Sexual dimorphism at different life stages: early life sexual differences in root growth in Silene latifolia

M. Pérez-Llorca¹, A. Hewett^{2,3}, A. de la Peña Pita⁴, F. Hailer⁴ & J. Sánchez Vilas^{4,5}

1 Department of Biology, Health and the Environment, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

2 Department of Ecology & Evolution, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

3 Swiss Institute of BioInformatics, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

- 4 Organisms and Environment, School of Biosciences, Sir Martin Evans Building, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK
- 5 Área de Ecología, Facultad de Biología, Avda. Lope Gómez de Marzoa s/n, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain

Keywords

Carbon isotope discrimination; nutrient deficiency; resource allocation; water stress.

Correspondence

J. Sánchez Vilas, School of Biosciences, Sir Martin Evans Building, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF10 3AX, UK. E-mail: sanchezvilasj@cardiff.ac.uk

Editor

M. Arista

Received: 15 March 2024; Accepted: 5 September 2024

doi:10.1111/plb.13723

ABSTRACT

- Male and female dioecious plants often show sexual dimorphism, differing in morphological, physiological and life-history traits. Most previous studies have focused on differences between males and females during or after reproduction, paying little attention to the pre-reproductive stages of the individuals.
- Here we assessed the response of male and female individuals of the dioecious plant Silene latifolia to abiotic stress at different life stages, including pre-reproductive (i.e. seedlings and young plants) and reproductive individuals. We measured growth, resource allocation and discrimination against 13C under nutrient deficiency, water stress, as well as their interaction.
- We observed sexual dimorphism in root growth, with female seedlings having longer main roots than male plants. Pre-reproductive male and female plants also responded differently, in terms of root allocation, to nutrient and water availability. At reproduction, females grew more roots than males when water was not limiting. These differences could help explain the female-skewed sex ratios found in natural populations of S. latifolia. We found no evidence of sexual dimorphism in aboveground dry mass, although females had longer leaves than males at the seedling stage.
- We conclude that sexual dimorphism in S. latifolia may occur not as a consequence of reproduction, but well before it.

INTRODUCTION

Dioecy, i.e., separate male and female individuals, is a rare reproductive system in plants occurring in only 6–7% of all angiosperms (Renner & Ricklefs [2008](#page-9-0)). However, dioecy has evolved multiple times and is widespread, being represented in almost half of all angiosperm families (Barrett [2013\)](#page-8-0). Male and female plants of dioecious species usually differ not only in "primary" traits (i.e. reproductive organs) but also in "secondary" traits, such as size, morphology, physiology or life history (Barrett & Hough [2013](#page-8-0)). This is known as sexual dimorphism and has been commonly attributed to the different costs of reproduction associated with male and female functions, particularly, as a result of trade-offs between allocation to reproduction and growth and/or defence (Obeso [2002](#page-9-0)). Given that plants have access to a limited amount of resources, the allocation of resources to one function (e.g. reproduction) can constrain the allocation to other functions (e.g., growth and defence) (Obeso [2002\)](#page-9-0). In particular, it has been reported that females commonly have a higher investment in nutrients for reproduction at the expense of vegetative growth, and therefore females usually show lower productivity than males (Gross & Soule [1981;](#page-9-0) Agren [1988;](#page-8-0) Korpelainen [1992](#page-9-0); Cipollini & Whigham [1994\)](#page-8-0). Sexual dimorphism may also reflect different strategies for the acquisition of limiting resources associated with

reproduction (Sánchez & Pannell [2010,](#page-9-0) [2011](#page-9-0)). Males demand high amounts of nitrogen during pollen production that may be met by increasing allocation to roots, whilst the demands of fruiting in females might be met by increasing the capacity for carbon fixation above ground (Sánchez & Pannell [2011\)](#page-9-0).

Sexual dimorphism in dioecious species can not be only the result of the cost of reproduction but also reflect innate differences between male and female plants (Nicotra [1999\)](#page-9-0). Such innate differences are predicted to evolve in response to sexual selection or selection for ecological divergence (Meagher [1984\)](#page-9-0). To date, most studies on sexual dimorphism have focused on reproductive individuals, where it is impossible to disentangle the costs of reproduction from any innate differences. However, there is little empirical evidence to demonstrate sex-related innate differences due to the difficulty in identifying the sex before flowering (but see Nicotra [1999](#page-9-0); Zluvova et al. [2010\)](#page-9-0). The few studies that have looked at sexual dimorphism in pre-reproductive individuals show that differences can occur at the seed/seedling stage and before any investment in flower and/or fruit production. For example, in Spinacia oleracea and Rumex nivalis male seeds are heavier and germinate earlier than female seeds (Freeman et al. [1994](#page-9-0); Stehlik & Barrett [2005\)](#page-9-0). In the tropical shrub Siparuna grandiflora, pre-reproductive females grow faster than males (Nicotra [1999](#page-9-0)). In Silene latifolia, genetic correlations between sexes could cause coupled evolutionary responses of males and

distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Plant Biology © 2024 The Author(s). Plant Biology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of German Society for Plant Sciences, Royal Botanical Society of the Netherlands. This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use,

females (Steven et al. [2007](#page-9-0); Delph et al. [2010\)](#page-8-0), and sex-differential gene expression has already been detected at the seedling stage $(Z$ luvova *et al.* 2010).

In general, sex-related differences in vegetative traits appear to be accentuated under stressful environmental conditions (Medina-Gavilán et al. [2008;](#page-9-0) Retuerto et al. [2018;](#page-9-0) Yu et al. [2023\)](#page-9-0). It has been postulated that different physiological mechanisms may give males and females different tolerances to environmental stress, although empirical evidence is limited (Juvany & Munné-Bosch [2015](#page-9-0); Retuerto et al. [2018\)](#page-9-0). For example, females often present compensatory mechanisms to sustain a higher investment in reproduction (Case & Ashman [2005](#page-8-0); Díaz-Barradas et al. [2014\)](#page-8-0), such as higher photosynthesis rates through increasing stomatal conductance, thus implying extra costs and making them more dependent on available water resources (Dawson & Ehleringer [1993](#page-8-0)). As a result, females may perform worse than males under certain abiotic stresses, such as water deficit or nutrient deficit, as commonly found in the literature (Liu et al. [2021\)](#page-9-0). However, exceptions exist and there is not yet a clear pattern in the responses of the sexes to environmental stress (reviewed by Obeso [2002](#page-9-0)), and their differences in tolerance seem to vary depending on the species considered and type of stress, demanding a wider range of studies (Juvany & Munné-Bosch [2015](#page-9-0); Retuerto et al. [2018](#page-9-0)). Most studies to date have focused on the response of the sexes to single stress factors, but little is known about the sex-specific physiological and ecological responses of dioecious species to multiple interacting stresses.

