RNA

There is emerging data to suggest that elements of the human non-coding genome make a contribution to the pathogenesis of endometriosis-associated ovarian cancers (EAOC) [37]. The non-coding genome plays a part in the development of malignancies across a range of tumor types through transcriptional regulation and control of protein translation by non-coding RNA molecules [38-40].

The RNA molecules responsible for regulating the protein coding genome are divided into long (more than 200 nucleotides) and short molecules (<200 nucleotides). Small RNA molecules include microRNAs (miRNAs) which can direct messenger RNA for degradation before translation. piRNA molecules are PIWI-protein interacting and responsible for silencing transposons in the human genome [41]. Short RNA molecules may be derived from transfer RNA molecules (tsRNA) and these can stabilize messenger RNA for translation in opposition to microRNAs [42]. LncRNA genes play a role in human carcinogenesis by binding to and regulating transcription factors for protein coding genes, inactivating microRNAs that target messenger RNA transcripts for degradation, modifying protein function and cellular localization, influencing chromatin and histone modification, and regulating alternative splicing of mRNA [43]. These functions can affect a number of cell-signaling pathways in the development of cancer including control of cell proliferation, apoptosis and propagating epithelial-mesenchymal transition which is said to confer the ability of epithelial cells to invade connective tissue and metastasize [44-48] (**Figure 1**).

# Long non-coding RNA

It has been shown that many of the non-coding somatic mutations present in EAOC converge on the PAX8 pathway in a range of ovarian cancer subtypes including endometrioid and clear cell subtypes [49]. Endometrial endometrioid adenocarcinoma of the uterine corpus has overlapping molecular pathogenetic characteristics compared with endometrioid adenocarcinoma of the ovary [50]. LncRNA molecule *MALAT1* has been shown to be involved in the pathogenesis of endometrioid adenocarcinoma arising from the endometrial cavity by promoting epithelial-mesenchymal transition [51]. *NEAT 1, OVAAL, H19,* and *HOTAIR* have also been shown to have altered expression profiles in endometrioid adenocarcinoma [52-56].

Other IncRNA molecules that have been implicated in ovarian carcinogenesis are derived from studies that do not specify the histological subtype of ovarian malignancy. This is largely due to the use of ovarian carcinoma cell lines, most of which derive from high-grade serous carcinoma. The IncRNA



genes differentially expressed in cell lines include ANRIL, BC200, HULC, HST2, HOST2, GAS5, PTAF, SOX2OT, DGCR5, PC3A, FAL1, ABO73614, LSINCT5, PVT1, LINK-A, HOXA11-AS, PVT1, TUG1, UCA1, ZFAS1, the majority of which are said to behave as oncogenes [56-61].

This study is a meta-analysis of published RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data sets generated through high-throughput sequencing methods for differential expression analysis using a customized bioinformatics pipeline. The aim was to document the differential gene expression profile of EAOC with focus on lncRNA genes. Secondarily, the function and pathway involvement of these lncRNA genes was to be sought from *in silico* tools and databases for insights into EAOC pathogenesis.

## **Methods**

This study is a meta-analysis of data generated by RNA sequencing by other researchers posted in a public access online database for the purpose of further analysis.

## Data set identification

An online search of the NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information) gene expression omnibus (GEO) [62] repository was performed using keywords ovary, endometriosis-associated ovarian carcinoma, ovarian cancer, atypical endometriosis, endometriosis, clear cell carcinoma and ovarian endometrioid carcinoma to identify suitable RNA-seq datasets. The search results were further filtered using the terms 'homo sapiens', and 'expression profiling by high throughput sequencing'. Tissue samples from ovarian carcinomas other than EAOCs, metastatic disease, fetal and embryonic tissues, fluid cytology samples, stem cells, circulating tumor cells and cell lines were excluded. Application of exclusion criteria yielded 1960 human RNA-

seq data sets; five were for normal ovarian tissue (Geo Accession numbers GSM1010948, GSE127873, GSE137608, GSE135485, GSE18927), four were for endometriosis-related controls (eutopic and ectopic endometrial tissues and normal endometrium from healthy patients; accession numbers GSE118928, GSE99949, GSE87809, GSE87810), and one for EAOC samples (GSE121103). There were no RNA-seq data sets available that included atypical endometriosis samples.

Datasets were downloaded using the NCBI SRA-toolkit.

#### Clinical details of ovarian carcinoma tissue samples

Patient information for each of the source samples used to generate the RNA-seq data for GSE121103 is given in **Table 2**. One of the endometrioid carcinoma samples (EnOC) failed to generate reads of sufficient quality for publication to GEO but the investigators do not specify which of the samples this refers to in their paper [37].

#### **Bioinformatic pipeline**

Fastq files are first assessed for quality using FastQC [63]. The RNA sequencing reads were aligned to the reference genome using STAR aligner using the GeneCounts argument for the quantMode flag, which generates a gene count table file labelled ReadsPerGene.out.tab. The resulting alignment from STAR generates a file of summary mapping statistics annotated as Log.final.out. This gives an indication of the quality of the sample analyzed by reflecting the proportion of input reads, the average RNA molecule read length versus the number of unmapped and chimeric reads and mismatch rate.

A data matrix of factors informed the normalization step which was carried out by using the DESeq2 package. DESeq2 adjusts for the variation in expression counts according to variation in the read depth for each gene by using a generalized linear

Table 2. EAOC patient samples clinical details [37].					
	Age	Ethnicity	Tumour grade	Tumour stage	
OCCC 1	45	Asian	3	IIIC	
OCCC 2	47	White Hispanic	3	IIIC	
OCCC 3	61	Unknown	3	IIIC	
OCCC 4	38	Unknown	3	IIIB	
OCCC 5	52	Unknown	3	IIIB	
EnOC 1	64	Hispanic	3	IV	
EnOC 2	50	White Hispanic	1	IB	
EnOC 3	35	White Hispanic	2	IC	
EnOC 4	42	White Hispanic	2	IC	
EnOC 5	41	White Hispanic	1	IC	
Abbreviations: OCCC: Ovarian Clear Cell Carcinoma; EnOC: Endometrioid adenocarcinoma of the Ovary.					

model and creates an estimate of moderated variance of genes by comparing the variance of the gene in question versus the average variance of all the genes present in the dataset [64]. Following normalization, DESeq2 performs differential expression analysis to calculates the fold change in expression for each transcript between a control sample set and a sample of interest. DESeq2 calculates a P-value, corrected for multiple sampling, to indicate whether the fold change is statistically significant. Statistical significance was set at the 0.05 level. The gene lists were filtered for base mean expression (absolute expression level) >10 and log fold change >2.

