Supplementary material

For Thesis: "Beyond the surface: enhancing freshwater pond ecosystem assessment through eDNA metabarcoding", Claire Robertson.

Supplementary material: Chapter 2

16S	18S	COI	pITS	fITS	Step 2
95'C 2:00	95'C 2:00	94'C 3:00	95'C 2:00	95'C 2:00	95'C 2:00
95'C 0:15 +	95'C 0:15 +	94'C 0:30 +	95'C 0:15 +	95'C 0:15 +	95'C 0:15 +
50'C 0:30 +	57'C 0:30 +	46'C 0:30 +	55'C 0:30 +	55'C 0:30 +	55'C 0:30 +
72'C 0:30 +	72'C 0:30 +	65'C 2:30 +	72'C 0:30 +	72'C 0:30 +	72'C 0:30
x 30	X 30	x 30	X 35	X 35	x 8
72'C 10:00	72'C 10:00	65'C 5:00	72'C 10:00	72'C 10:00	72'C 10:00

Table S2.1: PCR conditions for the five step 1 assays and for step 2

Pond	рН	Temp	TDS	ORP	TDN	NH4	SRP	DOC
type		(°C)	(ppm)	(mV)	(mgN/l)	(mg/l)	(ug/l)	(mg/l)
MP:EP	2.85*	-3.76**	2.76*	-2.51	-0.36	-2.06	1.01	2.08
MP:GP	2.98**	-2.19	1.57	0.63	-6.08**	-2.89*	-2.64	-1.52
MP:SP	-0.85	1.00	-1.21	1.38	-0.55	2.60	-0.15	-2.22
GP:EP	0.52	-2.00	1.49	-2.91*	2.43	0.53	3.37*	3.44**
GP:SP	1.14	-0.47	-0.13	1.77	-2.59	0.72	-1.86	-3.20*
EP:SP	1.41	-1.97	1.03	-0.70	-0.78	1.05	0.55	-0.67

Table S2.2: Results of post-hoc test results (Dunn test-Z value), one or two asterisks indicate significance at the p<0.05 and p<0.01 level respectively.



Figure S2.1: Functional diversity plot of prokaryotic organisms in the 16S dataset by sample.



Figure S2.2: Functional diversity in the fITS dataset by sample

	Merged (read abundance %)	Separate (mean read abundance %) n=16	Separate (standard deviation)
Bacteria phyla	,		
Myxococcia	0.88	0.05	0.11
Methanosarcinia	1.53	0.07	0.08
Desulfobacteria	1.40	0.09	0.16
Cyanobacteriia	0.00	0.23	0.59
Desulfuromonadia	1.37	0.13	0.26
Methanomicrobia	0.98	0.25	0.42
Verrucomicrobiae	1.80	0.33	0.36
Campylobacteria	0.35	0.94	1.15
Alphaproteobacteria	2.90	1.64	1.09
Bacteroidia	3.14	7.20	4.14
Actinobacteria	20.85	23.57	12.09
Gammaproteobacteria	62.49	64.88	14.53
Animalia class			
Bivalvia	0	1.66	5.43
Chrysophyceae	0.79	2.00	5.11
Arachnida	0.26	2.64	10.57
Malacostraca	2.79	3.29	8.72
Dinophyceae	5.27	2.89	8.13
Bacillariophyceae	16.07	3.27	7.58
Branchiopoda	1.32	8.61	17.57
Cryptophyceae	5.14	12.17	17.14
Insecta	4.34	14.67	27.34
Maxillopoda	2.92	17.41	30.56
Ostracoda	2.50	15.05	23.76
Clitellata	15.02	14.91	29.25
Copepoda	53.97	16.32	25.12
Others	10.01	0.43	1.28
Green plant or algae class			
Others	0.74	18.63	24.41
Alismatales	0.00	0.12	0.42
Chaetopeltidales	0.00	0.17	0.60

Chaetophorales	0.00	0.18	0.42
Fabales	3.59	0.00	0.00
Oedogoniales	0.00	0.42	1.10
Rosales	0.00	0.85	3.07
Poales	5.12	0.49	1.77
Chlorellales	0.00	0.91	2.31
Fagales	13.46	0.12	0.44
Lamiales	0.00	1.21	4.36
Apiales	41.72	0.00	0.00
Asterales	0.00	5.18	18.66
Malphigiales	23.88	4.34	11.52
Sphaeropleales	0.00	9.15	22.32
Brassicales	0.00	9.91	25.78
Cucurbitales	0.00	13.63	33.59
Chlamydomonadales	11.49	34.70	32.61

Table S2.3: Comparison of percentage eDNA read abundance of different taxa in the merged Main Pond water sample (1020ml), and mean (and SD) percentage eDNA read abundance in 16 separate water samples from the Main Pond (940ml each).

