

ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/177186/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Howells, Laura, Leighton, Paul, Thomas, Kim S., Collier, Fiona, Gibbons, Angela, Harris, Ceri, Hood, Kerry, Riaz, Muhammad, Rodrigues, Jeremy, Stanton, Helen, Thomas-Jones, Emma and Ingram, John R. 2025. A qualitative interview study exploring patient views and experiences of treatment for hidradenitis suppurativa in the UK. British Journal of Dermatology, ljaf046. 10.1093/bjd/ljaf046

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bjd/ljaf046

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



1 A qualitative interview study exploring patient views and experiences of treatment for

2 hidradenitis suppurativa in the UK

3 Running head: Patient views of treatment for hidradenitis suppurativa

4

- 5 Laura Howells, ¹ Paul Leighton, ¹ Kim S Thomas, ¹ Fiona Collier, ² Angela Gibbons, ³ Ceri Harris, ³ Kerry Hood, ²⁴
- 6 Muhammad Riaz,² Jeremy Rodrigues,^{5,6} Helen Stanton,² Emma Thomas-Jones² and John R Ingram⁷

7

- 8 1 Centre of Evidence Based Dermatology, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham,
- 9 UK
- 10 2 NHS Forth Valley, Stirling, UK
- 11 3 Public Contributors
- 4. Centre for Trials Research, College of Biomedical & Life Sciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK
- 13 5 Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, University of Warwick, Warwick, UK
- 14 6 Dept of Plastic Surgery, Stoke Mandeville Hospital, Buckinghamshire Healthcare Trust, Aylesbury, UK
- 15 7 Division of Infection & Immunity, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

16

- 17 Corresponding author: Laura Howells
- 18 Email: laura.howells1@nottingham.ac.uk

19

- 20 https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4157-7394 (Laura Howells)
- 21 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5208-0274 (Paul Leighton)
- 22 <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7785-7465</u> (Kim S Thomas)
- 23 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5271-9170 (Fiona Collier)
- 24 <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5285-4954</u> (Angela Gibbons)
- 25 https://ordid.org/0000-0002-0462-7789 (Ceri Harris)
- 26 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5268-8631 (Kerry Hood)
- 27 <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5512-1745</u> (Muhammad Riaz)
- 28 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9347-5026 (Jeremy Rodrigues)
- 29 https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0197-3667 (Helen Stanton)
- 30 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7716-2786 (Emma Thomas-Jones)
- 31 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5257-1142 (John R Ingram)

© The Author(s) 2025. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of British Association of Dermatologists. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1	
2	Acknowledgements: Thank you to all the people who took part in the interviews. We would like to
3	acknowledge input from the wider THESEUS study team including Rachel Howes, Judith Evans, Rebecca
4	Canning-Jones and Janine Bates. We would also like to acknowledge the UK Dermatology Trials Network
5	(UKDCTN) and the Clinical Research Network (CRN) for their support in the THESEUS research study.
6	Funding sources: The THESEUS study is funded by the NIHR Health Technology Assessment (HTA) grant
7	17/98/01. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the
8	Department of Health and Social Care.
9	Conflicts of interest: LH has received consultancy fees from the University of Oxford for an educational
10	grant funded by Pfizer, unrelated to the submitted work. JRI receives a stipend as Editor-in-Chief of the
11	British Journal of Dermatology and an authorship honorarium from UpToDate. He is a consultant for
12	Boehringer Ingelheim, ChemoCentryx, Citryll, Novartis and UCB Pharma and has served on advisory
13	boards for Insmed, Kymera The rapeutics and Viela Bio. He is co-copyright holder of HiSQOL, Investigator and Viela Bio. He is co-copyright holder of HiSQOL and Viela Bio. He is co-copyright holder of HiSQOL and Viela Bio. He is co-copyright holder of HiSQOL and Viela Bio. He is co-copyright holder of HiSQOL and Viela Bio. He is co-copyright holder of HiSQOL and Viela Bio. He is co-copyright holder of HiSQOL and Viela Bio. He is co-copyright holder of HiSQOL and HiSQOL and
14	${\sf GlobalAssessmentandPatientGlobalAssessmentinstruments.}\ for {\sf HS.Hisdepartmentreceivesincome}$
15	from copyright of the Dermatology Life Quality Instrument (DLQI) and related instruments. KH was a
16	member of the NIHR HTA General Committee from 2016-22. AG is patient advisory board member for
17	Novartis and UCB. CH is a patient advisory board member for Norvartis. FC is a consultant for UCB
18	Pharma from February 2023, and paid an honorarium by British Dermatology Nursing Group to take part
19	in HS Consensus meeting in September 2022.
20	Data availability: Please contact the study team for enquires about data sharing.
21	Ethics statement: The Wales Research Ethics Committee 4 provided ethical approval for THESEUS on 26
22	September 2019, reference number 19/WA/0263.
23	Patient consent: Not applicable.
24	
25	
26	What is already known about this topic?

