MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

ORIGINAL ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

Genomic Signatures of Island Colonisation in Highly Diverse Primates

I. Colmonero-Costeira^{1,2,3,4} [b] | K. Guschanski^{5,6} [b] | S. L. Djaló⁷ [b] | N. Fernandes⁸ | T. Camará⁹ [b] | K. K.-H. Farh¹⁰ | L. F. K. Kuderna¹⁰ | J. Rogers¹¹ [b] | T. Marques-Bonet^{12,13,14,15} [b] | M. W. Bruford¹ | I. M. Russo¹ [b] | A. Jensen⁵ [b] | M. J. Ferreira da Silva^{1,2,3} [b]

¹School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, Wales, UK | ²CIBIO—Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos, InBIO, Laboratório Associado, Universidade do Porto, Vairão, Portugal | ³BIOPOLIS—Program in Genomics, Biodiversity and Land Planning, CIBIO, Vairão, Portugal | ⁴CIAS—Research Centre for Anthropology and Health, Department of Life Sciences, Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal | ⁵Department of Ecology and Genetics, Animal Ecology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden | ⁶Institute of Ecology and Evolution, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK | ⁷Tabanka de Abu, Ganogo, Guinea-Bissau | ⁸Tabanka de Anghôr, Orango, Guinea-Bissau | ⁹Cacine, Tombali, Guinea-Bissau | ¹⁰Illumina Artificial Intelligence Laboratory, Illumina, San Diego, California, USA | ¹¹Human Genome Sequencing Center and Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, USA | ¹²Institute of Evolutionary Biology (UPF-CSIC), PRBB, Barcelona, Spain | ¹³Catalan Institution of Research and Advanced Studies (ICREA), Barcelona, Spain | ¹⁴CNAG, Centro Nacional de Analisis Genomico, Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology (BIST), Barcelona, Spain | ¹⁵Institut Català de Paleontologia Miquel Crusafont, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Cerdanyola del Vallès, Barcelona, Spain

Correspondence: I. Colmonero-Costeira (colmonerocosteirai@cardiff.ac.uk)

Received: 11 September 2024 | Revised: 28 February 2025 | Accepted: 19 May 2025

Handling Editor: Uma Ramakrishnan

Funding: This work was supported by the PRIMACTION Project (The Born Free Foundation, Chester zoo Conservation Fund, Primate Conservation Incorporated, Mohamed Bin Zayed Project 232,533,027) and sponsorships from the following Portuguese private companies —CAROSI, Cápsulas do Norte, Camarc, JA-Rolhas e Cápsulas. Laboratory work was partly supported by AGRIGEN—NORTE-01-0145-FEDER-000007 supported by Norte Portugal Regional Operational Programme (NORTE2020), under the PORTUGAL 2020 Partnership Agreement, through the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF). The computations were enabled by resources provided by the National Academic Infrastructure for Supercomputing in Sweden (NAISS), partially funded by the Swedish Research Council through Grant Agreement No. 2022–06725 (Projects NAISS 2023/6-340 and NAISS 2023/5-506). I.C.-C. worked under a Fundação Para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT) PhD scholarship (https://doi.org/10.54499/SFRH/BD/146509/2019) and M.J.F.S. worked under a FCT research contract (https://doi.org/10.54499/CEECIND/01937/2017/CP1423/CT0010). K.G. was supported by the Swedish Research Council VR (2020– 03398), and A.J. by Zoologiska Stiftelsen.

Keywords: Cercopithecini | conservation genomics | genetic load | Guinea-Bissau | intraspecific variation

ABSTRACT

Understanding how small populations cope with loss of genetic diversity and deleterious variation is crucial to address the current biodiversity crisis. Insular populations are particularly interesting as they have often persisted at lower population sizes and higher inbreeding than their mainland counterparts. While the genome-wide consequences of inbreeding in threatened insular species have received some attention, comparative genomics between insular and mainland populations of widespread and genetically diverse species have rarely been performed. Yet, they are particularly well suited to inform about the consequences of drastic population declines from initially large populations—a phenomenon that is becoming increasingly common. The spot-nosed monkey (*Cercopithecus petaurista*), the Campbell's monkey (*Cercopithecus campbelli*) and the green monkey (*Chlorocebus sabaeus*) are common and genetically diverse West African primates. Insular populations can be found at the Bijagós Archipelago, Guinea-Bissau. Here, we assessed the genome-wide diversity, inbreeding, genetic load and

A. Jensen and M. J. Ferreira da Silva have contributed equally to the manuscript.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2025 The Author(s). *Molecular Ecology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

adaptive variation using whole genome sequencing data from insular and mainland populations. In the three species, island populations showed lower genome-wide diversity and higher inbreeding. Genetic drift has likely promoted the conversion of masked genetic load into realised load without increased purging of deleterious variation. Additionally, we found no evidence for accumulation of deleterious variation, suggesting that these populations are not yet at risk of extinction by genetic factors and may act as reservoirs of extant mainland genetic diversity. We highlight, however, that other anthropogenic factors are threatening these insular primates, and therefore conservation management should target their immediate threats and safeguard against additional loss of diversity.

1 | Introduction

In a time when anthropogenic activities increasingly impact ecosystems, conservation of biodiversity has become a global challenge. Intraspecific genetic diversity has recently received wider recognition by governmental bodies (Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) 2020; Hoban et al. 2021; Mastretta-Yanes et al. 2024). Since its inclusion in the CBD's Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework, greater accessibility to genomic data for non-model species has improved our capacity to disentangle neutral and adaptive components of genetic diversity, opening the door to better understand species demographic and adaptive responses to environmental or anthropogenic changes (Allendorf et al. 2010).

Insular populations can be particularly vulnerable to extinction due to genetic factors resulting from the demographic processes inherent to the colonisation dynamics. Island colonisation typically involves a small number of founding individuals, which carry only a fraction of the total genetic diversity of the source populations (Allendorf et al. 2013; Martin et al. 2023). The intrinsic low levels of genetic diversity in insular populations tend to be aggravated by restricted or no gene flow with the source populations and increased inbreeding among founding individuals and their descendants. Genetic drift is stronger in populations with small effective population size (N_{o}) , as often is the case in insular systems (Allendorf et al. 2013). Although genetic drift is a neutral evolutionary process, it can also incur fitness costs by increasing the allele frequencies of loci with deleterious variation (Bertorelle et al. 2022; Dussex et al. 2023). As masked genetic load (i.e., recessive deleterious mutations present in their heterozygous state) is converted into realised load (i.e., homozygous deleterious mutations with expressed fitness effects; Bertorelle et al. 2022), individual genetic load may increase, reducing the individual fitness and increasing the risk of inbreeding depression (Bertorelle et al. 2022; Dussex et al. 2023; Smeds and Ellegren 2023). However, the increased frequency of strongly deleterious mutations in their homozygous states makes them visible to selection, allowing for their removal through purging (Dussex et al. 2021; Grossen et al. 2020; Khan et al. 2021; van der Valk et al. 2024; von Seth et al. 2022; Xue et al. 2015). Although purifying selection is expected to purge mutations with large fitness effects, strong genetic drift can reduce the efficiency of selection in small populations, potentiating the gradual accumulation of mildly deleterious mutations during prolonged bottlenecks (Dussex et al. 2023). Such accumulation can generate a decline in fitness and exacerbate the extinction risk of small, isolated populations (Bataillon and Kirkpatrick 2000; Khan et al. 2021).

Insular populations frequently exhibit genetic and phenotypic differences compared to mainland populations in response to novel ecosystems. This phenomenon, collectively known as the 'island syndrome', often includes changes in morphology, behaviour and life-history traits (Adler and Levins 1994). It has been attributed to adaptive processes in response to the new habitats where different traits, more advantageous to the insular environment, are selected for (Martin et al. 2021; Payseur and Jing 2021; Sendell-Price et al. 2020; Welles and Dlugosch 2019). Another hypothesis is that loci under strong selection on the mainland become effectively neutral on the islands due to the relaxation of natural selection (Cui et al. 2021; Wang et al. 2023). Consequently, non-synonymous variants can drift towards fixation in the insular populations.

Due to their vulnerability coupled with high potential for neutral and adaptive differentiation, insular populations are of great conservation concern. Previous studies have focused mainly on highly threatened insular populations from already genetically depauperate species (e.g., kākāpō, Strigops habroptilus; Dussex et al. 2021; Chatham Island black robin, Petroica traversi; von Seth et al. 2022). However, little attention has been given to widespread and genetically diverse species. Such species, many of which are classified as non-threatened on the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List, are becoming increasingly threatened due to anthropogenic activities in their ranges (Finn et al. 2023). As the genomic consequences of inbreeding and decreasing population sizes of widespread populations may differ from those of more threatened taxa (Robinson et al. 2016; Taylor et al. 2024), it is increasingly important to consider non-flagship species in the field of conservation genomics in order to pre-emptively promote their conservation.