Table S2.4 Number of sediment and water samples collected from Pinkhill Meadow in June 2020.

Pond name	Sample code	Pond type	No. Sediment	No. Water
			samples	samples
Main pond	MP	Main pond (MP)	17	18 (17 separate,
				1 merged)
Semi-	SPP	Surface water	2	1 (merged)
permanent pond		pond (SP)		
Surface water	SWP	Surface water	3	1 (merged)
pond		pond (SP)		
Experimental	EP1	Experimental	1	1
pond 1		pond (EP)		
Experimental	EP2	Experimental	1	1
pond 2		pond (EP)		
Experimental	EP3	Experimental	1	1
pond 3		pond (EP)		
Experimental	EP4	Experimental	1	1
pond 4		pond (EP)		
Experimental	EP5	Experimental	1	1
pond 5		pond (EP)		

Experimental	EP6	Experimental	1	1
pond 6		pond (EP)		
Experimental	EP7	Experimental	1	1
pond 7		pond (EP)		
Groundwater	GWP	Gravel pond	2	1 (merged)
pond		(GP)		
Gravel pond 1	GP1	Gravel pond	1	1
		(GP)		
Gravel pond 2	GP2	Gravel pond	1	1
		(GP)		
Gravel pond 3	GP3	Gravel pond	1	1
		(GP)		
Gravel pond a	GPa	Gravel pond	1	1
		(GP)		
Gravel pond b	GPb	Gravel pond	1	1
		(GP)		
Gravel pond c	GPc	Gravel pond	1	1
		(GP)		
Gravel pond d	GPd	Gravel pond	1	1
		(GP)		
Gravel pond e	GPe	Gravel pond	1	1
		(GP)		
Gravel pond f	GPf	Gravel pond	1	1
		(GP)		
Southern	SRB	Gravel pond	1	1
reedbed pond		(GP)		
Blanks				
Field blanks	FB	-	0	1
Extraction blank	EB	-	1	1
Note: 2 Po	CR blanks	were also	included per	primer pair



Figure S2.3: 25 most abundant bacteria and archaean genera by relative read abundance, across different pond types (x axis). Top: sediment samples (n=33), bottom: water samples (n=29).



Figure S2.4: 25 most abundant Eukaryote classes by relative read abundance across (top) sediment samples (n=34) and (bottom) water samples (n=31)











Figure S2.7: Top 25 green plant and algae species by relative read abundance across different pond types in (top) sediment samples (n=35) and (bottom) water samples (n=27).

Supplementary material: Chapter 3

Paper	Geographic	Top taxa reported (% of	Sample type	Primer
	location	total read abundance)		region
Sadeghi et. al.	60 lakes, S	SAR (23%), Maxillopoda	Water (500ml	18S V9
2021	Ontario, Canada	(10%), Spirotrichaea (9%)	per lake)	
		Cryptophyceae (8%)		
		Chrysophyceae (4%)		
Zheng et. al.	Drinking water	Arthropoda (6.63% to 79.19%),	Water (500ml	18S V9
2020	reservoir, China	Ochrophyta (5.60% to	every month	
		35.16%), Ciliophora (1.81% to	from 4	
		Cryptomonadales (0.25% to	different	
		11.48%)	depths)	
Debroas et. al.	25 lakes and 4	Chlorophyta (18.1%),	Water (a	18S V4
2017	rivers, European,	Bacillariophyta (4.4%),	variety of	
	Arctic and	Chrysophyceae (5.2%),	sampling	
	Himalayas	Cryptomonadales (5.2%)	methods)	
		and Dikarya (fungi) (5.5%)		
Banerji et. al.	Lake, Ohio	Copopda (55.27%),	Water (4	18S V4
2018	Canada	Dinoflagellata (10.2%),	sample sites,	
		Cryptophyta (7.46%),	sampled for 4	
		Fungi (5%+), Chlorophyta	months, 214	
		(2.53%)	samples.	
			100ml from	
			each)	
Mikhailov et. al.	Lake Baikal,	Dinoflagellata (5-30%),	Water (1	18S V9
2021	Siberia	Ciliophora (10-30%),	sample site, 8	
		Chlorophyta (5-30%),	time points,	
		Chrysophyceae (2.5-30%)	200ml from 6	
			depths	
			combined into	
			1.2I)	
Mikhailov et. al.	Lake Baikal,	Dinoflagellata (11–56%),	Water (27	18S V3
2018	Siberia	unclassified Eukaryota	sample sites,	
		(3.4–45%),	200ml from 6	
		Chrysophyceae (4-31%),	depths	