## **Biological interpretation**

The location-based display function in Ensembl was used to find the region detail map for each lncRNA gene and interrogated to find the nearest protein coding gene according to current annotations in the GRCh38 reference [65,66]. There is evidence that most long non-coding transcripts exert their transcriptional influence by acting on protein coding genes *in cis*, that is to say, by acting upon genes that are located near to them in the genome [67-70]. RNA databases 'RNAcentral', 'Rfam', 'NONCODE', 'LNCipedia', 'LNCBook', and 'Incrnadb' were also interrogated to provide up-to-date information regarding all aspects of IncRNA genes identified. Online bioinformatics tools and databases, including NCBI, Ensembl, OMIM, Clinvar, Genecards, RISE, Diana and PubMed were used to identify functional annotations and pathway interactions for IncRNA transcripts and their cis-located protein coding genes [71-78].

## **Ethical considerations**

Meta-analysis was chosen as the method of study, in part, due to the temporal constraints on ethical review but also because of financial limitations. Specific ethical approval was not required for this study as it was a re-analysis of published patient sequencing data in the public domain. The tissue samples used in the original study by Lin *et al*, 2019 [61] were collected with informed consent and given approval by the ethical review board of the University of Southern California.

## Results

The samples for normal endometrium were of insufficient quality to use as control material for this study. Normal ovarian tissue was therefore used as control material as sequencing read outs were of good quality.

The primary aim of describing the differential gene expression of EAOCs was achieved. The secondary aim of functional characterization was also achieved but required assumption of in cis function of all IncRNA genes to inform interpretation. A total of 35,697 transcripts were differentially expressed in the ovarian endometrioid adenocarcinoma (EnOC) sample set from 4 patients (n=4) and 33,939 transcripts from the ovarian clear cell carcinoma (OCCC) samples (n=5). Both transcript expression lists were filtered by removing all protein coding genes, microRNAs (less than 200 nucleotides in length), processed transcripts, pseudogenes (processed and unprocessed), small nuclear RNA (snRNA) and small nucleolar (snoRNA), mitochondrial RNA and molecules classified as miscellaneous, leaving only transcripts annotated as IncRNA. The total transcript expression list included 333 IncRNAs significantly up-regulated or down-regulated in endometrioid and clear cell adenocarcinoma groups with 88 being present in both the endometrioid and clear cell adenocarcinoma groups. There was differential expression of 117 IncRNA transcripts in the endometrioid group alone and 128 differentially expressed transcripts in the clear cell group (Figure 2).

The top ten most over expressed transcripts, in decreasing order, in the overlapping group of 88 lincRNA transcripts were *RP11-456B22.8, LINC00958, LINC00621, RP11-529E15.1, RP11-3J1.1, RP11-4K16.2, LINC01320, U47924.27, CASC9, and RP1-86C11.7* as measured by absolute log fold change (LFC) >2.



J Exp Pathol. 2022 Volume 3, Issue 2

The most under-expressed lincRNA transcripts in the overlapping group compared with control samples were *RP4-561L24.3, RP11-108M9.3, AC084082.3, RP4-535B20.1, CTD2332E11.2, RP11-473M20.16, LINC00324, RP11-613D13.8, AP001172.3,* and *RP5-875013.1,* with *RP4-561L24.3* being the most under-expressed with a LFC of -11.29. See **Table 3**.

The most differentially overexpressed lincRNA transcripts in the endometrioid carcinoma group were *RP11-6.08O21.1*, *AC011288.2*, *LINC01123*, *LLINC01508*, *RP11-400N13.2*, *RP11-319E16.2*, *LINC010206*, *RP1-60O19.1*, *CTC-304I17*, and *RP11-89K21.1* whilst those with most reduced expression out of the 117 lincRNA transcripts identified were fewer in number. They are *RP11-1100L3.8*, *GATA6-AS1*, *RNU12*, *RP11-323I15.5*,

LINC00602, and RP11-95H3.1. See Table 4.

Of the 128 IncRNA transcripts found in the clear cell carcinoma group the following were most over-expressed: *LINC00668, LINC00858, RP11-190J1.3, LINC01446, LINC01518, RP11-528A4.2, RP11-356C4.5, LINC01559, CTD-2008P7.8, RP11-346C4.3.* The greatest reduction in expression compared with control normal ovary included *ENOX1-AS1, AP000962.2, OVAAL, RP11-400K9.4, RP11- 1081M.51, LINC01539, LINC00924, RP11-826N14.4, GAS1RR,* and *LINC01018.* See **Table 5**.

The Ensembl genome region detail map showed protein coding genes located near to the lncRNA transcripts differentially expressed in our meta-analysis. Of note, the

**Table 3:** Overlapping gene expression between ovarian endometrioid and clear cell adenocarcinoma types as ranked by log fold change (priority OCCC). All p values less than 0.05.

Gene name	Expression level		Log Fold Change	
	EnOC	оссс	EnOC	оссс
RP11-456B22.8	38.41	45.80	9.53	10.23
LINC00958	92.48	248.13	10.72	9.62
LINC00621	399.39	142.08	9.83	9.46
RP11-529E15.1	30.39	30.08	8.91	8.95
RP11-3J1.1	39.98	30.85	8.25	8.76
RP11-4K162	26.01	19.70	8.46	8.51
LINC01320	624.39	596.55	7.66	8.39
U47924.27	23.19	21.39	7.32	7.95
CASC9	50.44	82.20	7.84	7.55
RP1-86C11.7	12.28	14.06	7.83	7.39
RP4-561L24.3	3555.92	2716.14	-11.87	-11.29
RP11-108M9.3	556.1	424.11	-11.22	-9.93
AC084082.3	240.31	181.83	-8.22	-7.26
RP4-535B20.1	29.40	21.43	-8.23	-6.04
CTD-2332E11.2	140.74	107.36	-5.85	-5.62
RP11-473M20.16	229.46	172.49	-6.03	-5.38
LINC00324	230.15	169.80	-6.37	-5.33
RP11-613D13.8	156.39	115.61	-5.82	-5.12
AP001172.3	18.88	15.71	-5.56	-4.87
RP5-875013.1	28.88	21.69	-4.77	-4.51

**Abbreviations:** EnOC: Ovarian Endometrioid adenocarcinoma; OCCC: Ovarian Clear Cell Carcinoma. Colour code: Red = Over-expression; Green = Under-expression.

