#### **RESEARCH**



# **Reappraisal of the mechanism of cardiovascular responses to sympathomimetic amines in anaesthetised rats: dual α1‑adrenoceptor and trace amine receptor mechanisms**

**Kenneth J. Broadley[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3339-2050)**

Received: 10 January 2024 / Accepted: 4 June 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

### **Abstract**

Established dogma is that sympathomimetic amines, including β-phenylethylamine (PEA), increase blood pressure by releasing noradrenaline from sympathetic neurons. Recent evidence allowing longer contact with isolated immersed tissues indicates other mechanisms. The present study re-evaluates the mechanism of pressor responses to PEA in anaesthetised rats with longer exposure to infusions. Blood pressure and heart rate were monitored by cannulating a common carotid artery of anaesthetised male Sprague–Dawley rats. Drugs were administered by bolus doses or by 20-min infusions via a cannulated jugular vein. Increases in blood pressure by bolus doses of the α-adrenoceptor agonist, phenylephrine, were converted to depressor responses by prazosin and therefore α-adrenoceptor-mediated. Pressor responses to bolus doses of PEA were reduced. PEA infusions yielded four-phase responses: An initial increase in pressure (phase 1) blocked by prazosin was due to  $\alpha$ -adrenoceptor vasoconstriction and a secondary fall in pressure (phase 2) due to vasodilatation by nitric oxide release. A later pressure increase (phase 3), further elevated after infusion stopped (phase 4), was not attenuated by prazosin and therefore non-adrenergic. This study showed for the frst time that the sympathomimetic amine, β-phenylethylamine, increases blood pressure by two mechanisms. The established indirect sympathomimetic mechanism applies to bolus dose administration. However, with prolonged exposure to infusions, an additional slow-onset sustained non-adrenergic blood pressure increase occurs, most likely mediated via trace amine-associated receptors (TAAR-1). This response will dominate with prolonged exposures in clinical practice. These results prompt a re-evaluation of established dogma on the indirect sympathomimetic mechanisms of these amines.

**Keywords** Trace amines · Infusions · Bolus dosing · Rat blood pressure · α-Adrenoceptor agonists

# **Introduction**

Amines including β-phenylethylamine (PEA), tyramine, amphetamine, and ephedrine have long been regarded as sympathomimetic amines because they mimic the efects of sympathetic nerve stimulation (Barger and Dale [1910](#page-11-0)). These amines exert increases in heart rate and blood pressure. They have been classifed as indirectly acting sympathomimetic amines on the grounds that they release noradrenaline from neuronal vesicular storage sites (Burn and Rand [1958](#page-11-1)).

However, more recent evidence suggests that this may not entirely explain the cardiovascular efects of these amines. The vasoconstrictor responses to PEA of rat (Broadley et al. [2013](#page-11-2); Fehler et al. [2010\)](#page-11-3) and guinea-pig (Broadley and Broadley [2018](#page-11-4) [2019\)](#page-11-5) isolated aorta and of pig coronary arteries (Herbert et al.  $2008$ ) are not inhibited by the  $\alpha_1$ -adrenoceptor antagonist, prazosin. On this evidence, it has been proposed that vasoconstriction by PEA was due to stimulation of a separate class of receptors, the trace amine-associated receptors (TAARs) (Borowsky et al. [2001\)](#page-11-7), so called because these amines are also known as trace amines. In transfected cell lines, TAAR-1 is a G protein-coupled receptor linked to adenylate cyclase and cAMP production (Borowsky et al. [2001](#page-11-7)). An intracellular location has also been described which is also G proteincoupled (Underhill et al. [2021\)](#page-12-0). TAAR-1 receptor protein was identifed by Western blotting and TAAR-1 receptor mRNA by RT-PCR in rat aorta (Fehler et al. [2010](#page-11-3)). In vivo, the

 $\boxtimes$  Kenneth J. Broadley BroadleyKJ@Cardif.ac.uk

Division of Pharmacology, Cardiff School of Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Sciences, Cardif University, King Edward Vll Avenue, Cathays Park, Cardif, Wales CF10 3NB, UK

vasoconstriction by these amines is seen as increases in blood pressure after intravenous administration of PEA or tyramine in rats (Day [1967](#page-11-8); Liles et al. [2006](#page-11-9); Khwanchuea et al. [2008](#page-11-10)), cats (Day [1967](#page-11-8); Burn and Rand [1958](#page-11-1)), dogs (Kohli and Goldberg [1982](#page-11-11); Woodman and Pannangpetch [1994](#page-12-1)), and rabbits (Du et al. [1992\)](#page-11-12). In humans, the administration of tyramine (Peatfeld et al. [1983](#page-12-2); Colombo et al. [1989](#page-11-13)) and phenylpropanolamine (Salerno et al. [2005](#page-12-3)) also increase blood pressure. There is no information on whether TAARs are involved in the in vivo cardiovascular efects of trace amines. Most of the evidence indicates that increases in blood pressure are due to indirect sympathomimetic mechanisms. For example, the pressor actions of tyramine are blocked by the depletion of noradrenaline stores with reserpine (Burn and Rand [1958\)](#page-11-1).