		Chlorophyta (0.7–41%),	combined into	
		Ciliophora (1.3–28%),	1.2l)	
		Fungi (0.2–45%),		
		Cryptophyta (1.6–17%).		
Macingo et. al.	31 mountainous	Chrysophyceae (10-65%),	Water (1I per	18S V3
2019	"pools, ponds	Dinophyceae (10-90%),	waterbody)	
	and lakes",	Spirotrichaea (3-65%)		
	Greece			
Yi et. al. 2017	Lake Baikal,	Sediment: Chrysophyceae	Water and	18S V9
	Siberia, Russia	(10.2%), Ciliophora (9.5%)	sediment, 8	
		Metazoa (5.9%), Cercozoa	sites	
		(4.5%)		
		Water: Chrysophyceae		
		(13.2%), Ciliophora		
		(10.1%), Metazoa (5.4%),		
		Cercozoa (5.1%)		
Mitsi et. al. 2023	Sanabria Lake,	Ochrophyta (25-32.5%),	Water,	18S V4
	Spain	Ciliophora (10-20%),	sediment and	
		Dinoflagellata (0-10%),	biofilms (10	
		Cryptophyta (0-10%)	sites)	
Pearman et. al.	296 lakes across	Dinophyceae (29.7%),	Sediment, 1	18S V4
2023	New Zealand,	Chlorophyceae (6.7%),	site per lake	
	from 1ha –	Clitellata (8.0%),		
	30,000 ha	Ostracoda (6.5%)		
Capo et. al. 2016	2 lakes in	Results from most recent	Sediment	18S V7
	Greenland and	layer (2000-2012): Fungi	cores, ~2000	
	France	(~20%), Dinophyceae (7-	years	
		20%), Chlorophyta (7-		
		14%), Cercozoa (7-14%)		
Wilden,	Lake Ohrid,	Annelida (36%),	Sediment, 20	18S V4
Traunspurger	Albania/North	Arthopoda (30%),	samples, and	
and Geisen 2021	Macedonia	Ochrophyta (15%),	periphyton,	
		Ciliophora (11%)	10 samples	

Table S3.1: Review of metabarcoding studies using 18S primer region in lakes

Paper	Geographic	Top taxa reported (% of	Sample type	Primer
	region	total read abundance)		region
Cruaud et. al.	Saint-Charles	Ciliophora (16-27%),	Water, 1 site,	18S V4
2019	River, Quebec,	Cryptophyceae (10-24%),	34 dates	
	Canada	Chrysophyceae (10-18%),	across 1 year	
		Dinoflagellata (5-13%)		
Cruaud et. al.	Saint-Charles	Cryptophyceae (38%),	Water, 25	18S V4
2020	River, Quebec,	Chrysophyceae (24%),	sites, 11km	
	Canada	Ciliopohora (12%), Fungi	stretch	
		(5.6%)		
Li et. al. 2020	Shaying River,	Ochrophyta (29%),	Water, 18	18S V9
	Henan, China	Cryptophyta (27.5%),	sites across	
		Ciliophora (11.6%),	40,000km^2	
		Chytridiomycota (7.5%)	area	
Lu et. al. 2020	Upper Yangtze	Cryptophyta (52.4%),	Water, 24	18S,
	River, China	Fungi (24.3%) and	sites along	region
		Alveolata (15.26%)	2,300km	unknown
			stretch	
Xu et. al. 2020	Xiaoqing River,	Ochrophyta (25-55%),	Water, 5 sites	18S V4
	China	Chloroplastida (10-30%),	along 240km	
		Ciliophora (2.5-20%),	stretch	
		Cryptomonadales (0-10%)		
Yang et. al. 2022	Hangjiang River,	Water: Ochrophyta	Water and	18S V4
	China	(34.7%), Cilliophora (2-	sediment at	
		30%)	15 sites	
		Sediment: Arthropoda (2-	along 625km	
		50%), Ciliophora (2-25%)	stretch	
Xie et. al. 2016	Nanfei River,	Ciliophora (21.6%),	Sediment, 18	18S V9
	China	Annelida (14.0%),	sites in	
		Arthropoda (11.5%),	1446km ²	
		Rotifera (8.8%),	catchment	
		Ochrophyta (8.6%),		
		Chlorophyta (7.4%)		
Hindshaw,	Streams in	Ciliophora (0-63%),	Sediment, 2	18S V1-
Lindsay & Boyd	Svalbard	Chlorophyta (0-48%),	sites within	V3
(2017)		Basidiomycota (0-75%),	1km ²	
		Bacillariophyta (0-43%)		

