

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/182321/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Cai, Yi, Wang, Maolin, Zhao, Shu, Liu, Xi, Xie, Junzhong, Liu, Xing-Wu, Xu, Yao, Zhang, Jie, Zeng, Lingzhen, Qian, Fei, Gao, Zirui, Cen, Zeyan, Liu, Xingchen, Wang, Hong, Xu, Bingjun, Hutchings, Graham J. , Yang, Yong, Li, Yong-Wang, Wen, Xiao-Dong and Ma, Ding 2025. Trace-level halogen blocks CO₂ emission in Fischer-Tropsch synthesis for olefins production. Science 390 (6772) , pp. 516-520. 10.1126/science.aea1655

Publishers page: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aea1655>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



Trace-level halogen blocks CO₂ emission in Fischer-Tropsch synthesis for olefins production

Yi Cai^{1,3,5,†}, Maolin Wang^{2,†}, Shu Zhao^{4,†}, Xi Liu^{6,†}, Junzhong Xie^{2,†}, Xing-Wu Liu^{2,3,*}, Yao Xu², Jie Zhang², Linzhen Zeng², Fei Qian^{1,3,5}, Zirui Gao², Zeyan Cen², Xingchen Liu¹, Hong Wang³, Bingjun Xu², Graham J. Hutchings⁷, Yong Yang^{1,3}, Yong-Wang Li^{1,3}, Xiao-Dong Wen^{1,3,5,*}, Ding Ma^{2,*}

¹ State Key Laboratory of Coal Conversion, Institute of Coal Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Taiyuan, 030001, China.

² Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences, New Cornerstone Science Laboratory, College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Peking University, Beijing, China.

³ National Energy Center for Coal to Liquids, Huairou District, Beijing, 101400, China.

⁴ College of Materials Science and Engineering, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing, 100124, China.

⁵ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, No.19A Yuquan Road, Beijing, 100049, China.

⁶ School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Ningxia University, Yinchuan, 750021, China.

⁷ Max Planck-Cardiff Centre on the Fundamentals of Heterogeneous Catalysis, FUNCAT, Cardiff Catalysis Institute, School of Chemistry, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK.

**dedicate to the 100th anniversary of FTS process

*Corresponding author. liuxingwu@sxicc.ac.cn, wxd@sxicc.ac.cn, dma@pku.edu.cn

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Sustainable production of fuels and olefins from syngas (carbon monoxide/hydrogen) through the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis process requires catalysts that deliver high selectivity, industrial productivity, and minimal CO₂ emissions. Current industrial iron catalysts form substantial CO₂ by-product that limits carbon efficiency. We report that introducing trace amounts (ppm-level) of halogen-containing compounds into the feed gas can suppress CO₂ formation using Fe-based catalysts and boost olefin selectivity over paraffins and olefin productivity. Co-feeding 20 ppm CH₃Br over an iron carbide catalyst decreased CO₂ selectivity to <1% and increased olefin selectivity to ~85% among all carbon-containing products. Surface-bound halogens modulated the catalyst surface structure and selectively inhibited pathways responsible for CO₂ generation and olefin hydrogenation. This strategy provides a simple, scalable, and broadly applicable route for carbon-efficient syngas conversion.

Developing efficient catalytic systems for direct α -olefin production from syngas (CO/H₂) via Fischer-Tropsch synthesis (FTS) is essential to address the growing global demand for olefins and is of importance for regions with limited petroleum resources (1-3). A key challenge is the design of low-cost, non-noble-metal catalysts that operate under moderate conditions that also minimize CO₂ emissions to ensure sustainable carbon utilization (4-8). Among current approaches, iron-based Fischer-Tropsch to olefins (FTO) catalysts (9-11) and oxide-zeolite (OX-ZEO) tandem systems (2, 12, 13) have emerged as leading candidates. However, iron-based catalysts often yield only moderate olefin selectivity, whereas OX-ZEO systems suffer from high CO₂ selectivity (32 to 45%) and limited olefin space-time yields (12). These limitations constrain overall carbon efficiency and hinder their large-scale industrial implementation. Thus, there is an urgent need for new catalytic systems that combine ultra-low CO₂ selectivity, high α -olefin space-time yields, and robust long-term stability.