In this study, we explore the sexual dimorphism in pre-reproductive and reproductive individuals in response to multiple interacting stresses using the dioecious plant Silene latifolia (Caryophyllaceae) as study species. S. latifolia is a model species in the study of sexual dimorphism (Delph [2007\)](#page-8-0), with females generally allocating more to reproduction and to total biomass than males (Gross & Soule [1981](#page-9-0); Doust et al. [1987](#page-8-0); Gehring & Linhart [1993](#page-9-0); Lyons et al. [1994;](#page-9-0) Delph & Meagher [1995\)](#page-8-0) and having better water-use efficiency (Gehring & Monson [1994](#page-9-0); Delph [2019\)](#page-8-0); whereas males have higher photosynthesis rates (Gehring & Monson [1994](#page-9-0); Laporte & Delph [1996](#page-9-0); Delph et al. [2005](#page-8-0)) and, frequently, have larger specific leaf areas (Delph et al. [2002](#page-8-0), [2005](#page-8-0)). S. latifolia has sex chromosomes, with heterogametic males (XY) and homogametic females (XX), and the sex can be genetically identified using molecular tools (Desfeux et al. [1996](#page-8-0); Negrutiu et al. [2001;](#page-9-0) Charlesworth [2002](#page-8-0); Filatov [2005;](#page-9-0) Ming et al. [2011;](#page-9-0) Hewett et al. [2024\)](#page-9-0), making it an ideal system to study sexual dimorphism in pre-reproductive individuals. In addition, previous studies indicate that sex-related innate differences may occur in this species (e.g. Zluvova et al. [2010](#page-9-0)).

The specific objectives of our study were to: (i) investigate the existence of sexual dimorphism at different life stages, from pre-reproductive (i.e. seedlings and young plants) to reproductive individuals, in Silene latifolia; and (ii) examine whether the degree of sexual dimorphism is influenced by environmental factors. In particular, the response of male and female plants to different resource availability (nutrients and water) was examined by measuring growth, biomass and resource (C and N) allocation and their physiological performance (carbon isotope discrimination). In addition, (iii) to achieve these aims, sex-linked markers were used to identify the sex of seedlings and non-flowering individuals.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study species

Silene latifolia Poir. (Caryophyllaceae), the white campion, is a short-lived dioecious perennial plant. It has a tap root system, characterized by a primary/main root with lateral roots branching out from it. It is commonly found growing in disturbed or cultivated ground and is native in most of Europe, Western Asia and North Africa (Tutin et al. [1993](#page-9-0)). S. latifolia is a broadly studied dioecious species and not only varies in primary sexual traits but also secondary traits, such as flower number, flower size, transpiration and photosynthesis, biomass allocation and leaf size, among others (Delph [2007\)](#page-8-0).

Experimental design

To assess the magnitude of sexual dimorphism in S. latifolia at different life stages we established two different complementary experiments in the Plant Growth Facilities of Cardiff University (UK, Talybont, $51^{\circ}30'03.0''$ N $3^{\circ}12'05.6''$ W). At the seedling stage, we established a glasshouse experiment (experiment I) to study sex-specific patterns of root growth in response to different nutrient levels (with and without added fertilizer). At the young and adult stages, we established a glasshouse experiment (experiment II) to study differences between the sexes in growth, morphological and physiological traits in response to water and nutrient availability.

Experiment I: Sexual dimorphism in seedlings

A seed batch containing 250 seeds of S. latifolia was purchased from Chiltern Seeds (Wallingford, UK) and sown into 100-ml pots (1 seed pot⁻¹) filled with WestlandTM Horticulture sand on 15 May 2018. Seedlings started to germinate 10 days after sowing, with most germination on the 27 and 28 May 2018. On 31 May we chose 114 seedlings that germinated between these two dates and randomly assigned them to two different nutrient levels: low nutrients (LN) and high nutrients (HN). Seedlings allocated to low nutrients were watered with tapwater every 4 days, whilst those allocated to high nutrients were watered at the same time with a solution of 0.5 g ¹⁻¹ Phostrogen All Purpose Plant Food (16:10:24 NPK; Bayer CropScience, Cambridge, UK). On 11 June 2018, i.e. when seedlings were approximately 2 weeks old, we harvested all the seedlings; two died in the LN treatment, so $n = 57$ for HN, and $n = 55$ for LN. Roots were washed and scanned using an EPSON perfection V700 Pro scanner set at a 600-dpi resolution. Primary and lateral root growth and root area were measured using the program RootNav[®] (Pound *et al.* [2013](#page-9-0)) following the protocol at 23.622047 pixels mm⁻¹. The aboveground parts of each experimental seedling, consisting of two cotyledons, were used for sex identification using molecular markers (Hewett et al. [2024\)](#page-9-0), before measuring their length (leaf length hereinafter). Briefly, two primer pairs which target length polymorphisms between the X- and Y-linked copy of the spermidine synthase gene were used to amplify sections of this gene, and fragment length profiles on agarose gels enabled us to determine sex from plant material even at pre-flowering stages (Hewett et al. [2024](#page-9-0)).

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of experimental design for Experiment II. Plants were grown in different combinations of nutrients and water availability: well-watered and high nutrients (WW-HN), low-watered and high nutrients (LW-HN), low-watered and low nutrients (LW-LN); well-watered and low nutrients (WW-LN). n = 8 replicates per condition were harvested in young plants (before flowering) and n = 12 in adult plants (when flowering).

Experiment II: Sexual dimorphism in young and adult individuals

Seeds (approx. 280) of S. latifolia purchased from Chiltern Seeds were sown in germination trays filled with 1:2 VerveTM Multipurpose compost (UK) and WestlandTM Horticulture sand (UK) on 27 January 2017. Two weeks later, 200 germinated seedlings were transplanted into 0.3-l pots filled with the same mix of compost and sand as above. Plants were randomly allocated to the following experimental conditions: low water and low nutrients (LW-LN); well- watered and low nutrients (WW-LN); low water and high nutrients (LW-HN) and well-watered and high nutrients (WW-HN). No fertilizer was applied to the low nutrient treatment, while an organic fertilizer (Westland Fish, Blood and Bone, 4% N, 7% P_2O_5 , 4% K_2O) was applied at a rate of 3.9 $g-l^{-1}$ soil to the high nutrient treatment. Note that for simplicity, we used the terms 'low nutrients' and 'high nutrients' to compare the two treatments with distinct nutrient levels, therefore these are relative (lower and higher nutrients) and not absolute indicators of nutrient content. Plants were allowed to establish by watering ad libitum for a further 2 weeks before imposing different levels of water availability. Well-watered plants continued to be watered ad libitum with tapwater to field capacity; plants under water restriction were watered to field capacity only when showing signs of wilting. Throughout the whole experiment, plants in the low water treatment received ~50% of the water received by plants without water stress.