Table S3.2: Review of metabarcoding studies using 18S primer region in rivers

Paper	Geographic	Top taxa reported (% total	Sample type	Primer
	location	read abundance)		region
Nakatsu et. al.	Lake Michigan	"Proteobacteria (45.6 ±	Water, 7	16S V3-
2019		5.9%), Actinobacteria (26.8	locations, 3	V4
		± 8.9%), and Bacteroidetes	timepoints	
		(22.8 ± 6.1%) (mean ± SD)"		
Jiao et. al. 2021	13 urban lakes,	Water: Betaproteobacteria	Water and	16S V4
	Nanjing, China	(10-40%),	sediment, 39	
	(range of trophic	Gammaproteobacteria (2-	sample sites,	
	states)	40%), Actinobacteria (4-	2 timepoints	
		30%), Bacteroidetes (2-		
		30%)		
		Sediment: Chloroflexi (10-		
		30%), Actinobacteria (5-		
		30%), Betaproteobacteria		
		(5-30%),		
		Deltaproteobacteria (5-		
		10%)		
Ruuskanen et.	Lake Hazen,	Proteobacteria (38%),	Sediment, 4	16S V3-
al. 2018	Arctic Canada	Bacteroidetes (10%),	sites, 2	V4
		Chloroflexi (7%),	timepoints	
		Actinobacteria (7%),		
		Acidobacteria (8%)		
Zhang et. al.	Lake Bosten,	Lake centre: Proteobacteria	Sediment, 2	16S V1-
2018	China	(43.5 ± 9.8%), Firmicutes	sites, 2	V3
		(19.5 ± 22.6%), and	timepoints	
		Chloroflexi (14.9 ± 1.8%)		
		Lake edge: Firmicutes		
		(25.0 ± 33.3%),		
		Proteobacteria		
		(22.4 ± 8.5%), and		
		Chloroflexi (16.8 ± 10.3%)		

Liu et. al. 2020	Lake Poyang,	Cyanobacteria (50-60%),	Water, 12	16S V3-
	China (seasonal	Actinobacteria (15%),	sites	V4
	lake)	Proteobacteria (10-15%),		
		Bacteroidetes (5-7%)		

Table S3.3: Review of metabarcoding studies using the 16S primer region in lakes

Paper	Geographic	Top taxa reported (%	Sample type	Primer
	location	overall read abundance)		region
Gweon et. al.	River Thames,	Bacteroidetes (15-35%),	Water,	16S V3-
2019	UK	Proteobacteria (~30%),	sediment,	V4
		Actinobacteria (5-10%),	biofilm, 12	
		Verrucomicrobia (5%)	sites	
		Actinobacteria and		
		Bacteroidetes were found		
		in higher proportions in		
		water than sediment/biofilm		
		samples.		
Read et. al. 2015	River Thames,	Actinobacteria (12.5-65%),	Water, 23	16S V1-
	UK	Bacteroidetes (10-80%),	sites	V3
		Proteobacteria (12.5-50%),		
		Verrucomicrobia (5-10%)		
Doherty et. al.	Amazon River,	Actinobacteria (25.8%),	Water, 5	16S V1-
2017	Brazil	Other Alphaproteobacteria	sites, 2	V2
		(10-30%), Bacteroidetes (5-	depths, 3	
		20%)	timepoints	
Li et. al. 2020	Shayang River,	Proteobacteria (28%),	Water, 18	16S V3
	China	Bacteroidetes (24%),	sites across	
		Cyanobacteria (21%),	40,000km^2	
		Actinobacteria (14%),	area	
		Verrucomicrobia (7%)		
Cruaud et. al.	Saint-Charles	Actinobacteria (35.8%),	Water, 25	16S V3-
2020	River, Quebec,	Bacteroidetes (17.6%),	sites, 11km	V4
	Canada	Betaproteobacteria	stretch	
		(15.8%), Verrucomicrobia		
		(11.7%)		