What is already known about this topic?

27

28

29

30

31 32

- Hidradenitis suppurativa is a chronic skin condition that can be treated medically or surgically, but treatment options in the UK have limited evidence.
- Little is known about patient views and experiences of hidradenitis suppurativa treatments.

What does this study add?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

 This study provides insight into patient views and experiences of medical and surgical treatments for hidradenitis suppurativa, including doxycycline, clindamycin and rifamycin, laser treatment, deroofing, and conventional surgery.

What are the clinical implications of this work?

- At the micro level, this study has implications for how patient preferences should be elicited during decision making conversations, and emphasises the need for personalised therapy.
- At the macro level, this study suggests research to explore the inclusion of laser and deroofing
 as treatments is welcomed by patients. Guidelines may also need to be flexible to personalised
 therapy to meet individual needs.

Abstract

- 14 **Background:** Hidradenitis suppurativa (HS) is a long-term skin condition where evidence for
- management after first line treatment fails is limited, and practice varies across the UK. Both medical
- 16 and surgical treatment options are potential avenues for treatment. Furthermore, patient perspectives
- 17 on HS treatments have received little attention in research to date.
- 18 **Aim:** To explore patients' views and experiences of treatment for HS to inform clinical care.
- 19 Methods: A nested qualitative study within a prospective cohort study. Interviews with 35 participants
- 20 were completed by telephone. Purposive sampling was undertaken. Framework analysis was used to
- 21 develop themes.
- 22 **Results:** *Views on treatments:* Past experiences and knowledge informed patient beliefs and whether an
- 23 individual felt a treatment option was appropriate or a good 'fit' for them at a specific moment in time.
- 24 Healthcare professional recommendations can be influential on both patient's views and which
- 25 \treatment option they ultimately receive. Experiences of treatments: There were positive experiences
- 26 across all treatment types used within the study. Negative experiences included side effects of
- 27 medications, lack of efficacy, delays to procedures, and burden of wound care. However, even when
- 28 personal experiences were not wholly positive for an individual, participants often believed the same
- 29 treatment may potentially help others with HS, due to the importance placed on personalisation of
- 30 treatment.

1 2	Conclusions: This paper has implications for how healthcare professionals discuss treatment options with people with HS. A 'one size fits all' approach is inappropriate, and shared decision making that
3	elicits patient beliefs and preferences is crucial.
4 5	
6	Background
7 8 9 10	Hidradenitis suppurativa (HS) is a chronic condition characterised by abscesses that typically occur around flexures 1 . Prevalence estimates are 0.05% to 4.1% 2 . HS usually develops in young adulthood, but diagnosis delays are estimated at 7.2 years, so appropriate treatment is not always initiated at onset 2,3 .
11 12 13 14	Treatment options for HS include modifications to health behaviours (e.g., smoking cessation and weight reduction), pharmacological treatments (e.g., oral antibiotics) and surgical (e.g., surgery to remove scarring) ⁴ . HS management within UK healthcare settings is variable and research to provide evidence of which treatment options to use when first line treatment fails is a priority ^{4,5} .
15 16 17 18 19	To ensure patient-centred care, it is important that patient's perspectives are considered in the design of healthcare and research. The James Lind Alliance Priority Setting Partnership, which saw patients with HS, carers and clinicians, work together to prioritise HS research, identified that a high priority question for HS research was "What is the impact of hidradenitis suppurativa and the treatments on people with hidradenitis suppurativa (physical, psychological, financial, social, quality of life)?" ⁶ .
20 21 22	A systematic review of qualitative literature included studies that primarily explored the physical, psychological, and social impacts of HS on individuals ⁷ . There were relatively few studies on patient views of healthcare and treatment.
23 24 25	This study aimed to explore patients' views and experiences of treatment for hidradenitis suppurativa in a UK context.
26	Methods
27	Study Design
28 29 30	This was an interview study nested within a prospective cohort study. It was driven by the need for recommendations for future research into treatments for HS. Research findings with a focus on improving the design of future clinical trials were included in full in the THESEUS HTA funding report and

are published elsewhere8. This article uses the same study data but distils key findings that offer

31

32

pertinent insight to inform clinical practice.