Approximately 1 month after imposing the experimental treatments, a pre-reproductive harvest was carried out by randomly taking a sample of non-flowering plants across all the different treatments for growth and physiological measurements; at this point, it was not possible to identify sex before harvest, so leaf samples from 85 randomly chosen plants from all treatments were sexed using molecular markers (Hewett et al. [2024](#page-9-0)). Among these 85 plants, sex was biased, and we harvested 8 male and 8 female plants from each water and nutrient combination, making a total of 64 non-flowering plants (hereinafter 'young plants'; see Fig. 1).

One month later, a second harvest (reproductive harvest) was carried out on reproductive individuals (hereinafter 'adult plants') when most individuals were flowering. A total of 96 flowering plants (12 female and 12 male per condition) were randomly chosen (Fig. 1) and their growth and biomass allocation measured. Leaf nitrogen (N) and carbon (C) content, as well as carbon isotopic discrimination were also assessed for a subsample of these individuals (see below).

Growth and biomass allocation

At harvest, plants were separated into above- and belowground organs. For adult plants, the aboveground dry mass was split into vegetative dry mass and reproductive dry mass (flowers and fruits, when present). Plant organs were oven-dried at 70 °C then weighed on a precision balance. The root:shoot ratio was calculated as belowground dry mass divided by the aboveground vegetative dry mass. Reproductive Effort (RE) was calculated as the ratio of the reproductive dry mass to aboveground vegetative dry mass.

Content of N and C, and carbon isotope discrimination

Leaf samples were taken from healthy fully expanded leaves of individuals growing under the experimental treatments ($n = 5$; except $n = 6$ for young males growing in LW-HN and adult females growing in WW-HN; $n = 4$ for adult males and adult females growing in LW-HN). Leaf samples were oven-dried at 70 °C and subsequently ground using an ultra-centrifuge mill (TissueLyser II; Qiagen, USA). The concentrations of total C and N, the C/N ratio, and ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ ratios were determined in subsamples (two per sample of ca. 1 mg dry weight per plant) using an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Thermo Delta V Advantage IRMS; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) at Cardiff University. The standards used for C and N were an in-house analytical grade caffeine and commercial gelatine calibrated via IAEA-CH6 and IAEA-600. Leaf isotope values $(\delta^{13}C)$ were calibrated against a standard, the Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite calcium carbonate (VPDB). $\delta^{13}C$ values $\binom{0}{00}$ were calculated as $\delta^{13}C = \left(\frac{R_{\text{sam}}}{\delta^{13}C}\right)^{13}$ R_{std}]–1), where R is ¹³C/¹²C ratio in the plant sample (R_{sam}) and standard (R_{std}) , respectively. ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ discrimination $(\Delta^{13}C)$ was calculated as: $\Delta^{13}C = (\delta^{13}C_{air} - (\delta^{13}C_{plant})/$ $(1 + [\delta^{13}C_{\text{plant}}/1000])$. We assumed a $\delta^{13}C$ value for atmospheric $CO₂$ of 7.8% (Farquhar *et al.* [1989](#page-9-0)).

Carbon isotope composition $(\delta^{13}C)$, commonly expressed as discrimination $(\Delta^{13}C)$ against a source (i.e. atmospheric) CO₂, has been used in plant research as a long-term integrator of ecophysiological processes such as leaf conductance, photosynthetic capacity and water-use efficiency (WUE) (Farquhar et al. [1989;](#page-9-0) Ehleringer [1993](#page-8-0)). WUE is negatively correlated with discrimination against ¹³C (Δ ¹³C), meaning that plants with better efficiency in use of water will discriminate less against ¹³C. In C₃ plants, Δ ¹³C values are around ~15 $\%$ and 25% for plants with high and low WUE, respectively (Dawson et al. [2002](#page-8-0)).

Data analysis

All analyses were conducted in R v. 4.2.2 (R Core Team [2022](#page-9-0)). Differences between sex, nutrient and water availability, and their interaction (added as fixed factors) were evaluated using linear models by means of the 'lm' function. P-values were calculated with the 'ANOVA' function from the 'car' package, using type III sum of squares. If interactions between factors were significant, Tukey's post-hoc tests were then carried out to determine differences between groups ($P < 0.05$) using the 'lsmeans' package (Lenth [2016](#page-9-0)). Shapiro–Wilk test for normality of residuals was performed using the 'shapiro.test' function, while Levene's test was carried out to test for homogeneity of variances among treatments using the 'leveneTest' function from the 'car' package (Fox [2009](#page-9-0)). To meet the assumptions of the ANOVA, the following variables were transformed: leaf length of seedlings was square-root transformed, length of the main root of seedlings was log_{10} transformed, belowground dry mass and root:shoot ratio of young individuals were square-root transformed and log_{10} transformed, respectively, aboveground dry mass, belowground dry mass, reproductive dry mass, and RE of adult individuals were also square-root transformed, and the root: shoot ratio of adult individuals was log_{10} transformed.

RESULTS

Experiment I: Root growth in seedlings

The total root length of S. latifolia was not affected by sex or availability of nutrients in the soil (Table 1, Fig. [2a](#page-4-0)). However, length of the main root was significantly affected by both sex and nutrients (Table 1). In particular, females had longer main roots than males; and seedlings growing without added nutrients also had longer main roots, regardless of sex (Fig. [2b](#page-4-0)). Finally, leaf length was also significantly affected by sex and nutrients (Table 1): females had longer leaves than males, and the addition of fertilizer significantly increased the leaf length (Fig. [2c](#page-4-0)).

Table 1. Results of the ANOVA for total root length, length of the main root, and the leaf length (average of longest length of the two largest leaves per seedling) of seedlings of different sexes (male, female) of *Silene latifolia* exposed to different nutrient availability.

source of		total root length		length of the main root		leaf length		
variance	df	F	P					
Sex	1	3.84	0.053	6.149	0.032	4.151	0.044	
Nutrients		1.24	0.267	4.784	0.006	26.929	$<$ 0.001	
Sex \times nutrients	1	1.54	0.217	0.755	0.160	0.317	0.575	
Error	108							

Significant differences ($P < 0.05$) are shown in bold. n = 27 for males in LN and HN conditions, $n = 30$ for females in HN conditions, and $n = 28$ for females in LN conditions.

Experiment II: Sexual dimorphism in young and adult individuals

Growth and biomass allocation

Young plants. Young male and female plants had similar aboveground dry mass (Table [2\)](#page-5-0). Both water and nutrient availability significantly affected aboveground dry mass of young individuals of S. latifolia (Table [2](#page-5-0)). In particular, plants growing under high nutrients grew more than those growing under low nutrients (HN (mean \pm SE) = 3.26 \pm 0.18 g, $LN = 2.52 \pm 0.11$ g). Similarly, plants growing under well-watered conditions had a larger dry mass than those with limited water (WW = 3.16 \pm 0.19 g, LW = 2.63 \pm 0.12 g).