Here, we investigated the effects of insularity and low population size in three guenon species, tribe Cercopithecini, one of the most genetically diverse African primate groups (Jensen et al. 2023; Kuderna et al. 2023). We used sequencing data to compare genome-wide diversity, inbreeding and genetic load between insular and mainland populations of the spot-nosed monkey (Cercopithecus petaurista, Scheber, 1774), the Campbell's monkey (Cercopithecus campbelli, Waterhouse, 1838), and the green monkey (Chlorocebus sabaeus, Linnaeus, 1766) in Guinea-Bissau, West Africa. Although classified as non-threatened by the IUCN, these species are declining throughout their ranges (Gonedelé Bi et al. 2020; Matsuda Goodwin, Gonedelé Bi, et al. 2020; Matsuda Goodwin, Segniagbeto, et al. 2020). In Guinea-Bissau, these are the most hunted primate species for bushmeat trade (Ferreira da Silva et al. 2021; Minhós et al. 2013) and the insular populations are not considered for

conservation management by local authorities (Colmonero-Costeira et al. 2023).

2 | Methods

2.1 | Study Area and Focal Species

The Bijagós Archipelago is a continental archipelago of 88 islands and islets located off the coast of Guinea-Bissau, West Africa. It has been proposed that the separation of the archipelago from the mainland started as early as ca. 19,000 years ago (YA) but is thought to have become fully isolated during the Holocene, particularly during the lower Flandrian transgression, ca. 12,000–6000 YA (Alves 2007). Both climate and vegetation are similar to southern areas of the mainland (Catarino et al. 2008), which is characterised as a mosaic of forest of Guinean affinity and woodland savannah. Nonhuman primates coexist with local *Bijagó* communities whose arrival on the archipelago has been associated with the expansion of West African trading empires, including the peak expansion of the Ghana and Mali Empires, ca. 1200–800 YA (Rodney 1970 in Lundy 2015).

The spot-nosed monkey and the Campbell's monkey are classified by the IUCN as Near Threatened (Matsuda Goodwin, Gonedelé Bi, et al. 2020; Matsuda Goodwin, Segniagbeto, et al. 2020), whereas the green monkey is listed as Least Concern (Gonedelé Bi et al. 2020). These guenon species are the only non-human primates occurring on the Bijagós Archipelago (Colmonero-Costeira et al. 2019; Gippoliti and Dell'Omo 2003). Across the archipelago, the distribution of the three species does not overlap except on Caravela Island, where both the spot-nosed monkey and the Campbell's monkey can be found in sympatry (Colmonero-Costeira et al. 2019; Gippoliti and Dell'Omo 2003). The three species are widespread and considered habitat generalists, exhibiting high behavioural and dietary flexibility (Bersacola et al. 2022; Rowe and Myers 2016).

In Guinea-Bissau, the spot-nosed monkey (santcu naris-branku or santcu bidjugu in Guinea-Bissau Kriol) is possibly restricted to the Bijagós Archipelago (Gippoliti and Dell'Omo 2003). Historically, the species is thought to have been present on the mainland (Gippoliti and Dell'Omo 2003) but has been absent/ not reported from the mainland for the last 30 years (Bersacola et al. 2018, 2022; Gippoliti and Dell'Omo 2003; Colmonero-Costeira, personal communication). The Campbell's monkey (santcu mona in Guinea-Bissau Kriol) and the green monkey (santcu di tarafe in Guinea-Bissau Kriol) are considered the most abundant non-human primate species in the country (Bersacola et al. 2018; Karibuhoye 2004; Gippoliti and Dell'Omo 2003). However, past studies suggest that their populations are threatened by illegal commercial hunting and habitat destruction (Gippoliti and Dell'Omo 2003). Campbell's and green monkeys are the most traded primates for meat consumption in the urban bushmeat markets in the capital city and the most commonly available species in restaurants/bars in the south of the country (Ferreira da Silva et al. 2021; Minhós et al. 2013). On the Bijagós Archipelago, the commercial trade of spot-nosed monkey meat has been recently described (Colmonero-Costeira et al. 2023) and subsistence hunting is reported for the remaining two species (see below).

2.2 | Sample Collection

Tissue samples of spot-nosed monkeys, Campbell's monkeys, and green monkeys were obtained as part of previous research that focused on primate meat hunting and consumption in Guinea-Bissau between 2010 and 2017. Samples from the Bijagós Archipelago were collected directly from local hunters or villagers preparing the carcasses for consumption (2002–2016). Samples from mainland Guinea-Bissau were collected at meat markets in the capital city, Bissau (March-June 2010; Minhós et al. 2013), or from drinking establishments located in the vicinity of protected areas in southern mainland (2015-2017; Ferreira da Silva et al. 2021). The DNA extractions of samples collected in Bissau is described in Minhós et al. (2013). For the remaining samples, we extracted DNA using a salting out procedure (Miller et al. 1988) at the CIBIO-InBIO research centre, Portugal. Negative controls were included throughout the entire process to test for DNA contamination. Samples with low DNA concentration were re-extracted using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit (QIAGEN Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's protocol. To minimise the inclusion of highly related individuals in the dataset, we selected samples collected in different weeks, different commercial establishments or different hunting events. A total of 50 samples were sequenced on Illumina NovaSeq6000 (150 bp PE), aiming for 30× coverage per sample. We generated high quality whole-genome sequences from the three guenon species present on the Bijagós Archipelago (eight spot-nosed, one Campbell's and three green monkeys), and their mainland counterparts of Campbell's (N=25) and green monkey (N=10) (Supporting Information S2). Since it is likely that no spot-nosed monkeys are present on the mainland Guinea Bissau, we included a publicly available spot-nosed monkey genome of unknown geographic origin from mainland Africa in the analyses (Kuderna et al. 2023).

2.3 | Mapping and Variant Calling

We used Picard v2.23.4 (http://broadinstitute.github.io/ picard/) to add read group information and mark adapter content in the sequencing reads. Reads were aligned to the rhesus macaque (Macaca mulatta) reference genome (Mmul_10, GCF_003339765.1) with the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) v0.7.17 (Li and Durbin 2009). Picard was used to sort and deduplicate the mapped bam-files. Next, we used GATK v4.3.0.0 to call genotypes per sample with HaplotypeCaller, which were subsequently combined with CombineGVCFs and jointly genotyped with GenotypeGVCFs. We excluded insertions and deletions, and used VariantFiltration to filter variants based on the following exclusion criteria: QD < 2.0, QUAL < 30.0, SOR > 3.0, FS > 60.0, MQ < 40.0, MQRankSum < -12.5, ReadPosRankSum < -8.0. Repetitive regions were excluded following the SNPable regions pipeline (https://lh3lh3.users.sourceforge.net/snpable. shtml). We also masked heterozygous genotypes with skewed allelic balance (minor allele support < 0.25), as well as genotypes with less than half or more than double the genome-wide average read depth per sample.

2.4 | Mitochondrial Genome Assembly and Haplotype Networks

The mitochondrial genomes were assembled using the MitoFinder pipeline (Allio et al. 2020). We started the assembly by trimming adapter sequences using Trimmomatic (Bolger et al. 2014) and running MitoFinder with the metaspades assembler. The assembled contigs were corrected for circularization and rotated to the same starting position using a custom Python script (https://github.com/axeljen/guenon_phylogenomics) and annotated using the green monkey (NC_008066.1) reference mitochondrial genome. Annotated assemblies were aligned for each species separately using MAFFT aligner (Katoh et al. 2002). We inspected the species-specific alignments visually and trimmed the non-coding hypervariable region 1 (positions 16,010-16,361) and hypervariable region 2 (positions 56-364) of the green monkey reference genome and misassembles/misalignments. For the spot-nosed monkey, we included publicly available mitochondrial genomes (JQ256931.1, JQ256932.1, JQ256982.1 and JQ256983.1) in the final alignment (Guschanski et al. 2013). We visualised the relationships between the assembled mitochondrial genomes by reconstructing median joining haplotype networks using PopART (Leigh and Bryant 2015).