2	THESEUS was a prospective cohort study which aimed to understand how HS treatments are currently
3	used in the UK and to inform the design of future clinical trials for HS treatments9. Participants
4	expressed their preference between medical and surgical options: 1) Oral doxycycline 200mg once daily;
5	2) Oral clindamycin and rifampicin both 300mg twice daily for 10 weeks initially; 3) Laser treatment
6	aiming to reduce hair growth (e.g. Nd-YAG or Alexandrite); 4) Deroofing; 5) Conventional surgery with
7	procedure and closure method as per surgeon's usual practice. The THESEUS study was designed to
8	mimic UK guidelines, except both deroofing and laser are HS treatments that are not used routinely in
9	the UK ^{10,11} . Deroofing is a surgical procedure to open and hyfrecate skin tunnels without formally
10	excising them ¹² . Laser treatment aims to ablate the hair follicle ¹⁰ .

Participant selection

- 12 Characteristics of THESEUS participants who consented to be approached for an interview were
- 13 reviewed. Purposive sampling aimed to recruit a diverse set of participants in terms of demographics
- 14 and treatment experience as per Table 1.

Procedure

Audio-recorded telephone interviews used a semi-structured topic guide covering 1) treatment experiences prior to the study, 2) treatment experiences during the study and 3) experiences of taking part in the research study (See supplementary materials). Debriefing at the end of the interview included signposting for medical advice and support. A trained and experienced qualitative researcher(LH), with no previous involvement with participants, conducted the interviews, with supervision and regular debriefing with PL. Findings were discussed with the multidisciplinary research team which informed subsequent interview enquiry. Participants were encouraged to share both positive and negative experiences of the study.

24 Analysis

Interviews were transcribed verbatim and managed in NVivo 12. A pre-specified thematic framework was used to code data (LH), with refinement to capture new, pertinent ideas, as per the Framework Analysis method ¹³. A sample was reviewed by a second author (PL) and refinements were discussed. The interviewer used the framework to understand and interpret the data and develop themes. Different matrices for each treatment type were produced so we could compare and contrast views and experiences between treatment types. Themes were discussed regularly between LH and PL and a wider group of authors then reviewed the findings from different methodological, clinical, and patient perspectives (KT, JI, AB, and CM). In this paper, a refined sub-set of themes relevant to clinical practice are presented, but see themes developed for research recommendations elsewhere ⁸.

1 Sample size

- 2 Sample size was initially estimated as 50 participants (allowing 10 interviews per treatment selected by
- 3 participants), but data collection from 35 participants was deemed sufficient to answer research
- 4 questions earlier than anticipated due to reaching saturation (defined as no new major themes
- 5 identified).

6 Framework analysis to develop themes

- 7 Framework matrices used to code the data are in Supplementary Materials. A process of charting and
- 8 mapping the data led to the development of interpretive themes. Sixty-seven codes were ordered
- 9 hierarchically into three levels (codes, sub-codes, and further sub-codes). Two final themes with their
- 10 relevance for practice are reported here: 'views on treatments' and 'experiences of treatments'.

Results

11

12

13

2324

25 26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

Demographic and clinical characteristics

- 14 Thirty-five interviews with people aged 19-67 years were conducted between December 2020 and
- 15 October 2021. Sixty-nine percent of the sample were under 40 years. The majority were female (n=25,
- 16 71%). Self-reported ethnicity was grouped as white (n=23, 66%), mixed (n=2, 6%), Asian (n=5, 14%),
- 17 Black (n=4, 11%) and not declared (n=1, 3%).
- 18 Treatments selected were doxycycline (n=6), clindamycin and rifampicin (n=7), laser (n=9), deroofing
- 19 (n=7) and conventional surgery (n=6). At the time of interview, not all had received their treatment
- 20 option (laser n=2, deroofing n=1, conventional surgery=4). Treatment schedules were impacted by the
- 21 demands of the covid-19 pandemic on NHS services. Participants were from eight different study sites
- across the UK. Some were dermatology led and some were surgery led sites.