There were differences between the sexes depending on water and nutrient treatment, as indicated by a significant third-order interaction between Sex \times Nutrients \times Water in belowground dry mass and the root:shoot ratio (Table [2\)](#page-5-0). Females had higher belowground dry mass than males when growing under low nutrients and well-watered conditions (Fig. [3a](#page-5-0); WW-LN); however, the post-hoc test was not significant (Tukey test, $P = 0.157$). Differences in root:shoot ratio appeared when comparing the most extreme conditions: females growing under WW-HN had a significantly lower allocation to roots than males growing under LW-LN conditions (Fig. [3b](#page-5-0)).

Adult plants. Adult male and female plants did not differ in aboveground dry mass (Table [3](#page-6-0)). Nutrient availability did not significantly affect the adult aboveground dry mass (Table [3\)](#page-6-0); however, plants that were well-watered during the experiment had larger aboveground dry mass than those with restricted watering (Table [3](#page-6-0); WW = 5.26 \pm 0.34 g, LW = 3.51 \pm 0.18 g).

Belowground dry mass was not affected by nutrient availability; however, there were differences between the sexes in the response to water availability (Table [3](#page-6-0)). In particular, well-watered females had the largest belowground dry mass (Fig. [3c\)](#page-5-0).

The root:shoot ratio was significantly affected by nutrient availability, regardless of sex or water treatment (Table [3](#page-6-0)), with plants growing with low nutrients having a larger allocation to roots than those growing with high nutrients (Fig. [3d\)](#page-5-0).

Fig. 2. Total root length (a), length of main root (b), and leaf length (c) of male and female seedlings of S. latifolia growing under two different levels of nutrient availability: low (LN) and high (HN). $n = 27$ for males in LN and HN conditions, $n = 30$ for females in HN conditions, and $n = 28$ for females in LN conditions. Bars represent mean \pm SE. For each plot, significant effects are indicated with asterisks (ns = non-significant, $*P \le 0.05$, $*^*P \leq 0.01$, $*^*P \leq 0.001$).

There were no differences in reproductive dry mass of males and females, or in responses to the experimental treatments (Table [3\)](#page-6-0). However, the sexes did allocate resources differently to reproduction (RE) depending on nutrient availability (Table [3\)](#page-6-0), with females growing with low nutrients showing greater reproductive effort than those growing with high nutrients (Fig. [4a\)](#page-6-0). The reproductive effort was also affected by water availability, but this effect depended on the level of nutrients (Table [3\)](#page-6-0), with those plants growing in the less stressful conditions (well-watered and high nutrients) having the lowest reproductive effort (Fig. [4b\)](#page-6-0).

Content of N and C, and carbon isotope discrimination

Young plants. Nitrogen content and C/N ratio in leaves of young male and female plants were significantly affected by nutrients and water (Table [4;](#page-7-0) Sex \times Nutrients \times Water; Figure $S(1a,b)$. However, the post-hoc test was not significant (Tukey test, $P > 0.05$) and did not identify differences among the groups.

The %C in leaves of young plants was not significantly affected by any of the experimental treatments or their interactions (Table [4\)](#page-7-0).

Values of Δ^{13} C for young male and female plants were not significantly affected by sex, water, nutrients or any of their interactions (Table [4,](#page-7-0) Figure [S1c](#page-8-0)).

Adult plants. The %N in leaves of adult plants was significantly affected by water availability (Table [4](#page-7-0)): well-watered plants had a lower N content than plants with restricted water (Figure [S1d](#page-8-0)). Neither sex nor nutrients affected the %N of adult plants (Figure [S1d](#page-8-0)).

The %C and C/N ratio in adult plants was not affected by sex, water, nutrients or any of their interactions (Table [4](#page-7-0), Figure [S1e\)](#page-8-0).

Adult plant Δ^{13} C was significantly affected by nutrient and by water availability (Table [4](#page-7-0), Figure [S1f](#page-8-0)); well-watered plants and those with no added fertilizer had higher Δ^{13} C
than water-restricted plants (WW = 21.42 \pm 0.31, than water-restricted plants $(WW = 21.42 \pm 0.31,$ $LW = 20.61 \pm 0.23$ or those that received fertilizer $(HN = 20.51 \pm 0.30, \text{LN} = 21.55 \pm 0.25)$. Sex did not affect the values of Δ^{13} C in reproductive individuals (Table [4\)](#page-7-0).

DISCUSSION

Growth and biomass allocation

The results of this study show, for the first time, that male and female plants of S. latifolia differ in their root growth already before initiation of flowering. We here document such differences to be present at the seedling stage, with females having longer main roots than males. This finding could have implications for the female-biased sex ratios found in natural populations of S. latifolia (Carroll & Mulcahy [1993](#page-8-0)). Unlike other organisms that usually maintain a balanced sex ratio (1:1), in some dioecious populations, including S. latifolia, sex ratio biases (both female- and male-biased) are common (Field et al. [2013](#page-9-0)). Sex-linked mortality as a consequence of both genetic and ecological differences between sexes has been invoked as a possible cause to explain this bias (Eppley [2001\)](#page-9-0). The differences seen here in root growth could contribute to generating ecological differences between the sexes. As such, if each sex uses the resources from the soil differently this could potentially lead to differences in competitive ability, particularly in resource-limited environments (Sánchez & Pannell [2010\)](#page-9-0). Larger root growth in females could also help to explain the faster rate of emergence of female than male plants in S. latifolia after germination (Purrington & Schmitt [1995](#page-9-0), [1998](#page-9-0)). Emergence time can have a strong effect on survivorship and reproduction, therefore this could influence the establishment of male and female plants and ultimately the sex ratio of the population (Purrington & Schmitt [1998\)](#page-9-0). Later, young male and female plants also showed different allocation to roots in response to the interaction of nutrient and water availability. Males growing under more limited resources (low nutrient and water-restricted) had higher allocation to roots than females growing with high nutrients and well-watered. Differences, therefore, occurred when comparing two different environmental conditions (i.e., sexes had similar responses to growing in a particular environment). Interestingly, we also found sexspecific responses to water availability at reproduction, with

Table 2. Results of the ANOVA for aboveground dry mass, belowground dry mass and root:shoot ratio for pre-reproductive individuals of different sexes (male, female) of Silene latifolia exposed to different nutrient and water availability.

source of variance		aboveground dry mass		belowground dry mass		root:shoot	
	df		P		P		
Sex		1.213	0.275	0.786	0.379	0.133	0.717
Nutrients		7.028	0.010	1.738	0.193	8.776	0.004
Water		13.72	< 0.001	0.0006	0.980	6.232	0.015
Sex \times nutrients		0.609	0.438	0.710	0.403	1.375	0.246
Sex \times water		3.302	0.074	2.424	0.125	0.467	0.497
Nutrients \times water		2.139	0.149	0.613	0.437	0.140	0.710
Sex \times nutrients \times water		0.548	0.462	4.378	0.041	5.536	0.022
Error	56						

Significant differences ($P < 0.05$) are shown in bold. n = 8.