Liu et. al. 2018	Yangtze River,	Water: Proteobacteria (15-	Water and	16S V4-
	China	90%), Actinobacteria (2.5-	sediment, 50	V5
		70%), Bacteroidetes (2.5-	sites,	
		75%)	4300km	
			stretch, 2	
		Sediment: Proteobacteria	timepoints	
		(30-70%), Bacteroidetes (2-		
		60%), Acidobacteria (1-		
		20%), Chloroflexi (1-10%),		
		Actinobacteria (1-5%)		
Wu et. al. 2019	Jinchuan River,	Proteobacteria (42.28%),	Sediment, 16	16S V4
	China (urban	Chloroflexi (13.95%),	sample sites	
	river, heavily	Acidobacteria (8.94%),		
	polluted)	Bacteroidetes (7.80%), and		
		Firmicutes (5.58%)		
Gibbons et. al.	Tongue River,	Proteobacteria (51%),	Sediment, 6	16S V4
2014	Montana, USA	Acidobacterium (6.7%),	sites along	
		Bacteroidetes (6%)	134km	
			-	1
		Planctomycetes (5%)	stretch, 4	
		Planctomycetes (5%)	stretch, 4 timepoints	
Yuan et. al. 2023	Lacang River,	Planctomycetes (5%) Proteobacteria (25-55%),	stretch, 4 timepoints Sediment, 15	16S V3-
Yuan et. al. 2023	Lacang River, Yunnan, China	Planctomycetes (5%) Proteobacteria (25-55%), Actinobacteria (5-40%),	stretch, 4 timepoints Sediment, 15 sites, 750km	16S V3- V4
Yuan et. al. 2023	Lacang River, Yunnan, China (heavily dammed	Planctomycetes (5%) Proteobacteria (25-55%), Actinobacteria (5-40%), Acidobacteria (5-15%),	stretch, 4 timepoints Sediment, 15 sites, 750km reach, 2	16S V3- V4
Yuan et. al. 2023	Lacang River, Yunnan, China (heavily dammed for hydropower)	Planctomycetes (5%) Proteobacteria (25-55%), Actinobacteria (5-40%), Acidobacteria (5-15%), Chloroflexi (7-30%)	stretch, 4 timepoints Sediment, 15 sites, 750km reach, 2 timepoints	16S V3- V4
Yuan et. al. 2023	Lacang River, Yunnan, China (heavily dammed for hydropower)	Planctomycetes (5%) Proteobacteria (25-55%), Actinobacteria (5-40%), Acidobacteria (5-15%), Chloroflexi (7-30%)	stretch, 4 timepoints Sediment, 15 sites, 750km reach, 2 timepoints	16S V3- V4
Yuan et. al. 2023 Liu et. al. 2022	Lacang River, Yunnan, China (heavily dammed for hydropower) Yarlung Tsangpo	Planctomycetes (5%) Proteobacteria (25-55%), Actinobacteria (5-40%), Acidobacteria (5-15%), Chloroflexi (7-30%) Proteobacteria (40%),	stretch, 4 timepoints Sediment, 15 sites, 750km reach, 2 timepoints Sediment, 81	16S V3- V4 16S V4-
Yuan et. al. 2023 Liu et. al. 2022	Lacang River, Yunnan, China (heavily dammed for hydropower) Yarlung Tsangpo River, Tibet	Planctomycetes (5%) Proteobacteria (25-55%), Actinobacteria (5-40%), Acidobacteria (5-15%), Chloroflexi (7-30%) Proteobacteria (40%), Bacteroidetes (19%),	stretch, 4 timepoints Sediment, 15 sites, 750km reach, 2 timepoints Sediment, 81 sites across	16S V3- V4 16S V4- V5
Yuan et. al. 2023 Liu et. al. 2022	Lacang River, Yunnan, China (heavily dammed for hydropower) Yarlung Tsangpo River, Tibet	Planctomycetes (5%) Proteobacteria (25-55%), Actinobacteria (5-40%), Acidobacteria (5-15%), Chloroflexi (7-30%) Proteobacteria (40%), Bacteroidetes (19%), Firmicutes (17%),	stretch, 4 timepoints Sediment, 15 sites, 750km reach, 2 timepoints Sediment, 81 sites across ~1500km	16S V3- V4 16S V4- V5

Table S3.4: Review of metabarcoding studies using the 16S primer region in rivers

16S Step 1	18S Step 1	ITS2 Step 1	Step 2

95'C 2:00	95'C 2:00	95'C 2:00	95'C	2:00
95'C 0:15 +	95'C 0:15 +	95'C 0:15 +	95'C	0:15 +
50'C 0:30 +	60'C 0:30 +	55'C 0:30 +	55'C	0:30 +
72'C 0:30 +	72'C 0:30 +	72'C 0:30 +	72'C	0:30
x 30	X 30	X 35	x	8
72'C 10:00	72'C 10:00	72'C 10:00	72'C ⁻	10:00

Table S3.5: PCR conditions for all primer pairs

Date	Hydrological connectivity	Thames River level at
		Farmoor (m)
22/01/2020	Site flooded. MP, SPP,	1.006
	SWP, GPs, SRB and GWP	
	all one continuous	
	waterbody. EPs 1-4 one	
	waterbody, EPs 5-7 another.	
	Some parts icy.	
17/03/2020	All ponds separate, as they	1.047
	are in Chapter 3, Fig 1	
22/07/2020	All ponds separate, as they	0.938
	are in Fig 1. No water in EP2,	
	EP3, EP4 or MP17.	
30/09/2020	All ponds separate, as they	0.910
	are in Fig 1. No water in EP2,	
	EP3, EP4, MP1 or MP2.	
25/11/2020	All ponds separate, as they	0.923
	are in Fig 1.	

