

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:<https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/176842/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Park, Haedong, Ghatak, Ananya and Oh, Sang Soon 2025. Non-Abelian topological charge of photons in periodic/non-periodic media and non-Hermitian systems. *Advances in Physics: X*

Publishers page:

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



REVIEWS

Non-Abelian topological charge of photons in periodic/non-periodic media and non-Hermitian systems

Haedong Park^a, Ananya Ghatak^b, and Sang Soon Oh^a

^aSchool of Physics and Astronomy, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF24 3AA, UK; ^bIESL University of Crete, FORTH, 71110 Heraklion, Greece

ARTICLE HISTORY

Compiled March 13, 2025

ABSTRACT

Multigap topology, which considers topology between more than two bands, generalizes the geometrical phase of a single band to the ones of multiple bands leading to non-Abelian band topology. While this non-Abelian band topology is normally observed for three-dimensional (3D) periodic structures such as electronic crystals, photonic crystals, and metamaterials, one- or two-dimensional (1D/2D) periodic structures can be employed with an additional dimension called “synthetic” dimension. For the demonstration, for example, coupled optical waveguides and coupled ring resonators with phase and amplitude modulators have been employed. To observe non-Abelian nature of photon propagation, one can even employ non-periodic systems such as a finite number of coupled optical waveguides with spatially modulated couplings. Recently, the non-Abelian propagation of photons has been considered in non-Hermitian systems where gain and loss are controlled and the Bloch wave description fails. In this review, we introduce the basic concept of non-Abelian topology in different dimensions and symmetries and review recent development in the realization of non-Abelian topological charges in various physical systems such as 3D photonic crystals, metamaterials, coupled waveguides and cavity systems. Further, we discuss how non-Hermiticity plays a role in the non-Abelian topology of bands or eigenvalues starting from reviewing theoretical models in condensed matter physics.

KEYWORDS

Nodal lines; non-Abelian topological charge; quaternion numbers; photonic crystals; metamaterials

1. Introduction

Commutative relations of operators as in the addition and multiplication of integer numbers are ubiquitous in mathematics and physics forming so-called Abelian groups. Meanwhile, there are many non-commuting (including anticommuting) operators such as matrix multiplications and vector products which form non-Abelian groups. The non-commuting operators played a key role in the development of quantum mechanics, for example, the Heisenberg’s uncertainty principle and Pauli and Dirac matrices. The non-Abelian group is also interesting in particle physics because it gives a foundation of the non-Abelian gauge field theory.

In the development of modern condensed matter physics in the twentieth century,

the electronic band theory allowed us to describe conductors, insulators and semimetals starting from basic building blocks, e.g., lattices and unit cells of electronic crystals. In the past decades, the topological classification of electronic bands has solved the mystery of quantized conductance in quantum Hall effect and opened exciting avenues of research called topological physics in the area of electronics, photonics, and acoustics. The key concept of topological physics is the classification of topological phases with numbers, which we call topological invariants or topological charges. In general, the topological charges assigned to the electronic bands form the group of integers \mathbb{Z} or the group of integers modulo 2, \mathbb{Z}_2 , both of which are Abelian. Also, the topological charges of nodal lines, which is the line degeneracy between two bands, forms a group of integers \mathbb{Z} . In multigap systems which consist of more than two bands, however, the topological charges of nodal lines, expressed by frame rotation charge, form a non-Abelian group, for example, the quaternion group \mathbb{Q} for a three-band system [1]. For the last few years, the non-Abelian charge in multigap systems has been studied in photonics [2], acoustics and phonons [3]. Although the non-Abelian topological charges can be considered for electronic or photonic bands for 2D/3D periodic systems, one can consider non-Abelian holonomies in non-periodic finite systems where a state changes adiabatically, for example, in coupled waveguides [4]. Interestingly, in non-Hermitian periodic systems, the non-Abelian nature of eigenmodes can be observed in a complex energy spectrum in a shape of a braid [5].

This review will cover non-Abelian topological charges in photonic structures (photonic crystals and metamaterials) and condensed matters. Acoustic materials, synthetic dimensions, and other types of metamaterials will be excluded as they are covered by the recent review article [6]. In Section 2, we will present theoretical background to understand non-Abelian topological charges in condensed matter physics and photonics by introducing band topology together with nodal lines, frame rotation charges and Euler class. In Section 3, we review the recent work on the realization of nodal lines and Weyl points using photonic crystals and metamaterials. In Section 4, we introduce the topological invariants and the braid groups for topological description of non-Hermitian system with some experimental demonstrations and theoretical models. In Section 5, we will look at non-Abelian quantum holonomy and Thouless pumping in optical waveguides and non-Abelian topology in non-Hermitian systems.

2. Topological charges of nodal lines in three-dimensional momentum space

2.1. Brief introduction of nodal lines

A nodal line is a set of band degeneracies with a line shape in the 2D/3D momentum space. In this section, we will consider the 3D momentum space. According to their detailed shape, a number of single nodal lines are classified as straight nodal lines, nodal curves, nodal rings, nodal knots [3]. Furthermore, based on their connectivity and relative positions, a set of nodal lines (two or more) can be categorized as nodal chain(s) or nodal link(s) [3].

Similarly, in the 2D momentum space, a point degeneracy with a linear dispersion, called ‘Dirac point’, can exist. In many cases, a Weyl point, a point degeneracy in the 3D momentum space, is regarded as a Dirac point’s counterpart in the 3D momentum space. However, they are different from each other in the following two aspects. First, Dirac points can be formed when both inversion (\mathcal{P}) and time-reversal (\mathcal{T}) symmetries are satisfied, while the Weyl point is generated when one of them is broken. Second, the

characterization of a Dirac point's topological invariant utilizes a closed loop around the band degeneracy, whereas the topological charges of Weyl points are calculated using a closed surface around the Weyl point. In this respect, a nodal line in the 3D momentum space shares more properties with the Dirac point rather than with the Weyl point. Specifically, nodal lines are formed when its crystal satisfies both \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{T} symmetries and the closed loop is also utilized to calculate a nodal line's topological charge (Fig. 1(a)).




Figure 1. Illustration of the frame rotation charge (a) Schematic figure of a nodal line with a closed loop Γ that encircles the nodal line. (b) Enlargement of Γ in (a) with eigenstates $|\psi_1(\mathbf{k})\rangle$, $|\psi_2(\mathbf{k})\rangle$, and $|\psi_3(\mathbf{k})\rangle$ on Γ , respectively. (c) Eigenstates in (b) moved onto the origin to plot them as if they start from the origin. The evolution of $|\psi_1(\mathbf{k})\rangle$ and $|\psi_2(\mathbf{k})\rangle$ along Γ are denoted by the dark-red and -green curved arrows, respectively. (b) and (c) are reproduced with permission from Ref. [7] Copyright 2022 American Physical Society.

2.2. Abelian and non-Abelian topological charges

Many physicists have been interested in the topological nature of nodal lines, and thus the topological quantities, called topological charges or topological invariants, have been defined and calculated. As the nodal lines share many properties with the Dirac point as mentioned earlier, one can first think of calculating Berry's phase [8], which is an Abelian charge, as a topological invariant. First, a loop is chosen so that it can enclose a band degeneracy or a set of band degeneracies (Fig. 1(a)). Then, along the loop, eigenstates or equivalent quantities are calculated. Finally, their evolution along the loop is analyzed by the Berry phase defined as:

$$\gamma_n = i \oint_{\Gamma} \langle \psi_n | \frac{\partial}{\partial k} | \psi_n \rangle dk, \quad (1)$$

where ψ_n is the eigenstate of the n -th band that is one of the two bands involved in the band degeneracies (n -th band). This method has been utilized for a Dirac point. The Berry phase, however, can be nontrivial only when the eigenstates possess imaginary components, because the Berry phase becomes always zero if the eigenstate is purely

real. This can be proven from the orthogonality relation $\langle \psi_n | \psi_m \rangle = \delta_{nm}$, by differentiating with respect to k , i.e., $\partial \langle \psi_n | \psi_n \rangle / \partial k = \partial \delta_{nn} / \partial k$ or $\langle \partial \psi_n / \partial k | \psi_n \rangle + \langle \psi_n | \partial \psi_n / \partial k \rangle = 0$ leading to $2\text{Re}(\langle \psi_n | \partial \psi_n / \partial k \rangle) = 0$. Then, this invariant cannot be utilized for a system which has an purely real eigenstate. This means that a system of nodal lines needs another topological invariant.