Fig. 3. Belowground dry mass and root:shoot ratio of male and female young (a and b, respectively) and adult (c and d, respectively) plants of S. latifolia growing under different levels of nutrient availability: low (LN) and high (HN) and water: well-watered (WW) and low-watered (LW). n = 8 for young plants, and $n = 12$ for adult plants, except for (c) $n = 24$. Bars represent means \pm SE. For each plot, letters and asterisks indicate the significant effects (S = Sex, N = Nutrients, W = Water; *P \leq 0.05, **P \leq 0.01, ***P \leq 0.001). Different letters indicate significant differences (P \leq 0.05) among groups based on Tukey HSD (for (a) post-hoc tests fell short of significance – see text for details).

adult females having larger belowground dry mass than adult males when growing in well-watered conditions. These sexspecific responses to environmental factors could also have implications in explaining skewed sex ratios, particularly in heterogeneous or fluctuating environments (Retuerto et al. [2018](#page-9-0)).

Differences in root growth early in life were consistent with differences found aboveground: seedlings with longer main roots also had longer leaves. Females of S. latifolia are usually

larger (in terms of biomass) than males (Gehring [1993;](#page-9-0) Delph & Meagher [1995](#page-8-0)). Such sexual size dimorphism in S. latifolia has been attributed to different compensatory mechanisms to overcome the costs of reproduction (Gehring & Delph [2006\)](#page-9-0). In particular, females of S. latifolia may compensate for the usually higher cost of reproduction (in terms of C) by increasing the size of the organ that takes up C (i.e. leaves) (Gehring & Delph [2006](#page-9-0)). In addition, the larger size of females has also been attributed to a better use of resources for reproduction

Table 3. Results of the ANOVA for aboveground dry mass, belowground dry mass, root: shoot ratio, reproductive dry mass and reproductive effort (RE) for adult individuals of different sexes (male, female) of Silene latifolia exposed to different nutrient and water availability.

source of variance	aboveground dry mass		belowground dry mass		root:shoot		reproductive dry mass		RE		
	df	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P
Sex		1.927	0.168	1.63	0.205	0.008	0.929	1.482	0.227	0.716	0.400
Nutrients		3.345	0.071	0.33	0.564	6.627	0.012	0.509	0.478	4.897	0.029
Water		19.596	< 0.0001	19.32	< 0.0001	0.277	0.600	0.746	0.390	6.233	0.014
Sex \times nutrients		1.353	0.248	2.81	0.097	0.271	0.604	0.719	0.399	4.759	0.032
Sex \times water		0.073	0.788	5.15	0.026	2.802	0.098	0.116	0.734	0.0001	0.994
Nutrients \times water		0.0041	0.949	0.65	0.423	0.635	0.428	3.769	0.055	5.373	0.023
Sex \times nutrients \times water		0.0063	0.937	1.01	0.318	0.718	0.399	0.005	0.944	0.456	0.501
Error	88										

Significant differences ($P < 0.05$) are shown in bold. n = 12.

Fig. 4. (a) Reproductive Effort (RE) of male and female plants of S. latifolia growing under different levels of nutrient availability: low (LN) and high (HN); (b) Reproductive Effort (RE) of plants of S. latifolia growing under different levels of nutrient availability: low (LN) and high (HN) and water availability: wellwatered (WW) and low-watered (LW). n = 24. Bars represent mean \pm SE. Different letters above bars indicate significant differences ($P \le 0.05$) among treatments based on Tukey HSD.

(Gehring & Linhart [1993](#page-9-0)). One mechanism through which female plants could have a more efficient reallocation to reproduction and, thus, not constraining allocation to e.g. growth, could be via photosynthesis by the calyx of immature fruits (Bazzaz et al. [1979;](#page-8-0) Bazzaz & Carlson [1979;](#page-8-0) Garrido et al. [2023](#page-9-0)). In our study, however, we did not find differences in aboveground dry mass between males and females at later life stages (young and adult plants). Previous studies have found that differences between the sexes in aboveground dry mass occurred during fruit maturation only (Gehring [1993](#page-9-0)). Interestingly, this study failed to show sexual dimorphism in reproductive effort. Higher reproductive effort in females than in males of S. latifolia, as well as in many other dioecious species, is expected because they produce not only flowers but also seeds and fruits (Obeso [2002](#page-9-0); Delph [2007\)](#page-8-0). Note, however, that some exceptions exist, and in wind-pollinated plants, males can match or exceed the reproductive expenditure of females (Delph et al. [1993](#page-8-0); Harris & Pannell [2008](#page-9-0); Barrett & Hough [2013](#page-8-0)). The lack of differences in allocation to reproduction in this study could be a consequence of a low overall investment in reproduction; the experiment was undertaken in an indoor glasshouse, and therefore pollination and seed production were rare due to the absence of pollinators. There were, however, differences in how the sexes responded to experimental treatments in their allocation to reproduction. In particular, females decreased allocation to reproduction when growing with high nutrients (compared to allocation in low nutrient conditionw). Overall, plants, regardless of sex, growing under less stressful conditions, i.e. well-watered and high nutrients, had the lowest RE. This may appear counterintuitive, as one would expect that abundant resources would lead to increased investment in reproduction (Obeso [2002](#page-9-0)). However, it may also be a consequence of plants growing larger (as suggested by our data) under the most favourable conditions – well-watered and with high nutrients. It is possible that our experimental treatments influenced plant development and flowering so that at the time of the reproductive harvest, individuals in the different environments were at distinct stages of their life cycle (Sherrard & Maherali [2006;](#page-9-0) Franks et al. [2007](#page-9-0); Bernal et al. [2011](#page-8-0); Franks [2011](#page-9-0)). The presence of our

environmental stressors (nutrient deficiency and drought) might have changed timings in the life cycle of our plants. In particular, plants under the less optimal conditions may have flowered earlier, accumulating more reproductive biomass per unit of vegetative biomass at the time of harvest, whereas plants in the well-watered and high nutrient conditions were measured at flowering.