Table S3.6: Hydrological conditions from observation and River Thames water level on sampling dates. Water level data provided by an Environment Agency gauge and extracted from https://riverlevels.uk/thames-stanton-harcourt-farmoor on 9th February 2022

Pond name	Sample code	Pond type	No. Sediment	No. Water
			samples	samples
Main pond	MP	Main pond (MP)	17	18 (17 separate,
				1 merged)
Semi-	SPP	Surface water	2	1 (merged)
permanent pond		pond (SP)		
Surface water	SWP	Surface water	3	1 (merged)
pond		pond (SP)		

Experimental	EP1	Experimental	1	1
pond 1		pond (EP)		
Experimental	EP2	Experimental	1	1
pond 2		pond (EP)		
Experimental	EP3	Experimental	1	1
pond 3		pond (EP)		
Experimental	EP4	Experimental	1	1
pond 4		pond (EP)		
Experimental	EP5	Experimental	1	1
pond 5		pond (EP)		
Experimental	EP6	Experimental	1	1
pond 6		pond (EP)		
Experimental	EP7	Experimental	1	1
pond 7		pond (EP)		
Groundwater	GWP	Gravel pond	2	1 (merged)
pond		(GP)		
Gravel pond 1	GP1	Gravel pond	1	1
		(GP)		
Gravel pond 2	GP2	Gravel pond	1	1
		(GP)		
Gravel pond 3	GP3	Gravel pond	1	1
		(GP)		
Gravel pond a	GPa	Gravel pond	1	1
		(GP)		
Gravel pond b	GPb	Gravel pond	1	1
		(GP)		
Gravel pond c	GPc	Gravel pond	1	1
		(GP)		
Gravel pond d	GPd	Gravel pond	1	1
		(GP)		
Gravel pond e	GPe	Gravel pond	1	1
		(GP)		
Gravel pond f	GPf	Gravel pond	1	1
		(GP)		
Southern	SRB	Gravel pond	1	1
reedbed pond		(GP)		
Blanks				
Field blanks	FB	-	0	1
Extraction blank	EB	-	1	1

Note: 2 PCR blanks were also included per primer pair, per sampling event.

Table S3.9 Number of sediment and water samples collected from Pinkhill Meadow in each sample event (Jan, Mar, July, Sept, Nov).



Image S3.1: 22nd Jan 2020



Image S3.2: 17th March 2020



Image S3.3: 22nd July 2020



Image S3.4: 28th September 2020



Image S3.5: 25th November 2020

Images S3.1-3.5: Representative photos of climatic, hydrological and vegetation conditions at the site on sampling days. The two poles (Osprey platforms) provide a reference point. Image S3.5 is taken from the vantage point of the pole in image S3.4.

Measurement	Df	F	p value
Temperature (°C)	4	493.6	<0.001 ***
Conductivity (µS/cm)	4	7.34	<0.001 ***
Total Dissolved Solids	4	5.38	<0.001 ***
(ppm)			
рН	4	13.9	<0.001 ***
Oxidative-Reductive	4	158.6	<0.001 ***
Potential (mV)			

Table S3.7: Results of ANOVAs of abiotic variables by sample month for abiotic variables

Measurement	Df	Kruskal-Wallis	P value
		Chi Squared	
Temperature	3	5.35	0.148
Conductivity	3	10.51	0.015 *
TDS	3	13.46	0.0037 **
рН	3	44.56	<0.001 ***
ORP	3	0.95	0.813

SRP	3	11.44	0.0096 **
TDN	3	20.0	<0.001 ***
NH4	3	12.91	0.0048 **
Chlorophyll	3	12.01	0.007 **
DOC	3	22.46	<0.001 ***
ТР	3	4.68	0.197
SS	3	2.97	0.397

Table S3.8: Results of Kruskal-Wallis tests of chemical and abiotic variables by pond type



Figure S3.1 a) Top 20 bacterial and archaean genera by read abundance per month in water samples, n=120



Figure S3.1 b) Top 20 bacterial and archaean genera by read abundance per month in sediment samples, *n*=160



Figure S3.2 a) Top 25 microbial eukaryote genera by read abundance per month in water samples, n=149



Figure S3.2 b) Top 25 microbial eukaryote genera by read abundance per month in sediment samples, n=145



Figure S3.3 a) Top 25 multicellular eukaryote genera in water samples by read abundance per month n=144



Figure S3.3 b) Top 25 multicellular eukaryote genera in sediment samples by read abundance per month n=147



Figure S3.4 a) Top 25 higher plant and green algae species in water samples by read abundance per month n=143



Figure S3.4 b) Top 25 higher plant and green algae species in sediment samples by read abundance per month n=180



Figure S3.5 a) Boxplots of Chao, Simpson and Shannon indices of alpha diversity of bacteria and archaea communities with t test comparison. Red = sediment samples (n=140), blue = water samples (n=120). One, two or three asterisks are visual representations of p values below 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 respectively.



Figure S3.5 b) Boxplots of Chao, Simpson and Shannon indices of alpha diversity of microbial eukaryote communities with t test comparison. Red = sediment samples (n=140), blue = water samples (n=120). One, two or three asterisks are visual representations of p values below 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 respectively, ns = "not significant".