To address this, the Wilczek-Zee phase [9] can be applied to nodal lines. It is given by the following formula:

$$\mathbf{W} = \exp \left\{ \oint_{\Gamma} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{k}) dk \right\} \quad (2)$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{k}) = A_{mn} = \left\langle \psi_m \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \right| \psi_n \right\rangle \quad (m < n) \quad (3)$$

is the Wilczek-Zee connection. One example of the eigenstates' distributions along the closed loop Γ around the nodal line (refer to Fig. 1(a)) will be helpful to understand the Wilczek-Zee phase. During one winding along Γ , the net changes of direction of eigenstates ψ_n and ψ_m commonly become π (Fig. 1(b-c)); the eigenstate's direction at the final point \mathbf{k}_0 after one winding becomes opposite to the eigenstate's direction at the same point \mathbf{k}_0 before the winding (remember that if $+\psi$ is an eigenstate of a system, $-\psi$ is considered as the equivalent to ψ). Thus, $\left\langle \psi_m \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \right| \psi_n \right\rangle$ creates a non-trivial Wilczek-Zee phase. Here, we need to think about the behavior of eigenstates of other bands (not the m - or n -th bands). Unless they form any degeneracy around the degeneracy between m - and n -th bands, $\oint_{\Gamma} \left\langle \psi_a \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \right| \psi_b \right\rangle dk$ becomes zero ($a < b < m$ or $n < a < b$).

Such properties are related to non-Abelian topological charges and invariants. One distinguishable property of a non-Abelian topological charge compared to an Abelian charge is that a topological charge contains the information about the bands making the band degeneracy in a multi-band system. Let us assume a three-band system and formulate $A_{mn} = \left\langle \psi_m \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \right| \psi_n \right\rangle$ ($m, n = 1, 2, 3$). If a nodal line is a set of band degeneracy by the bands $m = 1$ and $n = 2$ while the third band does not contribute to the band degeneracy, the Wilczek-Zee connection is given by

$$\mathbf{A}^{12}(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \left\langle \psi_1 \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \right| \psi_2 \right\rangle & 0 \\ -\left\langle \psi_2 \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \right| \psi_1 \right\rangle & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Here, from the orthogonality $\langle \psi_n | \psi_m \rangle = \delta_{nm}$ or its derivative $\langle \partial \psi_m / \partial k | \psi_n \rangle + \langle \psi_m | \partial \psi_n / \partial k \rangle = 0$, we can derive the above skew symmetric property. All components calculated using ψ_3 become zero. Likewise, if there is another nodal line formed by the bands $m = 2$ and $n = 3$, we have the Wilczek-Zee connection \mathbf{A}^{23} with $[\mathbf{A}^{23}]_{23} = \left\langle \psi_2 \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \right| \psi_3 \right\rangle$, $[\mathbf{A}^{23}]_{32} = -\left\langle \psi_3 \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \right| \psi_2 \right\rangle$, and 0 otherwise. The Wilczek-Zee phases $\mathbf{W}^{12} = \exp \left\{ \oint_{\Gamma} \mathbf{A}^{12}(\mathbf{k}) dk \right\}$ and $\mathbf{W}^{23} = \exp \left\{ \oint_{\Gamma} \mathbf{A}^{23}(\mathbf{k}) dk \right\}$, like the topological charges of nodal lines, have different non-trivial values which carry the band information.

Remarkably, we can regard the topological charges \mathbf{W}^{12} and \mathbf{W}^{23} as non-Abelian. This is because their relationship does not commute; $\mathbf{W}^{12}\mathbf{W}^{23} \neq \mathbf{W}^{23}\mathbf{W}^{12}$. Especially,

for a three-band system, it is well-known that their topological charges are written as quaternion numbers $\mathbb{Q} = \{\pm i, \pm j, \pm k, \pm 1\}$ [1]. Furthermore, arithmetical calculation of multiple nodal lines can be conducted. Let us suppose a closed loop that ties a bundle of several nodal lines by the same or different pairs of bands. The non-Abelian topological charges calculated along the loop equal the multiplication of non-Abelian charges of each nodal line as we will see in the following subsection.




Figure 2. Schematics of nodal lines' phase transition and braiding. (a) Pair annihilation of two oppositely charged nodal lines by the same pair of bands. (b-c) Illustrations to explain the charge flipping after braiding of a nodal line. If we fix the location and charge of L_2 , the charge's sign of L_1 flips by the transition between (b) and (c). Γ_a , Γ_b , and Γ_c are closed loops to calculate the non-Abelian topological charges. (d-e) Configurations of nodal lines and closed loops equivalent to (b) and (c), respectively. In each panel of (d) and (e), two equivalent configurations are given. See Ref. [10] to draw (b-e). The point O in (b-e) that belongs to all the closed loops is to fix the gauge of eigenstates [10,11]. (f-g) Braiding of nodal lines in the viewpoint of nodal lines' stability. L_3 and L_4 are stable in (f), whereas they can be pair-annihilated in (g).

2.3. Properties of non-Abelian charges: phase transition, braiding, and Euler class

To understand the meaning of non-Abelian charges, we need to mention the phase transition of nodal lines. A nodal line's topological non-Abelian charge's sign may be positive or negative. If there are two nodal lines by the same pair of bands, their charges' signs may be same or opposite. If they are the same, the two nodal lines are stable so that they do not get any phase transition. Otherwise, if they are opposite, they can be pair-annihilated to form a different shape, as shown in Fig. 2(a) via a nodal chain. From this point of view, a nodal chain can be regarded as a critical state of nodal lines' phase transition so that the states before and after the phase transition are distinguishable.

Another interesting feature of non-Abelian charges is the flipping of the charge's sign

after braiding. For example, in Fig. 2(b), there are two nodal lines L_1 and L_2 that carry $-\mathbf{k}$ and $+\mathbf{i}$, respectively. These charges are calculated using the closed loops Γ_a and Γ_b , respectively. Interestingly, if we move (braid) L_1 as shown in Fig. 2(c), its charge becomes $+\mathbf{k}$. This can be explained by the closed loops' relation. The configurations of nodal lines L_1 and L_2 and closed loops Γ_a , Γ_b , and Γ_c in Fig. 2(b) and (c) can be redrawn, as shown in Fig. 2(d) and (e), respectively. In each panel of Fig. 2(d) and (e), the first figures can be transformed into the second figures without changing nodal lines' any topology. The closed loops' composites in the second figures of (d) and (e) are written as $\Gamma_a \circ \Gamma_b$ and $\Gamma_b \circ \Gamma_c$, respectively, and their net loops are equal, i.e., $\Gamma_a \circ \Gamma_b = \Gamma_b \circ \Gamma_c$. By writing the relations as $\Gamma_a = \Gamma_b \circ \Gamma_c \circ \Gamma_b^{-1}$, and by substituting $+\mathbf{i}$, $+\mathbf{k}$, $-\mathbf{i}$ into Γ_b , Γ_c , Γ_b^{-1} , respectively, we get $-\mathbf{k}$ the charge of L_1 by the closed loop Γ_a .

In the above explanation, there is a critical assumption about fixing the gauge of an eigenstate. When an eigenvalue problem is solved, an eigenstate's gauge is not uniquely determined. This means $-\psi$ can also be the equivalent eigenstate if $+\psi$ is an eigenstates of a system. Although the gauge is set to be continuous in a momentum space during the calculation of a topological charge, the overall gauge should also be fixed. If not, a non-Abelian charge's sign is not determined, i.e., L_2 in Fig. 2(b) may carry $-\mathbf{i}$ instead of $+\mathbf{i}$. Thus, to account for non-Abelian charges' braiding, we need to suppose the same gauges of all the eigenstates at O between Fig. 2(b,d) and (c,e) [1,10,11].

The sign flipping with braiding can allow for the nodal lines' phase transition. The two nodal lines L_3 and L_4 in Fig. 2(f) have the same charges by the closed loop Γ_d or Γ_e . The value is -1 , and this means they are stable so that they cannot exhibit the phase transition. On the contrary, the topological charge by the loop Γ_f in Fig. 2(g) is $+1$ so that this loop views the two nodal lines as the differently charged. The phase transition can be realized along the connectivity implied by Γ_f , as shown in Fig. 2(e) [7,10].




Figure 3. Illustrations about the Euler class. (a) Nodal lines L_1 and L_2 and a finite-sized patch \mathcal{D} pierced by L_1 and L_2 in the three-dimensional momentum space. (b-c) Example plots of the Euler form Eu when L_1 and L_2 carry non-Abelian charges with (b) the same signs and (c) the opposite signs, respectively. Note that L_1 and L_2 are formed by the same pair of bands.