Table 4: Differential gene expression of ovarian endometrioid adenocarcinoma (EnOC) group as ranked by log fold change.					
Gene Name	Expression level	Log fold change	P value		
RP11-608O21.1	35.93	9.15	1.67E-08		
AC011288.2	27.25	9.11	9.16E-07		
LINC01123	29.45	8.78	2.49E-07		
LINC01508	22.18	8.68	8.71E-07		
RP11-400N13.2	17.80	8.44	6.73E-06		
RP11-319E16.2	20.7	8.32	3.69E-05		
LINC01206	21.03	8.0	4.06E-05		
RP1-60O19.1	16.41	8.02	4.48E-05		
CTC-304I17.6	18.01	7.83	4.66E-05		
RP11-89K21.1	83.85	7.81	3.04E-09		
RP11-1100L3.8	90.92	-2.07	0.0016		
GATA6-AS1	68.26	-2.65	0.0002		
RNU12	13.14	-2.6	0.005		
RP11-323I15.5	15.44	-2.70	0.004		
LINC00602	12.94	-3.73	0.002		
RP11-95H3.1	48.37	-3.74	8.05E-05		

Red = Over-expression; Green = Under-expression

Table 5: Differential gene expression in ovarian clear cell adenocarcinomas (OCCC) as ranked by log fold change. LFC **Gene Name Expression level** P value LINC00668 508.97 11.72 1.23E-20 LINC00858 35.23 9.63 8.86E-09 RP11-190J1.3 38.88 9.63 3.54E-08 LINC01446 24.76 8.79 8.23E-05 LINC01518 30.61 8.26 1.58E-06 RP11-528A4.2 18.89 7.91 5.62E-05 RP11-356C4.5 7.61 8.16E-05 11.15 LINC01559 102.25 7.49 4.19E-05 CTD-2008P7.8 11.03 7.22 0.00034 RP11-346C4.3 22.28 6.96 7.43E-05 ENOX1-AS1 13.79 -5.26 2.32E-05 AP000962.2 35.17 -5.82 1.71E-05 OVAAL 10.51 -6.16 0.0013 RP11-400K9.4 45.77 -6.29 1.97E-08 RP11-1081M.51 10.16 -6.32 0.00056

LINC01539	14.00	-6.40	0.0020	
LINC00924	71.39	-6.90	3.01E-10	
RP11-826N14.4	13.56	-6.90	0.00032	
GAS1RR	29.61	-6.92	2.16E-06	
LINC01018	31.93	-6.99	1.18E-06	
Red = Over-expression; Green = Under-expression				

**Table 6.** Target genes based on *in cis* function. Summarizes findings based on data from Ensembl genome map information, Lincipedia, RNAcentral, and Genecards.

Endometrioid Adenocarcinoma		Both CCC and Endometrioid		Clear Cell Carcinoma (CCC)	
LncRNA greatest log fold change	IncRNA highest expression level	LncRNA greatest log fold change	IncRNA highest expression level	LncRNA greatest log fold change	IncRNA highest expression level
IncSLIT2 SLIT2	LINC01695 N6AMT1	RP11-456B2 2.8 <i>RNF223</i>	RP4-561L24.3 BCAR3 GCLM DNTTIP2	LINC00668 LAMA1 ARHGAP28	XIST TSIX HIF1A
AC011288.2 ARL4A	RP11-191L9.4 <i>TBC1D22A</i>	LINC00958 TEAD1	CARMN PCYOX1L	LINC00858 LRIT1 RGR	C1orf132 CD34 CD46
LINC01123 MALL	BLACAT1 LEMD1	LINC00621 SGCG	SLC2A1-AS1 SLC2A1 HIF-1alpha	RP11-190J1.3 FBXW4	LINC00668 LAMA1 ARHGAP28
LINC01508 DIRAS2	LUCAT1 ADGRV1 NRF2	RP11-529E15.1 FAM98A	LINC00478 USP25	LINC01446 VS2MTA POM121L12	MIRLET7BHG PRR34
LINC02474 DUSP10	LINC02604 TMEM248	RP11-3J1.1 LCORL SLIT2	PWRN1 NPAP1	LINC01518 ZNF338	RP11-54H7.4 <i>MYO16</i>
LNCNFT53-2 NFT3	NRAD1 LACC1 CCDC122	MAL2-AS1 MAL2	CASC15 PRL SOX4 CDKAL1	LINC02038 OPA1 HES1	RP11-20D14.6 RIMKLB
LINC01206 SOX2	KRT80-4 NR4A1	LINC01320 <i>FAM98A</i>	CH507-513H4.6 KCNE1B	RP11-356C4.5 <i>PRDM7</i>	HCG11 BNT1A1 HMGN4
RP1-60O19.1 PDSS2	RP-11-89K21.1	MIR200CHG PHB2	LINC01320 <i>FAM98A</i>	LINC01559 GRIN2B	MIR29A KLF4 MKLN1
CTC-304I17.6	LINC00937	CASC9 HN4A	RP11-108M9.3	CTD-2008P7.8	RP11-554D15.3
LncRNA genes are in black, adjacent protein coding genes identified in Ensembl are in blue. Genes involve in ferroptosis are shown in red.					

protein coding gene GCLM (Glutamate-cysteine ligase, modifier subunit) is located near to RP4-561L24.3, HIF-1a (Hypoxia Inducible Factor1, subunit alpha) is located near to SLC2A1-AS1 IncRNA, USP25 (Ubiquitin Specific Protease 25) is located near to LINC00478, SOX4 (SRY-box 4) is located near to CASC15 and HNF4a (Hepatocyte Nuclear Factor 4-alpha) is located near to CASC9 within the group of differentially expressed transcripts found in both endometrioid and clear cell carcinomas (see central two columns of Table 6). NRF2 (Nuclear-Factor Erythroid2-Related Factor) is located near to LUCAT1 within the data for endometrioid adenocarcinoma transcripts. The protein coding gene HIF-1 $\alpha$  is also near to XIST, which was differentially expressed in the clear cell carcinoma group. Examination of KEGG [79] pathways, PathCards [80] and other integrated functional databases [81,82] showed that genes in red (see Table 6) were involved in ferroptosis, an iron-dependent form of programmed cell death [83-89].

# Discussion

Long non-coding RNAs have several modes of function in human cells and these fall into three broad categories; postmRNA processing, chromatin reprogramming and regulation of protein coding gene transcription and enhancer sites [90]. Most IncRNA transcripts are said regulate transcription factors of nearby protein coding gene and are thus cis-acting [68-70,91]. There is a paucity of published literature regarding IncRNA function with mRNA and miRNA interactions for the majority of IncRNA genes listed in the results above (see Table 6). Understanding that many long non-coding RNA molecules function in cis allowed detailed exploration of the genomic sites of origin of these molecules and generation of a list of protein coding genes that represent the most likely targets to be controlled by the IncRNAs identified. Analysis of these genes with long-non-coding RNA species in the Genecards database has identified potential molecular function and highlighted biological pathways in which they function. For example, IncRNA cancer susceptibility 9 (CASC9) is situated next to the hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 gamma (HNF4G) protein coding gene (also known as NR2A2).

*OVAAL* (ovarian adenocarcinoma amplified IncRNA) expression has been reported as amplified in ovarian highgrade serous carcinoma [92]. In this study, *OVAAL* showed reduced expression in ovarian clear cell carcinoma (log fold change -6.16, p=0.001). Research suggests that OVAAL behaves as an oncogene by enhancing cell survival through initiation of the RAF/MEK/ERK pathway and avoiding cellular senescence mechanisms [93]. However, down-regulated expression of *OVAAL*, as identified in this study, appears to contradict this evidence and would suggest a tumor suppressor function *in vivo*.

