

ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/182030/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Malkani, Bharat 2025. Medical opposition to capital punishment is needed as executions surge [Opinion]. BMJ 391, r2017. 10.1136/bmj.r2017

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.r2017

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



Medical opposition to capital punishment is needed as executions surge Bharat Malkani, Reader in Law, Cardiff University

Standfirst: Healthcare professionals can use their position to advocate for abolition, writes Bharat Malkani

Competing interests: none

Al use: none

Provenance: commissioned, not externally peer reviewed.

As civil liberties are threatened around the world, there has been a concerning increase in use of the death penalty. Amnesty International and Human Rights Watch have raised concerns about the increased number of death sentences and executions in 2024 and 2025 [1, 2], the way in which executions are carried out, and the vulnerabilities of people facing execution. The healthcare community has an important role to play in advocating against the death penalty. Doctors must refuse to participate in the execution process and speak out against a practice that is antithetical to their commitment to promote health and wellbeing.

Amnesty International reports that although the number of countries carrying out executions is decreasing, the number of executions carried out in countries where it is legal have increased in recent years [1]. There were 1,153 known executions in 2023, increasing to at least 1,518 executions globally in 2024 — this was the fourth consecutive year that the annual total has gone up [1]. These were the highest figures recorded by Amnesty International since 1,634 executions were recorded in 2015 [1]. Most of these recorded executions in 2024 took place in just three — countries: Iran (at least 972); Saudi Arabia (at least 345), and Iraq (at least 63). In 2025, Saudi Arabia reached a record number of executions [2]. Amnesty International notes that there are also believed to be thousands of executions in China each year, but official numbers are a state secret.

These numbers represent human lives. Some people are being sentenced to the ultimate punishment for relatively minor crimes and criticising states. Human Rights Watch has reported that in Saudi Arabia, <u>Turki al-Jasser</u>, a journalist who exposed corruption within the Saudi royal family, was executed in June [2]. His execution, and that of other political prisoners globally, should be a cause of concern for anyone who cares about justice and freedom of speech.

There are also reports of people with mental illness and intellectual disability being subject to capital punishment. Consider the case of <u>Lemi Limbu</u>, currently awaiting execution in Tanzania for the murder of her child [3]. Regional and international human rights organisations have drawn attention to the domestic abuse that she suffered over many years. It has also been reported that a clinical psychologist who met with her concluded that she had the developmental age of a 10-year-old child. However, the medical evidence was not permitted at trial.

This is not an isolated case. International law prohibits the execution of people who are mentally ill or intellectually disabled, but it has become clear that many judicial systems around the world lack adequate legislation, or adequate procedural safeguards to ensure that vulnerable people are identified and protected from the death penalty [4]. Some countries don't have enough qualified mental health professionals to ensure that prisoners receive proper mental health assessments [5].

Another concerning aspect of capital punishment that needs more attention from medical communities is the use of medical personnel in execution processes. Medical staff might assess a person's mental competency before execution or monitor vital signs during the execution and certify death. China, the US, and Vietnam use lethal injections to put people to death, and concerns have been raised about the role of medical personnel in the administration of lethal injections.

In its <u>Code of Medical Ethics</u>, the American Medical Association takes the position that doctors must not participate in a legally authorized execution [6]. However, such guidelines have not prevented some medical personnel from taking part in executions. Even when such personnel resist, prison and judicial authorities have found a way around. In Vietnam, if a physician refuses to take part in the execution process, they can be <u>compelled by law</u> to administer <u>lethal injections</u> [7, 8]. For these reasons, the <u>World Medical Association</u> has issued a resolution calling for an end to physician involvement in executions [9].

Given the worldwide trend towards authoritarianism and "tougher" approaches to law and order, it is unlikely that executions will stop anytime soon. It is also likely that capital punishment will continue to be inflicted on the vulnerable, like Lemi Limbu, and the brave, like Turki al-Jasser. Despite the lack of any political will in countries like Saudi Arabia or China to abolish capital punishment, it is incumbent on campaigning groups to keep highlighting the injustice and immorality of state-sanctioned executions.

This responsibility extends beyond human rights groups. Two years ago, <u>The Lancet</u> called for doctors to condemn the death penalty [10], and Jay Chapman, a doctor who developed the use of lethal injection for executions, has <u>spoken out</u> against capital punishment [11]. Healthcare professionals have powerful voices and are well

respected. They can thus play a vital role in abolitionist efforts by refraining from being complicit in executions, and by emphasizing that the punishment is both inhumane from the point of view of medical ethics, and a violation of the right to life.

- [1] https://www.amnesty.org/en/documents/act50/8976/2025/en/
- [2] https://www.hrw.org/news/2025/08/11/saudi-arabia-executions-surge-in-2025
- [3] https://www.theguardian.com/global-development/2025/aug/21/tanzania-lemi-limbu-woman-learning-disabilities-death-row
- [4] https://dpw.lawschool.cornell.edu/project/mental-health-and-the-death-penalty/
- [5] https://reprieve.org/uk/2016/10/05/what-does-international-law-say-about-the-death-penalty-and-mental-illness/
- [6] https://code-medical-ethics.ama-assn.org/ethics-opinions/capital-punishment
- [7]

https://moj.gov.vn/vbpq/en/lists/vn%20bn%20php%20lut/view_detail.aspx?itemid=10 717

- [8] https://vietnamnet.vn/en/doctors-upset-of-being-forced-to-be-involved-in-lethal-injections-E91946.html
- [9] https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-resolution-on-prohibition-of-physician-participation-in-capital-punishment/
- [10] https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(23)01004-8/fulltext
- [11] https://www.theguardian.com/world/2015/apr/29/supreme-court-lethal-injection-inventor-death-penalty-doubts