doi: 10.21873/cgp.20521

CD84 as a Prognostic Biomarker and Therapeutic Target in Breast Cancer: Interconnections With PDL1, **CD74, and Immune Tolerance Mechanisms**

UMAR WAZIR¹, AMBER X. LI², CAROLYN CAI WANG², HENSON HAN GAO², TRACEY A. MARTIN², WEN G. JIANG² and KEFAH MOKBEL^{1,2}

¹The London Breast Institute, Princess Grace Hospital, London, U.K.: ²Metastasis Research Group, University of Wales College of Medicine, Cardiff, U.K.

Abstract

Background/Aim: Cluster of differentiation 84 (CD84), a member of the signalling lymphocytic activating molecule (SLAM) family, has emerged as a potential prognostic biomarker and therapeutic target in breast cancer. This study explored CD84 expression and its correlation with clinicopathological features in a well-characterised cohort. Materials and Methods: Using quantitative real-time PCR, mRNA expression levels of CD84 and related molecules, including PDL1, CD74, and other immune tolerance markers, were analysed.

Results: The findings reveal that elevated CD84 expression predicts poor overall survival, independent of conventional prognostic factors such as the Nottingham Prognostic Index (NPI). Notably, a combined signature of CD84, CD48, VAV1, and CTNNB1 demonstrated stronger prognostic power than individual markers. CD84 exhibited significant correlations with immunosuppressive molecules, including PDL1 and CD74, underscoring its role in fostering immune tolerance within the tumour microenvironment.

Conclusion: CD84 may mediate an immunosuppressive phenotype, facilitating immune evasion in breast cancer. This highlights its potential as a therapeutic target, particularly in triple-negative breast cancer, to overcome immune resistance and enhance treatment efficacy.

Keywords: CD84, CD74, VAV1, CTNNB1, CD48, PD-L1, breast cancer, immune tolerance, checkpoint inhibitors, TNBC, immunotherapy.

Introduction

Cluster of differentiation 84, also known as signalling lymphocytic activating molecule 5 (CD84/SLAMF5) has been known to be an immune cell marker and a member of the signalling lymphocytic activating molecule (SLAM) family of cell-surface immunoreceptors (1). Its role in immunity and autoimmune conditions has been studied

Prof. Kefah Mokbel, The London Breast Institute, The Princess Grace Hospital, 42-52 Nottingham Place, London W1U 5NY, U.K. E-mail: Kefah.Mokbel@hcahealthcare.co.uk

Received April 20, 2025 | Revised May 5, 2025 | Accepted May 7, 2025



This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

extensively (2). CD84, along with other members of the SLAM family, plays a role in multiple myeloma (3) and other blood cancers (4).

More recently, evidence suggests a role for CD84 in breast cancer. Specifically, it has been proposed as a therapeutic target for breaking immune tolerance in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) (5). This adds to earlier evidence of the role of related molecules in breast cancer tolerance to chemotherapy (6).

In this study, we examine the correlations between CD84 and clinicopathological characteristics in breast cancer within a well-documented cohort, as well as the roles of other known members of CD84-related pathways.

Materials and Methods

Samples. Following ethical approval from the Bro Taf Health Authority (ethics approval numbers 01/4303 and 01/4046) and after obtaining informed consent, tissue samples were collected. Immediately after surgical removal, a sample was taken from the tumour itself, and a second sample was collected from adjacent non-cancerous tissue within 2 cm of the tumour. This adjacent tissue served as a control for comparison with the cancerous tissue and did not interfere with the assessment of tumour margins. A total of 124 breast cancer tissues and 30 normal background tissues were collected and stored at -80° C in liquid nitrogen until analysis. This patient group has been included in several previous and ongoing studies (7-10).

Patient treatment followed local multidisciplinary guidelines. Patients that had undergone breast-conserving surgery also received radiotherapy. Tamoxifen was given for hormone-sensitive disease, while adjuvant chemotherapy was used for hormone-insensitive, high-grade, and nodepositive cancers. Clinicopathological data (Table I) were collected from patient charts and stored in an encrypted database (11, 12).

Tissue processing, RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis. RNA extraction and reverse transcription kits were purchased from AbGene Ltd. (Epsom, Surrey, UK). A custom-made hot-start Master Mix for quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) was also obtained from AbGene Ltd. A literature review was conducted to identify molecules known to interact with CD84. The molecules included in the panel studied in this cohort are listed in Table II (13-15).