Findings by Zou *et al.,* 2015 support the hypothesis that *CASC9* interacts with protein coding *HNF4G* by acting *in-cis* based on evidence from datamining bioinformatic online

databases [94]. HNF4G is one of a subfamily of liver-specific transcription factors important for organ development in utero [95]. HNF4 has also been shown to be overexpressed in Islet of Langerhans cells of the pancreas in young people with maturity onset diabetes [96]. The morphological feature of cytoplasmic clearing in OCCC is due to intracytoplasmic glycogen accumulation and this may be due to alterations in glycogen metabolism as a result of alterations in HNF4G function (Ji et al, 2018). HNF4G has been documented as being involved in OCCC pathogenesis [37]. Also, strong immunohistochemical expression of a different subset of HNF, HNF1beta, has been shown in OCCC but this is not used in routine diagnostic histopathological practice due to a lack of specificity and distinct morphology [97]. Furthermore, the genes for the group of transcription factors comprising HNF4 and HNF1 are found on different chromosomes and activate transcription of different cytochrome p450 enzymes [98].

*Cdc42* interacts with breast cancer anti-estrogen resistance protein 3 (BCAR3) which interacts with *RP4-561L24.3. Cdc42* codes for a cell membrane protein found in macrophages and is responsible, in part, for coordinated and effective phagocytosis [99]. Reduced expression of cdc42 protein on the surface of macrophages has been shown to differ between tissues with endometriosis and EAOC. Loss of cdc42 expression may play a role in the malignant transformation of endometriosis. Further, cdc42 protein also plays a key role in the MAPK pathway that controls cellular proliferation, antiapoptosis and cellular differentiation.

In addition, BCAR3, RP4-561L24.3 is co-located with GCLM and DNTTIP2 on chromosome 1 [100]. In this meta-analysis, RP4-561L24.3 was expressed at the highest overall level in the combined EAOC group but simultaneously differentially under-expressed in comparison with controls. RP4-561L24.3 may influence ferroptotic pathways in the pathogenesis of endometriosis-associated carcinoma via its interaction with GCLM [101]. Ferroptosis is a form of programmed cell death resulting from a combination of iron and lipid peroxidation in mitochondria with a toxic accumulation of reactive oxygen species [102]. Resistance to ferroptosis is thought to be critical development in the pathogenesis of endometriosis [103]. This makes biological sense in a context of endometriosis where the local tissue environment will contain increased amounts of iron-rich hemosiderin as a consequence of menstrual bleeding [103]. However, the role of ferroptosis in the development of endometriosis-associated malignancy has yet to be described in full [89]. A recent report showed an increased sensitivity of EAOCs to Erastin therapy, which targets the ferroptosis pathway, leads to increased rates of cell death [89]. If resistance to ferroptosis is an important mechanism in the development of EAOC, one could hypothesize that gene expression studies will show increased expression of tumor suppressor genes in normal cells with reduced differential expression in malignant cells [104,105]. This suggests that RP4-561L24.3 functions as a tumor suppressor as it is the most down regulated IncRNA

molecule in this EAOC dataset in the combined endometrioid and clear cell group (log fold change -11.87, p value=  $3.97x 10^{-34}$ ).

Further evidence of a role for ferroptosis in the development of EAOC comes from the finding that *LUCAT1* controls *NRF2* expression via *miRNA-495* [106]. *NRF2* (also known as *NFE2L2*) has been shown to have a critical role in ferroptosis [101]. *NRF2* (*Nuclear-Factor Erythroid2-Related Factor*) is a transcription factor that regulates genes with promotors containing antioxidant response elements [85]. Genes regulated by *NRF2* are upregulated in response to oxygen free radicals released in a context of inflammation and injury as seen in ovarian tissues affected by endometriosis [107].

It is also interesting to note that cells with high levels of nrf2 protein activity are enriched for gamma-glutamyl peptides which are produced as a result of GCLC/GCLM activity [86]. Kang *et al.* suggest that GCLM may be regulated in *cis* by *RP4-561L24.3.* It would make sense that reduced differential expression of genes known to act in the ferroptosis pathway play a key role in EAOC pathogenesis as it is known that menstrual cycling in endometriotic lesions results in generation of excessive irons and free radicals [89].

*SLC2A1* is a member of the family of solute carriers. The antisense lncRNA molecule *SLC2A1-AS1* is expressed at a high level in our EAOC data. This regulates transcription of *SLC2A1* on the sense strand of DNA [108]. Transcription of *SLC2A1* is induced under hypoxic conditions and influenced by increasing levels of *hypoxia inducible factor 1 alpha* (*HIF-1alpha*) [109].

*SOX4* is said to be involved in the pathway regulating ferroptosis [110,111]. This may be regulated *in cis* by *CASC15* due to co-location with *SOX4* in the human genome [100].

*SOX4* (*SRY-related HMG-box 4*) is a transcription factor that has been implicated in carcinogenesis as high expression of *SOX4* is thought to contribute to dedifferentiation, cell survival and epithelial-mesenchymal transition [112]. On the basis of its molecular interactions, CASC15 may have an important role to play in development of EAOC. Researchers suggest that *CASC15* functions as a tumor suppressor gene [113] in which case one would expect to see reduced levels of expression compared with controls in this study. This is not the case, however, as *CASC15* was shown to be expressed at a high level and was not differentially expressed. This casts some doubt on the role of *CASC15* in the development of EAOC and requires further experimental investigation.

*Mir-99a-Let7c Cluster Host Gene (MIR99AHG)*, otherwise known as *LINC00478*, resides near to protein coding gene *Ubiquitin Specific Peptidase 25 (USP25)*. *USP25* is a peptidase enzyme responsible for cleavage of ubiquitin from proteins destined for cellular degradation by proteolysis in the proteosome [114]. It thereby prevents target proteins from breakdown and has been shown to interact with components of the MAPK pathway [115]. *USP25* also inhibits induction of ferroptosis in malignancy [116].

*XIST* has been documented as having an influence on ferroptosis by suppressing glutathione-S transferase (GST) and increasing glutathione synthase levels [117]. *XIST* was



expressed at the highest overall level in OCCC but was simultaneously down regulated expression level 5357, log fold change -2.68, p value= 0.0001. There is evidence in the RISE database of RNA-RNA interactions that *XIST* interacts with anti-sense RNA *HIF1alpha-AS1* which regulates transcription of the hypoxia inducible factor from the opposite coding strand of DNA [74]. *HIF1alpha* is also induces expression of *SCL2A1-AS1* under hypoxic conditions [109] (**Figure 3**).

# **Strengths and Limitations of This Study**

Limitations of this study include not having access to the source tissue to confirm the disease entities listed in the patient clinical information table were histologically accurate. There is concern regarding the specimen classified as FIGO grade 3 endometrioid adenocarcinoma of the ovary as this is a difficult histological diagnosis to make. The morphology of grade 3 endometrioid adenocarcinoma is often solid, poorly differentiated and similar to high-grade serous carcinoma. The distinction relies upon the use of a panel of immunohistochemistry markers which were not described in original publication [37].