To characterize the phase transition of non-Abelian charged nodal lines, a topological invariant needs to be defined, instead of a non-Abelian topological charge. The Euler class plays this role. To calculate the Euler class, we need to prepare an even number of nodal lines using the same pair of bands m and n and a finite-sized patch \mathcal{D} , as shown in Fig. 3(a). L_1 and L_2 pass through \mathcal{D} , i.e., the boundary $\partial\mathcal{D}$ encloses each element of L_1 and L_2 . The Euler class is defined by the following formula:

$$\chi_{mn}(\mathcal{D}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}} \text{Eu}^{mn} dk_a dk_b - \oint_{\partial\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{k}) \cdot d\mathbf{k} \right], \quad (5)$$

where the Euler form Eu^{mn} is given by

$$\text{Eu}^{mn}(\mathbf{k}) = \langle \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^m | \times | \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^n \rangle, \quad (6)$$

and the Euler connection $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{k})$ is written as

$$\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{k}) = \langle \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^m | \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^n \rangle. \quad (7)$$

Eq. 5 consists of integrals over a path \mathcal{D} and along a curve $\partial\mathcal{D}$. If there is no nodal lines that pass through \mathcal{D} , all the two integrals become zero according to the Stokes' theorem. On the contrary, if there is the aforementioned even number of nodal lines (assuming two nodal lines), the field of the integrand Eu^{mn} possesses the singularities, and the Stokes' theorem becomes invalidated [12]. Then, the result is explained by the following correspondence between the Euler class and the non-Abelian charges (including the quaternion charges). When L_1 and L_2 carry the non-Abelian charges with same signs, the two singularities also have the same sign (see Fig. 3(b)). This coincides with the stable nodal lines so that the nodal lines do not exhibit the phase transition. On the other hands, when the charges of L_1 and L_2 have the opposite signs, the singularities' signs become opposites (see Fig. 3(c)), so that $\int_{\mathcal{D}} \text{Eu}^{mn} dk_a dk_b$ becomes zero, and the nodal lines can be pair-annihilated like Fig. 2(a). In the previous section, we have mentioned about a feature of non-Abelian charges that they have the information which pair of bands makes band degeneracies in a multi-band system. Although an Euler class does not have such information explicitly, the Euler class contains the information of non-Abelian charges' signs, so that the Euler class can predict the ability of nodal lines' phase transition. It is also interesting that the Euler class does not require fixing the gauge of eigenstates which was important step of calculating the non-Abelian charges (related to Fig. 2(b-e)). This is because the critical factor in the Euler class calculation is not the absolute but relative signs between the nodal lines.

An interesting aspect of the Euler class in this discussion is that it requires an even number of nodal lines (at least two), as shown in Fig. 3(a). It is natural to ask a question about the result if only one nodal line is considered when the Euler class is calculated. However, such calculations cannot generate a meaningful result. Eigenstates along a closed loop that encircles a nodal line inevitably exhibit inconsistency, referred to as the Dirac string [7,12]. However, for two nodal lines, the Dirac string can initiate and terminate at each nodal line. Then, the Dirac string can be confined in a patch \mathcal{D} , so that the eigenstates around $\partial\mathcal{D}$ can be smoothed. When only one nodal line pierces \mathcal{D} , this smoothing is impossible, so the result is not physically meaningful. Thus, to calculate the Euler class, at least two nodal lines are necessary.




Figure 4. Examples of metallic photonic crystals for non-Abelian charged nodal lines. (a) Phase transition of nodal lines based on a biaxial metallic crystal. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [13] Copyright 2020 American Physical Society. (b-c) Nodal chain whose nodal point acts as source or sink of non-Abelian topological charges. (b-c) are reprinted with permission from Ref. [14] Copyright 2023 American Physical Society. (d-g) Metallic resonator (d) and the resulting four-band nodal lines (e-g). (d-g) are reprinted with permission from Ref. [15] Copyright 2022 American Physical Society. All these utilize the frame rotation charge theory to explain the phase transition of nodal lines.

3. Realization of (non-Abelian charged) nodal lines using photonic crystal and metamaterials

3.1. Metallic nodal line photonic crystals

To constitute a photonic system to realize nodal lines theoretically or experimentally, metallic materials are good candidate due to their strong interaction with light via free electrons and easiness to build a complex photonic system in a macroscale. Thus, there have been many proof-of-concepts with metallic photonic systems. If we confine our interests on nodal lines, most works can be classified as (1) realization of various nodal lines and (2) phase transition of nodal lines.

The realizations of nodal lines have been conducted as the early stage studies in this field. W. Gao et al. experimentally realized a nodal ring using a cut-wire metacryatal [16]. An orthorhombic unit cell whose space group is $P4/mbm$ is placed on the $x - y$ plane. In the 3D momentum space, a nodal ring centered at the Γ -point and parallel to the $x - y$ plane is generated. Q. Yan et al. discovered a nodal chain using a 3D metallic-mesh scaffold [17]. They utilized a cubic unit cell, and the resulting nodal chain is also completely periodic along the x , y , and z -directions.

Following these experimental realizations, the main focus of the study has gradually moved to the realization of topological phase transition of nodal lines. To this end, a given metallic photonic system needs to be tuned and the resulting nodal lines should be observed. In fact, there are only few studies that follow this story. Most studies utilize an effective analytic model instead of adjusting the structural or material parameters of a unit cell. By tuning the effective model, they theoretically prove the phase transition of nodal lines. Then, they construct the equivalent structure and observe the nodal lines (or the signs of their existence) theoretically and experimentally. For example, E. Yang

et al. prepared an simple theory that considers metallic property of a photonic system. The theory has proven the phase transition of photonic nodal link based on the nodal lines' non-Abelian nature, as shown in Fig. 4(a). Then, their experimental work using a biaxial metal crystal exhibits the nodal link as they predicted theoretically [13].

With the increase of non-Abelian property of nodal lines, many studies have also focused on the various theoretical aspects of nodal lines. One interesting example is the discovery of a nodal point as a source or sink of non-Abelian charges. According to the conventional knowledge, when four nodal lines meet at a point, the summation of their non-Abelian charges should be zero, as displayed in Fig. 4(b). However, D. Wang et al. discovered that if the nodal point is at the Γ -point, the four nodal lines' directions commonly head toward (or out of) the Γ -point, as shown in Fig. 4(c). In other words, the nodal point acts as the sink (or source) of the non-Abelian topological charges [14].

Another study considers an extra pair of bands to explain the contradiction observed in a three-band theory. The metallic resonator in Fig. 4(d) generates the nodal lines in Fig. 4(e-g). The nodal lines are formed by the 1st and 2nd (the blue nodal lines), 2nd and 3rd (the red nodal rings), and 3rd and 4th bands (the purple nodal lines). With assuming only the red nodal rings, the two nodal rings' junctions satisfy the zero-sum of the non-Abelian charges, i.e., at a junction, the number of inward and outward arrows are the same (see Fig. 4(e)). When the blue nodal lines are considered, at one junction of the two red nodal rings, all the four red nodal lines exhibit the outward directions, even though the green closed loops to calculate the non-Abelian charges are centrosymmetric with respect to the Γ -point, as shown in Fig. 4(f). This contradiction can be explained by regarding one more nodal lines by the pair of higher bands Fig. 4(g) [15].

3.2. Dielectric nodal line photonic crystals

In a dielectric material, photonic behavior is scale-independent, i.e., when a photonic crystal grows tenfold, a photonic band structure remains with only the eigenfrequency decreasing tenfold. This property enables a theoretical aspect of photonics to be applicable to any scale, although building a complex structure made of dielectric material is not so simple.

One of the famous realizations of a nodal line is the nodal ring by the air-gap filled double gyroid structure [18]. The double gyroid photonic crystal in Fig. 5(a) consists of dielectric material whose refractive index is 4.0. The structure and material property are inversion symmetric, and it has three two-fold rotations axes. Band degeneracies are formed between the 4th and 5th bands, and their set forms a nodal ring centered at the Γ -point (see Fig. 5(b)). When it lacks the inversion symmetry, the nodal ring becomes four Weyl points, and the study in Ref. [18] mainly focuses on the Weyl points' behavior.