2.5 | Population Structure, Divergence Times and Effective Population Size

To assess population structure between the insular and mainland populations, we conducted a principal component analysis (PCA) in PLINK v1.9 (Purcell et al. 2007), removing positions with missing genotypes within each species (--geno 0) and thinning the total autosomal SNPs to 100,000. We inferred the demographic history of the populations using three complementary methods: the pairwise sequentially Markovian coalescent model implemented in beta-PSMC (Liu et al. 2022), the multispecies coalescent model implemented in BPP (Flouri et al. 2018), and demographic modelling based on linkage disequilibrium (LD) between pairs of loci as implemented in GONE (Santiago et al. 2020). We ran beta-PSMC (Liu et al. 2022) with default parameters and five sub-atomic time intervals. The variance of the estimated N_e trajectories was assessed by 20 randomised resampling runs across the genome. We scaled the output assuming a mutation rate of 4.65×10^{-9} per site per generation (Kuderna et al. 2023) and a generation time of 11 years for the spot-nosed monkey and 12 years for the Campbell's monkey and green monkey (Gonedelé Bi et al. 2020; Matsuda Goodwin, Gonedelé Bi, et al. 2020; Matsuda Goodwin, Segniagbeto, et al. 2020). Beta-PSMC was chosen over the PSMC method (Li and Durbin 2011) as it provides a more detailed and accurate estimation of population size changes at more recent timescales (Liu et al. 2022), and is less sensitive to artefacts commonly reported in PSMC (Hilgers et al. 2025). We used BPP to estimate divergence times and effective population sizes (N_{a}) of mainland and island guenon populations. Due to lack of genetic differentiation (see below), green monkeys from Ganogo and Orango islands were considered as one population in these analyses (OIG, Orango Island Group), and we used a single representative per population (the sample with the highest sequencing coverage). We randomly sampled autosomal loci of 1 kb each, located at least 10 kb

from the nearest gene and at least 50kb from each other, requiring at least 800 bp without missing data. We first ran bpp to estimate the species tree (speciestree = 1), confirming that the island populations of the spot-nosed monkeys were monophyletic. Next, we ran two independent analyses to estimate divergence times and effective population sizes. We discarded the first 2000 MCMC iterations as burnin, and then sampled every second iteration until 200,000 samples were obtained. After confirming that the two runs converged at highly similar parameter estimates, the two runs were merged and jointly summarised using the bppr package v0.6.3 (Reis 2025). We used the same mutation rate used in the bPSMC analyses (4.65×10^{-9}) and a generation time of 11 years to scale the theta and tau estimates to effective population size and geological time, respectively. For the spotnosed monkeys of Canhabaque Island (N=7), we inferred more recent changes in N_e using GONE. This species was chosen as a representative of the island populations for its sufficiently large sample size, permitting the use of this method. We used the default parameters, aside from excluding SNP positions for which at least one individual was not genotyped (ZERO = 0). We used the rhesus macaque (Macaca mulatta) average genome-wide recombination rate of 0.448 cM/Mb (Xue et al. 2020). To incorporate variance in the estimated N_{ρ} trajectories, GONE was run 20 independent times using a random subset of 2,000,000 SNPs generated using PLINK.

2.6 | Genome-Wide Diversity and Inbreeding

We identified autosomal runs of homozygosity (ROH) using PLINK v1.9 and estimated autosomal heterozygosity for each individual genome using vcftools (Danecek et al. 2011). To minimise the detection of false positive ROH, we scaled the number of SNPs that constituted a ROH (--homozyg-snp) by the within species average heterozygosity (Purfield et al. 2012):

$$L = \frac{\log_{e} \frac{a}{n_{s}n_{i}}}{\log_{e}(1 - \text{het})}$$

where n_s is the number of genotyped SNPs, n_i the number of genotyped individuals, α the false positive ROH threshold (set at 0.05), and *het* the mean heterozygosity. Based on *L*, the minimum number of SNPs that constituted a ROH was set to 77, 88, and 81 for the spot-nosed monkey, the Campbell's monkey and the green monkey, respectively. We selected a scanning window length equal to *L* as suggested by (Meyermans et al. 2020). For the remaining ROH search parameters, we used the default values. The fraction of the genome contained in ROH ($F_{\rm ROH}$) for each individual was estimated as:

$$F_{\rm ROH} = \frac{L_{\rm ROH}}{L_{\rm ROH,HOM}}$$

where $L_{\rm ROH}$ is the sum of the length of all detected ROHs, and $L_{\rm ROH,HOM}$ is the maximal detectable ROH length of a synthetic homozygous genome of each individual, under the same ROH detection parameters (Meyermans et al. 2020). The synthetic homozygous genome for each individual was obtained by changing all heterozygous genotypes to homozygous using a custom Python script. This minimised the impact of stochastic genome-specific sequencing artefacts that potentially

prevent the correct detection of ROH in window-based methods (Meyermans et al. 2020).

We estimated the distribution of ROHs arising from inbreeding after the isolation of the Bjagós Archipelago or during human coexistence using the expected ROH coalescent time in generations (g):

$$g = \frac{100}{2rl}$$

Equivalent to:

$$l = \frac{50}{\text{rg}}$$

where *r* is recombination rate and *l* the ROH length (Thompson 2013). We used the rhesus macaque average genome-wide recombination rate of 0.448 cM/Mb. As such, we established ROH \geq 1 Mb as human-contemporary (up to 110 guenon generations, \approx 1200 YA) and ROH < 1 Mb as historical (older than 110 guenon generations ago). The chosen time windows reflect the earliest time of the arrival of humans to the Bijagós Archipelago and the isolation of the archipelago, respectively.

2.7 | Genetic Load

To investigate if isolation on the islands may have led to the purging of deleterious mutations, we characterised the derived positions in coding regions across the insular and mainland guenon genomes using Ensembl's variant effect predictor (VEP; McLaren et al. 2016). Prior to the VEP annotation, we polarised the ancestral alleles using the Guinea baboon (Papio papio; GCA 028645565.1), the Angolan colobus monkey (Colobus angolensis; GCF_000951035.1), and rhesus macaque (Mmul_10) as outgroups. The additional outgroups were aligned to the Mmul_10 reference genome using Minimap2's cross-species full-genome alignment (Li 2018), assuming a species divergence below 20% (asm20). We called the genotypes on the outgroups using bcftools mpileup, multialleliccaller (-m) (Danecek et al. 2021). Alleles present in the three outgroup species in the homozygous state were defined as ancestral. These positions were identified using a custom Python script. Invariable sites in the guenons were removed for downstream analysis.

The effects of the retained variants were predicted based on the rhesus macaque (Mmul_10) annotations. For sites on overlapping transcripts, we selected a single prediction per variant based on VEP's standard ordered set of criteria (--*pick*), including the canonical status of a transcript, APPRIS functional isoform notation (most functionally important transcripts), transcript support level, biotype (protein coding preferred), consequence rank (most severe). We classified the annotated variants as loss-of-function (LoF: transcript ablation, stop gained, stop lost, start lost, frameshift variant, splice region variant, splice donor variant and splice acceptor variant), missense and synonymous. Missense variants were further classified into two classes: (1) likely deleterious or (2) tolerated, using the Experimental exchangeability score of amino acids (EX; Yampolsky and Stoltzfus 2005). We calculated the EX of missense variants using a custom Python script adapted from Smeds and Ellegren (2023) (https://github.com/linneas/wolf-deleterious). Sites were classified as likely deleterious if the Experimental exchangeability score was ≤ 0.256 (Williamson et al. 2005).

A decrease in the number of deleterious variants across the genome can potentially arise as a combination of purifying selection and stochastic loss due to genetic drift. Considering that without selection, genetic drift does not change allele counts of the derived mutations (Dussex et al. 2023), we estimated the individual genetic load as the relative abundance (RA) of the derived alleles (A) for each individual (i) of population x and standardised it by the number of derived alleles within species across the different mutational categories:

$$\mathrm{RA}_{\mathrm{A ix}} = \frac{n_{\mathrm{R/A i}} + 2n_{\mathrm{A/A i}}}{2n_{\mathrm{p}}}$$

where $n_{\rm R/A\,i}$ is the number of derived heterozygous positions (*R*, reference allele; *A*, derived allele), $n_{\rm A/A\,I}$ is the number of derived homozygous positions, and $n_{\rm p}$ is the total number of derived positions across all the genomes for a particular species (insular and mainland).

Furthermore, based on the VEP output, we performed a functional analysis of the LoF variants that have become fixed in the homozygous state in insular populations. We conducted a gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis using g:Profiler (https://biit.cs.ut.ee/gprofiler/gost) and the g:SCS multiple testing correction algorithm on these sets of genes (Kolberg et al. 2023).

2.8 | Differential Variation in Protein-Coding Genes

We identified variants in protein-coding regions that were fixed for alternative alleles between insular and mainland populations of the same species using a custom Python script (Jensen et al. 2023). We annotated the differentially fixed positions using VEP. To filter out variants that accumulate through drift in potential pseudogenes, we removed all genes that contained LoF variants. Next, we looked for sets of genes that contained differentially fixed variants in at least two of the studied guenon species and conducted a GO enrichment analysis using g:Profiler.