Another limitation of the study was the use of normal ovarian tissue as a source of control RNA sequence for comparison with the malignant tissues. It would have been more accurate to use ovarian endometriosis samples given that this is said to be the cell of origin for most EAOCs [6] but these were of insufficient quality for use.

The online databases used to study the pathways are at an early stage in evolution with gaps in knowledge content. In many cases there is no known functional information or interactions regarding the IncRNA species identified in this meta-analysis. Furthermore, many of the assertions made are based on *in silico* prediction rather than experimental data. This indicates a need for further work by, for example, performing knockdown experiments in organoid models of endometriosis and EAOCs to help classify function and contribute to the knowledge base. A major assumption of the interpretation of our data rests on a *cis*- rather than *trans*regulatory function of the IncRNA molecules identified in this work [69].

## Conclusions

LncRNA genes *RP4-561L24.3, CASC15, CASC9, SLC2A-AS1, LUCAT1, XIST* and *MIR99AHG* are candidate biomarkers for further exploration in the pathogenesis of EAOC. Some of these lncRNA molecules may have an influence on the ferroptosis pathway by *cis*-acting regulation of transcription factors of nearby protein coding genes [69]. *In vitro* experiments are required to provide evidence in respect of this hypothesis. Numerous online databases have incomplete information relating to lncRNA function and interactions that makes further experimentation necessary.

Ferroptosis is a form of programmed cell death that is induced in response to cellular stresses involving iron and lipid metabolism in mitochondria and might play a part in the pathogenesis of EAOC. LncRNA molecules identified in this meta-analysis may inform clinical diagnostic pathways by development of non-invasive methods of detection for early diagnosis, risk stratification of endometriosis and more effective post-surgical patient monitoring for recurrence.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

1. Tavassoli FA, Devilee P. Pathology and genetics of tumours of the breast and female genital organs. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer; Oxford : Oxford University Press [distributor]; 2003.

2. Prat J, D'Angelo E, Espinosa I. Ovarian carcinomas: at least five different diseases with distinct histological features and molecular genetics. Hum Pathol. 2018;80:11-27.

3. Kaku T, Ogawa S, Kawano Y, Ohishi Y, Kobayashi H, Hirakawa T, et al. Histological classification of ovarian cancer. Med Electron Microsc. 2003;36(1):9-17.

4. McCluggage WG. Morphological subtypes of ovarian carcinoma: a review with emphasis on new developments and pathogenesis. Pathology. 2011;43(5):420-32.

5. Wu RC, Veras E, Lin J, Gerry E, Bahadirli-Talbott A, Baras A, et al. Elucidating the pathogenesis of synchronous and metachronous tumors in a woman with endometrioid carcinomas using a whole-exome sequencing approach. Cold Spring Harb Mol Case Stud. 2017;3(6).

6. McCluggage WG. Endometriosis-related pathology: a discussion of selected uncommon benign, premalignant and malignant lesions. Histopathology. 2020;76(1):76-92.

7. Wang Y, Mang M, Wang L, Klein R, Kong B, Zheng W. Tubal origin of ovarian endometriosis and clear cell and endometrioid carcinoma. Am J Cancer Res. 2015;5(3):869-79.

8. Muinao T, Pal M, Deka Boruah HP. Origins based clinical and molecular complexities of epithelial ovarian cancer. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules. 2018;118(Pt A):1326-45.

9. Taylor A, Brady AF, Frayling IM, Hanson H, Tischkowitz M, Turnbull C, et al. Consensus for genes to be included on cancer panel tests offered by UK genetics services: guidelines of the UK Cancer Genetics Group. J Med Genet. 2018;55(6):372-7.

10. Singh N, McCluggage WG, Gilks CB. High-grade serous carcinoma of tubo-ovarian origin: recent developments. Histopathology. 2017;71(3):339-56.

11. UK CR. Ovarian Cancer Survival Statistics by Stage 2019.

12. Torre LA, Trabert B, DeSantis CE, Miller KD, Samimi G, Runowicz CD,

et al. Ovarian cancer statistics, 2018. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018;68(4):284-96.

13. Chávarri-Guerra Y, González-Ochoa E, De-la-Mora-Molina H, Soto-Perez-de-Celis E. Systemic therapy for non-serous ovarian carcinoma. Chin Clin Oncol. 2020.

14. Kaldawy A, Segev Y, Lavie O, Auslender R, Sopik V, Narod SA. Low-grade serous ovarian cancer: A review. Gynecol Oncol. 2016;143(2):433-8.

15. Schmeler KM, Sun CC, Bodurka DC, Deavers MT, Malpica A, Coleman RL, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy for low-grade serous carcinoma of the ovary or peritoneum. Gynecol Oncol. 2008;108(3):510-4.

16. Dalton HJ, Fleming ND, Sun CC, Bhosale P, Schmeler KM, Gershenson DM. Activity of bevacizumab-containing regimens in recurrent low-grade serous ovarian or peritoneal cancer: A single institution experience. Gynecol Oncol. 2017;145(1):37-40.

17. Slomovitz B, Gourley C, Carey MS, Malpica A, Shih IM, Huntsman D, et al. Low-grade serous ovarian cancer: State of the science. Gynecol Oncol. 2020;156(3):715-25.

18. Somigliana E, Vigano' P, Parazzini F, Stoppelli S, Giambattista E, Vercellini P. Association between endometriosis and cancer: a comprehensive review and a critical analysis of clinical and epidemiological evidence. Gynecol Oncol. 2006;101(2):331-41.

19. Giudice LC, Kao LC. Endometriosis. Lancet. 2004;364(9447):1789-99.

20. Sainz de la Cuesta R, Eichhorn JH, Rice LW, Fuller AF, Jr., Nikrui N, Goff BA. Histologic transformation of benign endometriosis to early epithelial ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol. 1996;60(2):238-44.

21. Wei JJ, William J, Bulun S. Endometriosis and ovarian cancer: a review of clinical, pathologic, and molecular aspects. Int J Gynecol Pathol. 2011;30(6):553-68.

22. Dawson A, Fernandez ML, Anglesio M, Yong PJ, Carey MS. Endometriosis and endometriosis-associated cancers: new insights into the molecular mechanisms of ovarian cancer development. Ecancermedicalscience. 2018;12:803.

23. Jiang X, Morland SJ, Hitchcock A, Thomas EJ, Campbell IG. Allelotyping of endometriosis with adjacent ovarian carcinoma reveals evidence of a common lineage. Cancer Res. 1998;58(8):1707-12.

24. Eurich KE, Goff BA, Urban RR. Two cases of extragonadal malignant transformation of endometriosis after TAH/BSO for benign indications. Gynecol Oncol Rep. 2019;28:23-5.