However, to discuss the non-Abelian topology, nodal lines by at least two different pair of bands are needed, i.e., the band structure should have at least three bands. Dielectric double diamond photonic crystal in Ref. [19] is the first example that exhibits frame rotation charges (see Fig. 5(c-f)). The double diamond consists of two single diamonds that are counterparts to each other (see Fig. 5(c)). Their permittivity is 16.0. The distance between the single diamonds is adjusted to break the translational symmetry by half of the lattice constant. By preserving the inversion and translational symmetry with breaking all the other symmetries, the anisotropic double diamond structure exhibits a nodal link that several nodal rings are connected infinitely in the momentum space (see Fig. 5(d)). The bands $n = 3, 4,$ and 5 participate in the generation of the nodal




Figure 5. Example of dielectric photonic crystals that exhibit nodal lines in the momentum space. (a) Double gyroid photonic crystal that possesses D_2 symmetry. (b) Photonic band structure of (a) that exhibits a nodal ring (b). Reprinted with permission from Ref. [18] Copyright 2013 Springer Nature. (c) Anisotropic double diamond photonic crystal. (d) Nodal link by (c). (e-h) Frame rotation charges of (d). Each represents $+\mathbf{k}$, $+\mathbf{i}$, $-\mathbf{j}$, and $+\mathbf{k}$, respectively. Upper or left insets of (e-h) also have the enlargements for the sections where the frame rotation charges are calculated. (c-h) are reprinted with permission from Ref. [19] Copyright 2021 American Chemical Society.

link. Thus, each component of the nodal link carries non-Abelian topological charges, as shown in Fig. 5(e-f). Each nodal line's topological charge calculated by the method in Section 2.2 is expressed as a quaternion number. If we set a loop that encloses two different nodal lines, the composite topological charge switches its sign with switching




Figure 6. Nodal lines' phase transitions by deforming a dielectric double diamond photonic crystal. (a-c) Three states of the double diamond. The differences between each state are denoted by the green arrows or red dotted boxes. (d-f) Nodal line configurations of (a-c), respectively. (g-h), Extracted nodal lines from (d) and (e), respectively. The planes \mathcal{D} where the Euler class is calculated over are also plotted. All the panels are reprinted with permission from Ref. [20] Copyright 2024 De Gruyter.

the enclose direction, as shown in Fig. 5(g-h) .

The shape of the nodal link is determined by the double diamond's material properties (i.e., permittivity in this case) and its geometrical factors. Changing material parameters requires replacement of a given material as a new material. Thus, it is convenient to focus on the photonic crystal's geometrical deformation and observe its photonic behavior. For a double diamond dielectric photonic crystal with the permittivity of 15.0 shown in Fig. 6(a), the two single diamonds' distance denoted by the green arrows is adjusted to get the structure in Fig. 6(b). The structure in Fig. 6(a) bears a nodal ring which two nodal lines pass through, as shown in Fig. 6(d). This topology (configuration of nodal lines) gets phase transition to a nodal link, shown in Fig. 6(e). Now, let us focus on the arms' thickness marked by the red dotted boxes in Fig. 6(b). When the thickness decreases to make Fig. 6(c), the result exhibits the phase transition of the central nodal ring in Fig. 6(e) into the separated nodal rings and nodal lines, as shown in Fig. 6(f) [20].

The Euler class is utilized to analyze the phase transition in Fig. 6(d-f). The situations in Fig. 6(g) and (h) are extracted from Fig. 6(d) and (e), respectively, and commonly have the plane \mathcal{D} that is pierced by two nodal lines of the same pair of bands. The

Euler classes calculated over \mathcal{D} in Fig. 6(g) and (h) are commonly zero, which means the nodal lines can be pair-annihilated to induce the phase transition. As the Euler class says, the phase transition occurs around the region with the zero Euler class [20].

4. Non-Abelian topology in non-Hermitian systems

4.1. Brief introduction of non-Hermitian physics

In quantum mechanics, Hamiltonians for energy-conserving systems are Hermitian ($H^\dagger = H$), resulting in zero net energy flows. In other words, the eigenenergies of Hermitian systems are real, which means that the amplitude of a wave function neither increases nor decreases because the time evolution of the wave function is expressed by $\psi(t) = \psi_0 \exp(-iEt/\hbar)$ and thus simply oscillates in time. In contrast, non-Hermitian systems in general have complex eigenenergies. This was a common belief until Carl Bender in 1998 showed that \mathcal{PT} symmetric non-Hermitian Hamiltonians give real eigenvalues [21]. This work stimulated many interesting new applications in other fields of research including photonics [22]. An intriguing feature of non-Hermitian physics is the presence of exceptional points, which are characteristic points in a parametric space [23,24]. At exceptional points (EPs), the eigenvalue equation, expressed by 2×2 Hamiltonian, becomes defective and thus has a single solution (one eigenvector with one energy eigenvalue) instead of two solutions. EPs have been extensively studied in the field of photonics, as they could provide unprecedented sensitivities [25–30].

As non-Hermitian systems have complex energies, we cannot apply the theory of non-Abelian topological charges and the Euler classes for multiband systems discussed (Sec. 2 and Sec. 3) where real energies are assumed. Furthermore, the extension to complex eigenvalues gives rise to complex shape of bands and degeneracies, making the physics richer and more intriguing but at the same time making it challenging to grasp the physics due to its complexity.

In this section, we first look at the topology of energy bands in non-Hermitian systems. Second, we explain how their topology can be described in terms of the vorticity of EPs and the braid groups. Then, we will discuss how the braid topology is related to non-Abelian properties. Lastly, we review recent works that demonstrate the braiding using photonic systems.

4.2. Description of non-Hermitian system

Non-Hermiticity is typically introduced through non-reciprocal hopping terms or gain/loss terms in Hamiltonians. Then, an eigenvalue equation can be formulated using different boundary conditions: periodic boundary conditions (PBCs) for an infinite array of atoms (or resonators for optical structures) and open boundary conditions (OBCs) for a finite array of atoms. With OBCs, the equation allows non-Hermitian skin effects (NHSEs) which localize the wave function at the boundaries. We encourage the readers to see other papers for more details on the skin effects. With PBCs, we build an eigenvalue equation with Bloch Hamiltonian, which means we express the wave function $\psi(x, t) = \psi_0 \exp(ikx - i\omega t)$ where k is the wave vector and ω is the angular frequency given as E/\hbar . Here, since the wavevector k is real for PBCs, the angular frequency becomes a complex number.

In condensed matter physics, the energy states present in periodic atomic potentials are called Bloch states, where electrons follow a well-defined periodic structure. In non-

Hermitian systems, in contrast, the system's eigenstates exhibit non-periodic behaviour having complex values of eigenvalues or complex wave vectors, showing temporally decaying or spatially localized profiles due to non-reciprocal hopping terms or gain/loss terms in its Hamiltonian. This implies that Bloch periodic functions fail to describe non-Hermitian systems, thus one needs to consider non-Bloch eigenstates which are not periodic. Table 1 shows the important distinction between Bloch and non-Bloch states in general. These non-Bloch states can exhibit unique topological features, like exceptional points or non-reciprocal braiding, where the system's non-Hermitian nature leads to novel phenomena not seen in Hermitian systems, such as asymmetric transport [31,32] or unidirectional edge states [33,34].

Bloch states are defined in the first BZ which is the range of the real wavevector k defined by $[-\pi/a, \pi/a]$ for a 1D periodic structure with the lattice constant a . To describe non-Bloch states which has a complex wavevector, a generalized Brillouin zone (GBZ) has been introduced by replacing k with complex wavevector \tilde{k} . As we will see in the next section, this allows us to define a non-Bloch winding number for a non-Hermitian system which is a new topological invariant [35].

Table 1. Comparison between Bloch and non-Bloch states

Property	Bloch states	non-Bloch states
System type	periodic (e.g., crystals)	non-periodic
Wave function	Bloch periodic, $\psi_k(r) = u_k(r)e^{ik \cdot r}$	irregular or localized
Symmetry	discrete translational symmetry	lack of periodicity
Energy bands	well-defined	localized edge states
Momentum	conserved	not conserved

4.3. Topological invariants of non-Hermitian systems




Figure 7. Topological invariants of Hermitian and non-Hermitian SSH lattices. (a) Winding number w defined with $\mathbf{h}(k)$ in the Hermitian SSH Hamiltonian $H = \mathbf{h}(k) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is a vector composed Pauli matrices ($\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z$). (b) The vorticity ν defined in complex energy plane for SSH Hamiltonian with non-Hermitian perturbation.

To understand topological properties of bands of non-Hermitian systems, one needs to define topological invariants. In this section, we will explain how topological invariants are defined. Let us start with 2×2 Bloch Hermitian Hamiltonian that describes two

energy bands of Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) model. Then, we will add non-reciprocal hopping terms or gain/loss terms in the Hamiltonian. This can be extended multiband system and can also be applied to momentum space or parameter spaces with a different dimension. More detailed steps can be found in Ref. [36,37].