Thus, in vivo fndings suggest that tyramine, β-PEA, and related amines behave as indirectly acting sympathomimetic amines, whereas in vitro observations in isolated blood vessels suggest the involvement of TAAR receptors. A possible reason for this discrepancy is that most in vitro studies have involved immersed tissues allowing prolonged contact with the amines. In contrast, in vivo clinical studies (Bianchetti et al. [1982](#page-11-14); Peatfeld et al. [1983](#page-12-2); Meck et al. [2003\)](#page-11-15) have usually examined bolus dosing in which there is only a brief peak concentration of agonist. The rate of onset of TAAR-mediated vasoconstriction by PEA in guinea-pig aorta is signifcantly slower, peaking at 13.6 min, than for the  $\alpha$ -adrenoceptor agonist, phenylephrine, which peaked at 3.9 min (Broadley and Broadley [2019](#page-11-5)). Therefore, in in vivo studies, bolus dosing may have prevented observation of the delayed and slower TAAR-1-mediated responses to these amines. The clinical use of the vasoconstrictor activity of sympathomimetic amines in raising blood pressure is to treat the severe hypotension associated with septic and anaphylactic shock. Phenylephrine and ephedrine have been used in septic shock (Chen and Wong [2022](#page-11-16)), and phenylephrine has been used in anaphylactic shock (Luhmann et al. [2013](#page-11-17)), by bolus dosing. There is limited information on infusions of these amines, but phenylephrine infusions have been employed to maintain blood pressure during spinal anaesthesia for caesarean section (Ngan Kee et al. [2004](#page-12-4)). There is no information on the use of PEA in septic shock, anaphylaxis or spinal anaesthesia. The present study was therefore undertaken to examine the in vivo responses to PEA on anaesthetised rat blood pressure and heart rate when administered by bolus dosing and by infusion that allows the slow-onset responses to develop fully.

# **Methods**

## **Anaesthetised rats**

maintained in conventional animal housing, subjected to a 12-h light–dark cycle (8am–8pm) at a room temperature of 21  $\degree$ C and humidity of 55 g.m<sup>3</sup>. This study was undertaken only in male rats. It is acknowledged that the results may difer in females; however, it was decided in the frst instance to avoid any infuences of variations in female sex hormones during the oestrus cycle and the necessity of having to determine the stage of the cycle in each rat prior to each experiment.

Rats were anaesthetised with 60 mg/kg i.p. pentobarbitone sodium (Khwanchuea et al. [2008\)](#page-11-10). The rat was placed on a Harvard heated table maintaining the rat's temperature at 37 °C by a Harvard (Edenbridge, Kent, UK) homeothermic table control unit. An intravenous polythene cannula was inserted into a jugular vein and heparin administered intravenously (100 units/100 g). A common carotid artery was closed with an artery clip before cannulating with a tapered polythene cannula flled with heparinised saline (100 units/ml). The arterial cannula was attached to a PDCR 75 S/N 18131 pressure transducer (Druck Ltd, Leicester, UK) in series with a Condon manometer. The pressure in the transducer and manometer was raised to 100 mmHg before releasing the artery clip. Arterial blood pressure was monitored using a Powerlab 4SP data acquisition system (ADInstruments, Chalgrove, Oxfordshire, UK) and the pulse signal used as a trigger for heart rate.

### **Experimental protocol**

Drugs were administered intravenously by inserting a hypodermic needle into the cannula, injecting the required volume of drug made up in saline, pinching the tube to remove the needle and inserting a second needle attached to a syringe flled with saline. All drugs were washed in immediately with 0.2 ml of saline. Alternatively, PEA was administered by infusion for 20 min via the intravenous cannula. An Imed 800 (Pharmacia, Milton Keynes, UK) slow infusor ftted with a 10-ml syringe was used. At the completion of the infusion, the cannula was fushed through with 0.2 ml saline. All doses are expressed as mg or µg base/100 g body weight (BW) for bolus dosing or mg base/100 g body weight (BW)/min for infusions and hereafter are given as mg/100 g or mg/100 g/min.

### **Data processing and statistical analysis**

Systolic and diastolic arterial blood pressures (mmHg) and heart rate (beat/min) were recorded. With bolus doses, the changes in blood pressure and heart rate at the peak efect were measured and the diference from the pre-dose baseline was recorded. With infusions, the blood pressures and heart rate were measured at minute intervals for 5 min and then every 5 min. After infusions were stopped, blood pressure and heart rate were continued to be measured initially at minute intervals for 5 min and then at 5-min intervals for a further 10 min. For bolus dose administration, changes in diastolic and systolic blood pressure and heart rate from baseline were calculated and plotted as the mean  $\pm$  SEM. For infusions, time courses of the responses were plotted as the mean $\pm$  SEM absolute diastolic and systolic blood pressures or heart rate against time. Mean responses obtained before and after antagonism by prazosin or before and after infusions of PEA were compared by Student's paired *t*-tests using Microsoft Excel. Diferences were considered signifcant when  $P \leq 0.05$ . Blinding was not necessary because no comparisons were made between test and control animals. Comparisons of blood pressure and heart rate changes were usually made within the same animal.