3 | Results

3.1 | Sequencing and Genotyping

We successfully generated high quality whole-genome sequences for 48 samples. We found two mainland Cambell's monkeys and one mainland green monkey were likely sampled twice. The repeat samples were excluded from the analyses, keeping one sample per individual. The final dataset consisted of 44 newly generated whole-genome sequences (eight spot-nosed, 24 Campbell's and 12 green monkeys). Average autosomal mapping



FIGURE 1 | Principal component analysis (PCA) based on autosomal biallelic single nucleotide polymorphisms of insular and mainland guenons of Guinea-Bissau. An inset focusing on the insular green monkeys is shown on the right of the green monkey PCA plot. Each individual sample is coloured according to their sampling area, as illustrated on the map of Guinea-Bissau, West Africa. The exact provenance of the mainland samples of Campbell's and green monkeys is not known but they likely originate from Southern Guinea-Bissau (represented by the brown contour in the map in the upper right side) (Minhós et al. 2013; Ferreira da Silva et al. 2021). The geographic origin of the mainland spot-nosed sample is unknown, but it likely belongs to the eastern subspecies based on mtDNA analyses (Figure S2). Illustrations copyright 2022 Stephen D. Nash/IUCN SSC Primate Specialist Group. Used with permission.

coverage of the dataset varied between 25.95× and 35.00× (average = 30.32×; Supporting Information S1). After calling the genotypes against the rhesus macaque Mmul_10 reference genome, we identified 18,415,827 autosomal biallelic SNPs in the spot-nosed monkey, 21,836,509 SNPs in the Campbell's monkey, and 15,716,160 SNPs in the green monkey. Additionally, we assembled and annotated the mitochondrial genomes for all the samples.

3.1.1 | The Three Insular Populations Are Differentiated From Mainland Populations and Show Reduced Long-Term N_e

The PCAs suggested the existence of population structure in all three guenon species, with PC1 differentiating insular and mainland populations within each species and explaining 33.3%, 7.5% and 16.4% of the variance for the spot-nosed, Campbell's and green monkeys, respectively (Figure 1). Further differentiation along PC2 was observed between the spot-nosed monkey individuals from Canhabaque and Caravela Islands. In contrast, the green monkeys from Ganogo and Orango Islands (separated by a ~500 m water channel) were almost indistinguishable from each other in the PC space. In the absence of clear population structure, individuals from these two neighbouring islands were treated as one population in downstream analyses, designated as the Orango Islands Group (OIG).

None of the mtDNA haplotypes sampled from the islands were shared with the mainland in any of the three species (Figures S1-S4). In the Campbell's monkey and the green monkey, the pairwise number of substitutions between insular and mainland haplotypes was within the range of the pairwise comparisons among the mainland haplotypes. In contrast, the spot-nosed monkey showed insular haplotypes that differed from each other by only a few substitutions, but these haplotypes were separated from the mainland haplotype by more than 400 substitutions. Since the geographic origin of the mainland spot-nosed individual is not known, this separation could be the result of deep population structure within the species, such as genetic differentiation at the subspecies level. After the inclusion of the historical mtDNA genomes (Guschanski et al. 2013), the mtDNA haplotype from the mainland spot-nosed monkey included in the dataset was more closely related to mtDNA haplotypes sampled in Togo, Liberia and Ivory Coast. This result suggests the mainland individual used in this study could be from the eastern C. petaurista subspecies (C. petaurista petaurista, Jentink, 1886), whereas the archipelago individuals likely belong to the western subspecies (C. petaurista buettikoferi, Jentink, 1886), which would explain the deep mitogenomic divergence.

We estimated changes in $N_{\rm e}$ over time using beta-PSMC analyses. Overall, the three species showed different demographic histories roughly before the onset of the Penultimate Glacial Period



FIGURE 2 | Genome-wide consequences of insularity in spot-nosed (left), Campbell's (centre), and green monkey populations (right). (A) Estimation of long-term changes in N_e of populations using the pairwise sequentially Markovian coalescent model of insular (Caravela, Canhabaque, Orango Island Group—OIG) and mainland populations as inferred using beta-PSMC (Liu et al. 2022). Due to the lack of differentiation, green monkeys from Ganogo and Orango islands were considered as one population in these analyses (OIG). Colours correspond to the sampling locations. Bootstrap runs are represented as pale lines. Light blue backgrounds correspond to the Last Glacial Period (LGP) and the Penultimate Glacial Period (PGP). For illustrative purposes, only a single individual per population is represented as the variation within populations is negligible (Figure S5). (B) Fraction of the genome in ROH (F_{ROH}). F_{ROH} for each sampled individual is represented in the stacked bars. The proportion of short ROHs (<1 Mb, 111–1450 guenon generations, ~16,000–1211 YA) and long ROHs (≥ 1 Mb, 110 generations ago, ~1200 YA) are represented in yellow and red, respectively. (C) Heterozygosity based on bi-allelic autosomal SNP positions. The circles or boxplots (when N > 3 genomes) were coloured according to the sampling location. Differences in autosomal heterozygosity between insular and mainland green monkeys were significant (Welch's two sample t-test, p < 0.01; statistical significance could not be tested for the other species [N < 3 genomes in one of the groups]). Green monkey individuals from Ganogo and Orango islands were clustered together into the Orango Islands Group (OIG). Note differences on the y-axis for each species. Illustrations copyright 2022 Stephen D. Nash/IUCN SSC Primate Specialist Group. Used with permission.

(PGP, > 200,000 YA; Figure 2A). The maximum N_e of the three species was observed close to the end of the PGP (slight timing variation between species), after which they all underwent a severe decline in N_e that extends until the end of the Last Glacial Period (LGP, ~12,000 YA). The insular populations showed more rapid and severe declines than the mainland populations since the PGP, with population trajectories diverging between

100,000 and 40,000 YA in the three species. We also inferred the demographic history of the insular and mainland guenon populations using BPP. Island and mainland populations were estimated to have diverged between 600,000 and 160,000 YA, which was accompanied by a drastic decline in $N_{\rm e}$ in all insular populations relative to the mainland conspecifics (Figure S6). Effective population size estimates of insular spot-nosed monkeys were



FIGURE 3 | Individual mutational load in insular spot-nosed (left), Campbell's (centre), and green monkey populations (right). Upper panels: Homozygosity of variants across different categories of mutational effects of the annotated derived SNPs. Lower panels: Relative abundance of derived alleles. Boxplots are presented when N > 3 individuals. The statistical comparisons for both the homozygosity and the relative abundance of derived alleles was performed within each variant category (e.g., LoF insular vs. LoF mainland) for the green monkey (Welch's two sample *t*-test: Homozygosity p < 0.01 across all categories; relative abundance of derived alleles, 0.52 across all categories; statistical significance couldnot be tested for the other species [<math>N < 3 genomes in one of the groups]). Missense mutations were classified as potentially deleterious or tolerated based on the experimental exchangeability score (EX, Yampolsky and Stoltzfus 2005).

~18% (Caravela) and ~40% (Canhabaque) of the mainland population $N_{\rm e}$. Campbell's and green monkeys were ~7% and 14% of their mainland conspecifics, respectively. Nevertheless, the $N_{\rm e}$ estimates of insular guenon populations were in the range of ~10,000 to ~46,000 individuals. Contemporary $N_{\rm e}$ estimates of the insular spot-nosed monkeys from Canhabaque Island (the only insular population with adequate sample size to run GONE analysis) suggested a much smaller population size (~2300 individuals) and a bottleneck-like signal around 3000 YA (Figure S7).

3.1.2 | Insular Populations Show Signatures of Elevated Inbreeding and Loss of Genetic Diversity

The insular individuals of the three species showed ~4–10 times higher proportion of the genome in ROH than mainland populations (Figure 2B). The differences between insular and mainland populations were driven by both an increase in short (<1 Mb) and long ROHs (\geq 1 Mb). The largest differences in the proportion of the genome in long ROHs were found in the spot-nosed monkey and Campbell's monkey from Caravela Island, which displayed a ~9 and 71 times increase compared to the mainland

individuals of the same species, respectively. Moreover, all insular individuals displayed lower heterozygosity (~15%–53% fewer autosomal heterozygous positions, Figure 2C).

3.1.3 | Island Populations Do Not Show Increased Purging nor Accumulation of Deleterious Variants

To understand if small effective population sizes and increased inbreeding associated with insularity led to changes in the frequency of deleterious mutations, we estimated the individual genetic load for each genome. After identifying the ancestral states of the segregating SNPs in the guenon species using three outgroup species, a total of 181,372 derived SNPs in the spotnosed monkey, 217,114 in the Campbell's monkeys, and 188,879 in green monkeys were annotated (Table S1). In general, insular and mainland populations showed similar patterns across all categories of derived variants. In all three species, we found that the proportion of homozygous derived variants is higher in island individuals than in mainland individuals regardless of variant category (Figure 3). This is a pattern consistent with the conversion of masked into realised genetic load in the insular populations by inbreeding and genetic drift. There was a general trend of lower numbers of LoF and other deleterious variants among insular individuals compared to their mainland conspecifics (Table S1). However, after standardising for the relative abundance of segregating alleles within species, there were no apparent differences between islands and mainland individuals (Figure 3). Additionally, we highlight that the patterns between the genetic load of insular and mainland individuals across different categories of deleterious variants were similar to those of synonymous variants, which are expected to behave neutrally (Figure 3).