Content of N and C, and carbon isotope discrimination

Contrary to our expectations, neither water availability nor nutrient availability influenced the C isotope discrimination of pre-reproductive plants. This may be related to plants only growing for a short period under these conditions. However, as the study progressed, availability of water imposed differences in C isotope discrimination of mature plants, with lower values in water-restricted plants, as expected. Δ^{13} C integrates the overall metabolic performance during the entire plant life cycle, providing information on the longterm transpiration efficiency and, thus, can be used as an indicator of WUE (Farquhar & Richards [1984;](#page-9-0) Farquhar et al. [1989;](#page-9-0) Ellsworth & Cousins [2016\)](#page-9-0). Water stress has been shown to influence WUE and Δ^{13} C at a given N level (Fu et al. [1993\)](#page-9-0). Similarly, nutrient availability also led to differences in C isotope discrimination of mature plants, with larger Δ^{13} C values in plants growing with low nutrients, which is consistent with previous studies — e.g. Fu et al. ([1993\)](#page-9-0) also found that Δ^{13} C was higher in *Phaseolus* vulgaris L. grown with low N. Negative relationships between Δ^{13} C and N content may occur at lower N concentrations as this decreases photosynthesis and increases intercellular CO2 thereby increasing Rubisco discrimination against the heavier C isotope (^{13}C) . In the present study, leaf N content of mature individuals was lower when well-watered, which could explain the larger Δ^{13} C values of well-watered individuals. However, surprisingly, there were no differences in leaf N content in response to nutrient availability in mature individuals. Our results may be a consequence of stressed plants photosynthesising less and therefore growing less in comparison to well-watered plants, while maintaining the N uptake, and therefore, concentrating more N in tissues, as reported in wheat and millet (Clarke et al. [1990;](#page-8-0) Diouf et al. [2004](#page-8-0)).

There were differences in N content and the C/N ratio between young male and female plants in response to the interaction of nutrient and water availability. Such differences may be related to males having a higher %N and lower C/N ratio than females when growing in well-watered conditions (WW-LN). However, the post-hoc tests did not identify significant differences between groups, perhaps as a consequence of the low sample size at the level of the third-order interaction, and therefore our interpretation here should be considered with caution. There were no differences between sexes in C isotope discrimination, suggesting that plants consumed a similar amount of water per unit of C fixed. There is evidence of sexual dimorphism in C isotope discrimination in several dioecious species, but results are inconsistent: males have been found with lower (Dawson & Ehleringer [1993](#page-8-0); Marshall et al. [1993](#page-9-0); Ward et al. [2002](#page-9-0)), higher (Dawson & Bliss [1989;](#page-8-0) Houle [1996](#page-9-0); Jones et al. [1999;](#page-9-0) Sánchez & Retuerto [2017\)](#page-9-0) or similar values (Kohorn et al. [1994;](#page-9-0) Leigh & Nicotra [2003](#page-9-0); Hultine et al. [2013\)](#page-9-0) to females.

1438877, 0, Downloadsd from https://with/angle.com/2009 and Demokroated the Section and Conditions (https://onlinethers/with/orginal Section 10.10.2024), Sectio Eurors and Conditions (https://onlinethers/with/orginal Secti 1458607, 0, Downloads and the common to 11712 by Network Washingtown which was common common the common common washingtown was common was common was the common common common common common common common common common common

CONCLUSIONS

To our knowledge, this is the first study to reveal sexual dimorphism in S. latifolia in pre-reproductive individuals, particularly in early root growth patterns. These observed differences early in plant life may explain the skewed sex ratios (predominantly female-biased) found in natural populations of this species. Males and females of S. latifolia also responded differently to changes in nutrient and water availability. It appears that moist environments favour root production in females, which could provide an advantage to this sex in terms of survival and competition for resources, and might also contribute to female-biased sex ratios in the wild. In contrast, there was no sexual dimorphism in aboveground dry mass, neither in pre-reproductive individuals nor at reproduction — although female seedlings had longer leaves. Finally, we note the paucity of previous studies on the effect of the interaction of multiple abiotic stresses on dioecious plants. Given the few existing studies, our results reveal complex and somewhat inconsistent patterns, emphasizing the need for further research on a broader range of species, and investigations in both indoor as well as natural conditions.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

MP-L: Data collection, data curation, data analysis, writing original draft and editing. AH: Data collection, writing – editing. APP: Data collection, writing – editing. FH: Supervision, resources, writing – editing. JSV: Conceptualization, supervision,

REFERENCES

- Agren J. (1988) Sexual differences in biomass and nutrient allocation in the dioecious Rubus chamaemorus. Ecology, 69, 962–973.
- Barrett S.C.H. (2013) The evolution of plant reproductive systems: how often are transitions irreversible? Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences,
- 280, 20130913. Barrett S.C.H., Hough J. (2013) Sexual dimorphism in flowering plants. Journal of Experimental Botany, 64, 67–82.
- Bazzaz F., Carlson R., Harper J. (1979) Contribution to reproductive effort by photosynthesis of flowers and fruits. Nature, 279, 554–555.
- Bazzaz F.A., Carlson R.W. (1979) Photosynthetic contribution of flowers and seeds to reproductive effort of an annual colonizer. New Phytologist, 82, 223–232.
- Bernal M., Estiarte M., Peñuelas J. (2011) Drought advances spring growth phenology of the Mediterranean shrub Erica multiflora. Plant Biology, 13, 252– 257.
- Carroll S.B., Mulcahy D.L. (1993) Progeny sex ratios in dioecious Silene latifolia (Caryophyllaceae). American Journal of Botany, 80, 551–556.
- Case A.L., Ashman T.-L. (2005) Sex-specific physiology and its implications for the cost of reproduction. In: Reekie E.G., Bazzaz F.A. (Eds), Reproductive allocation in plants. Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherlands, pp 129–157.
- Charlesworth D. (2002) Plant sex determination and sex chromosomes. Heredity, 88, 94–101.
- Cipollini M.L., Whigham D.F. (1994) Sexual dimorphism and cost of reproduction in the dioecious

resources, data collection, data curation, data analysis, writing – original draft and editing.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Lyndon Tuck for invaluable assistance in setting up and looking after the experiments at the plant growth facilities in Talybont, Dr Barend de Graaf for helpful discussions that contributed to project development, and Mike O'Reilly and Laura Humphrey for technical help in the lab. We also thank two anonymous reviewers for providing helpful comments on an earlier draft of this manuscript.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Figure S1. % N, C/N ratio and Δ^{13} C of male and female young (a–c respectively) and adult (d–f respectively) plants of S. latifolia growing under different levels of nutrient availability: low (LN) and high (HN) and water: well-watered (WW) and low-watered (LW). For young plants, $n = 5$ for all treatments, except for males in the LW-HN treatment where $n = 6$. For adult plants, $n = 5$ except for males in WW-HN where $n = 6$, and for males and females in LW-HN, where $n = 4$. Symbols represent mean \pm SE. For each plot, letters and asterisks indicate significant effects $(S = Sex, N = Nutrients,$ W = Water; ns = non-significant, $*P \le 0.05$, $*P \le 0.01$).