# **Materials**

Drugs used were heparin sodium salt from porcine intestinal mucosa (180 units/mg), prazosin hydrochloride, (-)-phenylephrine hydrochloride, and β-phenylethylamine hydrochloride (PEA) obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Poole, Dorset, UK) and sodium pentobarbitone (200 mg/ml) from Merial, Woking UK). PEA and phenylephrine were dissolved in distilled water. Prazosin hydrochloride was dissolved (1 mg/ml) in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO)/normal saline (50:50). Other drugs were dissolved in normal saline.

# **Results**

### **Bolus doses of phenylephrine and PEA**

Bolus dosing of the  $\alpha$ -adrenoceptor agonist, (-)-phenylephrine hydrochloride (0.3 μg/100 g), increased systolic and diastolic blood pressure by  $53.0 \pm 5.6$  and  $38.3 \pm 5.3$ mmHg (*n*=6), respectively (Figs. [1A](#page-3-0) and [2A](#page-4-0)). Heart rate was reduced by 91.7 beats min<sup>-1</sup> (bpm) (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)B). Bolus doses of PEA (0.1 mg/100g) increased systolic and diastolic blood pressure by  $54.7 \pm 6.5$  and  $38.3 \pm 6.9$  mmHg (Figs. [1A](#page-3-0) and [2](#page-4-0)A) and increased heart rate by  $109.2 \pm 18.6$  beats/min (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)B). These doses were selected from preliminary experiments to be submaximal.

## **Intravenous infusions of PEA**

A 20-min intravenous infusion of PEA (0.1 mg/100 g/min) produced a four-phased blood pressure response (Figs. [1A](#page-3-0) and [3\)](#page-5-0). An initial increase (Peak 1) peaked at  $1.3 \pm 0.2$  min, systolic and diastolic blood pressure increasing by  $35.0 \pm 7.2$  and  $33.5 \pm 6.7$  mmHg, respectively  $(34.5 \pm 8.8 \text{ and } 42.6 \pm 12.2\%)$ (Fig. [4\)](#page-6-0). Blood pressure then returned towards baseline, this fall peaking at  $8.2 \pm 2.4$  min, systolic BP decreasing to  $10.7 \pm 8.1$ mmHg (11.8 $\pm$ 8.1%) above baseline and diastolic to 2.0 $\pm$ 2.8 mmHg  $(0.04 \pm 8.3\%)$  below baseline (Peak 2). A secondary increase (Peak 3) in blood pressure occurred while the infusion continued, which peaked at  $17.5 \pm 1.2$ min, systolic and diastolic pressures increasing by  $7.1 \pm 2.2$  (4.6 $\pm$ 1.7%) and  $1.7 \pm 1.1$ mmHg  $(2.0 \pm 1.3\%)$  above the Peak 2 fall in pressure. After the infusion was stopped at 20 min, there was a further increase in pressure (Peak 4) peaking at  $28.5 \pm 2.2$  min, systolic and diastolic increasing by  $41.2 \pm 9.6$  (34.7 $\pm 7.8\%$ ) and  $43.5 \pm 7.6$ mmHg ( $52.2 \pm 9.5\%$ ) above the phase 2 fall in pressure.

Heart rate increased by  $99.8 \pm 22.4$  beats/min at  $7.2 \pm 3.1$ min into the infusion of PEA. This was followed, after stopping the infusion, by a secondary increase which peaked at  $122.5 \pm 29.4$  beats/min above baseline at  $28.2 \pm 1.9$  min (Fig. [5\)](#page-7-0).

#### **Effects of the α<sub>1</sub>-adrenoceptor antagonist prazosin**

The  $\alpha_1$ -adrenoceptor antagonist, prazosin (0.1 mg/100 g slowly), converted the pressor responses to bolus doses of phenylephrine to a depressor response (systolic – 13.7 ± 12.3; diastolic − [1](#page-3-0)4.3  $\pm$  11.7 mmHg) (Figs. 1B and [2A](#page-4-0)). The pressor responses to bolus doses of PEA were signifcantly inhibited by prazosin but not abolished (systolic  $8.2 \pm 8.2$ ; diastolic −  $1.0 \pm 7.9$  mmHg) (Figs. [1B](#page-3-0) and [2](#page-4-0)A). The increases in heart rate by bolus doses of PEA  $(80.4 \pm 21.8 \text{ beats/min})$  were not afected (Fig. [2B](#page-4-0)).

After prazosin, the PEA infusion showed a three-phase pressure response (Figs. [1B](#page-3-0) and [3](#page-5-0)B). The initial increase (Peak 1) was abolished and replaced by an immediate fall in pressure below baseline (Peak 2) (systolic−19.3±5.7 mmHg  $(-15.6 \pm 4.0\%)$ , diastolic – 14.7 $\pm 2.7$  mmHg (– 17.8 $\pm 3.4\%)$ ) (Fig. [4\)](#page-6-0). The secondary increase in pressure (Peak 3) was significantly greater than before prazosin (systolic  $21.3 \pm 5.1$  $(21.5 \pm 4.3\%)$ , diastolic  $8.2 \pm 1.6$  mmHg  $(14.5 \pm 4.0\%)$  above the fall) (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)). The fnal increase in blood pressure (Peak 4) that occurred after ceasing the PEA infusion (systolic  $38.0 \pm 5.2$  mmHg (39.2 $\pm 5.6$ %), diastolic  $25.3 \pm 3.9$  mmHg  $(41.6 \pm 6.1\%)$  above the fall) was not significantly different from before prazosin (Fig. [4\)](#page-6-0).