In the insular spot-nosed monkeys, we identified a total of 17 genes containing LoF variants that have become fixed in homozygous states, 32 genes in the insular Campbell's monkey, and eight genes in the insular green monkeys (Supporting Information S2). These sets of genes were species-specific, with some being associated with immune response (spot-nosed monkey: APOL5; Campbell's monkey: ACP5, ADGRG5, TRBV7-3, REG3G; green monkey: IFI16) and fecundity (Campbell's monkey: PADI6). Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis revealed no overrepresented GO terms.

3.1.4 | Differential Variation in Protein-Coding Genes Between Island and Mainland Populations Is Enriched for Developmental Processes

To explore the hypothesis that insularity can lead to specific, potentially adaptive, genetic and phenotypic changes between insular populations and their mainland counterparts, we identified all differentially fixed positions between the island and mainland populations in each species. We found 2988 differentially fixed variants in protein-coding genes for the spotnosed monkey, 656 for the Campbell's monkey, and 176 for the green monkey. Within these gene sets, 203 genes were found in at least two species (Figure S8). The GO enrichment analysis of this overlapped gene set revealed several overrepresented terms, mostly related to various metabolic functions and organism developmental processes (Supporting Information S3). Specifically, several of the genes with differential variation between insular and mainland populations are involved in cellular division and organogenesis (PDGFRA, TGFB2, E2F8, NIN), neuronal and muscular development (SYNE2, BRINP1, TNC, ARNT2, TENM4, ACTN3, FMN1), skeletal development (CHST11, COL2A1) and thyroid development and functioning (PAX8).

4 | Discussion

Insular populations are often of conservation concern as they are typically more susceptible to loss of genetic diversity due to demographic processes associated with island colonisation. In this work, we explored the genomic signatures of insularity and reduced population size in guenons, a primate group known for their overall high genetic diversity (Jensen et al. 2023; Kuderna et al. 2023). We compared island and mainland populations in three guenon species from the Bijagos Archipelago, Guinea-Bissau (in West Africa). In the presence of high sample size skew, as often is the case in opportunistic sampling schemes, and aware of its implications on population-level statistics, we employed mostly individual-based statistics and explored the common genetic patterns between the three species which likely represent the broad effects of insularity. Finally, we use our results to inform future conservation management actions for the three guenon species of the Bijagós Archipelago in Guinea-Bissau. Our results are potentially applicable to other widespread and genetically diverse taxa, now under increasing threats from anthropogenic activities.

4.1 | Genome-Wide Effects of Insularity

In all three guenon species considered here, island populations were genetically differentiated from the mainland populations. The timing of the divergence between insular and mainland populations varied between species but has generally happened before the start of the LGP (>100,000 YA), according to bPSMC and bpp analyses. Since this time period, the island populations have experienced a steadier decline in the estimated N_{o} than their mainland counterparts. Nonetheless, N_{e} estimates were not alarmingly low for any of the insular populations ($N_0 > 2300$; Frankham et al. 2014; Pérez-Pereira et al. 2022). The observed lower genome-wide heterozygosity and higher $F_{\rm ROH}$ in the insular populations of all three species compared to mainland populations support the long-term reduction of the N_{o} as suggested by the demographic analyses. The genome-wide effects of population bottlenecks associated with island colonisation could be further exacerbated in archipelagos, where sequential colonisation events from the initial founding populations are likely (Allendorf et al. 2013; Martin et al. 2023). In our study species, this effect can potentially be observed in the spot-nosed monkey individual from Caravela, the outermost island of the Bijagós Archipelago. This individual has lower genome-wide diversity and higher $F_{\rm ROH}$ compared to the Canhabaque population.

The timing of divergence between the insular and mainland populations as estimated by the bPSMC and BPP analyses is unexpectedly old considering the proposed timing of isolation of the Bijagós Archipelago during the Holocene (ca 12,000-6000 YA; Alves 2007). Previous work has shown that N_{ρ} fluctuations from coalescent-based methods can reflect true changes in $N_{\rm e}$, cessation of panmixia, or both (Mazet et al. 2016). Indeed, the timing of the divergence of the populations coincides with cycles of dryer and wetter climate and, consequently, repeated retraction and expansion of forests across sub-Saharan Africa (Hoag and Svenning 2017)-a known promoter of changes in connectivity in forest dwelling species such as primates (van der Valk et al. 2024). Thus, it is likely that the reported divergence of the $N_{\rm o}$ trajectories of bPSMC and BPP reflects a scenario of the disruption of panmixia/population structure arising prior to the colonisation of the archipelago. Moreover, the mainland populations included here may not represent the most closely related source populations, particularly for the spot-nosed monkey, whose presence has not been reported from mainland Guinea-Bissau for over 30 years (Bersacola et al. 2018, 2022; Gippoliti and Dell'Omo 2003; Colmonero-Costeira, personal communication). On the other hand, the more recent (3000 YA) bottlenecklike effect detected in GONE for the spot-nosed monkeys of Canhabaque Island could represent the island colonisation event of this population. However, our results should be interpreted with caution as the reliability of point estimates of the

analysis decreases after 100 generations in the past (~1100 YA; Santiago et al. 2020).

A recurring question in conservation genomics regarding the fate of small and inbred populations, such as those inhabiting insular systems, is whether genetic load is accumulating after a demographic bottleneck or if genetic purging is effective in decreasing the genetic load of highly deleterious mutations, thus preventing mutational meltdown. The decrease in the frequency of LoF variants following the conversion of masked load (i.e., heterozygous states of partially recessive deleterious mutations) into realised load (i.e., homozygous states) is often the main diagnostic feature of purging in bottlenecked populations (Dussex et al. 2021; Grossen et al. 2020; Khan et al. 2021; van der Valk et al. 2024; von Seth et al. 2022; Xue et al. 2015). Although a higher proportion of homozygous LoF variants was found in the insular guenon populations studied here, similar patterns were present in missense and neutral variants. Furthermore, after standardising the genetic load to the number of segregating derived sites (i.e., relative abundance of derived alleles), we observed that insular and mainland individuals have similar numbers of deleterious alleles across all variant categories, which is expected under genetic drift alone (Dussex et al. 2023; Smeds and Ellegren 2023).

Another commonly reported genomic consequence of prolonged periods of small N_{ρ} is the accumulation of mildly deleterious (e.g., missense) mutations (Dussex et al. 2023). Since drift diminishes the effectiveness of purifying selection, de novo mildly deleterious mutations are more likely to drift to higher frequencies in small populations (Dussex et al. 2023). We do not observe such a pattern in the insular guenon populations, suggesting that the effectiveness of purifying selection against deleterious derived alleles has been retained overall (Dussex et al. 2023). We argue that the insular guenon populations of the Bijagós Archipelago lack the typical genomic features of small, inbred populations. Our results suggest that the increased inbreeding and loss of genetic diversity in the insular populations have, so far, not led to increased purging of highly deleterious variants nor accumulation of genetic load (Dussex et al. 2023; Robinson et al. 2016; Taylor et al. 2024).

Several guenon species have been successful in establishing insular populations following human-assisted introduction (e.g., green monkeys in Cape Verde (Almeida et al. 2024; Hazevoet and Masseti 2011) and multiple Caribbean Islands (Denham and Denham 1981), mona monkeys, Cercopithecus mona, in São Tomé and Príncipe and Grenada (Glenn and Bensen 2013; Horsburgh et al. 2003)). Based on historical records, these populations are thought to have been founded by relatively small numbers of individuals and the populations have increased to thousands of individuals within 300-500 years. While most of these populations remain poorly studied, there are no reports suggesting the presence of deleterious inbreeding effects on the islands when compared to mainland Africa (e.g., Grenada mona monkeys; Glenn and Bensen 2013). The success of guenon colonisation and potential avoidance of inbreeding effects could be attributed to a high ecological and behavioural flexibility and the relatively beneficial island ecosystems (e.g., decreased interspecific competition; Glenn and Bensen 2013) and overall high genetic diversity (Jensen et al. 2023; Kuderna et al. 2023).