Figure S3.6: Boxplots of Chao, Simpson and Shannon indices of alpha diversity of bacteria communities with Wilcoxon rank-sum comparison. Top: sediment samples, bottom: water samples. Dark green = January (n=79), orange = March (n=85), purple = June (n=85), pink = September (n=83), light green = November (n=87). One, two or three asterisks are visual representations of p values below 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 respectively.



Figure S3.7: Boxplots of Chao, Simpson and Shannon indices of alpha diversity of microbial eukaryote communities with Wilcoxon rank-sum comparison. Top: sediment samples, bottom: water samples. Dark green = January (n=79), orange = March (n=85), purple = June (n=85), pink = September (n=83), light green = November (n=87). One, two or three asterisks are visual representations of p values below 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 respectively.



Figure S3.8: Boxplots of Chao, Simpson and Shannon indices of alpha diversity of multicellular eukaryote communities with Wilcoxon rank-sum comparison. Top: sediment samples, bottom: water samples. Dark green = January (n=79), orange = March (n=85), purple = June (n=85), pink = September (n=83), light green = November (n=87). One, two or three asterisks are visual representations of p values below 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 respectively.



Figure S3.9: Boxplots of Chao, Simpson and Shannon indices of alpha diversity of bacteria communities with Wilcoxon rank-sum comparison. Top: sediment samples, bottom: water samples. Dark green = January (n=79), orange = March (n=85), purple = June (n=85), pink = September (n=83), light green = November (n=87). One, two or three asterisks are visual representations of p values below 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 respectively.





Figure S3.10: Relative abundances (by read abundance) in pond sediments of 1. Prokaryotic phyla, 2. Microbial eukaryote phyla 3. Multicellular eukaryote phyla and 4. Green plant and algal orders over different sample months. Multiple Kruskal-Wallis tests with fdr p value correction, 1, 2 or 3 asterisks indicate significance at the p<0.05, p<0.01 and p<0.001 levels respectively.





Figure S3.10: Relative abundances (by read abundance) in pond water of 1. Prokaryotic phyla, 2. Microbial eukaryote phyla 3. Multicellular eukaryote phyla and 4. Green plant and algal orders over different sample months. Multiple Kruskal-Wallis tests with fdr p value correction, 1, 2 or 3 asterisks indicate significance at the p<0.05, p<0.01 and p<0.001 levels respectively.



Supplementary material: Chapter 4

Figure S4.1: Read abundance of higher plant taxa (n=74) of different wetland functions (aquatic, wetland or terrestrial) within each pond. Left: sediment samples (n=31) and right: water samples (n=31).

16S Step 1	18S Step 1	ITS2 Step 1	Step 2	
95'C 2:00	95'C 2:00	95'C 2:00	95'C 2:00	
95'C 0:15 +	95'C 0:15 +	95'C 0:15 +	95'C 0:15 +	
50'C 0:30 +	57'C 0:30 +	55'C 0:30 +	55'C 0:30 +	
72'C 0:30 +	72'C 0:30 +	72'C 0:30 +	72'C 0:30	
x 30	X 30	X 35	x 8	
72'C 10:00	72'C 10:00	72'C 10:00	72'C 10:00	

Table S4.1: PCR conditions for all primer pairs

Genus	Species	Common name	Habitat	Growth form	Cultivated?	Native?	Scarce?
Acer	Acer campestre	Field maple	Terrestrial	Tree			
Acer	Acer platanoides	Norway maple	Terrestrial	Tree		Non-native	
Aesculus	Aesculus hippocastanum	Horse chestnut	Terrestrial	Tree			
Agrostis	Agrostis capillaris	Common bent	Terrestrial				
Alisma	Alisma plantago- aquatica	Water plantain	Wetland				
Allium	Allium cepa	Onion	Terrestrial		Culivated		
Alnus	Alnus glutinosa	Alder	Wetland	Tree			
Alnus	Alnus glutinosa	Alder	Terrestrial	Tree			
Alopecurus	Alopecurus myosuroides	Slender meadow- foxtail	Terrestrial				
Arrhenatherum	Arrhenatherum elatius	False oat-grass	Terrestrial				
Bellis	Bellis perennis	Common daisy	Terrestrial				
Berula	Berula erecta	Lesser water parnsip	Wetland				
Betula		Birch	Terrestrial	Tree			
Brassica	Brassica oleracea	Cabbage/wild mustard	Terrestrial		Culivated		
Callitriche	Callitriche brutia	Pendunculate water starwort	Aquatic				
Callitriche	Callitriche obtusangula	Blunt-fruited water starwort	Aquatic				
Calystegia	Calystegia sepium	Hedge bindweed	Terrestrial				
Carpinus	Carpinus betulus	Hornbeam	Terrestrial	Tree			
Ceratophyllum	Ceratophyllum demersum	Hornwort	Aquatic				
Ceratophyllum	Ceratophyllum platyacanthum	Hornwort	Aquatic				
Ceratophyllum		Hornwort	Aquatic				
Conium	Conium maculatun	n Hemlock	Wetland				
Crataegus	Crataegus	Hawthorn	Terrestrial	Tree			