The heart rate response to PEA infusion was not afected by prazosin (Fig. [5\)](#page-7-0). It was still biphasic, with an initial increase peaking at  $49.5 \pm 18.2$  beats/min and a secondary increase occurring after the infusion was completed  $(70.5 \pm 27.7 \text{ beats/})$ min). Neither phase was signifcantly diferent from before prazosin (Fig. [5](#page-7-0)B).

## **Efect of PEA infusion on bolus dose responses to phenylephrine and PEA**

The increases in blood pressure in response to bolus doses of phenylephrine administered before and after a 20-min infusion of PEA (0.1 mg/100 g/min) were not signifcantly <span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Typical trace of the blood pressure responses of an anaesthetised rat to bolus doses of phenylephrine (Phen, 0.3 µg/100 g body weight) and β-phenylethylamine (PEA, 0.1 mg/100 g body weight) and to an infusion of PEA (0.1 mg/100 g/min) for 20 min. Administration was made before (**A**) and repeated after slow intravenous dosing with prazosin (0.1 mg/100 g) (**B**). The responses to PEA infusions were divided into four phases. These are an initial increase in pressure (phase 1) seen only before prazosin. This was followed by a fall in pressure (phase 2), which was most pronounced in the presence of prazosin. A secondary increase in pressure (phase 3) occurred, while the infusion continued and after stopping the infusion this increases further (phase 4)



diferent (Fig. [6A](#page-8-0)). The blood pressure increases to bolus doses of PEA were, however, reduced after the PEA infusion. The increase in systolic blood pressure was signifcantly reduced from  $47.0 \pm 12.9$  to  $21.2 \pm 10.2$  mmHg, although the change in diastolic blood pressure was not signifcant (Fig. [6](#page-8-0)A). The heart rate responses to phenylephrine and PEA bolus doses were not afected by the PEA infusion (Fig. [6B](#page-8-0)).

## **Efects of saline infusions on blood pressure and heart rate**

Infusion of saline (0.1 ml/100 g/min) for 20 min produced a slight non-significant increase in blood pressure, systolic increasing from  $119.8 \pm 11.3$  to a peak of  $131.8 \pm 10.5$  mmHg and diastolic increasing from  $96.4 \pm 8.6$  to  $105.2 \pm 8.4$  mmHg at 15 min into the infusion (Fig. [7A](#page-9-0)). On completing the infusion at 20 min, blood pressure immediately fell, systolic and diastolic pressures reaching  $114.8 \pm 8.0$  and  $91.6 \pm 7.0$  mmHg, respectively. Heart rate showed a slight fall over the course of the saline infusion from  $422.6 \pm 45.5$  to  $413.8 \pm 45.3$  beats/min at 10 min into the infusion and  $411.2 \pm 46.6$  beats/min at 25 min (Fig. [7B](#page-9-0)).

## **Discussion**

Biogenic amines such as β-phenylethylamine (PEA), tyramine, ephedrine and amphetamine increase blood pressure by vasoconstriction, which is classically regarded as being through an indirect sympathomimetic action. That is, they release noradrenaline from sympathetic nerve endings onto vascular smooth muscle  $\alpha$ -adrenoceptors (Burn and Rand [1958](#page-11-1)). The present study confrms this well-established mechanism for a bolus dose of PEA, since the selective  $\alpha_1$ -adrenoceptor antagonist, prazosin, signifcantly reduced the pressor response to a bolus dose

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Mean  $(n=6)$  blood pressure (**A**) and heart rate (**B**) responses of anaesthetised rats to intravenous bolus doses of saline (0.1 ml/100 g body weight), phenylephrine (0.3 µg/100 g body weight), and β-phenylethylamine (PEA, 0.1 mg/100 g body weight) before and after prazosin (0.1 mg/100 g). Changes in systolic (solid bars) and diastolic (open bars) blood pressures are shown together with SEM. Heart rate changes are shown before (solid bar) and after prazosin (open bar). Asterisk denotes a signifcant diference between before and after prazosin  $(P<0.05)$  by Student's paired *t*-test



■ Before prazosin **Heart rate (beats/ min)** Heart rate (beats/min) 50  $\Box$  After prazosin 0 -50

of PEA. The reference  $\alpha$ -adrenoceptor agonist, phenylephrine, also exerted increases in blood pressure due to direct  $\alpha_1$ -adrenoceptor-mediated vasoconstriction which was also abolished by prazosin and converted to a depressor response. The vasodepressor response to phenylephrine after  $\alpha_1$ -adrenoceptor blockade could be attributed to β-adrenoceptor-mediated vasodilatation. A residual pressor

-200

-150

-100

response to bolus dosing with PEA after prazosin blockade was therefore not mediated via  $\alpha_1$ -adrenoceptors.