10 of 14

Finally, we observed differentially fixed variation between insular and mainland populations. Some of the affected genes were present in two or three species and encoded for metabolic and developmental processes, which included cellular proliferation and organ size. While the specific phenotypic expression of these genes is unknown, these functional categories are among those commonly associated with the 'island syndrome' in vertebrate species (Nolte et al. 2020; Payseur and Jing 2021; Sendell-Price et al. 2020). As populations are exposed to novel ecological challenges in insular ecosystems, which include changes in inter-specific competition and predation dynamics, and access to novel food items, selection pressures acting in insular and source populations are likely to differ in several aspects (Welles and Dlugosch 2019). Common adaptations to the same insular ecosystem are a possible mechanism behind the overlapping gene sets across the three species (Payseur and Jing 2021; Welles and Dlugosch 2019). However, since our results suggest that genetic drift is likely the predominant evolutionary mechanism differentiating insular and mainland populations, an alternative explanation is that these genes are under relaxed selection on the islands. The relaxation of selection in genes linked to increased fitness in the mainland populations would allow drift to fix non-synonymous variants that may be otherwise deleterious on the mainland (Cui et al. 2021; Wang et al. 2023). We stress, however, that these results should be interpreted with caution as differences in phenotypic traits between the guenon populations of the Bijagós Archipelago and the mainland populations have never been studied. Further the current mapping to a distant reference genome (rhesus macaque) and unbalanced sample sizes prevents an unbiased assessment of differentially fixed non-synonymous variation, as neutrally evolving variation could be wrongly annotated as protein coding variation (e.g., pseudogenes).

4.1.1 | Importance of Insular Populations for the Conservation of Genetically Diverse Species

Even though guenon populations of the Bijagós Archipelago are thought to be more threatened than their mainland counterparts—due to the overall loss of genetic diversity, $N_{\rm e}$, and inbreeding associated with insularity—this study did not find increased accumulation of deleterious variation on the islands. However, the increased inbreeding and genetic drift on the islands resulted in higher levels of realised load, which may affect population fitness negatively. We found fixed LoF variants in genes involved in immune and reproductive functions in the insular populations of the three guenon species, although their fitness effects are unknown.

It is important to highlight that natural processes are not the only factor determining individual survival of these primates. Habitat degradation and harvesting of individuals for meat consumption are current conservation threats, which may increase their risk of extinction (Colmonero-Costeira et al. 2023). Indeed, the insular populations of the three species show a significantly higher fraction of their genome in long runs of homozygosity, which likely arose due to the mating of closely related individuals in the last 1200 years after the arrival of humans on the archipelago. In particular, the illegal harvesting for the commercial meat trade has been described recently and is thought to be an increasing practice on the islands (Colmonero-Costeira et al. 2023). In the absence of immediate threats from genetic factors, the long-term conservation of these insular populations should be promoted mainly by reducing their immediate threats and safeguarding against additional loss of diversity by anthropogenic factors. For Guinea-Bissau in particular, the insular guenon populations are of high conservation interest, particularly the spot-nosed monkeys. We show that these relict populations are not genetically depauperate and could potentially act as reservoirs for the recently extinct (or very rare) mainland populations, creating the opportunity for future re-introduction of the species in mainland Guinea-Bissau.

Recent studies have proposed that small and threatened populations that underwent extensive purging are expected to be less sensitive to the effects of additional bottlenecks and can tolerate smaller N_{o} and still avoid severe inbreeding depression and extinction due to genetic factors (Caballero et al. 2016). However, not much is known about the response of genetically diverse species to demographic bottlenecks, such as the insular guenons studied here. Akin to this system, high realised genetic load in the absence of purging following population bottlenecks has been reported in other widespread and diverse species, such as the North American caribou, Rangifer tarandus (Taylor et al. 2024), and the Channel Island fox, Urocyon littoralis (Robinson et al. 2016). In these populations the interplay between the increasing anthropogenic threats and the dynamics of deleterious variation following demographic declines remains poorly studied and ought to be further investigated in order to pre-emptively promote their conservation.

Author Contributions

I. Colmonero-Costeira, A. Jensen, K. Guschanski, I. M. Russo, M. W. Bruford and M. J. Ferreira da Silva: conceptualization. I. Colmonero-Costeira and A. Jensen: methodology and analyses. S. L. Djaló, N. Fernandes, I. Colmonero-Costeira and M. J. Ferreira da Silva: sample acquisition. M. J. Ferreira da Silva, K. K.-H. Farh, L. K. K. Kuderna, J. Rogers and T. Marques-Bonet: funding acquisition. K. K.-H. Farh, L. K. K. Kuderna, J. Rogers and T. Marques-Bonet: data production and processing. I. Colmonero-Costeira: writing – original draft. All authors: writing – review and editing.

Acknowledgements

We dedicate this work to Professor M. W. Bruford-our mentor, colleague and friend. His enthusiasm, guidance, and support were key to the success of this study and to the advancement of the field of conservation genetics of the primates in Guinea-Bissau. We would like to acknowledge the Guinea-Bissau governmental agency Instituto de Biodiversidade e Áreas Protegidas (IBAP), namely to the former director Dr. A. Silva and Dr. J. Biai, and to current director Dr. A. Regalla, and the directors of protected areas and staff members-Dr. Said A., Dr. A. Cá, Dr. J. Mané and Dr. S. Danfa, for permits and logistical support during fieldwork. We thank I. Espinosa and H. Foito for logistical support in Bissau. We are grateful to the local communities of the Bijagós Archipelago and from Southern Mainland Guinea-Bissau that facilitated the execution of this work. We thank Prof L. Palma for facilitating tissue samples of spot-nosed monkeys from the Bijagós Archipelago and logistical support. We acknowledge Dr. R. Godinho and the CTM (Centre for Molecular Analysis, CIBIO-InBIO) staff for all the help and support with the molecular analysis of the tissue samples collected in 2015-2017.

Ethics Statement

All collected tissue samples used in this work were provided voluntarily and free of charge by local hunters and/traders. Informed consent to participate was obtained before sample collection. The providers of samples remained anonymous to local agencies and law enforcers. All sampling was carried out with the approval of the National Institute for Biodiversity and Protected Areas (IBAP). Direcção Geral das Florestas e Fauna (DGFF) authorised transportation and issued CITES export permits for tissue samples. Instituto da Conservação da Natureza e Florestas (ICNF, Portugal) issued the CITES importation permits to Portugal (Cercopithecus petaurista-No. 18PTLX00592I and 23PTLX00512I, Cercopithecus campbelli-No. 18PTLX00590I, Chlorocebus sabaeus-No./No 18PTLX00586I). The Nagoya protocol was not in place in Guinea-Bissau at the time of sampling. However, we acknowledged the work of local researchers by including them in research decisions, dissemination of results and as co-authors of this manuscript. Results have been shared with the local governmental agencies through regular meetings and progress reports. Results of this work will be disseminated to the local communities through meetings and communications in local media.

Conflicts of Interest

L.F.K.K. and K.-H.K.F. are employees of Illumina Inc.

Data Availability Statement

The sequencing data used in this project are available on the European nucleotide archive (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena), under project accession PRJEB90502. Custom scripts used for data analyses are available at https://github.com/Colmonero-CI/Guenons_POPGENOM.

References

Adler, G. H., and R. Levins. 1994. "The Island Syndrome in Rodent Populations." *Quarterly Review of Biology* 69, no. 4: 473–490. https://doi.org/10.1086/418744.

Allendorf, F. W., P. A. Hohenlohe, and G. Luikart. 2010. "Genomics and the Future of Conservation Genetics." *Nature Reviews Genetics* 11, no. 10: 697–709. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2844.

Allendorf, F. W., G. Luikart, and S. N. Aitken. 2013. *Conservation and the Genetics of Populations*. 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. https://doi. org/10.1093/jhered/esl039.

Allio, R., A. Schomaker-Bastos, J. Romiguier, F. Prosdocimi, B. Nabholz, and F. Delsuc. 2020. "MitoFinder: Efficient Automated Large-Scale Extraction of Mitogenomic Data in Target Enrichment Phylogenomics." *Molecular Ecology Resources* 20, no. 4: 892–905. https://doi.org/10.1111/ 1755-0998.13160.

Almeida, L., I. Colmonero-Costeira, M. J. F. da Silva, C. Veracini, and R. Vasconcelos. 2024. "Insights Into the Geographical Origins of the Cabo Verde Green Monkey." *Genes* 15, no. 4: 504. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes15040504.

Alves, P. H. 2007. "A Geologia Sedimentar Da Guiné-Bissau Da Análise Geral E Evolução Do Conhecimento Ao Estudo Do Cenozóico [Phd Thesis, Universidade de Lisboa]."

Bataillon, T., and M. Kirkpatrick. 2000. "Inbreeding Depression due to Mildly Deleterious Mutations in Finite Populations: Size Does Matter." *Genetics Research* 75, no. 1: 75–81. https://doi.org/10.1017/S001667239 9004048.

Bersacola, E., J. Bessa, A. Frazão-Moreira, D. Biro, C. Sousa, and K. J. Hockings. 2018. "Primate Occurrence Across a Human-Impacted Landscape in Guinea-Bissau and Neighbouring Regions in West Africa: Using a Systematic Literature Review to Highlight the Next Conservation Steps." *PeerJ* 6: e4847. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4847.