Iron-based catalysts are particularly attractive for FTS because of their low cost, natural abundance, tunable product distribution, and high space-time yields of the products. Currently, more than two-thirds of the global FTS capacity (15.70 million tons/year) relies on iron catalysts. However, one drawback is their intrinsic promotion of the water-gas shift (WGS) and Boudouard reactions, resulting in excessive CO₂ formation that reduces carbon utilization efficiency (14, 15). The formation of CO₂ not only contributes to greenhouse gas emissions, but also depletes valuable carbon feedstocks.

Suppressing CO₂ formation in Fe-based FTS remains a major challenge. Previous strategies to inhibit the WGS reaction have focused on limiting water re-adsorption by shielding iron catalysts with hydrophobic silica coatings (11) or graphene layers (8), which effectively reduces CO₂ selectivity to below 13%. More recently, modified pure-phase iron carbide catalysts have achieved CO₂ selectivity as low as ~10% (10, 16), but only under conditions of low CO conversion and limited olefin productivity (~0.6 g_{cat}⁻¹·h⁻¹) (10). Therefore, the development of catalytic systems that concurrently minimize CO₂ formation and maximize α -olefin productivity under practical conditions remains a critical and unmet challenge.

We demonstrate that the introduction of parts-per-million (ppm) concentrations of

halomethanes into the syngas feed fundamentally transforms the catalytic behavior of Fe-based FTS systems. Specifically, co-feeding 20 ppm of CH₃Br effectively suppressed CO₂ formation, reducing CO₂ selectivity to < 1% and dramatically enhancing the olefin/paraffin (o/p) ratio from 1.3 (on unpromoted χ -Fe₅C₂) to as high as 13. Under optimized conditions, this strategy achieved an olefins space-time yield of 1.08 g·g_{cat}⁻¹·h⁻¹ with an unprecedented olefin selectivity of 85.2%, calculated relative to all carbon-containing products. Importantly, this simple and easily implementable halomethane-promotion strategy is compatible with a broad range of Fe-based FTS catalysts, including commercial formulations. Mechanistic insights from transient kinetic analyses and density functional theory (DFT) calculations reveal that surface-bound halogens modulate the properties of the catalyst and selectively inhibit key side reactions—specifically, the Boudouard reaction and WGS reaction—thereby suppressing CO₂ generation. We introduce a simple, yet robust and broadly applicable strategy to achieve sustainable and highly efficient olefin/liquid fuels production with exceptional carbon efficiency.

The impact of halogen over Fe-based FTS process

A series of iron carbide catalysts (ϵ -Fe₂C, θ -Fe₃C, h -Fe₇C₃, χ -Fe₅C₂) were synthesized following established protocols (17, 18). The unpromoted χ -Fe₅C₂ catalyst, one of the most active phases for FTS, exhibited a CO₂ selectivity of 31.4% at a CO conversion of 93.3% (Fig. 1A) (19). The primary products were C₂₊ olefins and paraffins with an o/p ratio of 1.3 under typical FTS conditions (H₂/CO = 2, 300 °C, 20 bar, 12,000 mL·g_{cat}⁻¹·h⁻¹). The native iron carbide catalysts favored CO₂ formation and exhibited similar selectivity toward olefins and paraffins.

We then introduced 20 ppm of halomethanes (CH₃X, X = F, Cl, Br, and I) into the syngas during FTS. CH₃F reduced CO₂ selectivity to 27.8%, accompanied by a slight increase in olefin content. A progressive trend was observed from CH₃F to CH₃Cl, CH₃Br, and CH₃I, with CO₂ selectivity continuously decreasing (Fig. 1A and Table S1). Notably, cofeeding CH₃Br or CH₃I suppressed CO₂ formation, yielding near-zero CO₂ selectivity (~1%). Concurrently, olefin selectivity was substantially enhanced, with the o/p ratio rising to ~7 in the case of CH₃Cl.

1 These results demonstrate that co-feeding trace amounts of halomethane effectively suppressed
2 CO₂ production while promoting olefin selectivity in Fe-based FTS catalysts.