**saline phenylephrine PEA**

The blood pressure response to intravenous infusions of PEA was more complex than with bolus dosing. The response could be divided into four phases. Phase 1 was an initial increase in blood pressure which was short-lived, peaking at 1.3 min into the infusion. This increase in blood <span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Mean  $(n=7)$  systolic (black diamond) and diastolic (black square) blood pressures of anaesthetised rats during and immediately after 20-min intravenous infusions of β-phenylethylamine (PEA, 0.1 mg/100 g body weight), shown by the horizontal arrow. Infusions were administered before (**A**) and after (**B**) prazosin (0.1 mg/100 g). The four phases of the blood pressure responses are shown: (1) the initial increase in blood pressure, (2) a secondary fall seen mainly after prazosin, (3) a secondary increase in pressure and (4) a sustained increase that continues after stopping the infusion



pressure was abolished by the  $\alpha_1$ -adrenoceptor antagonist, prazosin, indicating that it was mediated via vascular  $\alpha_1$ adrenoceptors and equivalent to the pressor response seen with bolus dosing.

In the presence of  $\alpha_1$ -adrenoceptor blockade, the first component of the response to PEA infusion was an immediate fall in blood pressure (Phase 2). This component was less evident in the absence of  $\alpha_1$ -adrenoceptor blockade. Vasodilatation was the sole response to PEA and tyramine in rat isolated mesenteric vascular beds (Broadley et al. [2009](#page-11-18);

2 Springer

Anwar et al. [2012](#page-11-19)). This vasodilatation was abolished by the nitric oxide synthase (NOS) inhibitor  $N_{\omega}$ -nitro-L-arginine methyl ester (L-NAME) indicating that it was mediated via the release of nitric oxide (NO), probably from the vascular endothelium. However, the vasodilator responses to tyramine and PEA in rat isolated aorta were found to be endothelium-independent (Varma and Chemtob [1993](#page-12-5)). The vasodilator component of the response to infusions of PEA in the present study was therefore likely due to NO release. Tyramine infusions in humans also increased <span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Mean  $(n=7)$  peaks of the four phases (labelled 1–4) of the **A** systolic and **B** diastolic blood pressure changes during infusion of β-phenylethylamine (PEA, 0.1 mg/100 g body weight) for 20 min to anaesthetised rats. PEA infusions were made before and after intravenous prazosin (0.1 mg/100 g). The four phases shown in Fig. [1](#page-3-0) are as follows: (1) the initial increase in blood pressure, (2) a secondary fall seen mainly after prazosin, (3) a secondary increase in pressure and (4) a sustained increase that continues after stopping the infusion. Peak responses are measured as the change in blood pressure from the pre-infusion baseline (phases 1 and 2) or as the change from the secondary fall in the case of phases 3 and 4, expressed as a percentage. Asterisk denotes a signifcant diference between before and after prazosin  $(P<0.05)$  by Student's paired *t*-test



forearm blood fow indicating a vasodilator response (Jacob et al. [2003](#page-11-20)). Further evidence for the vasodilator activity of these amines was the potentiation of their vasoconstrictor actions by inhibition of NOS with L-NAME in guinea pig aortic rings (Broadley and Broadley [2018\)](#page-11-4) and of their pressor responses in conscious rabbits (Du et al. [1992\)](#page-11-12). Other mechanisms to explain this vasodilator component such as β-adrenoceptors, 5-HT-, histamine- and adenosine receptors or cyclooxygenase products have been excluded (Varma and Chemtob [1993](#page-12-5)). It is likely that a vasodilator response to these amines occurs predominantly in certain vascular beds such as the mesenteric circulation, whereas vasoconstriction predominates elsewhere.

Following the vasodilator response to PEA infusion was a slow recovery of the blood pressure while the infusion continued (phase 3). When the infusion was stopped, this increase in blood pressure continued, reaching a level greater than the initial pressor response (phase 4). These latter two phases appeared to be continuous and were not inhibited by prazosin. It is possible that the secondary rise in blood pressure could be due to a rebound refex vasoconstriction. It is possible that during the infusion, there is activation of baroreceptors, for example, in the right atrium, which induces a refex vasodilatation. When the infusion stops, there may then be a compensatory vasoconstriction. This possibility was tested by making a 20-min saline infusion of the same rate. No rebound increase in blood pressure occurred on stopping the infusion. The secondary increase in blood pressure on stopping the PEA infusion was therefore a persistent efect of the PEA. The precise reason for the secondary



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Mean  $(n=7)$  peak increases in heart rate during (first increase) and after stopping an infusion of β-phenylethylamine (PEA, 0.1 mg/100 g body weight) for 20 min to anaesthetised rats. PEA infusions were made before and after intravenous prazosin (0.1 mg/100

increase in pressure on stopping the infusion is unclear. One possibility is that the opposing vasodilatation occurring during the infusion is rapidly reversed on stopping the infusion, but the slow-onset vasoconstriction continues to develop and persists beyond ceasing the infusion. Because both phase 3 g). **A** Mean time courses before (black diamond) and after (black square) prazosin. **B** Mean peak increases in heart rate before (solid bar) and after (open bar) prazosin. Peak responses are measured as the change in heart rate (beats/min) from the pre-infusion baseline

and 4 pressor efects were not blocked by prazosin, it could be concluded that they were not due to  $\alpha_1$ -adrenoceptor stimulation. There are no reports in the literature of this delayed pressor response to PEA or other trace amines. However, in unpublished data, an identical multiphasic pressor response <span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Mean  $(n=4)$  peak systolic and diastolic blood pressures (**A**) and heart rate (**B**) responses to bolus doses of phenylephrine and β-phenylethylamine (PEA) to anaesthetised rats. Responses were obtained before (solid bar) and after (open bar) an infusion of β-phenylethylamine (PEA, 0.1 mg/100 g body weight) for 20 min. Asterisk denotes a signifcant diference between before and after PEA infusion (*P*<0.05) by Student's paired *t*-test