Theme 1: Views on treatments

Treatment beliefs could be categorised into beliefs about the necessity, concern, effectiveness, or individual fit of the treatment, as illustrated in the quotes in Table 2. There was often a trade-off made between needing to address symptoms and concerns about a treatment or beliefs that the treatment might not work. Individuals often gave reasons why their HS might require different treatment to others with HS, emphasising a belief in the variable and individual nature of the condition.

Doxycycline

Doxycycline was typically preferred when individuals had limited experiences with HS (e.g., had not had previous treatments for HS). It was described as a 'starting point' and less invasive than surgical options, mirroring the UK clinical guidelines for HS treatment. Sometimes there had been experience with other

treatment options, but it was not perceived as the correct time for them to have surgery (e.g., still healing, not required for symptoms).

So, start you on that one first and obviously when I go back [...] I will say, not that one, so then we will look at the other options.

P25, male, White British

Clindamycin and rifamycin

Some people had a strong preference to try this option due to unsatisfactory experiences of taking other medications, such as doxycycline. Some were concerned about using medication (or taking more medication), particularly in the long-term, but it was considered a necessary trade-off to stop symptoms. Reasons it was chosen over procedural options were that it was less invasive, lack of familiarity with other treatment options, concerns about what other options would entail or other options (e.g., laser, deroofing) not being available at their site.

I think there was another antibiotic just the one tablet but she said the success rate wasn't as high as the one I am on. And I think the other one was laser and operation and the laser option is not available in my area just now. And the operation is kind of the last kind of step to take if the drugs don't work or the laser doesn't work. So it was a choice between this set of antibiotics or the other one, and I chose this because they have got a higher percentage of success rate.

P31, male, Black/African/Caribbean/Black British

Laser

Individuals reported lack of satisfaction with other treatment options they had tried. Medications had not worked well enough, were concerning regarding long-term effects, or caused side effects they could not tolerate. One person ruled out antibiotics as she planned to get pregnant. Individuals reported wanting to avoid surgery if it had not succeeded previously. Some viewed it as a more invasive option.

Laser was perceived as preventative, with hair removal potentially preventing future lesions, and favoured as a solution that was addressing the 'cause' of their HS. It was also known to some that laser was 'new' to the NHS, and so it had the added attraction of being a new treatment that was previously unavailable for HS in the UK.

And the laser had just become available on the NHS for this, because obviously it is an infection of the hair follicle, so if can stop the hair follicle from growing, it's hoping we can stop the boils. That's why he is going for the main bits where they are really, really bad at the moment.

 P27, female, White British

Deroofing

There were concerns about deroofing, and surgery more generally, but it was considered a necessary 'last resort'. Reasons were that medication did not work effectively, caused unwanted side effects, and concerns about long-term use. One-person preferred deroofing over laser due to previous facial laser hair removal resulting in 'bumps' in their skin.

I mean I am kind of limited because I have never really tried, I tried one of them, I know it's some sort of cycline on the list but it doesn't work for me and for me laser is a no-no.

P29, female, ethnicity not reported

Conventional surgery

Some had previous experiences of conventional surgery, felt that it worked for them and understood the process, hence persisting with this option. It was often chosen because other options were not considered appropriate. Reasons given for choosing conventional surgery over deroofing were that deroofing was only appropriate for HS that appears in the same place each time, seemed to be deeper so they felt may be riskier, the video was scary, and did not like the idea of being awake for the procedure.

I Googled that video and that was horrendous. [...] This person was awake on the surgery bed, admittedly probably had anaesthetic, like local anaesthetic, so that's why they were awake. There was the smell of burning skin when you're awake, how can anybody go through that. I said to the plastic surgeon I was please do not ever advise for me to have deroofing, I really don't think I could do that unless I was asleep.

P21, female, White British

How treatment decisions were made

Individuals' past experiences and knowledge, sometimes informed by healthcare interactions, shaped their views and beliefs. Figure 1 shows how the views on different treatments, illustrated by upwards and downwards arrows, created a push and pull effect that led to individuals having a preference for certain treatments over the other options. Preferences sometimes matched the final treatment chosen within the study, but the final treatment choice sometimes was driven by healthcare professional recommendations instead.

Healthcare professionals could have a very influential position, with some patients reporting that they went along with what the healthcare professional thought was the best option for them.