3 We next investigated the effect of CH₃Br concentration in the syngas on performance of
4 FTS. Even a low co-feed concentration of 3 ppm CH₃Br markedly suppressed CO₂ formation,
5 reducing its selectivity to 4.2%, decreasing CO conversion from 89.4% to 41.1%, and
6 increasing the o/p ratio from 1.0 to 6.1 (Fig. 1B, Figs. S1, S2 and Table S2). Chain propagation
7 remained unaffected, and the olefin selectivity was much higher than that obtained with the
8 unmodified χ -Fe₅C₂ catalyst (81% vs. 23%; Fig. 1B, Figs. S3, S4 and Table S2). The decrease
9 in CO conversion mainly came from the inhibition of the WGS reaction (Figs. S2), which
10 accounted for >70% of the reduction in CO conversion, in case of 3 ppm CH₃Br co-feeding
11 (Table S3). Although previous studies reported that co-feeding HCl or HBr can reduce CO₂
12 selectivity (20), they also observed a pronounced decline in FTS activity and limited CO₂
13 suppression (Fig. S5). In contrast, CH₃Br co-feeding in this work enables near-zero CO₂
14 formation with minimal loss in FTS activity (Fig. S5 and Table S3). As the concentration of
15 co-fed CH₃Br increased, CO₂ selectivity progressively decreased—dropping to < 1% at 50
16 ppm—whereas olefin selectivity showed a slight increase (Fig. 1B).

17 This halogen modulation strategy was further extended to other iron carbide phases,
18 including ϵ -Fe₂C, θ -Fe₃C and h -Fe₇C₃ (Fig. S6) (17, 18). In all cases, ppm concentrations of
19 CH₃Br co-feeding suppressed CO₂ selectivity to < 5% and enhanced o/p ratios by factors of 3
20 to 4 (Fig. 1C), underscoring the broad applicability and robustness of the approach. Importantly,
21 when we applied this strategy to a commercial iron catalyst (Commercial Fe-1), CO₂ selectivity
22 could be suppressed to <1% (Fig. 1C).

23 To benchmark this halogen co-feeding method, we performed comparative analyses with
24 state-of-the-art olefin synthesis catalysts, that is, Fe-, Co- based FTO catalysts and OX-ZEO
25 systems (8-11, 13, 16, 21) (Fig. 1D, Figs. S7 to S11 and Tables S4 to S8). Notably, the χ -Fe₅C₂
26 catalyst with Br co-feeding (denoted χ -Fe₅C₂-Br) exhibited superior olefin yield (~48% of total
27 carbon-containing products) while maintaining ultralow CO₂ selectivity (<3%). In contrast,

1 previously reported Fe-, Co- based, and OX-ZEO catalysts typically exhibited CO₂ selectivity
2 exceeding 10% or had a relatively low olefin yield (Fig. S12 and S13).

3 Our strategy overcame the traditional trade-off between high olefin yield and low CO₂
4 selectivity, demonstrating unprecedented performance in the syngas to olefins reaction. A plot
5 of ratio of olefin-to-CO₂ selectivity ($S_{\text{olefins}}/S_{\text{CO}_2}$) versus olefin space-time yield ($\text{STY}_{\text{olefins}}$)
6 shows the overall catalytic performance (Fig. 1E). Although OX-ZEO catalysts offer good
7 olefin selectivity among hydrocarbons, their low $\text{STY}_{\text{olefins}}$ and high CO₂ emissions constrain
8 their practical utility (13). Similarly, Ru- and Co-based systems suffer from low $\text{STY}_{\text{olefins}}$
9 under conventional FTS conditions (21, 22). In contrast, χ -Fe₅C₂-Br (Cl) catalysts delivered
10 both high $\text{STY}_{\text{olefins}}$ and favorable olefin-to-CO₂ ratios. These results establish halogen co-
11 feeding as an effective solution that enables high olefin space-time yield and high olefin yield
12 with low CO₂ emissions in FTS. Importantly, if C₅₊ olefins are used as liquid fuels, this strategy
13 could also benefit conventional Fe-based FTS by blocking CO₂ emissions in standard FTS
14 processes.