The topological invariant of the Hermitian SSH lattice model is the winding number which means how many times the vector $\mathbf{h}(k)$ in the Hamiltonian $H = \mathbf{h}(k) \cdot \sigma$ encircles the origin as shown in Fig. 7(a). Here, σ is a vector composed Pauli matrices. This is equivalent to the Zak phase divided by 2π because the points in the circles correspond to the first BZ and the winding number is the integration of phases along the paths.

To consider the topological invariant non-Hermitian system, we can introduce non-Hermitian perturbation $\mu + i\lambda$ to the non-Hermitian SSH Hamiltonian as in Ref. [36]. This leads to complex eigenvalues and the energy spectra with PBC form closed circles as shown in Fig. 7(b). Here, we define the vorticity ν as a winding number of one energy band in the complex energy plane. The vorticity counts how many times the energy goes around a base point which can be the origin or a point in the real energy axis. Depending on whether the base point is inside the circular path or not, the winding number becomes 0 or 1. Please note that the vorticity w is defined for complex energy spectra because the winding number ν is defined for $h(k)$ which is related to eigenvectors or wave functions.

4.4. Extension to generalized Brillouin zone

So far, we have considered the topological invariants defined using Bloch states. However, one can define topological invariants based on non-Bloch states and the generalized Brillouin zone (GBZ). Here, we will follow a recent theoretical work [35] which has revealed that the braid group plays a crucial role in characterizing the topology of non-Hermitian periodic systems, where complex band energies can braid in complex momentum space. Let us consider a tight-binding lattice model that describes non-Hermitian system composed of two sublattices with non-reciprocal couplings (t^\pm) and inter-sublattice couplings ($\pm im$ and $\pm m_0/2$) as shown in Fig. 8 (a). The Bloch Hamiltonian can be written as:

$$H(k) = (t \cos k - i\Delta \sin k)\sigma_0 + (m + m_0 \sin k)\sigma_y, \quad (8)$$

where σ_0 is the 2×2 identity matrix, σ_y is the Pauli matrix and k is the real-valued Bloch wave vector. Here, t and Δ are given by the relation $t^\pm = (t \pm \Delta)/2$ and non-zero Δ gives non-Hermitian Hamiltonian. Then, the complex eigenenergies under PBC are given by $E_\pm(k) = t \cos k - i\Delta \sin k \pm |m + m_0 \sin k|$. As shown in Fig. 8 (b) and (c), the eigenenergies have the Hopf link topology for PBC and rings with two rings with two intersecting points that correspond to two exceptional points for OBC.

Now, we extend the BZ to the GBZ with the substitution $\beta = e^{i\tilde{k}}$ and complex-valued non-Bloch wave vector $\tilde{k} = k - i \ln r$ to obtain the non-Bloch Hamiltonian $H(\beta)$ from the Bloch Hamiltonian (Eq. 8). The eigenenergies of the non-Bloch bands take the form $E_\pm(\beta) = \pm m + 0.5(t - \Delta \mp im_0)\beta + 0.5(t + \Delta \pm im_0)\beta^{-1}$. Because the non-Bloch wave vector includes an imaginary component that governs the localization of bulk eigenstates, the eigenstates are localized on one edge shown in the inset of Fig. 8 showing the NHSE. For this particular system, the GBZ, C_β , is defined with the substitution $\beta = re^{ik}$ for the real part of \tilde{k} (written above), becomes a circle with a radius r , which




Figure 8. (a) Non-Hermitian model with non-reciprocal coupling t^+ and t^- for both sublattices A and B and intersublattice couplings $\pm im$ and $\pm m_0/2$ (b) Complex energy spectra $E(k)$ for PBC (green and orange solid lines) and OBC (red and blue solid lines). (c) Projected complex energy spectra PBC (green and orange solid lines) and OBC (red and blue dashed lines) The inset shows a localized mode non-Hermitian skin effect with OBC. (d) Separation of non-Bloch band $\epsilon(k)$ along the GBZ.

can be calculated as:

$$r = \sqrt{\left| \frac{(t + \Delta + im_0)}{(t - \Delta - im_0)} \right|}. \quad (9)$$

Then, one can define a non-Bloch winding number W for the non-Bloch bands,

$$W = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\oint_{c_\beta} d \ln \det \left[H(\beta) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[H(\beta)] \right] \right). \quad (10)$$

As shown in Fig. 8(b), the complex eigenenergies of non-Bloch bands along the GBZ are separable in three-dimensional (E, k) -space, even though they overlap each other in the complex energy plane. The separable non-Bloch band structures show the Hopf link phase and their phases are topologically distinct from a phase where two bands do not braid around each other (the unlink phase not shown here). The non-Bloch winding number W becomes 2 for the Hopf link phases and 0 for the trivial unlink phase. Here, it is clear that the braiding of two energy bands, which also corresponds to Hopf link and unlink, can distinguish the topology of two bands in 1D momentum space. Further, this idea can be extended to the topology of more than two bands in non-Hermitian periodic systems, where complex energies can braid in momentum space [38]. We will look into it in more detail in the following section.

Before that, let us review some work related to the GBZ. We have mentioned the non-Bloch band theory that has been developed for 1D non-Hermitian quantum systems with open boundary conditions (OBC) in Ref. [35], and it also has been verified across various

experimental platforms like in electrical and ultracold systems [39–42]. By replacing the conventional BZ, the theory’s GBZ accounts for the NHSE and the breakdown of bulk-boundary correspondence [43,44]. Further extensions of this theory have been made to higher dimensions and scale-free localization scenarios (see Ref. [45]). Despite recent work on non-Bloch band topology under OBC [35], the general definition and properties remain unclear, particularly for generic non-Hermitian systems with multiple non-Bloch bands, each with its own GBZ, referred to as sub-GBZs [46–48]. A continuity criterion was proposed in Ref. [49] to separate the sub-GBZs and non-Bloch bands of non-Hermitian multiband quantum systems under OBC. This enabled the construction of their homotopic characterizations, including braiding topology, total vorticity over the OBC bands, and intermediate topological transitions marked by emergent EPs. Please note that these conclusions were demonstrated in a non-Hermitian two-band model meaning they form the Braid group \mathcal{B}_2 which is abelian. In the same work, it was found that non-Hermitian open-boundary bands undergo part exchanges when transitioning through specific EPs, a phenomenon also observed in non-Hermitian Bloch bands, previously unidentified. The analysis does not rely on symmetries, though their role in further topological classifications of non-Bloch bands presents an interesting direction for future research.

4.5. Braiding of energy bands

In mathematics, the terms “braid group” and “braids” were first coined by Artin in 1925 [50]. The theory of braid group is closely related to knot theory, for example, the non-Abelian group \mathcal{B}_3 , which considers three strands, is isomorphic to the knot group of the trefoil knot. The mathematical theory of knots has a wide range of applications from physics [51,52] to biology [53]. While the braid group \mathcal{B}_2 , which considers two strands, is Abelian, the braid groups \mathcal{B}_n can be non-Abelian when the number of strands n is larger than two [54]. This is similar to the BWZ phase and the frame rotation charges described in Chapter 2 which requires multibands to have non-Abelian properties. However, here we consider the braiding of the energy bands in the momentum space or the other parameter spaces instead of the band degeneracies between different bands.

In 2021, K. Wang et al. [55] experimentally demonstrated a tight-binding lattice model capable of realizing an arbitrary number of braiding events involving two energy bands with in a synthetic dimension. The Hamiltonian for this system is expressed in the following form:

$$H^{(m)} = \sum_n g \mathbf{a}_n^\dagger \mathbf{b}_n + g \mathbf{b}_n^\dagger \mathbf{b}_n - i\gamma \mathbf{a}_n^\dagger \mathbf{a}_n + \kappa \mathbf{a}_{n+1}^\dagger \mathbf{a}_n + \kappa \mathbf{a}_n^\dagger \mathbf{a}_{n+1} + (C - \Delta) \mathbf{a}_{n+m}^\dagger \mathbf{a}_n + (C + \Delta) \mathbf{a}_n^\dagger \mathbf{a}_{n+m}, \quad (11)$$

where $\mathbf{a}_n^\dagger(\mathbf{a}_n)$ and $\mathbf{b}_n^\dagger(\mathbf{b}_n)$ denote the creation (annihilation) operators of the n -th lattice site in sublattices a and b , respectively. The parameters $\kappa, g, C \pm \Delta \in \mathbb{R}$ denote coupling constants, and $\gamma > 0$ represents the additional loss rate in sublattice a , exceeding the loss rate in sublattice b . This model includes nearest-neighbor coupling, as well as coupling between the m -th neighbors within sublattice a , as shown in Fig. 9(a). No coupling exists between the sites on sublattice b .