Approximately 10 mg of cancerous tissue was homogenised. A larger amount of matched normal material from adjacent tissue (20-50 mg) was used because its higher fat content, which made it difficult to obtain sufficient RNA for analysis. RNA concentration was determined using a UV spectrophotometer (Wolf Laboratories, York, UK) to ensure adequate RNA for analysis. Reverse transcription was performed using a reverse transcription kit (AbGene) with an anchored oligo(dT) primer, using 1 μ g of total RNA in a 96-well plate to produce complementary DNA (cDNA). The quality of cDNA was verified using β -actin primers (11, 12). Primers are listed in Table III.

Quantitative analysis. The levels of transcripts within the cDNA library were quantified using real-time qPCR based on Amplifluor technology. The PCR primers were designed utilising Beacon Designer software (Premier Biosoft International Ltd., Palo Alto, CA, USA), incorporating an additional sequence (5'-ACTGAACCTGACCGTACA-3') complementary to the universal Z probe (Intergen Inc., Oxford, UK). The primers were synthesised by Invitrogen Ltd. (Paisley, UK).

The reaction was conducted under the following parameters: an initial denaturation at 94°C for 12 min, followed by 50 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 15 s, annealing at 55°C for 40 s, and extension at 72°C for 20 s. The abundance of each transcript was determined through comparison with a standard amplified concurrently within the samples. Expression levels of the target molecules were normalised against cytokeratin 19 (CK19). Each PCR run incorporated a negative and positive control, employing a known cDNA sequence (podoplanin) (11, 12).

Statistical analysis. The relationships between CD84 and other molecules on the selected panel were examined

Table I. Clinicopathological data.

Parameter	Number of samples
Tissue type	
Background, normal	30
Tumour	124
Tumour grade	
1	24
2	42
3	58
Nottingham Prognostic Index	
Grade 1	68
Grade 2	38
Grade 3	16
Unknown	2
TNM stage	
1	70
2	40
3	7
4	4
Unknown	3
Histology	
Ductal	94
Lobular	14
Other	16
Patient outcome	
Alive and well	85
Metastatic disease	7
Death from breast cancer	15
All poor outcomes (Metastatic disease & disease-related mortality)	27

using the SPSS (version 29) statistical software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Spearman's correlation test was employed to study these correlations. Survival analysis was performed using the Kaplan–Meier method. The optimal cut-off point for each molecule was determined by Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) analysis. All samples within the cohort were included in the analysis. However, the exclusion of some specimens was necessitated by depletion of sample cDNA or pipetting errors affecting PCR. Correlations yielding p-values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

CD84 and overall survival (OS). The cohort, studied with regards to the relation of OS and mRNA expression, was

Gene symbol	Alternative names	Molecule encoded
PD1	PDCD1	Programmed cell death 1
PDL1	CD274	PD1 ligand 1
CD48		Cluster of differentiation 48
CD74		Cluster of differentiation 74
VAV1		Vav guanine nucleotide exchange factor 1
CTNNB1		Catenin beta interacting protein 1

Table II. Panel of molecules included in the study.

Table III. Primers used in the study.

Primer	Sequence
CD48F12	tggaagatcaagctgcaa
CD48ZR12	actgaacctgaccgtacaacagttgtcatccatgtct
CD74F1	cgcgaccttatctccaac
CD74ZR1	actgaacctgaccgtacagagcagagtcaccaggat
CD74F2	caccccgctgctgatg
CD74ZR2	actgaacctgaccgtacagcatcacatggtcctctg
CD84F1	ctgatccctacaccacca
CD84ZR1	actgaacctgaccgtacatcacagatgccattaaactct
β-actinF1	cgctcggtgaggatcttca
β-actinR1	atgatatcgccgcgctcgtc

divided into high and low transcription groups by the optimal cutoff point from the ROC analysis. High mRNA expression of CD84 was associated with a significantly worse OS in this cohort (Figure 1 and Figure 2).