was demonstrated with infusions of dexamphetamine. A similar non-adrenergic vasoconstriction to PEA has been observed in isolated aortae from rats (Broadley et al. [2013](#page-11-2); Fehler et al. [2010](#page-11-3)) and guinea pigs (Broadley and Broadley [2018](#page-11-4), [2019](#page-11-5)), in pig coronary arteries (Herbert et al. [2008](#page-11-6); Koh et al. [2019\)](#page-11-21) and in human mammary artery and saphenous vein (Broadley and Mehta [2023\)](#page-11-22). A non-adrenergic coronary vasoconstriction was also observed for S-(-)-cathinone in guinea-pig isolated perfused hearts (Al-Motarreb and Broadley [2004\)](#page-11-23). This non-adrenergic vasoconstriction was shown to be slow in onset and persistent in guinea-pig aorta (Broadley and Broadley [2019\)](#page-11-5), perfused hearts (Al-Motarreb and Broadley [2004](#page-11-23)) and human saphenous vein and mammary artery (Broadley and Mehta [2023](#page-11-22)), which contrasts with the rapid onset and non-sustained contractions to the  $\alpha$ -adrenoceptor agonist phenylephrine. Furthermore, octopamine causes a fast-onset contraction in guineapig aorta mediated via  $\alpha_1$ -adrenoceptors and a slow-onset <span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig. 7** Blood pressure and heart rate responses of anaesthetised rats to saline infusion. Mean (*n*=5) systolic (black diamond) and diastolic (black square) blood pressures (**A**) and heart rates (**B**) of anaesthetised rats during (horizontal arrow) and after infusions of saline (0.1 ml/100g/min) for 20 min



contraction when  $\alpha_1$ -adrenoceptors are blocked (Broadley et al. [2013](#page-11-2)).

Further evidence that the mechanism of vasoconstriction by the trace amine PEA difers from that of phenylephrine came from bolus dose administration after the PEA infusion. When the bolus dose of phenylephrine was repeated after PEA infusion, the responses were not reduced. However, when the PEA bolus was repeated, the pressor response was reduced but not abolished. This indicates a degree of tachyphylaxis to the response to bolus dosing. Tachyphylaxis is a common phenomenon with indirectly acting sympathomimetic amines, whereby repeated administration of bolus doses results in progressively smaller responses (Day [1967](#page-11-8)). This establishes that bolus doses of PEA increase blood pressure in part by an indirect sympathomimetic action through the release of neuronal noradrenaline. Inspection of the blood pressure trace in the original paper by Day ([1967\)](#page-11-8) shows a gradual increase in basal blood pressure of a pithed rat as bolus doses with amphetamine were repeated (Fig. [8](#page-10-0)). This phenomenon was not referred to. However, a likely explanation that can be proposed from the present study is that the slow-onset and persistent non-adrenergic component of the vasoconstriction from each dose accumulates and leads to the observed rise in resting blood pressure.

It is clear therefore that bolus doses of PEA cause a rapid onset but transient vasoconstriction that is mediated predominantly by an indirectly acting sympathomimetic action via  $\alpha_1$ -adrenoceptors. In contrast, infusion of PEA causes a brief sympathomimetic response, a short-lived fall in blood pressure followed by a slow onset but persistent vasoconstriction that is non-adrenergic and outlives the infusion. The question is, what is the mechanism of



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 8** Pressor responses of a pithed rat (250 g) to bolus doses of noradrenaline (Nor, 50 ng), β-phenylethylamine (P, 25 μg), tyramine (Tyr, 25 μg), and dexamphetamine (D 25 μg). Tachyphylaxis to the pressor responses was established by repeated administration of dexamphetamine, after which there was cross-tachyphylaxis

to β-phenylethylamine but not to tyramine, and no change in the response to noradrenaline. During the repeated dosing with dexamphetamine, the resting blood pressure did not return to baseline (reproduced with permission from Day ([1967\)](#page-11-8))

this latter vasoconstriction? A primary candidate for mediating this response is the trace amine-associated receptor-1 (TAAR-1). TAAR-1 has been detected from mRNA by RT-PCR in rat heart (Chiellini et al. [2007\)](#page-11-24) and from receptor protein by Western blotting and from mRNA by RT-PCR in rat aorta (Fehler et al. [2010](#page-11-3)). TAAR-1 has also been identifed from mRNA in several human cardiovascular tissues including the kidney and spleen (Borowsky et al. [2001\)](#page-11-7). The slow-onset persistent vasoconstriction is therefore proposed to be due to stimulation of vascular TAAR-1.