The braiding of the two non-Hermitian bands shown in Fig. 9(b) gives a typical description of braiding in non-Hermitian systems with first and higher order couplings with different m . To experimentally demonstrate the braiding of two energy bands,

they used two coupled ring resonators along with a phase modulator and an amplitude modulator (see Fig. 9(c)), which allows for a 1D periodic lattice in the frequency domain with the designed coupling coefficients.




Figure 9. Braiding of two bands in synthetic dimension (a) Tight-binding model with first-nearest coupling and second-nearest coupling (needs to be checked). (b) Energy braiding for second-order coupling $m = 2$ (left) and third order coupling $m = 3$ (right) corresponding to Hopf link and trefoil respectively. (c) Schematic of experimental setup with two coupled ring resonators and phase and amplitude modulator to control the coupling between different resonance frequencies which are used for a lattice in the synthetic dimension.

4.6. Non-Hermitian nodal-line semimetals

In Section 3, we introduced non-Abelian topological charges of multiple bands in multi-gap systems in three-dimensional momentum space which have real eigenvalues with line degeneracies (nodal lines and nodal rings) due to the \mathcal{PT} symmetry. Recently, Wang et al. [56] showed that a non-Hermitian perturbation, i.e., gain-and-loss perturbation, can split one nodal ring into two exceptional rings (ERs), and, with increasing perturbation strength, some of the ERs may shrink and eventually vanish. Through dimensional reduction, two distinct topological numbers, the vorticity and the winding numbers, were defined to describe the topology of the bulk bands. By comparing the band structures under periodic boundary conditions (PBCs) and open boundary conditions (OBCs), they find that the conventional bulk-surface correspondence in nodal-line semimetals breaks down in the non-Hermitian case.

Yang et al. [57] proposed a simple method to realize Hopf link exceptional line (EL) semimetals by applying non-Hermitian perturbations to nodal-line semimetals. The Fermi surfaces (FSs) of the Hopf-link EL semimetals exhibit a twisting structure, with the boundaries forming a Hopf link. The linking number is constructed from a dual Hermitian Hamiltonian, whose nodal lines share the same topology as the ELs. The authors show that the Hopf-link phase is robust and can be characterized by the winding number of the non-Hermitian perturbations along the nodal line.

The main assumption in these works is that the momentum space is kept real but the energy eigenvalues change from real numbers to complex number allowing for an exceptional point which divides two regimes of purely real or purely imaginary energy eigenvalues. Finite systems with those gain-loss perturbations, modeled by the lattice with the OBC, can support an edge mode localized at the boundary due to the NHSE.

In 2021, Ezawa [45] proposed a non-Hermitian non-Abelian topological insulator using a two-band model in one dimension by imposing \mathcal{PT} symmetry. In the Hermitian limit,

the two-band model describes a real-line-gap topological insulator with real eigenvalues. However, as the non-Hermitian term increases, the system undergoes \mathcal{PT} symmetry breaking and makes a transition into an imaginary-line-gap topological insulator with flat bulk bands. Specifically, by introducing a bulk-bending term, the author demonstrated two phase transitions, leading to a metallic phase with complex eigenvalues. With a circuit model, he also showed that these models can be realized in electric circuits, where \mathcal{PT} symmetry breaking and topological edge states can be detected by measuring impedance resonance.

4.7. Photonic non-Abelian braid monopole

Monopoles and braids represent fascinating yet elusive features in the fundamental theories of light and matter. For example, in lattice field theory, monopoles associated with band-structure degeneracies are governed by the well-known no-go (Fermion doubling) theorem [58,59]. According to the no-go theorem, the charges carried by particles are generally balanced by their counterparts, thereby preventing the formation of monopoles. This principle is believed to hold universally, applying to both closed Hermitian systems and open non-Hermitian systems. Recently, Wang et al. [60] showed that the traditional form of this theorem does not apply to non-Abelian charges hence non-Abelian braid topology in non-Hermitian multi-band systems offers a striking exception by creating monopoles, as shown in Fig. 10(a),(b). They exploit this exception and, for the first time, experimentally realize a monopole degeneracy in a non-Hermitian three-band system, manifesting as a single third-order exceptional point. To experimentally




Figure 10. Theoretical results for (a) real and (b) imaginary parts of the spectrum. The red dot marks the non-Abelian monopole. The solid lines depict the Fermi arcs of the real spectral components and the i -Fermi arcs of the imaginary components, respectively. The dashed lines are their projections onto the k_x - k_y plane. The path γ encircles the monopole and has $k_x = k_y = -\pi$ as its base point. (c) Experimental setup for the observation of the non-Abelian Monopole. Generate photon pairs, consisting of trigger and signal photon, via type-II spontaneous parametric down-conversion through a periodically poled potassium titanyl phosphate (PPKTP) crystal. Reprinted from Ref. [60].

implement the non-Abelian monopoles, one needs a dissipative system which is non-

Hermitian. Band crossings in such systems are generically two-fold exceptional points (EP2s) [61,62]. The non-Abelian nature of these EP2s emerges from the complex-valued “energies”, which reflect the dissipation inherent in the underlying systems. These energies braid around each other near the EP2s, assigning a braid topological invariant to each of them. As we briefly mentioned in Section 4.5, these braids become non-Abelian for systems with more than two bands [5,55,63], enabling the possibility of bypassing fermion-doubling constraints and constructing braid-protected monopoles in multi-band dissipative systems [39]. While dissipation is a common feature in photonic, mechanical, and electrical circuit systems, the observation and manipulation of monopoles and non-Abelian phenomena remain challenging due to the lack of a suitably tunable dissipative system.

In Ref. [60], the authors report the experimental creation and manipulation of a non-Abelian monopole and EP2s within a single-photon interferometric network Fig. 10(c), where they control and observe the EP2s, which either results in gap-opening or a non-Abelian monopole depending on the topology of their preceding paths. They further demonstrate that non-Hermitian phase transitions are induced by the movement of EP2s along these paths, providing clear evidence of the non-Abelian nature of the setup. Unlike non-Abelian phenomena in many-body systems or Hermitian non-interacting systems, their setup does not rely on finely tuned interactions or additional symmetries. Instead, it capitalizes on the topological robustness of braids.

5. Non-Abelian holonomy in coupled optical waveguides

As we have seen so far, the introduction of non-Abelian topological charges of multiple photonic bands gives us useful insight on the pair creation and annihilation of bands. To consider this non-Abelian band topology, we have considered three-dimensional structures because the nodal lines are present in three-dimensional momentum space. However, we can relax this constraint by having one extra dimension coming from the time or frequency in two-dimensional structures. In optics, for example, the non-Abelian property (non-commutativity) can be observed for two-dimensional array of coupled optical waveguide where the propagation direction is considered as one extra dimension. Indeed, an array of coupled optical waveguides has been an excellent platform for experimental demonstration of the topological effects of photons, for example, edge states in photonic graphene [64]. Further, we can consider non-Abelian holonomy of optical propagation in a finite number of waveguide arrays which do not have periodicity in any direction but the optical modes transform while propagating along the waveguides due to a modulated coupling coefficients. In this section, we will review recent work on non-Abelian effects in optical systems which uses optical waveguide.

In 2019, Kremer et al. demonstrated non-Abelian holonomy with coupled waveguides where they considered geometric phases of the symmetry group $U(2)$ and $U(3)$ [67]. More recently, V. Neef et al. employed an experimental setup made of coupled waveguides to realize non-Abelian quantum holonomy of the symmetry group $U(3)$ with closed path in the parameters space composed of the coupling coefficients) [4]. As shown in Fig. 11 (a), the coupling coefficients vary along the propagation direction while the input port (front) and output port (back) have the same geometry with the three identical coupling coefficients making the initial and final states of photons defined based on the $U(3)$ dark state triplets. Then, the absolute value of Wilson loop for the optical path becomes non-integer proving the adiabatic change is non-Abelian. Interestingly, they used indistinguishable photons to show that the same concept works for photons




Figure 11. (a) Non-Abelian holonomy in coupled waveguides. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [4] Copyright 2022 Springer Nature. (b) Non-Abelian transport using Thouless pumping. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [65] Copyright 2022 Springer Nature. (c) Non-Abelian dissipative coupling. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [66] Copyright 2023 Springer Nature.

demonstrating the non-Abelian quantum holonomy.