15 We also tracked the fate of CH₃Br in the product stream. Of the 20 ppm CH₃Br introduced,
16 approximately 70% (14.2 ppm) was recovered as unreacted CH₃Br in the outlet gas, with less
17 than 6 ppm detected in the aqueous phase. Only trace amounts of Br (<1 ppm) were found in
18 the oil-phase products, indicating negligible incorporation into the hydrocarbon products (Fig.
19 S14 and Tables S9 to S11). Notably, although only a small amount of Br remained on the
20 surface of the iron catalyst (Fig. S15 and Table S12), it exerted a pronounced effect on the
21 overall catalytic performance.

23 **Structure of χ -Fe₅C₂ Catalyst with CH₃Br co-feed**

24 Co-feeding halomethanes induced a dramatic shift in the reaction behavior of Fe-based
25 FTS and largely suppressed CO₂ emissions (Fig. S16). We hypothesized that halogen species
26 played a critical role in modulating the structure of the working iron catalyst. To probe the
27 structural consequences of halogen exposure, we characterized the χ -Fe₅C₂ catalyst after
28 CH₃Br-co-feeding reaction (termed as χ -Fe₅C₂-Br-spent). The catalysts with or without co-

feeding halogen had similar morphologies and particle sizes (Fig. S17). X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis revealed that, in addition to the dominant χ -Fe₅C₂ phase, χ -Fe₅C₂-Br-spent exhibited new diffraction peaks associated with Fe₃O₄. In contrast, the control sample (χ -Fe₅C₂-spent, without CH₃Br) retained dominantly the carbide phase (Fig. 2A).

These results were corroborated by Mössbauer spectroscopy, electron microscopy, and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) fitting (Figs. S18 to S24 and Tables S13 to S16). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) further confirmed the presence of surface FeO_x, with detectable iron oxide species on both spent samples (Fig. 2B). The presence of FeO_x is commonly associated with the WGS reaction and therefore the CO₂ production in Fe-based FTS (23, 24). Thus, the role of halogen was not to suppress the formation of iron oxides during the reaction.

Notably, elemental analysis, Br *K*-edge X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES), and Br 3*d* XPS revealed residual bromine species on χ -Fe₅C₂-Br-spent (Fig. 2C and Fig. S25). The Br *K*-edge XANES spectra exhibited fingerprinting features similar to those of iron bromide, indicating strong Fe-Br interactions like that in iron bromide. High-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) imaging coupled with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) mapping showed homogeneous Br distribution across both Fe₃O₄ and χ -Fe₅C₂ domains (Fig. 2D and Figs. S26 and S27), demonstrating homogeneous co-localization of Br and Fe species.

It remained uncertain whether Br was incorporated into the bulk lattice or was distributed on the surface. XRD showed no evidence of a new bromine-containing iron phase, suggesting a surface-bound Br species was more likely (Fig. 2A and Fig. S6). To confirm this hypothesis, we performed variable-energy XPS, which revealed substantial Br surface enrichment on the χ -Fe₅C₂-Br-spent catalyst (Fig. S28). High-sensitivity low-energy ion scattering spectroscopy (HS-LEIS) is a surface-sensitive technique (25). From the HS-LEIS depth profile of the catalyst, we observed that Br was primarily enriched on the surface of the catalyst (Fig. 2E). Collectively, these findings strongly suggest that surface-bound Br modulates the chemical environment of

active sites of Fe catalysts (both the iron carbide and oxide domains), suppressing CO₂ formation and enhancing olefin selectivity.

Inhibition mechanism of Br on CO₂ and paraffin formation

The reaction networks of FTS process depicted in Fig. 3A were used to understand the mechanistic origin of this inhibition effect. Aside from the main reactions leading to the production of olefin/paraffin, there are two major side reactions that produce CO₂: WGS (highlighted in red) over iron oxide and Boudouard reaction ($2\text{CO} \rightarrow \text{C} + \text{CO}_2$, highlighted in blue) over iron carbide (14, 26). Although the primary products of Fe-based FTS were olefins, undesirable hydrogenation of olefins could lead to the production of less valuable paraffins ($\text{C}_n\text{H}_{2n} + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{C}_n\text{H}_{2n+2}$, highlighted in purple) and a low o/p ratio (27).