- shrub Lindera benzoin (Lauraceae). American Journal Clarke J.M., Campbell C.A., Cutforth H.W., DePauw R.M., Winkleman G.E. (1990) Nitrogen and phos-Delph L.F., Gehring J.L., Arntz A.M., Levri M., Frey F.M. (2005) Genetic correlations with floral display lead to sexual dimorphism in the cost of reproduc
	- tion. The American Naturalist, 166 Suppl 4, S31–S41. Delph L.F., Knapczyk F.N., Taylor D.R. (2002) Among-population variation and correlations in sexually dimorphic traits of Silene latifolia. Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 15, 1011–1020.
	- Delph L.F., Lu Y., Jayne L.D. (1993) Patterns of resource allocation in a dioecious Carex (Cyperaceae). American Journal of Botany, 80, 607–615.
	- Delph L.F., Meagher T.R. (1995) Sexual dimorphism masks life history trade-offs in the dioecious plant Silene latifolia. Ecology, ⁷⁶, 775–785.
	- Desfeux C., Maurice S., Henry J.P., Lejeune B., Gouyon P.H. (1996) Evolution of reproductive systems in the genus Silene. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 263, 409–414.
	- Díaz-Barradas M.C., Zunzunegui M., Collantes M., Álvarez-Cansino L., García N.F. (2014) Genderrelated traits in the dioecious shrub Empetrum rubrum in two plant communities in the Magellanic steppe. Acta Oecologica, 60, 40–48.
	- Diouf O., Brou Y.C., Diouf M., Sarr B., Eyletters M., Roy-Macauley H., Delhaye J.P. (2004) Response of pearl millet to nitrogen as affected by water deficit. Agronomie, 24, 77–84.
	- Doust J.L., O'Brien G., Doust L.L. (1987) Effect of density on secondary sex characteristics and sex ratio in Silene alba (Caryophyllaceae). American Journal of Botany, 74, 40–46.
	- Ehleringer J.R. (1993) Carbon and water relations in desert plants: an isotopic perspective. In: Ehleringer J.R., Hall A.E., Farquhar G.D. (Eds), Stable isotopes

tion, 64, 2873–2886.

Press, Oxford, UK, pp 115–123.

of Botany, 81, 65–75.

Plant Science, 70, 965–977.

79, 332–343.

74, 798–815.

507–559.

phorous uptake, translocation, and utilization efficiency of wheat in relation to environment and cultivar yield and protein levels. Canadian Journal of

Dawson T.E., Bliss L.C. (1989) Patterns of water use and the tissue water relations in the dioecious shrub, Salix arctica: the physiological basis for habitat partitioning between the sexes. Oecologia,

Dawson T.E., Ehleringer J.R. (1993) Gender-specific physiology, carbon isotope discrimination, and habitat distribution in boxelder, Acer negundo. Ecology,

Dawson T.E., Mambelli S., Plamboeck A.H., Templer P.H., Tu K.P. (2002) Stable isotopes in plant ecology. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 33,

Delph L.F. (2007) The genetic integration of sexually dimorphic traits in the dioecious plant, Silene latifolia. In: Fairbairn D.J., Blanckenhorn W.U., Székely T. (Eds), Sex, size and gender roles: evolutionary studies of sexual size dimorphism. Oxford University

Delph L.F. (2019) Water availability drives population divergence and sex-specific responses in a dioecious plant. American Journal of Botany, 106, 1346–1355. Delph L.F., Arntz A.M., Scotti-Saintagne C., Scotti I. (2010) The genomic architecture of sexual dimorphism in the dioecious plant Silene latifolia. Evolu-

Early life sexual differences in the dioecious Silene latifolia entity and the Perez-Llorca, Hewett, de la Peña Pita, Hailer & Sánchez Vilas

and plant carbon/water relations. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, USA, pp 155–172.

- Ellsworth P.Z., Cousins A.B. (2016) Carbon isotopes and water use efficiency in C4 plants. Current Opinion in Plant Biology, 31, 155–161.
- Eppley S.M. (2001) Gender-specific selection during early life history stages in the dioecious grass Distichlis spicata. Ecology, 82, 2022–2031.
- Farquhar G.D., Richards R.A. (1984) Isotopic composition of plant carbon correlates with water-use efficiency of wheat genotypes. Australian Journal of
- Plant Physiology, 11, 539–552. Farquhar G.D.D., Ehleringer J.R., Hubick K.T. (1989) Carbon isotope discrimination and photosynthesis. Annual Review of Plant Physiology, 40, 503–537.
- Field D.L., Pickup M., Barrett S.C.H. (2013) Comparative analyses of sex-ratio variation in dioecious flowering plants. Evolution, 67, 661–672.
- Filatov D.A. (2005) Evolutionary history of Silene latifolia sex chromosomes revealed by genetic mapping of four genes. Genetics, 170, 975–979.
- Fox J. (2009) car: companion to applied regression. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Franks S.J. (2011) Plasticity and evolution in drought avoidance and escape in the annual plant Brassica rapa. New Phytologist, 190, 249–257.
- Franks S.J., Sim S., Weis A.E. (2007) Rapid evolution of flowering time by an annual plant in response to a climate fluctuation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America,
- 104, 1278–1282. Freeman D.C., Wachocki B.A., Stender M.J., Goldschlag D.E., Michaels H.J. (1994) Seed size and sex ratio in spinach: application of the Trivers-Willard hypothesis to plants. Ecoscience, $1, 54-63$.
- Fu Q.A., Boutton T.W., Ehleringer J.R., Flagler R.B. (1993) Environmental and developmental effects on carbon isotope discrimination by two species of Phaseolus. In: Ehleringer J.R., Hall A.E., Farquhar G.D. (Eds), Stable isotopes and plant carbon/water relations. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, USA, pp 297– 309.
- Garrido A., Conde A., Serôdio J., De Vos R.C.H., Cunha A. (2023) Fruit photosynthesis: more to know about where, how and why. Plants (Basel), 12, 2393.
- Gehring J.L. (1993) Temporal patterns in the development of sexual dimorphism in Silene latifolia (Caryophyllaceae). Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club, 120, 405–416.
- Gehring J.L., Delph L.F. (2006) Effects of reduced source-sink ratio on the cost of reproduction in females of Silene latifolia. International Journal of Plant Sciences, 167, 843–851.
- Gehring J.L., Linhart Y.B. (1993) Sexual dimorphism and response to low resources in the dioecious plant Silene latifolia (Caryophyllaceae). International Journal of Plant Sciences, 154, 152–162.
- Gehring J.L., Monson R.K. (1994) Sexual differences in gas exchange and response to environmental stress in dioecious Silene latifolia (Caryophyllaceae). American Journal of Botany, 81, 166–174.
- Gross K.L., Soule J.D. (1981) Differences in biomass allocation to reproductive and vegetative structures of male and female plants of a dioecious, perennial

herb, Silene alba (Miller) Krause. American Journal of Botany, 68, 801–807.