I saw that the laser sounds good, I don't know why I thought that. Then after speaking to the consultant they sort of said, well it's not the best option because it

just removes the hair follicles, it doesn't remove the HS itself. They said that
deroofing would be a better option, so I said, okay I'll for deroofing.

P18, male, White British

However, there were others who felt they were left to make the final decision. Some patients felt this was too much responsibility or a particularly hard decision for them and had hoped for more healthcare professional guidance.

I might have, if this hadn't been an alternative, if she'd said, oh you have to go to laser surgery, you have to. I would have done that. I think I'm going to go with my doctor, I'm no specialist in this field. I just have the disease.

P15, female, White British

Theme 2: Experiences of treatments

There were examples of positive treatment experiences across all treatment options, but some issues were reported. Just like past treatment experiences, treatment experiences within the study could influence whether an individual would consider using that same option in the future. However, due to the common belief that HS treatments are down to individual fit and appropriateness, the treatment was often still considered acceptable for people with HS when expressing their views on if it should be a management option made available for other people with HS or not.

I think that's a hard question because I don't really know if it's going to work yet and if it'll work for other people. If it's suitable to their situation perhaps yes. I've only been taking it for a short time

P15, female, White British

27 Medications

Developing a new habit of regularly taking tablets and unpleasant side effects (e.g., upset stomach or diarrhoea) were the main challenges that were reported with antibiotics. Some continued to use the antibiotics despite side effects and often noticed that they improved with time, whereas others stopped using them, often advised by their doctor. Side effects impacted people's work or was considered only manageable as they were working from home.

I struggled at first. It was like you've got to take two of this tablet, one of this tablet and then another one of them tablets and two of them tablets and it's a lot to try and remember every day and then the side effects of the two different tablets in your body threw me for six.

1 P6, female, White British 2 3 Many people experienced an improvement in their HS whilst taking an

Many people experienced an improvement in their HS whilst taking antibiotics, although for some this was not maintained once stopping treatment. Others did not feel there was a noticeable difference in their HS. For some people a review was planned for after the course had ended, but others did not feel they had a clear understanding what follow up they would receive after the course of antibiotics.

Laser

There were challenges with delays. The covid-19 pandemic was often recognised as a contributing factor to these delays, but it could still be frustrating.

Ahead of procedures, people reported feeling nervous about pain and having concerns about if it would work. Some were also concerned about pigmentation due to having dark skin or it being on a noticeable part of their body.

Oh I was constantly anxious, is it going to hurt? Is it going to work? Like what's the lady going to be like doing it, it was all just loads of stuff. I got really, really bad anxiety and it was just a mixture of everything. - P27, female, White British

People mostly found that after their experience their concerns had not occurred, and healing occurred in little to no time.

No I thought I might be a bit red and stuff but there was none of that. It wasn't painful afterwards I was still like able to do normal things as well, I haven't had any issues there. No, it's been fine since. [...] But I mean it hasn't really, in terms of the actual pigmentation, like I haven't noticed it on my skin. So yes, that's okay for me now. — P26, female, Asian/Asian British

Some had noticed drastic changes in their HS after the first or second treatment. There were concerns that four sets of treatments would not be enough to rid of all the hair in the area and that future treatments would be required, but this would not be available on the NHS.

After the first two treatments, as weird as it sounds, I felt a hell of a lot more comfortable from where the old then scar tissue and that was, where it used to flare up the worst. Yeah, it seemed to calm it down even my wife at the time said the area seemed a lot less angry and red than what it was so, since the laser treatment it's worked wonders personally. -P34, male, White British

Deroofing and surgery

The main challenges reported were delays in procedures and healing issues. Ahead of procedures, people reported feeling nervous about pain during the procedure and concern about wound healing

after the procedure. We had limited data on the experiences of conventional surgery due to delays in this procedure.

2 3 4

5

1

Healing times for deroofing were variable. For the surgery, people described not feeling any pain until after the anaesthetic wore off. Some were pleased with the results of their deroofing and were surprised how effective it had been and how easy the healing process had been.