Furthermore, a combined signature of CD84 with CD48, VAV1 and CTNNB1 (CD84/CD48/VAV1/CTNNB1) has shown to be a stronger negative predictor of OS and recurrence free survival (RFS) in breast cancer. Cox regression analysis found this to be more predictive than the Nottingham Predictive Index (NPI) (*p*=0.0008 *vs*. 0.032). This effect on OS persisted when the cohort was stratified by whether they were TNBC or not, by oestrogen receptor status (ER+/ER–), and Her2 status (Her2+/Her2–). However, this effect was significant in progesterone receptor negative (PR–) cases, but not in PR+ cases.

Correlations of CD84 with related markers. The mRNA expression of CD84 was correlated with 37 candidate molecules that may interact with CD84 using Spearman



Figure 1. Association between CD84 expression and overall survival in breast cancer. Kaplan–Meier survival curve comparing overall survival (OS) between patients with high versus low CD84 mRNA expression levels. High CD84 expression is associated with significantly lower overall survival (p=0.034).

correlation test. Those with significant correlations are shown in Table IV.

Discussion

This is the first study to demonstrate that high CD84 expression is a powerful predictor of poor prognosis in breast cancer, with its signature surpassing conventional prognostic parameters. This aligns with emerging evidence that CD84 mediates immune tolerance within the tumour microenvironment (5). Moreover, CD84 shows significant correlations with other immunological tolerance markers, such as PDL1 and CD74, underscoring its central role in promoting an immunosuppressive phenotype in breast cancer.

CD74 is a well-established signalling molecule in both immunity and transcription. It is a component of the major histocompatibility complex II (MHC class II) antigen presentation pathway (16) and an intracellular activator of transcription (17).

CD74 has been identified to be a factor in oncogenesis with its role varying across tumour subtypes and primarily involving the immune microenvironment. In certain aggressive tumours, CD74 is suggested to support immune



Figure 2. Prognostic value of the CD84/CD48/VAV1/CTNNB1 combined signature in breast cancer. A) Kaplan–Meier survival analysis showing overall survival (OS) in patients with high vs. low expression of the combined CD84/CD48/VAV1/CTNNB1 signature (p=0.000025). B) Kaplan–Meier survival analysis showing recurrence-free survival (RFS) in patients with high vs. low expression of the same combined signature (p=0.000077).

evasion mechanisms (18). However, in certain scenarios (*e.g.,* basal-like cancers with high MHCII expression), it may promote a more robust immune response, improving

Table IV. molecules.	Correlations	of CD74 mRNA	expression	with	candidate
Candidate molecule		Correlation coeff (R) <i>vs</i> . CD84			<i>p-</i> Value
VAV1 CTNNB1		0.229			0.029* <0.001**

VAV1	0.229	0.029*
CTNNB1	0.536	< 0.001**
ALCAM	0.484	< 0.001**
CD48	0.366	0.009**
CD84	0.479	< 0.001**
PD1	0.469	< 0.001**
PDL1	0.268	0.011**
PTPN11	0.516	< 0.001**
WASP	0.361	< 0.001**
nWASP	-0.023	0.836
WAVE1	0.139	0.198
WAVE2	0.372	< 0.001**
WAVE3	-0.014	0.899
HAVCR1	0.022	0.838
HAVCR2	0.651	< 0.001**
IL7R	0.163	0.128
IL10	0.232	0.027*
PLA2	-0.003	0.979
PLA21b	-0.171	0.115
PLA2b	-0.07	0.52
PLA2gama	0.084	0.436
PLA2x	-0.384	< 0.001**
RH06	-0.182	0.087
RH07	-0.122	0.269
RH08	0.059	0.62
RHOA	-0.272	0.009**
RHOB	-0.309	0.004**
RHOC	-0.015	0.886
RHOG	-0.337	0.001**
RHO-GDI	-0.192	0.072
RHO-GDI-G	-0.159	0.148
DOK1	0.233	0.153
DOK2	0.242	0.138
DOK3	0.332	0.002**
DOK4	0.2	0.105
DOK6	0.266	0.028*
DOK7	0.380	< 0.001**

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed). **Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

patient outcomes (19). Thus, CD74 acts as a "double-edged sword" in breast cancer, with highly context-dependent effects. It has been identified as a potential therapeutic target, particularly in TNBC (15, 20).

Similarly, PDL1 is a protein expressed on the surface of various cells, including some immune cells and tumour cells. It plays a key role in suppressing the immune system by binding to the PD1 receptor on T-cells, effectively "turning off" the immune response. This mechanism helps maintain immune tolerance and prevent autoimmunity under normal conditions.