Harris M.S., Pannell J.R. (2008) Roots, shoots and reproduction: sexual dimorphism in size and costs of reproductive allocation in an annual herb. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B: Biological Sciences, 275, 2595–2602.

Hewett A.M., Sánchez Vilas J., Hailer F. (2024) Two novel PCR-based assays for sexing of Silene latifolia

- and *Silene dioica* plants. *MethodsX*, 12, 102708.
Houle G. (1996) Environmental filters and seedling recruitment on a coastal dune in subarctic Quebec (Canada). Canadian Journal of Botany, 74, 1507– 1513.
- Hultine K.R., Burtch K.G., Ehleringer J.R. (2013) Gender specific patterns of carbon uptake and water use in a dominant riparian tree species exposed to a warming climate. Global Change Biology, 19, 3390– 3405.
- Jones M.H., MacDonald S.E., Henry G.H.R. (1999) Sex- and habitat-specific responses of a high arctic willow, Salix arctica, to experimental climate change. Oikos, 87, 129–138.
- Juvany M., Munné-Bosch S. (2015) Sex-related differences in stress tolerance in dioecious plants: a critical appraisal in a physiological context. Journal of Experimental Botany, 66, 6083–6092.
- Kohorn L.U., Goldstein G., Rundel P.W. (1994) Morphological and isotopic indicators of growth environment: variability in δ^{13} C in Simmondsia chinensis, a dioecious desert shrub. Journal of Experimental Botany, 45, 1817–1822.
- Korpelainen H. (1992) Patterns of resource allocation in male and female plants of Rumex acetosa and R. Acetosella. Oecologia, 89, 133–139.
- Laporte M.M., Delph L.F. (1996) Sex-specific physiology and source-sink relations in the dioecious plant, Silene latifolia. Oecologia, 106, 63–72.
- Leigh A., Nicotra A.B. (2003) Sexual dimorphism in reproductive allocation and water use efficiency in Maireana pyramidata (Chenopodiaceae), a dioecious, semi-arid shrub. Australian Journal of Botany 51, 509–514.
- Lenth R.V. (2016) Least-squares means: the R package lsmeans. Journal of Statistical Software, 69, 1–33.
- Liu M., Korpelainen H., Li C. (2021) Sexual differences and sex ratios of dioecious plants under stressful environments. Journal of Plant Ecology, 14, 920–933.
- Lyons E.E., Miller D., Meagher T.R. (1994) Evolutionary dynamics of sex ratio and gender dimorphism in Silene latifolia: I. Environmental effects. Journal of Heredity, 85, 196–203.
- Marshall J.D., Dawson T.E., Ehleringer J.R. (1993) Gender-related differences in gas exchange are not related to host quality in the xylem-tapping mistleoe, Phoradendron juniperinum (Viscaceae). American Journal of Botany, 80, 641–645.
- Meagher T.R. (1984) Sexual dimorphism and ecological differentiation of male and female plants. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden, 71, 254–264.
- Medina-Gavilan J.L., Bartual A., Ojeda F. (2008) Sexrelated differences in leaf traits in an androdioecious shrub under contrasting levels of soil salinity. Plant and Soil, 310, 235–243.
- Ming R., Bendahmane A., Renner S.S. (2011) Sex chromosomes in land plants. Annual Review of Plant Biology, 62, 485–514.
- Negrutiu I., Vyskot B., Barbacar N., Georgiev S., Moneger F. (2001) Dioecious plants. A key to the early events of sex chromosome evolution. Plant Physiology, 127, 1418–1424.
- Nicotra A.B. (1999) Sexually dimorphic growth in the dioecious tropical shrub, Siparuna grandiflora. Functional Ecology, 13, 322–331.
- Obeso J.R. (2002) The cost of reproduction in plants. New Phytologist, 155, 321–348.
- Pound M.P., French A.P., Atkinson J.A., Wells D.M., Bennett M.J., Pridmore T. (2013) RootNav: navigating images of complex root architectures. Plant
- Physiology, 162, 1802–1814. Purrington C.B., Schmitt J. (1995) Sexual dimorphism of dormancy and survivorship in buried seeds of Silene latifolia. Journal of Ecology, 83, 795–800.
- Purrington C.B., Schmitt J. (1998) Consequences of sexually dimorphic timing of emergence and flowering in Silene latifolia. Journal of Ecology, 86, 397–404.
- R Core Team (2022) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. <http://www.r-project.org>
- Renner S.S., Ricklefs R.E. (2008) Dioecy and its correlates in the flowering plants. American Journal of Botany, 82, 596–606.
- Retuerto R., Sanchez Vilas J., Varga S. (2018) Sexual dimorphism in response to stress. Environmental and Experimental Botany, 146, 1–4.
- Sanchez Vilas J., Pannell J.R. (2010) Differential niche modification by males and females of a dioecious herb: extending the Jack Sprat effect. Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 23, 2262–2266.
- Sánchez Vilas J., Pannell J.R. (2011) Sexual dimorphism in resource acquisition and deployment: both size and timing matter. Annals of Botany, ¹⁰⁷, 119– 126.
- Sánchez Vilas L. Retuerto R. (2017) Sexual dimorphism in water and nitrogen use strategies in Honckenya peploides: timing matters. Journal of Plant Ecology, 10, 702–712.
- Sherrard M.E., Maherali H. (2006) The adaptive significance of drought escape in Avena barbata, an annual grass. Evolution, 60, 2478–2489.
- Stehlik I., Barrett S.C.H. (2005) Mechanisms governing sex-ratio variation in dioecious Rumex nivalis. Evolution, 59, 814–825.
- Steven J.C., Delph L.F., Brodie E.D. (2007) Sexual dimorphism in the quantitative-genetic architecture of floral, leaf, and allocation traits in Silene latifolia. Evolution, 61, 42–57.
- Tutin T.G., Burges N.A., Chater A.O., Edmondson J.R., Heywood V.H., Moore D.M., Valentine D.H., Walters S.M., Webb D.A. (1993) Flora Europaea, Vol. 1, 2nd edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Ward J.K., Dawson T.E., Ehleringer J.R. (2002) Responses of Acer negundo genders to interannual differences in water availability determined from carbon isotope ratios of tree ring cellulose. Tree Physiology, 22, 339–346.
- Yu L., Huang Z., Tang S., Korpelainen H., Li C. (2023) Populus euphratica males exhibit stronger drought and salt stress resistance than females. Environmental and Experimental Botany, 205, 105114.
- Zluvova J., Zak J., Janousek B., Vyskot B. (2010) Dioecious Silene latifolia plants show sexual dimorphism in the vegetative stage. BMC Plant Biology, 10, 208.