6 7

8

9

10

11

No and it hasn't been, it hasn't been so invasive like other surgeries that I've had you know it's, I don't feel as if I've had anything done but I'm not having any problems anymore with the two areas that they've done which was always you know there wasn't a day that it wasn't sort of enlarged and leaking but at the moment I'm going to touch but it seems fine. — P1, female, White British

12 13 14

15

16

Some were not satisfied, with a view that the procedure had not been done as intended, because they felt that all the HS had not been successfully removed or that their wounds were more challenging to deal with than the HS itself.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

Because it was cut underneath along the line of the tunnel and the underneath part was scraped out and the skin left on. Meanwhile deroofing is meant to take out, it's a tissue saving surgery, so it's meant to take out the skin and scrape out whatever is in there, it's fills back nicely. But the skin was left over this one and it started getting infected right from the third day. It's healed now, well it hasn't healed completely it's still not healed inside because the whole idea is for it to heal from inside out, but because the skin was still on top of it, it was over granulating and it was healing from the outside first. — P13, female, Black/African/Caribbean/Black British

25 **Discussion**

- This semi-structured interview study provides insight into patient views and experiences of HS treatments within a UK context.
- Across our interviews, some patients perceived benefit from each of the management options.
- 29 Individual circumstances influenced which were perceived as appropriate, showing a desire for
- personalised therapy. This study proposes a model for how treatment decisions were made in clinic.
- 31 Patients' preferences, informed by their views on treatments, could inform the treatment option
- 32 received, but healthcare professionals' recommendations could override patients' preferences. This may
- 33 be because some patients feel that the doctor 'knows best'. It could also show how patient preferences
- for HS treatments were not 'set in stone', and that they were willing and open to new ideas. There were
- differences in how involved individuals wanted to be in treatment decisions.
- 36 Individuals had mixed experiences and satisfaction across the treatments. The main issues reported with
- 37 the medication options were side effects and getting used to taking tablets regularly. The main issues
- 38 with procedures were delays in receiving procedures and dealing with wounds from surgery. Some

- 1 people reported feeling nervous in anticipation of procedures. For laser, it was noticeable that fears
- 2 dissipated after treatment.
- 3 Concerns about the long-term effectiveness and side effects of current treatment options and the
- 4 burden of wound care mirror findings in a review of previous qualitative studies⁷. A more recent
- 5 qualitative study of patients' and healthcare professionals' views in the USA and Europe highlighted the
- 6 unmet care needs of people with HS, and also highlighted that for both groups effective treatment was a
- 7 priority¹⁴.
- 8 It has been identified that individuals vary in their beliefs about concern and necessity of treatment. Low
- 9 necessity and high concern are factors known to be related to poor treatment adherence across a range
- 10 of conditions, although more research has taken place in beliefs about medicines than surgical
- 11 treatments 15-17. This dataset has clear variations in the level of concern and beliefs about how necessary
- 12 a treatment was, but there are some clear trends in the data including 1) concerns about consequences
- of being on medication in the long-term, 2) concern that medications don't offer a long-term solution
- 14 for HS symptoms, 3) desire to avoid surgery unless it is considered 'necessary', 4) desire for a treatment
- 15 that prevents future symptoms rather than simply treating existing symptoms and 5) an openness to
- trying different treatments in hope to alleviate symptoms.
- 17 Studies looking at patient preferences for involvement in treatment decisions suggest that whilst most
- patients want some degree of involvement, there is a subset of patients who want to be less involved,
- and this study saw similar variation across participants ¹⁸. A systematic review identified a trend that a
- 20 higher proportion of patients wish to participate in treatment decisions when it involves invasive
- 21 procedures 19.

22

What does this mean for patient care?

- 23 At the micro level, clinicians should be aware that past experience will influence how patients perceive
- 24 current treatment options and may make them feel concerned about taking a certain treatment or
- 25 believe that a certain treatment is unlikely to work for them.
- 26 In common with many chronic skin conditions, people with HS value long-term solutions and treatments
- 27 that addresses the cause of their HS. Healthcare providers should allow for these hopes to be expressed
- 28 within the decision-making conversation. This can serve two purposes; it can help direct treatment
- 29 choices in a way that is in line with patient preferences, but it also can allow for conversations that may
- 30 help to manage patients' expectations from treatments where they may not get the desired outcome
- 31 (i.e., to be cured of HS).
- 32 Despite a trend towards patient-centred care and shared decision-making, many HS patients may feel
- obliged to follow clinician recommendarions²⁰. It is important that patients' preferences are encouraged
- 34 to ensure true shared decision-making and patient buy-in to care. Some patients will prefer clear
- 35 recommendations from doctors. Shared decision-making aids for HS practice in the UK would be

- 1 beneficial to support treatment decisions. A patient decision aid has been previously been developed in
- 2 North America²¹.
- 3 At the macro-level, the expansion of laser and deroofing via the THESEUS study led to patients being
- 4 offered more treatment options, and this increase in choice was welcomed. Guidelines about treatment
- 5 pathways may need to offer a more flexible approach to allow for more personalised care.