In cancer, PDL1 is often overexpressed by tumour cells and mediates immune detection, allowing unchecked growth (21). As a result, PDL1 has become a key target in immunotherapy, with drugs such as pembrolizumab and atezolizumab blocking the interaction between PDL1 and PD1 to reactivate the immune system against cancer cells (22).

PDL1 expression levels are frequently assessed to guide immunotherapy, particularly in cancers such as non-small cell lung cancer, TNBC, and melanoma (23, 24). Pembrolizumab is an anti-PD1 antibody, which is evaluated for use in the treatment of melanoma and lymphoma (25).

Recent studies have highlighted significant associations between CD84 and markers such as CD48, VAV1, and CTNNB1. CD48, like CD84, participates in immune cell signalling, particularly in T-cell and natural killer cell activity, suggesting potential cooperative roles in modulating immune responses (26). VAV1, a guanine nucleotide exchange factor, is a downstream mediator of SLAM family receptor signalling, potentially linking CD84 to cytoskeletal reorganisation and cell migration (27, 28). CTNNB1, encoding β -catenin, is a key component of the Wnt signalling pathway, which has been shown to intersect with CD84-mediated pathways in maintaining cellular adhesion and regulating transcriptional programs (29). Together, these markers form a synergistic signature that underscores their combined relevance in immunological and oncological contexts, as evidenced by our study's findings.

The strength of our study lies in its use of robust RT-PCR methodology to analyse mRNA expression levels of CD84 and related immune tolerance markers in human breast cancer samples with a 10-year clinical follow-up. To our knowledge, this is the first study to correlate CD84 expression with clinical outcomes in human breast cancer. Additionally, we identified a powerful prognostic signature that should be included in future validation studies. *Study limitations.* Specifically, we measured only mRNA transcript levels and did not quantify protein expression. This is particularly relevant, as CD84 is a potential therapeutic target for monoclonal antibody development.

Conclusion

CD84 may mediate an immunosuppressive phenotype, facilitating immune evasion in breast cancer. This highlights its potential as a therapeutic target, particularly in triplenegative breast cancer, to overcome immune resistance and enhance treatment efficacy. We believe CD84 would be a good subject for future proteomic, *in vivo* and clinical research, and may open the possibility of the development of new therapeutic strategies and prognostic tools.

Conflicts of Interest

Kefah Mokbel has received honoraria for offering academic and clinical advice to Merit Medical and QMedical and Sebbin corporations. The other Authors declare no conflict of interest in relation to this study. Merit Medical had no role in the design of the study, collection and interpretation of data or the decision to proceed with the publication.

Authors' Contributions

KM and WGJ formulated the idea. WGJ supervised the study and curated the cohort tissue library. AXL, CCW, HHG, TAM conducted the procedures. KM and WGJ performed the analyses. Wazir U drafted the manuscript and conducted the literature reviews. WGJ and Mokbel L proof-read the final draft.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by grants from the Breast Cancer Hope Foundation (London, UK). The lead author (KM) expresses his gratitude to Mrs. Jocelyn Rosenberg, and her late husband, Michael Rosenberg, for their support of his research program.

Funding

None.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) Disclosure

During the preparation of this manuscript, a large language model (Google Gemini, Alphabet Inc., Mountain View CA, USA) was used solely for language editing and stylistic improvements in select paragraphs. No sections involving the generation, analysis, or interpretation of research data were produced by generative AI. All scientific content was created and verified by the authors. Furthermore, no figures or visual data were generated or modified using generative AI or machine learning–based image enhancement tools.