On χ -Fe₅C₂ catalysts, both the Boudouard reaction and WGS routes contributed appreciably across typical reaction temperatures, with WGS reaction becoming more pronounced at high conversions (Fig. S29) (15, 24, 26, 28). The dramatic suppression of CO₂ formation observed in halogen-modified FTS suggested a fundamental alteration of reaction pathways by the Br on the surface of iron catalysts (Fig. S30). To elucidate the underlying mechanism, we investigated both experimental reactivity by using transient kinetic analyses, surface-sensitive characterizations, as well as computational modeling of reaction energetics, focusing on these two dominant CO₂-producing reactions in Fe-based FTS.

Both iron oxides (e.g., Fe₃O₄ and FeO_x clusters) and iron carbides may contribute to the observed WGS activity (23, 26, 29-31). In this study, Fe₃O₄ was selected as the model WGS catalyst under our conditions, while acknowledging that iron carbides can also play a role depending on temperature and surface state. We found that CH₃Br co-feeding could suppress WGS activity by over two orders of magnitude (Fig. 3B and Fig. S31). Given the established WGS mechanism wherein H₂O dissociates to generate surface hydroxyl (OH*), which then reacts with CO to form CO₂ (Figs. S32 and S33), we first checked the adsorption and activation behavior of H₂O on catalysts. Water temperature-programmed desorption (H₂O-TPD) experiments revealed that both Fe₃O₄ and Br-pretreated Fe₃O₄ (termed Fe₃O₄-Br) showed

1 similar H₂O adsorption abilities (desorbed at ~120 °C). However, H₂ formation, an indicator
2 for H₂O dissociation, was active on Fe₃O₄ but completely absent on Fe₃O₄-Br (Fig. 3C). In-situ
3 XPS further confirmed this suppression effect, showing minimal OH* production on Fe₃O₄-Br
4 compared to Fe₃O₄ (Fig. 3D; Figs. S34 and S35; Tables S17 and S18). Diffuse reflectance
5 infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) and transient kinetic analysis (TKA)
6 experiments corroborated this inhibition effect (Figs. S33 and S36). These results established
7 that Br disrupted the key step of H₂O dissociation in WGS reaction, then effectively blocking
8 CO₂ generation through this pathway.

9 These experimental findings were supported by DFT calculations on Fe₃O₄ (111). In the
10 absence of Br, H₂O can readily dissociate ($\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{OH}^* + \text{H}^*$) with the activation barrier (E_{a2})
11 of 0.73 eV. However, increasing Br coverage from $\theta_{\text{Br}} = 1/5$ to $1/3$ raised this barrier to $E_{a2} >$
12 1.2 eV. At a higher coverage of $\theta_{\text{Br}} = 2/5$, H₂O dissociation was completely inhibited (Fig. S37).
13 These findings aligned with TPD and XPS results, suggesting that surface-bound Br passivates
14 reactive Fe sites and disrupts WGS reaction.

15 We then considered the Boudouard reaction. We performed CO pulse experiments on χ -
16 Fe₅C₂ and χ -Fe₅C₂-Br catalysts. Both catalysts exhibited similar CO adsorption properties (Figs.
17 S38 and S39). However, CO₂ formation was observed on χ -Fe₅C₂, which was nearly
18 completely suppressed on the χ -Fe₅C₂-Br in CO-pulse, indicating that the Boudouard reaction
19 was largely inhibited (Fig. 3E). Moreover, Ar $\rightarrow$ C¹⁸O TKA experiments also showed no
20 production of C¹⁶O¹⁸O and C¹⁸O₂ on χ -Fe₅C₂-Br but formation of C¹⁶O after introduction of
21 C¹⁸O, which may result from the recombination of dissociatively adsorbed CO (Fig. 3F).
22 Notably, introduction of ¹³CO to ¹⁸O-precovered surface yielded ¹³C¹⁸O on χ -Fe₅C₂-Br (Fig.
23 S40), confirming that CO dissociation remained active. Temperature-programed surface
24 reaction (TPSR) experiments using a mixture of C¹⁸O/He/Ar as feed further substantiated this
25 selective blocking effect (Fig. S41). These results suggest that Br selectively inhibits CO*-O*
26 recombination step while preserving CO dissociation activity, which were in good agreement
27 with DFT calculations (Fig. S42).