6 Strengths & Limitations

- 7 The sampling framework resulted in diversity of participants reflecting the THESEUS cohort study and
- 8 the wider HS community, including different ages, sexes, ethnicities, HS treatments, and study sites.
- 9 A multidisciplinary team approach to analysis ensured meaningful and trustworthy findings by including
- 10 the perspectives of patients, healthcare professionals, clinical trialists, a psychologist and qualitative
- 11 researchers.
- 12 The generalisability to regular clinical practice may be limited, as although the THESEUS study was
- pragmatically designed to mimic current practice, the study may have altered the nature of healthcare
- 14 conversations, and some participants did mention that they had received more attention from their
- 15 healthcare team by taking part in the study.
- Another limitation was timing of interviews. Not all had received their treatment at the time of the
- 17 interview due to Covid-19 related delays, and so only limited information could be gathered about
- 18 experiences of some treatments (particularly conventional surgery). Some of the content discussed
- 19 could also be hard for people to recall because of the time gap between starting their treatments and
- 20 the original conversation with their healthcare provider about treatment choice. Conducting interviews
- 21 by telephone may also have impacted findings.

23 Conclusion

22

29

30

31

- 24 Patient perceptions of treatments will vary; largely determined by past experiences. Patients' views
- 25 influence treatment decisions, but so does healthcare professional recommendation. Healthcare
- 26 professionals need to be careful to elicit the beliefs and preferences of patients and understand the
- 27 experiences and beliefs driving these preferences to engage in best practice shared decision-making.
- Decision making aids could support conversations in practice.

References

1 Ingram JR. Hidradenitis suppurativa: an update. *Clinical Medicine* 2016; **16**: 70-3.

1 2	2	Ingram JR. The epidemiology of hidradenitis suppurativa*. <i>British Journal of Dermatology</i> 2020; 183 : 990-8.
3 4	3	Saunte DM, Boer J, Stratigos A <i>et al.</i> Diagnostic delay in hidradenitis suppurativa is a global problem. <i>British Journal of Dermatology</i> 2015; 173 : 1546-9.
5 6 7	4	Howes R, Ingram JR, Thomas KS <i>et al.</i> The surgical management of hidradenitis suppurativa in the United Kingdom: a national survey of care pathways informing the THESEUS study. <i>J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg</i> 2022; 75 : 240-7.
8 9	5	Collier F, Howes R, Rodrigues J <i>et al.</i> Primary care management of hidradenitis suppurativa: a cross-sectional survey of UK GPs. <i>BJGP Open</i> 2021; 5 : BJGPO.2021.0051.
10 11	6	Ingram JR, Abbott R, Ghazavi M <i>et al.</i> The hidradenitis suppurativa priority setting partnership. <i>British Journal of Dermatology</i> 2014; 171 : 1422-7.
12 13	7	Howells L, Lancaster N, McPhee M <i>et al.</i> Thematic synthesis of the experiences of people with hidradenitis suppurativa: a systematic review. <i>British Journal of Dermatology</i> 2021; 185 : 921-34.
14 15	8	Ingram JR, Bates J, Collier C <i>et al.</i> Treatment of Hidradenitis Suppurativa Evaluation Study: the THESEUS prospective cohort study. <i>Health Technol Assess</i> (2023).
16 17	9	Bates J, Stanton H, Cannings-John R <i>et al.</i> Treatment of Hidradenitis Suppurativa Evaluation Study (THESEUS): protocol for a prospective cohort study. <i>BMJ open</i> 2022; 12 : e060815.
18 19	10	Zouboulis CC, Desai N, Emtestam L <i>et al.</i> European S1 guideline for the treatment of hidradenitis suppurativa/acne inversa. <i>J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol</i> 2015; 29 : 619-44.
20 21 22	11	Ingram JR, Collier F, Brown D <i>et al.</i> British Association of Dermatologists guidelines for the management of hidradenitis suppurativa (acne inversa) 2018. <i>British Journal of Dermatology</i> 2019; 180 : 1009-17.
23 24	12	van Hattem S, Spoo JR, Horváth B <i>et al.</i> Surgical treatment of sinuses by deroofing in hidradenitis suppurativa. <i>Dermatol Surg</i> 2012; 38 : 494-7.
25 26	13	Ritchie J, Spencer L. Qualitative data analysis for applied policy research. In: <i>Analyzing qualitative data</i> : Routledge. 2002; 187-208.
27 28 29	14	Willems D, Hiligsmann M, van der Zee HH <i>et al.</i> Identifying Unmet Care Needs and Important Treatment Attributes in the Management of Hidradenitis Suppurativa: A Qualitative Interview Study. <i>The Patient - Patient-Centered Outcomes Research</i> 2022; 15 : 207-18.
30 31 32	15	Horne R, Chapman SCE, Parham R <i>et al.</i> Understanding Patients' Adherence-Related Beliefs about Medicines Prescribed for Long-Term Conditions: A Meta-Analytic Review of the Necessity-Concerns Framework. <i>PLOS ONE</i> 2013; 8 : e80633.
33 34 35	16	Nie B, Chapman SCE, Chen Z <i>et al.</i> Utilization of the beliefs about medicine questionnaire and prediction of medication adherence in China: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Journal of Psychosomatic Research</i> 2019; 122 : 54-68.