References

- 1 Cuenca M, Sintes J, Lányi Á, Engel P: CD84 cell surface signaling molecule: An emerging biomarker and target for cancer and autoimmune disorders. Clin Immunol 204: 43-49, 2019. DOI: 10.1016/j.clim.2018.10.017
- 2 Zheng Y, Zhao J, Zhou M, Wei K, Jiang P, Xu L, Chang C, Shan Y, Xu L, Shi Y, Schrodi SJ, Guo S, He D: Role of signaling lymphocytic activation molecule family of receptors in the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis: insights and application. Front Pharmacol 14: 1306584, 2023. DOI: 10.3389/fphar.2023.1306584
- 3 Lewinsky H, Gunes EG, David K, Radomir L, Kramer MP, Pellegrino B, Perpinial M, Chen J, He TF, Mansour AG, Teng KY, Bhattacharya S, Caserta E, Troadec E, Lee P, Feng M, Keats J, Krishnan A, Rosenzweig M, Yu J, Caligiuri MA, Cohen Y, Shevetz O, Becker-Herman S, Pichiorri F, Rosen S, Shachar I: CD84 is a regulator of the immunosuppressive microenvironment in multiple myeloma. JCI Insight 6(4): e141683, 2021. DOI: 10.1172/jci.insight.141683
- 4 von Wenserski L, Schultheiß C, Bolz S, Schliffke S, Simnica D, Willscher E, Gerull H, Wolters-Eisfeld G, Riecken K, Fehse B, Altfeld M, Nollau P, Binder M: SLAMF receptors negatively regulate B cell receptor signaling in chronic lymphocytic leukemia *via* recruitment of prohibitin-2. Leukemia 35(4): 1073-1086, 2021. DOI: 10.1038/s41375-020-01025-z
- 5 Rabani S, Gunes EG, Gunes M, Pellegrino B, Lampert B, David K, Pillai R, Li A, Becker-Herman S, Rosen ST, Shachar I: CD84 as a therapeutic target for breaking immune tolerance in triple-negative breast cancer. Cell Rep 43(11): 114920, 2024. DOI: 10.1016/j.celrep.2024.114920

- 6 Shahbandi A, Chiu FY, Ungerleider NA, Kvadas R, Mheidly Z, Sun MJS, Tian D, Waizman DA, Anderson AY, Machado HL, Pursell ZF, Rao SG, Jackson JG: Breast cancer cells survive chemotherapy by activating targetable immune-modulatory programs characterized by PD-L1 or CD80. Nat Cancer 3(12): 1513-1533, 2022. DOI: 10.1038/s43018-022-00466-y
- 7 Al Sarakbi W, Sasi W, Jiang WG, Roberts T, Newbold RF, Mokbel K: The mRNA expression of SETD2 in human breast cancer: correlation with clinico-pathological parameters. BMC Cancer 9: 290, 2009. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2407-9-290
- 8 Elkak A, Mokbel R, Wilson C, Jiang WG, Newbold RF, Mokbel K: hTERT mRNA expression is associated with a poor clinical outcome in human breast cancer. Anticancer Res 26(6C): 4901-4904, 2006.
- 9 Wazir U, Jiang WG, Sharma AK, Mokbel K: The mRNA expression of DAP3 in human breast cancer: Correlation with clinicopathological parameters. Anticancer Res 32(2): 671-674, 2012.
- 10 Wazir U, Tayeh S, Orakzai MAW, Martin TA, Jiang WG, Mokbel K: Stratification using hTERT and stem cell markers confers a good prognosis in invasive breast cancer. Cancer Genomics Proteomics 17(2): 169-174, 2020. DOI: 10.21873/cgp.20177
- 11 Jiang WG, Watkins G, Lane J, Cunnick GH, Douglas-Jones A, Mokbel K, Mansel RE: Prognostic value of rho GTPases and rho guanine nucleotide dissociation inhibitors in human breast cancers. Clin Cancer Res 9(17): 6432-6440, 2003.
- 12 Martin TA, Jiang WG: Evaluation of the expression of stem cell markers in human breast cancer reveals a correlation with clinical progression and metastatic disease in ductal carcinoma. Oncol Rep 31(1): 262-272, 2014. DOI: 10.3892/ or.2013.2813
- 13 McArdel SL, Terhorst C, Sharpe AH: Roles of CD48 in regulating immunity and tolerance. Clin Immunol 164: 10-20, 2016. DOI: 10.1016/j.clim.2016.01.008
- 14 Ai L, Xu A, Xu J: Roles of PD-1/PD-L1 pathway: signaling, cancer, and beyond. Adv Exp Med Biol 1248: 33-59, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-15-3266-5_3
- 15 Wang J, Huang D, Nguyen TAT, Phan LM, Wei W, Rezaeian AH: CD74-AKT axis is a potential therapeutic target in triplenegative breast cancer. Biology (Basel) 13(7): 481, 2024. DOI: 10.3390/biology13070481
- 16 Borghese F, Clanchy FI: CD74: an emerging opportunity as a therapeutic target in cancer and autoimmune disease. Expert Opin Ther Targets 15(3): 237-251, 2011. DOI: 10.1517/ 14728222.2011.550879
- 17 Wilkinson RD, Magorrian SM, Williams R, Young A, Small DM, Scott CJ, Burden RE: CCL2 is transcriptionally controlled by the lysosomal protease cathepsin S in a CD74-dependent manner. Oncotarget 6(30): 29725-29739, 2015. DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.5065
- 18 Bonnin E, Rodrigo Riestra M, Marziali F, Mena Osuna R, Denizeau J, Maurin M, Saez JJ, Jouve M, Bonté PE, Richer W, Nevo F, Lemoine S, Girard N, Lefevre M, Borcoman E, Vincent-