Bersacola, E., C. M. Hill, V. Nijman, and K. J. Hockings. 2022. "Examining Primate Community Occurrence Patterns in Agroforest Landscapes Using Arboreal and Terrestrial Camera Traps." *Landscape Ecology* 37, no. 12: 3103–3121. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-022-01524-7.

Bertorelle, G., F. Raffini, M. Bosse, et al. 2022. "Genetic Load: Genomic Estimates and Applications in Non-Model Animals." *Nature Reviews Genetics* 23, no. 8: 492–503. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-022-00448-x.

Bolger, A. M., M. Lohse, and B. Usadel. 2014. "Trimmomatic: A Flexible Trimmer for Illumina Sequence Data." *Bioinformatics* 30, no. 15: 2114–2120. https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTU170.

Caballero, A., I. Bravo, and J. Wang. 2016. "Inbreeding Load and Purging: Implications for the Short-Term Survival and the Conservation Management of Small Populations." *Heredity* 118, no. 2: 177–185. https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2016.80.

Catarino, L., E. S. Martins, M. F. P. Basto, and M. A. Diniz. 2008. "An Annotated Checklist of the Vascular Flora of Guinea-Bissau (West Africa)." *Blumea—Biodiversity, Evolution and Biogeography of Plants* 53, no. 1: 1–222. https://doi.org/10.3767/000651908X608179.

CBD (Convention on Biological Diversity). 2020. "Zero Draft of the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework."

Colmonero-Costeira, I., T. Minhós, B. Djaló, N. Fernandes, and M. Ferreira da Silva. 2019. "Confirmação da Ocorrência de Primatas Não-Humanos no Arquipélago Dos Bijagós Com Base em Técnicas de Identificação Molecular." *Sintidus* 2: 145–179.

Colmonero-Costeira, I., R. M. Sá, M. L. Djaló, et al. 2023. "Notes on the Conservation Threats to the Western Lesser Spot-Nosed Monkey (*Cercopithecus petaurista buettikoferi*) in the Bijagós Archipelago (Guinea-Bissau, West Africa)." *Primates* 64: 581–587. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s10329-023-01090-9.

Cui, R., A. M. Tyers, Z. J. Malubhoy, et al. 2021. "Ancestral Transoceanic Colonization and Recent Population Reduction in a Nonannual Killifish From the Seychelles Archipelago." *Molecular Ecology* 30, no. 14: 3610–3623. https://doi.org/10.1111/MEC.15982.

Danecek, P., A. Auton, G. Abecasis, et al. 2011. "The Variant Call Format and VCFtools." *Bioinformatics* 27, no. 15: 2156–2158. https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTR330.

Danecek, P., J. K. Bonfield, J. Liddle, et al. 2021. "Twelve Years of SAMtools and BCFtools." *GigaScience* 10, no. 2: giab008. https://doi.org/10.1093/GIGASCIENCE/GIAB008.

Denham, W., and W. W. Denham. 1981. "History of Green Monkeys in the West Indies. Part I. Migration From Africa." *Journal of the Barbados Museum & Historical Society* 36, no. 3: 211–228.

Dussex, N., H. E. Morales, C. Grossen, L. Dalén, and C. van Oosterhout. 2023. "Purging and Accumulation of Genetic Load in Conservation." *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 38, no. 10: 961–969. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.tree.2023.05.008.

Dussex, N., T. van der Valk, H. E. Morales, et al. 2021. "Population Genomics of the Critically Endangered Kākāpō." *Cell Genomics* 1, no. 1: 100002. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xgen.2021.100002.

Ferreira da Silva, M. J., M. Camará, B. Egeter, T. Minhós, M. W. Bruford, and R. Godinho. 2021. "Using Meta-Barcoding Tools to Monitor Primate Meat Consumption at Dedicated Establishments in Guinea-Bissau, West Africa." *ARPHA Conference Abstracts* 4: e65575. https://doi.org/ 10.3897/ACA.4.E65575.

Finn, C., F. Grattarola, and D. Pincheira-Donoso. 2023. "More Losers Than Winners: Investigating Anthropocene Defaunation Through the Diversity of Population Trends." *Biological Reviews* 98, no. 5: 1732–1748. https://doi.org/10.1111/BRV.12974.

Flouri, T., X. Jiao, B. Rannala, and Z. Yang. 2018. "Species Tree Inference With BPP Using Genomic Sequences and the Multispecies Coalescent." Molecular Biology and Evolution 35, no. 10: 2585–2593. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/molbev/msy147.

Frankham, R., C. J. A. Bradshaw, and B. W. Brook. 2014. "Genetics in Conservation Management: Revised Recommendations for the 50/500 Rules, Red List Criteria and Population Viability Analyses." *Biological Conservation* 170: 56–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2013.12.036.

Gippoliti, S., and G. Dell'Omo. 2003. "Primates of Guinea-Bissau, West Africa: Distribution and Conservation Status." *Primate Conservation* 19, no. 19: 73–77.

Glenn, M. E., and K. J. Bensen. 2013. "The Mona Monkeys of Grenada, São Tomé and Príncipe: Long-Term Persistence of a Guenon in Permanent Fragments and Implications for the Survival of Forest Primates in Protected Areas." *Primates in Fragments: Complexity and Resilience*, edited by Marsh, L. and C. Chapman. 413–422. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-8839-2_27.

Gonedelé Bi, S., G. Galat, A. Galat-Luong, et al. 2020. "*Chlorocebus sabaeus*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, e.T136265A."

Grossen, C., F. Guillaume, L. F. Keller, and D. Croll. 2020. "Purging of Highly Deleterious Mutations Through Severe Bottlenecks in Alpine Ibex." *Nature Communications* 11, no. 1: 1001. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41467-020-14803-1.

Guschanski, K., J. Krause, S. Sawyer, et al. 2013. "Next-Generation Museomics Disentangles One of the Largest Primate Radiations." *Systematic Biology* 62, no. 4: 539–554. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syt018.

Hazevoet, C. J., and M. Masseti. 2011. "On the History of the Green Monkey *Chlorocebus sabaeus* (L., 1766) in the Cape Verde Islands, With Notes on Other Introduced Mammals." *Zoologia Caboverdiana* 2, no. 1: 12–24.

Hilgers, L., S. Liu, A. Jensen, et al. 2025. "Avoidable False PSMC Population Size Peaks Occur Across Numerous Studies." *Current Biology* 35, no. 4: 927–930. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2024.09.028.

Hoag, C., and J.-C. Svenning. 2017. "African Environmental Change From the Pleistocene to the Anthropocene." *Annual Review of Environment and Resources* 42: 27–54. https://doi.org/10.1146/annur ev-environ.

Hoban, S., M. W. Bruford, W. C. Funk, et al. 2021. "Global Commitments to Conserving and Monitoring Genetic Diversity Are Now Necessary and Feasible." *Bioscience* 71, no. 9: 964–976. https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biab054.

Horsburgh, K. A., E. Matisoo-Smith, M. E. Glenn, and K. J. Bensen. 2003. "Genetic Study of Translocated Guenons: *Cercopithecus mona* on Grenada." In *The Guenons: Diversity and Adaptation in African Monkeys*, 289–306. Kluwer Academic Publishers. https://doi.org/10. 1007/0-306-48417-X_8.

Jensen, A., F. Swift, D. de Vries, et al. 2023. "Complex Evolutionary History With Extensive Ancestral Gene Flow in an African Primate Radiation." *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 40, no. 12: msad247. https://doi.org/10.1093/MOLBEV/MSAD247.

Karibuhoye, C. 2004. "Mammal Conservation Status and Prospects for Community-Based Wildlife Management in Coastal Guinea-Bissau, West Africa [PhD Thesis, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen]."

Katoh, K., K. Misawa, K. I. Kuma, and T. Miyata. 2002. "MAFFT: A Novel Method for Rapid Multiple Sequence Alignment Based on Fast Fourier Transform." *Nucleic Acids Research* 30, no. 14: 3059–3066. https://doi.org/10.1093/NAR/GKF436.

Khan, A., K. Patel, H. Shukla, et al. 2021. "Genomic Evidence for Inbreeding Depression and Purging of Deleterious Genetic Variation in Indian Tigers." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 118, no. 49: e2023018118. https://doi.org/10. 1073/PNAS.2023018118.

Kolberg, L., U. Raudvere, I. Kuzmin, P. Adler, J. Vilo, and H. Peterson. 2023. "G:Profiler—Interoperable Web Service for Functional Enrichment Analysis and Gene Identifier Mapping (2023 Update)." *Nucleic Acids Research* 51, no. W1: W207–W212. https://doi.org/10. 1093/NAR/GKAD347.