25. Kajiyama H, Suzuki S, Yoshihara M, Tamauchi S, Yoshikawa N, Niimi K, et al. Endometriosis and cancer. Free Radic Biol Med. 2019;133:186-92.

26. Dentillo DB, Meola J, Ferriani RA, Rosa-E-Silva JC. Common Dysregulated Genes in Endometriosis and Malignancies. Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet. 2016;38(5):253-62.

28. Lac V, Verhoef L, Aguirre-Hernandez R, Nazeran TM, Tessier-Cloutier B, Praetorius T, et al. latrogenic endometriosis harbors somatic cancer-driver mutations. Human Reproduction. 2019;34(1):69-78.

29. Braicu OL, Budisan L, Buiga R, Jurj A, Achimas-Cadariu P, Pop LA, et al. miRNA expression profiling in formalin-fixed paraffinembedded endometriosis and ovarian cancer samples. Onco Targets Ther. 2017;10:4225-38.

30. He J, Chang W, Feng C, Cui M, Xu T. Endometriosis Malignant Transformation: Epigenetics as a Probable Mechanism in Ovarian Tumorigenesis. Int J Genomics. 2018;2018:1465348.

31. Bastu E, Onder S, Demiral I, Ozsurmeli M, Keskin G, Takmaz O, et al. Distinguishing the progression of an endometrioma: Benign or malignant? European Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2018;230:79-84.

32. Cybulska P, Paula ADC, Tseng J, Leitao MM, Bashashati A, Huntsman DG, et al. Molecular profiling and molecular classification of endometrioid ovarian carcinomas. Gynecol Oncol. 2019;154(3):516-23.

33. Fridley BL, Dai J, Raghavan R, Li Q, Winham SJ, Hou X, et al. Transcriptomic Characterization of Endometrioid, Clear Cell, and High-Grade Serous Epithelial Ovarian Carcinoma. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2018;27(9):1101-9.

34. Tassi RA, Todeschini P, Siegel ER, Calza S, Cappella P, Ardighieri L, et al. FOXM1 expression is significantly associated with chemotherapy resistance and adverse prognosis in non-serous epithelial ovarian cancer patients. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2017;36(1):63.

35. Schaner ME, Ross DT, Ciaravino G, Sorlie T, Troyanskaya O, Diehn M, et al. Gene expression patterns in ovarian carcinomas. Mol Biol Cell. 2003;14(11):4376-86.

36. Schwartz DR, Kardia SL, Shedden KA, Kuick R, Michailidis G, Taylor JM, et al. Gene expression in ovarian cancer reflects both morphology and biological behavior, distinguishing clear cell from other poorprognosis ovarian carcinomas. Cancer Res. 2002;62(16):4722-9.

37. Corona RI, Seo JH, Lin X, Hazelett DJ, Reddy J, Fonseca MAS, et al. Non-coding somatic mutations converge on the PAX8 pathway in ovarian cancer. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):2020.

38. Rheinbay E, Nielsen MM, Abascal F, Wala JA, Shapira O, Tiao G, et al. Analyses of non-coding somatic drivers in 2,658 cancer whole genomes. Nature. 2020;578(7793):102-11.

39. Raphael BJ, Dobson JR, Oesper L, Vandin F. Identifying driver mutations in sequenced cancer genomes: computational approaches to enable precision medicine. Genome Med. 2014;6(1):5.

40. Carlevaro-Fita J, Lanzós A, Feuerbach L, Hong C, Mas-Ponte D, Pedersen JS, et al. Cancer LncRNA Census reveals evidence for deep functional conservation of long noncoding RNAs in tumorigenesis. Commun Biol. 2020;3(1):56.

41. Iwasaki YW, Siomi MC, Siomi H. PIWI-Interacting RNA: Its Biogenesis and Functions. Annu Rev Biochem. 2015;84:405-33.

42. Li S, Xu Z, Sheng J. tRNA-Derived Small RNA: A Novel Regulatory Small Non-Coding RNA. Genes (Basel). 2018;9(5).

43. Schmitt AM, Chang HY. Long Noncoding RNAs in Cancer Pathways. Cancer Cell. 2016;29(4):452-63.

44. Fatica A, Bozzoni I. Long non-coding RNAs: new players in cell differentiation and development. Nat Rev Genet. 2014;15(1):7-21.

45. Cui D, Ma J, Liu Y, Lin K, Jiang X, Qu Y, et al. Analysis of long noncoding RNA expression profiles using RNA sequencing in ovarian endometriosis. Gene. 2018;673:140-8.

46. Wang JY, Lu AQ, Chen LJ. LncRNAs in ovarian cancer. Clin Chim Acta. 2019;490:17-27.

47. Lanzós A, Carlevaro-Fita J, Mularoni L, Reverter F, Palumbo E, Guigó R, et al. Discovery of Cancer Driver Long Noncoding RNAs across 1112 Tumour Genomes: New Candidates and Distinguishing Features. Sci Rep. 2017;7:41544.

48. Sun W, Yang Y, Xu C, Guo J. Regulatory mechanisms of long noncoding RNAs on gene expression in cancers. Cancer Genet. 2017;216-217:105-10.

49. Ferlita A, Battaglia R, Andronico F, Caruso S, Cianci A, Purrello M, et al. Non-Coding RNAs in Endometrial Physiopathology. Int J Mol Sci. 2018;19(7).

50. Marquez RT, Baggerly KA, Patterson AP, Liu J, Broaddus R, Frumovitz M, et al. Patterns of gene expression in different histotypes of epithelial ovarian cancer correlate with those in normal fallopian tube, endometrium, and colon. Clin Cancer Res. 2005;11(17):6116-26.

51. Li Q, Zhang C, Chen R, Xiong H, Qiu F, Liu S, et al. Disrupting MALAT1/miR-200c sponge decreases invasion and migration in endometrioid endometrial carcinoma. Cancer Lett. 2016;383(1):28-40.

52. Li Z, Wei D, Yang C, Sun H, Lu T, Kuang D. Overexpression of long noncoding RNA, NEAT1 promotes cell proliferation, invasion and migration in endometrial endometrioid adenocarcinoma. Biomed Pharmacother. 2016;84:244-51.

53. Smolle MA, Bullock MD, Ling H, Pichler M, Haybaeck J. Long Non-Coding RNAs in Endometrial Carcinoma. Int J Mol Sci. 2015;16(11):26463-72.

54. Zhong Y, Gao D, He S, Shuai C, Peng S. Dysregulated Expression of Long Noncoding RNAs in Ovarian Cancer. Int J Gynecol Cancer. 2016;26(9):1564-70.

55. Zhu H, Jin YM, Lyu XM, Fan LM, Wu F. Long noncoding RNA H19 regulates HIF-1 $\alpha$ /AXL signaling through inhibiting miR-20b-5p in endometrial cancer. Cell Cycle. 2019;18(19):2454-64.