2	1/	medication in multiple illness conditions: A meta-analysis. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> 2016; 99 : 706-17.
4 5	18	Robinson A, Thomson R. Variability in patient preferences for participating in medical decision making: implication for the use of decision support tools. <i>BMJ Quality & Safety</i> 2001; 10 : i34-i8.
6 7	19	Chewning B, Bylund CL, Shah B <i>et al.</i> Patient preferences for shared decisions: a systematic review. <i>Patient Educ Couns</i> 2012; 86 : 9-18.
8 9	20	Department of Health. Liberating the NHS: No decision about me, without me. In: Department of Health London. 2012.
10 11	21	McBride O, McLean D, Samardzic T <i>et al.</i> Development of a hidradenitis suppurativa patient decision aid. <i>Dermatology online journal</i> 2020; 26 .
12 13 14	22	Ingram JR, Jenkins-Jones S, Knipe DW <i>et al.</i> Population-based Clinical Practice Research Datalink study using algorithm modelling to identify the true burden of hidradenitis suppurativa. <i>Br J Dermatol</i> 2018; 178 : 917-24.

16 Figure legend

15

18

20

21 22

17 Figure 1 Model of how treatment decisions were made in clinic.

19 Table 1. Sampling Framework

Table 1. Sampling Framework			
Sampling characteristic	Sampling aim		
Treatment arm	20% doxycycline		
Á	20% clindamycin and rifamycin		
	20% laser		
	20% deroofing		
	20% conventional surgery		
	From at least three recruitment sites for each treatment arm		
Age*	60% under 40 years		
	40% 40 years or above		
Sex*	30% male		
	70% female		
Ethnicity*	75% White		
(25% Other ethnic groups		
Site	As many sites included in the THESEUS cohort study as possible		

Note. *The age, sex and ethnicity distributions were chosen to reflect demographics of the HS population ² ²².

Table 2: Beliefs about treatments

Belief categories	Medications	Procedures
Necessity	It's like saying if you had cancer treatment would you take the treatment. It's one of those I'll say, it's a no brainer for me I want to be better. – Participant 15, female, White British	Obviously surgery is quite drastic decision to make and it's not a decision I'd want to make if I felt it wasn't completely necessary. — Participant 7, female, White British
Concern	yes so antibiotics for a long time are not good. Like I think they are not good because the immune system goes low and then like side effects and stuff. — Participant 28, female, Asian/Asian British	I don't like surgery, I am not good with hospitals and surgery and pain and being alone in hospital and everything, I am not good with those things. — Participant 28, female, Asian/Asian British Some people have had 10, eight surgeries, I don't want to be in that position. — Participant 13, female, Black/African/Caribbean/Black British
Effectiveness	So all I've had is the antibiotics, I haven't tried any proper treatments Participant 6, female, White British	Yes like really getting rid of it, yes, so like going really deep and getting rid of it. – Participant 27, female, White British
Individual Fit	it could react different for someone else and it could work for them and it just may not work for me – Participant 22, female, White British	I know everybody is different, my HS seems very much linked in with hair follicles and obviously I know people get it for different reasons. [] I think because I know all of the surgical options, it's a load of hassle and for how often they spring up in different locations, it wouldn't be practical for me I don't think. —Participant 30, female, White British