The idea of holonomy with adiabatic change can be applied to a one-dimensional periodic optical waveguide array with periodic modulation of coupling in the propagation direction. The kind of optical systems implement the Thouless pumping for photons and the process becomes non-Abelian, similarly to the quantum holonomy with four coupled waveguides [68]. Recently, non-Abelian Thouless pumping has been shown experimentally using photonic waveguides in the Lieb lattice, as shown in Fig. 11(b) [65].

Parto et al. [66] recently demonstrated non-Abelian effects in non-Hermitian systems with dissipative couplings. They have implemented the dissipatively coupled resonators using time-multiplexed photonic optical cavities. Then they compared the experimental results with theoretical calculations based on the Lindblad equation and matrix-valued modified Wilson lines (see Fig. 11(c)).

6. Summary and outlook

In summary, we have introduced the basic concepts of non-Abelian topological charges of nodal lines in the three-dimensional momentum space, and have reviewed the recent numerical and experimental demonstration of these non-Abelian nodal lines using three-

dimensional periodic structures such as metamaterials and photonic crystals. Indeed, the identification of non-Abelian topological charges using the frame rotation charges represented by quaternion numbers or the Euler class allows us to judge the stability of nodal lines, proving that they are very important mathematical values for the analysis of multigap topology. Then, we reviewed recent theoretical efforts to study non-Abelian nature in non-Hermitian systems, which may not have periodic Bloch modes but instead localized modes called non-Bloch modes due to non-reciprocal couplings and gain/loss inclusion. The energy spectrum in different number of band systems has been analyzed in complex frequency - real wavevector space showing braiding of the bands and also in real frequency and complex wavevector space where the solutions become non-Bloch modes. Finally, we looked at the non-Abelian holonomy in both a finite number of coupled optical waveguides and one-dimensional periodic waveguides. The modulated coupling approach shows the possibility of applying the non-Abelian holonomy to quantum optics and also using Thouless pumping to control the photon propagation.

The use of the non-Abelian group for topological invariants allows us to describe the topology of multigap systems. As we have seen so far, for the last decade, the theory of non-Abelian groups has accelerated the study of intriguing and rich physics in photonic systems. Although there remain many challenges and unanswered questions, for example, what would be the directly observable physical consequence of having non-Abelian topological charges? Also, most of the study on non-Abelian topological charges in non-Hermitian systems remains at the stage of theoretical model. Nevertheless, we believe there will be interesting experimental demonstrations using active optical systems such as lasers or optical ring resonators with amplitude and phase modulators. Also, acoustic metamaterial could be a good system to show the non-Abelian topology in non-Hermitian system due to its simplicity of defining structures and measurements. With the promising development on this topic, we believe that the theoretical study summarized in this review will be a strong building block for future research.

References

- [1] Q. S. Wu, A. A. Soluyanov, and T. Bzdušek, “Non-abelian band topology in noninteracting metals,” *Science*, vol. 365, no. 6459, pp. 1273–1277, 2019.
- [2] H. Park and S. Lee, “Double gyroids for frequency-isolated weyl points in the visible regime and interference lithographic design,” *ACS Photonics*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 1577–1585, 2020.
- [3] H. Park, W. Gao, X. Zhang, and S. S. Oh, “Nodal lines in momentum space: topological invariants and recent realizations in photonic and other systems,” *Nanophotonics*, vol. 11, pp. 2779–2801, June 2022.
- [4] V. Neef, J. Pinske, F. Klauck, L. Teuber, M. Kremer, M. Ehrhardt, M. Heinrich, S. Scheel, and A. Szameit, “Three-dimensional non-abelian quantum holonomy,” *Nat. Phys.*, vol. 19, pp. 30–34, Dec. 2022.
- [5] Z. Li and R. S. K. Mong, “Homotopical characterization of non-hermitian band structures,” *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 103, p. 155129, Apr 2021.
- [6] Y. Yang, B. Yang, G. Ma, J. Li, S. Zhang, and C. T. Chan, “Non-Abelian physics in light and sound,” *Science*, vol. 383, p. eadf9621, Feb. 2024.
- [7] H. Park, S. Wong, A. Bouhon, R.-J. Slager, and S. S. Oh, “Topological phase transitions of non-abelian charged nodal lines in spring-mass systems,” *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 105, p. 214108, Jun 2022.
- [8] M. V. Berry, “Quantal phase factors accompanying adiabatic changes,” *Proceedings of*

- the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, vol. 392, no. 1802, pp. 45–57, 1984.
- [9] F. Wilczek and A. Zee, “Appearance of gauge structure in simple dynamical systems,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 52, pp. 2111–2114, 1984.
 - [10] A. Tiwari and T. Bzdusek, “Non-abelian topology of nodal-line rings in \mathcal{PT} -symmetric systems,” *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 101, p. 195130, May 2020.
 - [11] H. Park and S. S. Oh, “Sign freedom of non-abelian topological charges in phononic and photonic topological semimetals,” *New Journal of Physics*, vol. 24, p. 053042, may 2022.
 - [12] A. Bouhon, Q. Wu, R.-J. Slager, H. Weng, O. V. Yazyev, and T. Bzdušek, “Non-abelian reciprocal braiding of weyl points and its manifestation in zrte,” *Nature Physics*, vol. 16, no. 11, pp. 1137–1143, 2020.
 - [13] Z. Yang, K. Zhang, C. Fang, and J. Hu, “Non-hermitian bulk-boundary correspondence and auxiliary generalized brillouin zone theory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 125, p. 226402, 27 Nov. 2020.
 - [14] D. Wang, Y. Wu, Z. Q. Zhang, and C. T. Chan, “Non-abelian frame charge flow in photonic media,” *Phys. Rev. X*, vol. 13, p. 021024, May 2023.
 - [15] D. Wang, B. Yang, M. Wang, R.-Y. Zhang, X. Li, Z. Q. Zhang, S. Zhang, and C. T. Chan, “Observation of non-abelian charged nodes linking nonadjacent gaps,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 129, p. 263604, Dec 2022.
 - [16] W. Gao, B. Yang, B. Tremain, H. Liu, Q. Guo, L. Xia, A. P. Hibbins, and S. Zhang, “Experimental observation of photonic nodal line degeneracies in metacrystals,” *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 950, 2018.
 - [17] Q. Yan, R. Liu, Z. Yan, B. Liu, H. Chen, Z. Wang, and L. Lu, “Experimental discovery of nodal chains,” *Nature Physics*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 461–464, 2018.
 - [18] L. Lu, L. Fu, J. D. Joannopoulos, and M. Soljačić, “Weyl points and line nodes in gyroid photonic crystals,” *Nat. Photonics*, vol. 7, pp. 294–299, Apr. 2013.
 - [19] H. Park, S. Wong, X. Zhang, and S. S. Oh, “Non-abelian charged nodal links in a dielectric photonic crystal,” *ACS Photonics*, vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 2746–2754, 2021.
 - [20] H. Park, A. Jones, M. Kim, and S. S. Oh, “Topological phase transition and surface states in a non-abelian charged nodal line photonic crystal,” *Nanophotonics*, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 1079–1089, 2024.
 - [21] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, “Real spectra in non-hermitian hamiltonians having \mathcal{PT} symmetry,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 80, no. 24, p. 5243, 1998.
 - [22] B. Peng, Ş. K. Özdemir, F. Lei, F. Monifi, M. Gianfreda, G. L. Long, S. Fan, F. Nori, C. M. Bender, and L. Yang, “Parity–time-symmetric whispering-gallery microcavities,” *Nat. Phys.*, vol. 10, pp. 394–398, 6 Apr. 2014.
 - [23] A. Regensburger, C. Bersch, M.-A. Miri, G. Onishchukov, D. N. Christodoulides, and U. Peschel, “Parity–time synthetic photonic lattices,” *Nature*, vol. 488, no. 7410, pp. 167–171, 2012.
 - [24] Ş. K. Özdemir, S. Rotter, F. Nori, and L. Yang, “Parity-time symmetry and exceptional points in photonics,” *Nat. Mater.*, vol. 18, pp. 783–798, Aug. 2019.
 - [25] H. Hodaei, M.-A. Miri, M. Heinrich, D. N. Christodoulides, and M. Khajavikhan, “Parity-time – symmetric microring lasers,” *Science*, vol. 346, no. 6212, p. 975, 2014.
 - [26] J. Wiersig, “Enhancing the sensitivity of frequency and energy splitting detection by using exceptional points: Application to microcavity sensors for single-particle detection,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 112, no. 20, p. 203901, 2014.
 - [27] W. Chen, Ş. K. Özdemir, G. Zhao, J. Wiersig, and L. Yang, “Exceptional points enhance sensing in an optical microcavity,” *Nature*, vol. 548, no. 7666, pp. 192–195, 2017.
 - [28] W. Langbein, “No exceptional precision of exceptional-point sensors,” *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 98, no. 2, p. 023805, 2018.
 - [29] K. G. Makris, “Transient growth and dissipative exceptional points,” *Phys. Rev. E.*, vol. 104, p. 054218, Nov. 2021.
 - [30] B. Peng, A. Bouhon, B. Monserrat, and R.-J. Slager, “Phonons as a platform for non-abelian braiding and its manifestation in layered silicates,” *Nature Communications*,