56. Hosseini E, Matthieu M, Sabzalipoor H, Kashani H, Nikzad H, Asemi Z. Dysregulated expression of long noncoding RNAs in gynecologic cancers. - PubMed - NCBI. Molecular Cancer. 2017;16(107).

58. Han L, Zhang W, Zhang B, Zhan L. Long non-coding RNA SOX2OT promotes cell proliferation and motility in human ovarian cancer. Exp Ther Med. 2018;15(2):2182-8.

59. Engqvist H, Parris TZ, Rönnerman EW, Söderberg EMV, Biermann J, Mateoiu C, et al. Transcriptomic and genomic profiling of earlystage ovarian carcinomas associated with histotype and overall survival. Oncotarget. 2018;9(80):35162-80.

60. Worku T, Bhattarai D, Ayers D, Wang K, Wang C, Rehman ZU, et al. Long Non-Coding RNAs: the New Horizon of Gene Regulation in Ovarian Cancer. Cell Physiol Biochem. 2017;44(3):948-66.

61. Lin X, Spindler TJ, de Souza Fonseca MA, Corona RI, Seo JH, Dezem FS, et al. Super-Enhancer-Associated LncRNA UCA1 Interacts Directly with AMOT to Activate YAP Target Genes in Epithelial Ovarian Cancer. iScience. 2019;17:242-55.

62. Barrett T, Wilhite SE, Ledoux P, Evangelista C, Kim IF, Tomashevsky M, et al. NCBI GEO: archive for functional genomics data sets--update. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41(Database issue):D991-5.

63. Wingett SW, Andrews S. FastQ Screen: A tool for multi-genome mapping and quality control. F1000Res. 2018;7:1338.

64. Korpelainen Ea, Tuimala Ja, Somervuo Pa, Huss Ma, Wong Ga. RNA-seq data analysis : a practical approach. 1st ed.

65. Aken BL, Ayling S, Barrell D, Clarke L, Curwen V, Fairley S, et al. The Ensembl gene annotation system. Database (Oxford). 2016;2016.

66. Harrow J, Frankish A, Gonzalez JM, Tapanari E, Diekhans M, Kokocinski F, et al. GENCODE: the reference human genome annotation for The ENCODE Project. Genome Res. 2012;22(9):1760-74.

67. Mukherjee D, Fritz DT, Kilpatrick WJ, Gao M, Wilusz J. Analysis of RNA exonucleolytic activities in cellular extracts. Methods Mol Biol. 2004;257:193-212.

68. Rinn JL, Chang HY. Genome regulation by long noncoding RNAs. Annu Rev Biochem. 2012;81:145-66.

69. Gil N, Ulitsky I. Regulation of gene expression by cis-acting long non-coding RNAs. Nat Rev Genet. 2020;21(2):102-17.

70. Ma W, Liu Y, Ma H, Ren Z, Yan J. Cis-acting: A pattern of IncRNAs for gene regulation in induced pluripotent stem cells from patients with Down syndrome determined by integrative analysis of IncRNA and mRNA profiling data. Exp Ther Med. 2021;22(1):701.

71. Safran M, Dalah I, Alexander J, Rosen N, Iny Stein T, Shmoish M, et al. GeneCards Version 3: the human gene integrator. Database (Oxford). 2010;2010:baq020.

72. Barshir R, Fishilevich S, Iny-Stein T, Zelig O, Mazor Y, Guan-Golan Y, et al. GeneCaRNA: A Comprehensive Gene-centric Database

of Human Non-coding RNAs in the GeneCards Suite. J Mol Biol. 2021;433(11):166913.

73. RNACentral [Internet]. 2020.

74. Gong J, Shao D, Xu K, Lu Z, Lu ZJ, Yang YT, et al. RISE: a database of RNA interactome from sequencing experiments. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46(D1):D194-D201.

75. Karagkouni D, Paraskevopoulou MD, Tastsoglou S, Skoufos G, Karavangeli A, Pierros V, et al. DIANA-LncBase v3: indexing experimentally supported miRNA targets on non-coding transcripts. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020;48(D1):D101-D10.

76. Ma L, Cao J, Liu L, Du Q, Li Z, Zou D, et al. LncBook: a curated knowledgebase of human long non-coding RNAs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47(5):2699.

77. Fang S, Zhang L, Guo J, Niu Y, Wu Y, Li H, et al. NONCODEV5: a comprehensive annotation database for long non-coding RNAs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46(D1):D308-d14.

78. Landrum MJ, Chitipiralla S, Brown GR, Chen C, Gu B, Hart J, et al. ClinVar: improvements to accessing data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020;48(D1):D835-D44.

79. Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M, Sato Y, Morishima K. KEGG: new perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45(D1):D353-D61.

80. Belinky F, Nativ N, Stelzer G, Zimmerman S, Iny Stein T, Safran M, et al. PathCards: multi-source consolidation of human biological pathways. Database (Oxford). 2015;2015.

81. Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Lyon D, Junge A, Wyder S, Huerta-Cepas J, et al. STRING v11: protein-protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47(D1):D607-d13.

82. Cui D, Liu Y, Ma J, Lin K, Xu K, Lin J. Identification of key genes and pathways in endometriosis by integrated expression profiles analysis. PeerJ. 2020;8:e10171.

83. Zheng J, Zhou Z, Qiu Y, Wang M, Yu H, Wu Z, et al. A Prognostic Ferroptosis-Related IncRNAs Signature Associated With Immune Landscape and Radiotherapy Response in Glioma. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2021;9:675555.

84. Luo Y, Huang Q, He B, Liu Y, Huang S, Xiao J. Regulation of ferroptosis by non-coding RNAs in the development and treatment of cancer (Review). Oncol Rep. 2021;45(1):29-48.

85. Jenkins T, Gouge J. Nrf2 in Cancer, Detoxifying Enzymes and Cell Death Programs. Antioxidants (Basel). 2021;10(7).

86. Kang YP, Mockabee-Macias A, Jiang C, Falzone A, Prieto-Farigua N, Stone E, et al. Non-canonical Glutamate-Cysteine Ligase Activity Protects against Ferroptosis. Cell Metab. 2021;33(1):174-89.e7.

87. Deng HF, Yue LX, Wang NN, Zhou YQ, Zhou W, Liu X, et al. Mitochondrial Iron Overload-Mediated Inhibition of Nrf2-HO-1/ GPX4 Assisted ALI-Induced Nephrotoxicity. Front Pharmacol. 2020;11:624529.

88. Yuan Y, Zhai Y, Chen J, Xu X, Wang H. Kaempferol Ameliorates Oxygen-Glucose Deprivation/Reoxygenation-Induced Neuronal Ferroptosis by Activating Nrf2/SLC7A11/GPX4 Axis. Biomolecules. 2021;11(7).