1							
	monogyna x Crataegus suksdorfi	i					
Cucumis	Cucumis sativus	Cucumber	Terrestrial		Cultivated		
Dactylis	Dactylis glomerata	Cocksfoot grass	Terrestrial				
Epilobium		Willowherb	Wetland				
Eupatorium	Eupatorium cannabinum	Hemp agrimony	Wetland				
Filipendula	Filipendula ulmaria	Meadowsweet	Wetland				
Fraxinus	Fraxinus excelsior	Ash	Terrestrial	Tree			
Galium	Galium aparine	Cleavers	Terrestrial				
Glyceria	Glyceria notata	Plicate sweet grass	Wetland				
Glyceria	Glyceria fluitans	Floating sweet-grass	Wetland				
Glyceria	Glyceria maxima	Reed sweet-grass	Wetland				
Hedera	Hedera helix	Common ivy	Terrestrial				
Helosciadium	Helosciadium nodiflorum	Fool's watercress	Wetland				
Heracleum	Heradeum sphondylium	Hogweed	Terrestrial				
Hesperocyparis	Hesperocyparis arizonica	Arizona cypress	Terrestrial	Tree		Non-native	
Holcus	Holcus lanatus	Yorkshire fog	Terrestrial				
Juglans	Juglans regia	Walnut	Terrestrial	Tree			
Lolium	Lolium perenne	Perennial ryegrass	Terrestrial				
Lycopus	Lycopus europaeus	Gypsywort	Wetland				
Lythrum	Lythrum salicaria	Purple loosestrife	Wetland				
Medicago	Medicago sativa	Alfalfa	Terrestrial		Cultivated		
Medicago	Medicago sativa	Alfalfa	Terrestrial		Culivated		
Menyanthes	Menyanthes trifoliata	Bogbean	Wetland				
Musa		Banana	Terrestrial		Culivated	Non-native	
Nasturtium	Nasturtium officinale	Watercress	Wetland				
Nymphaea	Nymphaea alba	White water lily	Aquatic				
Nymphaea	Nymphaea odorata	American white water lily	Aquatic			Non-native	

Pastinaca	Pastinaca sativa	Parsnip	Terrestrial		Culivated		
Persicaria	Persicaria amphibia	Amphibious bistort	Wetland				
Phleum	Phleum pratense	Timothy	Terrestrial				
Plantago	Plantago lanceolata	Ribwort plantain	Terrestrial				
Роа	Poa trivialis	Rough meadow grass	Terrestrial				
Polygonum	Polygonum boreale	Northern knotgrass	Terrestrial				
Populus		Poplar	Wetland	Tree			
Potamogeton	Potamogeton natans	Broad-leaved pondweed	Aquatic				
Potamogeton	Potamogeton berchtoldii	Berchtold's pondweed	Aquatic				
Potamogeton		Pondweed	Aquatic				
Potamogeton	Potamogeton crispus	Curly-leaved pondweed	Aquatic				
Potamogeton	Potamogeton coloratus	Fen pondweed	Aquatic				Nationally Scarce
Potentilla	Potentilla reptans	Creeping cinquefoil	Terrestrial				
Prunus		Cherry/Plum	Terrestrial	Tree			
Quercus	Quercus infectoria	Aleppo Oak	Terrestrial	Tree		Non-native	
Rubus		Bramble	Terrestrial				
Rubus	Rubus silvaticus	Bramble	Terrestrial				
Rumex		Dock	Wetland				
Salix		Willow	Wetland	Tree			
Sambucus	Sambucus nigra	Elder	Terrestrial	Tree			
Sesamum	Sesamum indicum	Sesame	Terrestrial		Culivated	Non-native	
Solanum	Solanum dulcamara	Bittersweet	Wetland				
Sparganium	Sparganium stoloniferum	Bur-reed	Wetland				
Stachys	Stachys sylvatica	Hedge woundwort	Terrestrial				
Trifolium	Trifolium pratense	Red dover	Terrestrial				
Ulmus	Ulmus davidiana	Japanese elm	Terrestrial	Tree		Non-native	
Urtica	Urtica dioica	Common nettle	Terrestrial				
Urtica		Nettle	Terrestrial				
Vicia	Vicia faba	Broad bean	Terrestrial		Cultivated		

Table S4.2: List of higher plant (Embryophyta) taxa found from eDNA metabarcoding using ITS2 gene region in 31 ponds in lowland England.