- vol. 13, no. 1, p. 423, 2022.
- [31] S. Longhi, “Non-hermitian bidirectional robust transport,” *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 95, p. 014201, Jan 2017.
 - [32] S. Longhi, D. Gatti, and G. D. Valle, “Robust light transport in non-hermitian photonic lattices,” *Scientific Reports*, vol. 5, p. 13376, Aug 2015.
 - [33] L. Jin, “Topological phases and edge states in a non-hermitian trimerized optical lattice,” *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 96, p. 032103, Sep 2017.
 - [34] P. Gao, M. Willatzen, and J. Christensen, “Anomalous topological edge states in non-hermitian piezophononic media,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 125, p. 206402, Nov 2020.
 - [35] Y. Li, X. Ji, Y. Chen, X. Yan, and X. Yang, “Topological energy braiding of non-bloch bands,” *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 106, p. 195425, Nov 2022.
 - [36] A. Ghatak and T. Das, “New topological invariants in non-hermitian systems,” *J. Phys. Condens. Matter*, vol. 31, p. 263001, 3 July 2019.
 - [37] J.-W. Ryu, J.-H. Han, C.-H. Yi, M. J. Park, and H. C. Park, “Exceptional classifications of non-hermitian systems,” *Commun. Phys.*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 109, 2024.
 - [38] C. C. Wojcik, X.-Q. Sun, T. c. v. Bzdušek, and S. Fan, “Homotopy characterization of non-hermitian hamiltonians,” *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 101, p. 205417, May 2020.
 - [39] J. L. K. König, K. Yang, J. C. Budich, and E. J. Bergholtz, “Braid-protected topological band structures with unpaired exceptional points,” *Phys. Rev. Res.*, vol. 5, p. L042010, Oct 2023.
 - [40] L. Xiao, T. Deng, K. Wang, G. Zhu, Z. Wang, W. Yi, and P. Xue, “Non-hermitian bulk–boundary correspondence in quantum dynamics,” *Nature Physics*, vol. 16, pp. 761–766, Jul 2020.
 - [41] A. Stegmaier, S. Imhof, T. Helbig, T. Hofmann, C. H. Lee, M. Kremer, A. Fritzsche, T. Feichtner, S. Klemmt, S. Höfling, I. Boettcher, I. C. Fulga, L. Ma, O. G. Schmidt, M. Greiter, T. Kiessling, A. Szameit, and R. Thomale, “Topological defect engineering and \mathcal{PT} symmetry in non-hermitian electrical circuits,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 126, p. 215302, May 2021.
 - [42] T. Helbig, T. Hofmann, S. Imhof, M. Abdelghany, T. Kiessling, L. W. Molenkamp, C. H. Lee, A. Szameit, M. Greiter, and R. Thomale, “Generalized bulk–boundary correspondence in non-hermitian topoelectrical circuits,” *Nature Physics*, vol. 16, pp. 747–750, Jul 2020.
 - [43] M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, “Colloquium: Topological insulators,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, vol. 82, pp. 3045–3067, Nov 2010.
 - [44] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, “Topological insulators and superconductors,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, vol. 83, pp. 1057–1110, Oct 2011.
 - [45] M. Ezawa, “Non-hermitian non-abelian topological insulators with \mathcal{PT} symmetry,” *Phys. Rev. Res.*, vol. 3, p. 043006, Oct 2021.
 - [46] Z. Yang, K. Zhang, C. Fang, and J. Hu, “Non-hermitian bulk–boundary correspondence and auxiliary generalized brillouin zone theory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 125, p. 226402, Nov 2020.
 - [47] Y. Fu and S. Wan, “Degeneracy and defectiveness in non-hermitian systems with open boundary,” *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 105, p. 075420, Feb 2022.
 - [48] Y. Fu and Y. Zhang, “Anatomy of open-boundary bulk in multiband non-hermitian systems,” *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 107, p. 115412, Mar 2023.
 - [49] Y. Fu and Y. Zhang, “Braiding topology of non-hermitian open-boundary bands,” *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 110, p. L121401, Sep 2024.
 - [50] W. Magnus, “Braid groups: A survey,” in *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on the Theory of Groups*, vol. 372, pp. 463 – 487, 1974.
 - [51] J. Leach, M. R. Dennis, J. Courtial, and M. J. Padgett, “Knotted threads of darkness,” *Nature*, vol. 432, pp. 165–165, Nov 2004.
 - [52] H. Kedia, I. Bialynicki-Birula, D. Peralta-Salas, and W. T. M. Irvine, “Tying knots in light fields,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 111, p. 150404, Oct 2013.
 - [53] K. Shimokawa, K. Ishihara, I. Grainge, D. J. Sherratt, and M. Vazquez, “FtsK-dependent

- XerCD-dif recombination unlinks replication catenanes in a stepwise manner,” *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, vol. 110, pp. 20906–20911, 24 Dec. 2013.
- [54] E. Artin, “Theory of braids,” *Ann. Math.*, vol. 48, pp. 101–126, 1947.
- [55] K. Wang, A. Dutt, C. C. Wojcik, and S. Fan, “Topological complex-energy braiding of non-hermitian bands,” *Nature*, vol. 598, pp. 59–64, Oct 2021.
- [56] H. Wang, J. Ruan, and H. Zhang, “Non-hermitian nodal-line semimetals with an anomalous bulk-boundary correspondence,” *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 99, p. 075130, Feb 2019.
- [57] Z. Yang and J. Hu, “Non-hermitian hopf-link exceptional line semimetals,” *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 99, p. 081102, Feb 2019.
- [58] H. Nielsen and M. Ninomiya, “Absence of neutrinos on a lattice: (i). proof by homotopy theory,” *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 185, no. 1, pp. 20–40, 1981.
- [59] H. Nielsen and M. Ninomiya, “Absence of neutrinos on a lattice: (ii). intuitive topological proof,” *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 193, no. 1, pp. 173–194, 1981.
- [60] K. Wang, J. L. K. König, K. Yang, L. Xiao, W. Yi, E. J. Bergholtz, and P. Xue, “Photonic non-abelian braid monopole,” *arXiv [cond-mat.mes-hall]*, 10 Oct. 2024.
- [61] M. V. Berry, “Physics of nonhermitian degeneracies,” *Czechoslovak Journal of Physics*, vol. 54, pp. 1039–1047, Oct 2004.
- [62] W. D. Heiss, “The physics of exceptional points,” *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, vol. 45, p. 444016, oct 2012.
- [63] H. Hu and E. Zhao, “Knots and non-hermitian bloch bands,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 126, p. 010401, Jan 2021.
- [64] Y. Plotnik, M. C. Rechtsman, D. Song, M. Heinrich, J. M. Zeuner, S. Nolte, Y. Lumer, N. Malkova, J. Xu, A. Szameit, Z. Chen, and M. Segev, “Observation of unconventional edge states in ‘photonic graphene’,” *Nat. Mater.*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 57–62, 2014.
- [65] Y.-K. Sun, X.-L. Zhang, F. Yu, Z.-N. Tian, Q.-D. Chen, and H.-B. Sun, “Non-abelian thouless pumping in photonic waveguides,” *Nat. Phys.*, vol. 18, pp. 1080–1085, July 2022.
- [66] M. Parto, C. Leefmans, J. Williams, F. Nori, and A. Marandi, “Non-abelian effects in dissipative photonic topological lattices,” *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 14, p. 1440, Mar. 2023.
- [67] M. Kremer, L. Teuber, A. Szameit, and S. Scheel, “Optimal design strategy for non-abelian geometric phases using abelian gauge fields based on quantum metric,” *Phys. Rev. Res.*, vol. 1, p. 033117, 20 Nov. 2019.
- [68] V. Brosco, L. Pilozzi, R. Fazio, and C. Conti, “Non-abelian thouless pumping in a photonic lattice,” *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 103, p. 063518, 24 June 2021.