Although the vascular response to trace amines was the main focus of this study, heart rate responses were also routinely monitored. Bolus doses of the reference α-adrenoceptor agonist, phenylephrine, exerted a fall in heart rate. This could be attributed to a refex bradycardia induced by the rise in blood pressure since it was abolished when the pressor response was blocked by prazosin. The rise in blood pressure is detected by carotid sinus baroreceptors and the refex bradycardia mediated via vagal nerve activity (Broadley [1996\)](#page-11-25). PEA in contrast increased heart rate, presumably through β-adrenoceptor stimulation due to its indirect sympathomimetic activity. PEA infusion also increased heart rate, which was not blocked by prazosin. There was a small further increase in heart rate when the infusion was stopped. This was not a rebound efect from the increased volume of the infusion since no similar increase in heart rate occurred upon stopping a saline infusion. The increases in heart rate with bolus doses of PEA were not affected after PEA infusions. This indicates that no desensitisation of the β-adrenoceptor-mediated responses to PEA had occurred.

It also indicates no signifcant tachyphylaxis of the indirect sympathomimetic effect of PEA on the heart.

This study has shown for the frst time that the sympathomimetic amine, β-phenylethylamine (PEA), exerts increases in blood pressure by two mechanisms. The established indirect sympathomimetic amine mechanism of noradrenaline release from adrenergic neurones applies to bolus dose administration. However, with more prolonged exposure as occurs with infusions, there is an additional slow onset and sustained vasoconstriction and increase in blood pressure. This is non-adrenergic and most likely mediated via TAAR-1. A minor limitation of this study is that only one trace amine, PEA, was reported here. However, preliminary studies with amphetamine have indicated similar results. A further limitation of the extension to the clinic is that the rats were anaesthetised. The delayed TAAR-1-mediated response has been largely ignored in experimental pharmacology because of the widespread use of bolus dosing. However, it has dominant signifcance in practice. Firstly, in the clinical use of those sympathomimetic amines which also activate TAAR-1, their oral and topical application will have the required duration of exposure for this mechanism. Secondly, dietary amines such as tyramine will have the required prolonged exposure in the gut and mesenteric circulation to facilitate digestion and absorption from the gut (Broadley et al. [2009](#page-11-18)). In the light of these novel fndings, the established dogma of the indirect sympathomimetic mechanism for the cardiovascular actions of biogenic amines needs to be re-evaluated. With more clinically relevant exposure to these amines, the trace amine receptor mechanism becomes more dominant and long-lasting.

**Author contributions** The data represents original fndings from experiments conducted solely by the corresponding author (KJB), who also wrote the manuscript, prepared the fgures, performed the statistical analysis, interpreted the data, and conceived the original idea. The authors declare that all data were generated in-house and that no paper mill was used.

**Data availability** Data is provided within the manuscript, and any further details may be obtained directly from the corresponding author.

## **Declarations**

**Human and animal rights and informed consent** All experiments adhered strictly to the Animals (Scientifc Procedures) Act 1986, as approved by the local ethics committee under licence PPL 30/3032 entitled "Regulation of Peripheral Biogenic Amine Receptors". The work and its reporting were undertaken according to the principles for transparent reporting and scientifc rigour of preclinical research as set out in the Basel Declaration.