Salomon A, Baulande S, Moreau HD, Sedlik C, Hivroz C, Lennon-Duménil AM, Tosello Boari J, Piaggio E: CD74 supports accumulation and function of regulatory T cells in tumors. Nat Commun 15(1): 3749, 2024. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-024-47981-3

- 19 Wang ZQ, Milne K, Webb JR, Watson PH: CD74 and intratumoral immune response in breast cancer. Oncotarget 8(8): 12664-12674, 2017. DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.8610
- 20 Tian B, Zhang Y, Li N, Liu X, Dong J: CD74: a potential novel target for triple-negative breast cancer. Tumour Biol 33(6): 2273-2277, 2012. DOI: 10.1007/s13277-012-0489-x
- 21 Cha JH, Chan LC, Li CW, Hsu JL, Hung MC: Mechanisms controlling PD-L1 expression in cancer. Mol Cell 76(3): 359-370, 2019. DOI: 10.1016/j.molcel.2019.09.030
- 22 Kwapisz D: Pembrolizumab and atezolizumab in triplenegative breast cancer. Cancer Immunol Immunother 70(3): 607-617, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/s00262-020-02736-z
- 23 Paver EC, Cooper WA, Colebatch AJ, Ferguson PM, Hill SK, Lum T, Shin JS, O'Toole S, Anderson L, Scolyer RA, Gupta R: Programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1) as a predictive marker for immunotherapy in solid tumours: a guide to immunohistochemistry implementation and interpretation. Pathology 53(2): 141-156, 2021. DOI: 10.1016/j.pathol. 2020.10.007
- 24 Bou Zerdan M, Ghorayeb T, Saliba F, Allam S, Bou Zerdan M, Yaghi M, Bilani N, Jaafar R, Nahleh Z: Triple negative breast cancer: updates on classification and treatment in 2021. Cancers (Basel) 14(5): 1253, 2022. DOI: 10.3390/cancers 14051253
- 25 Kwok G, Yau TC, Chiu JW, Tse E, Kwong YL: Pembrolizumab (Keytruda). Hum Vaccin Immunother 12(11): 2777-2789, 2016. DOI: 10.1080/21645515.2016.1199310
- 26 Dragovich MA, Mor A: The SLAM family receptors: Potential therapeutic targets for inflammatory and autoimmune diseases. Autoimmun Rev 17(7): 674-682, 2018. DOI: 10.1016/j.autrev.2018.01.018
- 27 Razidlo GL, Schroeder B, Chen J, Billadeau DD, McNiven MA: Vav1 as a central regulator of invadopodia assembly. Curr Biol 24(1): 86-93, 2014. DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2013.11.013
- 28 Nakamura S, Kitazawa M, Miyagawa Y, Koyama M, Miyazaki S, Hondo N, Muranaka F, Tokumaru S, Yamamoto Y, Ehara T, Matsumura T, Takeoka M, Soejima Y: RhoA G17E/Vav1 signaling induces cancer invasion *via* matrix metalloproteinase-9 in gastric cancer. Technol Cancer Res Treat 22: 153303 38221146024, 2023. DOI: 10.1177/15330338221146024
- 29 Yu F, Yu C, Li F, Zuo Y, Wang Y, Yao L, Wu C, Wang C, Ye L: Wnt/ β -catenin signaling in cancers and targeted therapies. Signal Transduct Target Ther 6(1): 307, 2021. DOI: 10.1038/ s41392-021-00701-5