89. Ng A, Ng S, Arcuri F, Toti P, Genega E, Norwitz E, et al. Iron Overload and Ferroptosis in Endometriosis and Endometriosis-associated ovarian cancer. 67th Annual Scientific Meeting of the Society for Reproductive Investigation; Vancouver, Canada: Reproductive Sciences; 2020. p. 156A.

90. Guttman M, Rinn JL. Modular regulatory principles of large non-coding RNAs. Nature. 2012;482(7385):339-46.

91. Gao N, Li Y, Li J, Gao Z, Yang Z, Liu H, et al. Long Non-Coding RNAs: The Regulatory Mechanisms, Research Strategies, and Future Directions in Cancers. Front Oncol. 2020;10:598817.

92. Akrami R, Jacobsen A, Hoell J, Schultz N, Sander C, Larsson E. Comprehensive analysis of long non-coding RNAs in ovarian cancer reveals global patterns and targeted DNA amplification. PLoS One. 2013;8(11):e80306.

93. Sang B, Zhang YY, Guo ST, Kong LF, Cheng Q, Liu GZ, et al. Dual functions for OVAAL in initiation of RAF/MEK/ERK prosurvival signals and evasion of p27-mediated cellular senescence. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018;115(50):E11661-E70.

94. Zou AE, Ku J, Honda TK, Yu V, Kuo SZ, Zheng H, et al. Transcriptome sequencing uncovers novel long noncoding and small nucleolar RNAs dysregulated in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. RNA. 2015;21(6):1122-34.

95. Azmi AS, Bao GW, Gao J, Mohammad RM, Sarkar FH. Network insights into the genes regulated by hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 in response to drug induced perturbations: a review. Curr Drug Discov Technol. 2013;10(2):147-54.

96. Kapoor RR, Locke J, Colclough K, Wales J, Conn JJ, Hattersley AT, et al. Persistent hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemia and maturityonset diabetes of the young due to heterozygous HNF4A mutations. Diabetes. 2008;57(6):1659-63.

97. Fadare O, Liang SX. Diagnostic utility of hepatocyte nuclear factor 1-beta immunoreactivity in endometrial carcinomas: lack of specificity for endometrial clear cell carcinoma. Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol. 2012;20(6):580-7.

98. Drewes T, Senkel S, Holewa B, Ryffel GU. Human hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 isoforms are encoded by distinct and differentially expressed genes. Mol Cell Biol. 1996;16(3):925-31.

99. Allen WE, Zicha D, Ridley AJ, Jones GE. A role for Cdc42 in macrophage chemotaxis. J Cell Biol. 1998;141(5):1147-57.

100. Howe KL, Achuthan P, Allen J, Alvarez-Jarreta J, Amode MR, Armean IM, et al. Ensembl 2021. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49(D1):D884-D91.

101. Wu Y, Zhao Y, Yang HZ, Wang YJ, Chen Y. HMGB1 regulates ferroptosis through Nrf2 pathway in mesangial cells in response to high glucose. Biosci Rep. 2021;41(2).

102. Lei P, Bai T, Sun Y. Mechanisms of Ferroptosis and Relations With Regulated Cell Death: A Review. Front Physiol. 2019;10:139.

103. Ng SW, Norwitz SG, Taylor HS, Norwitz ER. Endometriosis: The Role of Iron Overload and Ferroptosis. Reprod Sci. 2020;27(7):1383-90.

104. Jiang X, Stockwell BR, Conrad M. Ferroptosis: mechanisms, biology and role in disease. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2021;22(4):266-82.

105. Stockwell BR, Jiang X, Gu W. Emerging Mechanisms and Disease Relevance of Ferroptosis. Trends Cell Biol. 2020;30(6):478-90.

106. Luzón-Toro B, Fernández RM, Martos-Martínez JM, Rubio-Manzanares-Dorado M, Antiñolo G, Borrego S. LncRNA LUCAT1 as a novel prognostic biomarker for patients with papillary thyroid cancer. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):14374.

107. Kobayashi H, Kimura M, Maruyama S, Nagayasu M, Imanaka S. Revisiting estrogen-dependent signaling pathways in endometriosis: Potential targets for non-hormonal therapeutics. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2021;258:103-10.

108. Shang R, Wang M, Dai B, Du J, Wang J, Liu Z, et al. Long noncoding RNA SLC2A1-AS1 regulates aerobic glycolysis and progression in hepatocellular carcinoma via inhibiting the STAT3/FOXM1/GLUT1 pathway. Mol Oncol. 2020;14(6):1381-96.

109. Hayashi M, Sakata M, Takeda T, Yamamoto T, Okamoto Y, Sawada K, et al. Induction of glucose transporter 1 expression through hypoxia-inducible factor 1alpha under hypoxic conditions in trophoblast-derived cells. J Endocrinol. 2004;183(1):145-54.

110. Stockwell BR, Friedmann Angeli JP, Bayir H, Bush Al, Conrad M, Dixon SJ, et al. Ferroptosis: A Regulated Cell Death Nexus Linking

Metabolism, Redox Biology, and Disease. Cell. 2017;171(2):273-85.

111. Yu H, Han Z, Xu Z, An C, Xu L, Xin H. RNA sequencing uncovers the key long non-coding RNAs and potential molecular mechanism contributing to XAV939-mediated inhibition of non-small cell lung cancer. Oncol Lett. 2019;17(6):4994-5004.

112. Tiwari N, Tiwari VK, Waldmeier L, Balwierz PJ, Arnold P, Pachkov M, et al. Sox4 is a master regulator of epithelial-mesenchymal transition by controlling Ezh2 expression and epigenetic reprogramming. Cancer Cell. 2013;23(6):768-83.

113. Russell MR, Penikis A, Oldridge DA, Alvarez-Dominguez JR, McDaniel L, Diamond M, et al. CASC15-S Is a Tumor Suppressor IncRNA at the 6p22 Neuroblastoma Susceptibility Locus. Cancer Res. 2015;75(15):3155-66.

114. Valero R, Marfany G, González-Angulo O, González-González G, Puelles L, Gonzàlez-Duarte R. USP25, a novel gene encoding a deubiquitinating enzyme, is located in the gene-poor region 21q11.2. Genomics. 1999;62(3):395-405.

115. Zhong B, Liu X, Wang X, Li H, Darnay BG, Lin X, et al. Ubiquitinspecific protease 25 regulates TLR4-dependent innate immune responses through deubiquitination of the adaptor protein TRAF3. Sci Signal. 2013;6(275):ra35.

116. Yang L, Chen X, Yang Q, Chen J, Huang Q, Yao L, et al. Broad Spectrum Deubiquitinase Inhibition Induces Both Apoptosis and Ferroptosis in Cancer Cells. Front Oncol. 2020;10:949.

117. Zhang X, Wang L, Li H, Zhang L, Zheng X, Cheng W. Crosstalk between noncoding RNAs and ferroptosis: new dawn for overcoming cancer progression. Cell Death Dis. 2020;11(7):580.