**Competing interests** The author declares no competing interests.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

# **References**

- <span id="page-11-23"></span>Al-Motarreb AL, Broadley KJ (2004) Coronary and aortic vasoconstriction by cathinone, the active constituent of khat. Auton Autacoid Pharmacol 23:319–326
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>Anwar MA, Ford WR, Broadley KJ, Herbert AA (2012) Vasoconstrictor and vasodilator responses to tryptamine of rat isolated perfused mesentery: comparison with tyramine and β-phenylethylamine. Br J Pharmacol 165:2191–2202
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>Barger G, Dale HH (1910) Chemical structure and sympathomimetic action of amines. J Physiol 41:19–59
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>Bianchetti MG, Minder I, Beretta-Picoli C, Meier A, Weidmann P (1982) Efects of tyramine on blood pressure and plasma catecholamines in normal and hypertensive subjects. Klin Wochenschr 60:465–470
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>Borowsky B, Adham N, Jones KA, Raddatz R, Artymyshyn R, Ogozalek KL, Durkin MM, Lakhlani PP, Bonini JA, Pathirana S, Boyle N, Pu X, Kouranova E, Lichtblau H, Ochoa FY, Branchek TA, Gerald C (2001) Trace amines: identifcation of a family of mammalian G protein-coupled receptors. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 98:8966–8971
- <span id="page-11-25"></span>Broadley KJ (1996) Autonomic pharmacology. Taylor & Francis, London
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>Broadley KJ, Broadley HD (2018) Non-adrenergic vasoconstriction and vasodilatation of guinea-pig aorta by β-phenylethylamine and amphetamine – role of nitric oxide determined with L-NAME and NO scavengers. Eur J Pharmacol 818:198–205
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>Broadley KJ, Broadley HD (2019) Modulation of vascular responses of guinea-pig aorta by non-endothelial nitric oxide: a minor role for the endothelium. Vasc Pharmacol. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vph.2019.106580) [vph.2019.106580](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vph.2019.106580)
- <span id="page-11-22"></span>Broadley KJ, Mehta D (2023) Trace amine-induced vasoconstriction of human mammary artery and saphenous vein. Vasc Pharmacol 151:107191
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>Broadley KJ, Anwar MA, Herbert AA, Fehler M, Jones EM, Davies WE, Kidd EJ, Ford WR (2009) Effects of dietary amines on the gut and its vasculature. Br J Nutr 101:1645–1652
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>Broadley KJ, Fehler M, Ford WR, Kidd EJ (2013) Functional evaluation of the receptors mediating vasoconstriction of rat aorta by trace amines and amphetamines. Eur J Pharmacol 715:370–380
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>Burn JH, Rand MJ (1958) The action of sympathomimetic amines in animals treated with reserpine. J Physiol 144:314–336
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>Chen PA, Wong A (2022) The role of angiotensin II in poisoninginduced shock – a review. J Med Toxicol 18:145–154
- <span id="page-11-24"></span>Chiellini G, Frascarelli S, Ghelardoni S, Carnicelli V, Tobias SC, DeBarber A, Brogioni S, Ronca-Testoni S, Cerbai E, Grandy DK, Scanlan TS, Zucchi R (2007) Cardiac effects of 3-iodothyronamine: a new aminergic system modulating cardiac function. FASEB J 21(7):1597–1608. [https://doi.org/10.1096/f.06-7474com](https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.06-7474com)
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>Colombo FT, Porro del Rosso G, Bertalero P, Orlandi L, Libretti A (1989) Cardiovascular responses to physical exercise and tyramine infusion in hypertensive and normotensive subjects. J Human Hypertension 3:245–249
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>Day MD (1967) The lack of crossed tachyphylaxis between tyramine and some other indirectly acting sympathomimetic amines. Br J Pharmacol Chemother 30:631–643
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>Du ZY, Dusting GJ, Woodman O (1992) Inhibition of nitric oxide synthase specifcally enhances adrenergic vasoconstriction in rabbits. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 19:523–530
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>Fehler M, Broadley KJ, Ford WR, Kidd EJ (2010) Identifcation of trace amine-associated receptors (TAAR) in the rat aorta and their role in vasoconstriction by β-phenylethylamine. Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch Pharmacol 382:385–398
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>Herbert AA, Kidd EJ, Broadley KJ (2008) Dietary trace aminedependent vasoconstriction in porcine coronary artery. Br J Pharmacol 155:525–534
- <span id="page-11-20"></span>Jacob G, Costa F, Vincent S, Robertson D, Biaggioni I (2003) Neurovacular dissociation with paradoxical forearm vasodilatation during systemic tyramine administration. Circulation 107:2475–2479
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>Khwanchuea R, Mulvany MJ, Jansakul C (2008) Cardiovascular efects of tyramine: adrenergic and cholinergic interactions. Eur J Pharmacol 579:308–317. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2007.10.029>
- <span id="page-11-21"></span>Koh AHW, Chess-Williams R, Lohning AE (2019) Diferential mechanisms of action of the trace amines octopamine, synephrine and tyramine on the porcine coronary and mesenteric artery. Sci Rep 9:10925
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>Kohli JD, Goldberg LI (1982) Cardiovascular effects of (-)-cathinone in the anaesthetized dog: comparison with  $(+)$ -amphetamine. J Pharm Pharmacol 34:338–340
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>Liles JT, Dabisch PA, Hude KE, Pradhan L, Vamer KJ, Porter JR, Hicks AR, Corll C, Baber SR, Kadowitz PJ (2006) Pressor responses to ephedrine are mediated by a direct mechanism in the rat. J Pharmacol Expl Ther 316:95–105
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>Luhmann SJ, Sucato DJ, Bacharier L, Ellis A, Woerz C (2013) Intraoperative anaphylaxis secondary to intraosseous gelatin administration. J Pediatr Orthop 33:58–60
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>Meck JV, Martin DS, D'Aunno DS, Waters WW (2003) Pressor responses to intravenous tyramine is a markeer of cardiac, but not vascular, adrenergic function. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 41:126–131
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>Ngan Kee WD, Khaw KS, Ng FF (2004) Comparison of phenylephrine infusion regimens for maintaining maternal blood pressure during spinal anaesthesia for Caesarean section. Br J Anaesth 92:469–474
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>Peatfeld R, Littlewood JT, Glover V, Sandler M, Cliford RF (1983) Pressor sensitivity to tyramine in patients with headache: relationship to platelet monoamine oxidase and to dietary provocation. J Neurol Neurosurg Psych 46:827–831
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>Salerno SM, Jackson JL, Berbano EP (2005) The impact of oral phenylpropanolamine on blood pressure: a met-analysis and review of the literature. J Human Hypertens 19:643–652
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Underhill SM, Mullihen PD, Chen J, Fenollar-Ferrer C, Rizzo MA, Ingram SI, Amara S (2021) Amphetamines signal through intracellular TAAR<sub>1</sub> receptors coupled to  $G_{\alpha13}$  and  $G_{\alpha s}$  in discrete subcellular domains. Mol Psychiatry 26:1208–1223. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-079-0469-2) [10.1038/s41380-079-0469-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-079-0469-2)
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>Varma DR, Chemtob S (1993) Endothelium- and beta-2 adrenoceptorindependent relaxation of rat aorta by tyramine and certain other phenylethylamines. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 265:1096–1104
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>Woodman OL, Pannangpetch P (1994) Enhancement of noradrenergic constriction of large coronary arteries by inhibition of nitric oxide synthesis in anaesthetized dogs. Br J Pharmacol 112:443–448

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.