Kuderna, L. F. K., H. Gao, M. C. Janiak, et al. 2023. "A Global Catalog of Whole-Genome Diversity From 233 Primate Species." *Science* 380, no. 6648: 906–913. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abn782.

Leigh, J. W., and D. Bryant. 2015. "POPART: Full-Feature Software for Haplotype Network Construction." *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 6, no. 9: 1110–1116. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12410.

Li, H. 2018. "Minimap2: Pairwise Alignment for Nucleotide Sequences." *Bioinformatics* 34, no. 18: 3094–3100. https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOIN FORMATICS/BTY191.

Li, H., and R. Durbin. 2009. "Fast and Accurate Short Read Alignment With Burrows–Wheeler Transform." *Bioinformatics* 25, no. 14: 1754–1760. https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTP324.

Li, H., and R. Durbin. 2011. "Inference of Human Population History From Individual Whole-Genome Sequences." *Nature* 475: 493–496. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10231.

Liu, J., X. Ji, and H. Chen. 2022. "Beta-PSMC: Uncovering More Detailed Population History Using Beta Distribution." *BMC Genomics* 23, no. 1: 785. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-022-09021-6.

Lundy, B. D. 2015. "Resistance is Fruitful: Bijagos of Guinea-Bissau." Peace and Conflict Management Working Papers Series, 1, 1–9.

Martin, C. A., C. Armstrong, J. C. Illera, B. C. Emerson, D. S. Richardson, and L. G. Spurgin. 2021. "Genomic Variation, Population History and Within-Archipelago Adaptation Between Island Bird Populations." *Royal Society Open Science* 8, no. 2: 201146. https://doi.org/10.1098/ RSOS.201146.

Martin, C. A., E. C. Sheppard, J. C. Illera, et al. 2023. "Runs of Homozygosity Reveal Past Bottlenecks and Contemporary Inbreeding Across Diverging Populations of an Island-Colonizing Bird." *Molecular Ecology* 32, no. 8: 1972–1989. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16865.

Mastretta-Yanes, A., J. M. da Silva, C. E. Grueber, et al. 2024. "Multinational Evaluation of Genetic Diversity Indicators for the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework." *Ecology Letters* 27, no. 7: e14461. https://doi.org/10.1111/ELE.14461.

Matsuda Goodwin, R., S. Gonedelé Bi, and I. Koné. 2020. "*Cercopithecus campbelli*. He IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, e.T136930A."

Matsuda Goodwin, R., G. Segniagbeto, E. Waife, et al. 2020. "*Cercopithecus petaurista*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2020, eT4225A17945536."

Mazet, O., W. Rodríguez, S. Grusea, S. Boitard, and L. Chikhi. 2016. "On the Importance of Being Structured: Instantaneous Coalescence Rates and Human Evolution-Lessons for Ancestral Population Size Inference?" *Heredity* 116, no. 4: 362–371. https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy. 2015.104.

McLaren, W., L. Gil, S. E. Hunt, et al. 2016. "The Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor." *Genome Biology* 17, no. 1: 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s1305 9-016-0974-4.

Meyermans, R., W. Gorssen, N. Buys, and S. Janssens. 2020. "How to Study Runs of Homozygosity Using Plink? A Guide for Analyzing Medium Density Snp Data in Livestock and Pet Species." *BMC Genomics* 21, no. 1: 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-020-6463-x.

Miller, S. A., D. D. Dykes, and H. F. Polesky. 1988. "A Simple Salting out Procedure for Extracting DNA From Human Nucleated Cells." *Nucleic Acids Research* 16, no. 3: 1215. https://doi.org/10.1093/NAR/16.3.1215.

Minhós, T., E. Wallace, M. J. Ferreira da Silva, et al. 2013. "DNA Identification of Primate Bushmeat From Urban Markets in Guinea-Bissau and Its Implications for Conservation." *Biological Conservation* 167: 43–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2013.07.018.

Nolte, M. J., P. Jing, C. N. Dewey, and B. A. Payseur. 2020. "Giant Island Mice Exhibit Widespread Gene Expression Changes in Key Metabolic Organs." *Genome Biology and Evolution* 12, no. 8: 1277–1301. https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evaa118.

Payseur, B. A., and P. Jing. 2021. "Genomic Targets of Positive Selection in Giant Mice From Gough Island." *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 38, no. 3: 911–926. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa255.

Pérez-Pereira, N., J. Wang, H. Quesada, and A. Caballero. 2022. "Prediction of the Minimum Effective Size of a Population Viable in the Long Term." *Biodiversity and Conservation* 31: 2763–2780. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10531-022-02456-z.

Purcell, S., B. Neale, K. Todd-Brown, et al. 2007. "PLINK: A Tool Set for Whole-Genome Association and Population-Based Linkage Analyses." *American Journal of Human Genetics* 81, no. 3: 559–575. https://doi.org/ 10.1086/519795.

Purfield, D. C., D. P. Berry, S. McParland, and D. G. Bradley. 2012. "Runs of Homozygosity and Population History in Cattle." *BMC Genetics* 13, no. 1: 70. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-13-70.

Reis, M. 2025. "bppr: An R Package for BPP."

Robinson, J. A., D. Ortega-Del Vecchyo, Z. Fan, et al. 2016. "Genomic Flatlining in the Endangered Island Fox." *Current Biology* 26, no. 9: 1183–1189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.02.062.

Rodney, W. 1970. A History of the Upper Guinea Coast, 1545 to 1800. Monthly Review Press.

Rowe, N., and M. Myers. 2016. All the World's Primates. Pogonias Press.

Santiago, E., I. Novo, A. F. Pardiñas, M. Saura, J. Wang, and A. Caballero. 2020. "Recent Demographic History Inferred by High-Resolution Analysis of Linkage Disequilibrium." *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 37, no. 12: 3642–3653. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa169.

Sendell-Price, A. T., K. C. Ruegg, and S. M. Clegg. 2020. "Rapid Morphological Divergence Following a Human-Mediated Introduction: The Role of Drift and Directional Selection." *Heredity* 124, no. 4: 535–549. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-020-0298-8.

Smeds, L., and H. Ellegren. 2023. "From High Masked to High Realized Genetic Load in Inbred Scandinavian Wolves." *Molecular Ecology* 32, no. 7: 1567–1580. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16802.

Taylor, R. S., M. Manseau, S. Keobouasone, et al. 2024. "High Genetic Load Without Purging in Caribou, a Diverse Species at Risk." *Current Biology* 34, no. 6: 1234–1246.e7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2024. 02.002.

Thompson, E. A. 2013. "Identity by Descent: Variation in Meiosis, Across Genomes, and in Populations." *Genetics* 194, no. 2: 301–326. https://doi.org/10.1534/GENETICS.112.148825.

van der Valk, T., A. Jensen, D. Caillaud, and K. Guschanski. 2024. "Comparative Genomic Analyses Provide New Insights Into Evolutionary History and Conservation Genomics of Gorillas." *BMC Ecology and Evolution* 24, no. 1: 14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-023-02195-x.

von Seth, J., T. van der Valk, E. Lord, et al. 2022. "Genomic Trajectories of a Near-Extinction Event in the Chatham Island Black Robin." *BMC Genomics* 23, no. 1: 747. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-022-08963-1.

Wang, X., S. Peischl, and G. Heckel. 2023. "Demographic History and Genomic Consequences of 10,000 Generations of Isolation in a Wild Mammal." *Current Biology* 33, no. 10: 2051–2062.e4. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cub.2023.04.042.

Welles, S. R., and K. M. Dlugosch. 2019. "Population Genomics of Colonization and Invasion." In *Population Genomics*, edited by O. P. Rajora. Springer Nature Switzerland.

Williamson, S. H., R. Hernandez, A. Fledel-Alon, L. Zhu, R. Nielsen, and C. D. Bustamante. 2005. "Simultaneous Inference of Selection and Population Growth From Patterns of Variation in the Human Genome." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 102, no. 22: 7882–7887. https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.05023 00102.

Xue, C., N. Rustagi, X. Liu, et al. 2020. "Reduced Meiotic Recombination in Rhesus Macaques and the Origin of the Human Recombination Landscape." *PLoS One* 15, no. 8: e0236285. https://doi.org/10.1371/ JOURNAL.PONE.0236285.

Xue, Y., J. Prado-Martinez, P. H. Sudmant, et al. 2015. "Mountain Gorilla Genomes Reveal the Impact of Long-Term Population Decline and Inbreeding." *Science* 348, no. 6231: 242–245. https://doi.org/10. 1126/SCIENCE.AAA3952.

Yampolsky, L. Y., and A. Stoltzfus. 2005. "The Exchangeability of Amino Acids in Proteins." *Genetics* 170, no. 4: 1459–1472. https://doi.org/10.1534/GENETICS.104.039107.

Supporting Information

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section.