28 From the results presented above, we conclude that surface Br species suppressed both
29 WGS and Boudouard reactions. Another question is how Br modulated the o/p ratio in the

1 reaction. Although promoters like alkali metals or Mn for Fe-based catalysts are typically
2 required to achieve a high olefin selectivity (16, 27, 32, 33), we added no alkali metal or Mn
3 in the current high-olefin-selectivity χ -Fe₅C₂-Br catalysts. To understand the origin of the
4 ability of χ -Fe₅C₂-Br to achieve high o/p ratio, we used propene hydrogenation as a probe to
5 study the olefin hydrogenation process. Propene pulse experiments in H₂ showed a dramatic
6 reduction in propene hydrogenation activity on χ -Fe₅C₂-Br as compared to χ -Fe₅C₂ (Fig. 3G),
7 which indicated that surface Br species suppressed the olefin hydrogenation reaction.

8 Weakened H₂ activation ability on the Br-modified surface could be one reason for high
9 olefin selectivity, but this explanation appeared unlikely, because hydrocarbon formation was
10 still observed. Another possibility is that the olefin hydrogenation step is suppressed. To further
11 substantiate this point, we conducted temperature-programmed surface reaction (TPSR)
12 experiments using a C₃H₆/H₂/D₂/Ar = 1/82/10/7 mixture feed. HD formation through H-D
13 exchange was used as a probe to monitor H₂ activation. On χ -Fe₅C₂, both H-D exchange and
14 C₃H₆ hydrogenation increased with temperature, reaching near-complete C₃H₆ conversion at
15 around 180 °C. In contrast, on χ -Fe₅C₂-Br, although activation of H₂ was slightly suppressed
16 at low temperatures and became very active above 200 °C, and no detectable hydrogenation of
17 C₃H₆ was observed even at temperatures up to 300 °C (Fig. 3H). These results demonstrated
18 that the high olefin selectivity came from inhibition of olefin hydrogenation ability by Br.

19 DFT simulations confirmed that Br altered hydrogenation energetics. On clean χ -Fe₅C₂
20 (100), the two-step hydrogenation of C₃H₆ proceeds with low barriers (0.22 and 0.26 eV),
21 facilitating paraffin formation (Fig. 4A). With $\theta_{\text{Br}} = 1/3$, the barrier of first step (C₃H₆* + H*
22 → C₃H₇*) increased to 0.66 eV, surpassing C₃H₆ desorption energy, making desorption more
23 favorable than hydrogenation. Detailed electronic analyses (Figs. S43 to S45) and optimized
24 transition state geometries (Figs. S46 and S47) revealed that surface-bound Br species not only
25 electronically modified Fe active sites but also introduced substantial steric hindrance. This
26 steric effect obstructed the H* approach and altered the Fe-C₃H₆ binding geometry. As a result,
27 secondary hydrogenation was selectively suppressed under Br-modified conditions.

28 Finally, we examined the catalytic stability of the χ -Fe₅C₂ catalyst with 20 ppm CH₃Br
29 co-feeding, a critical measurement for practical applications. As shown in Fig. 4B, the catalyst

exhibited excellent stability for > 450 hours. At a steady CO conversion of ~ 35%, the system maintained a remarkably high C₂₊ olefin selectivity of > 80%. Notably, the formation of undesired by-products such as methane and CO₂ remained minimal throughout the test, with CO₂ selectivity consistently < 1.5%. Such sustained performance—combining high olefin selectivity and near-zero CO₂ emissions has not been previously reported in the century-long development of Fe-based FTS. These findings underscore the transformative potential of halogen co-feeding for enabling low-emission, high-efficiency olefin production at scale.

Conclusion

We demonstrated a simple yet powerful strategy to enhance FTS to olefins performance by co-feeding ppm-level of CH₃Br into Fe-based catalytic systems. Surface-bound Br species electronically interacted with Fe active sites, selectively inhibiting H₂O dissociation, CO*-O* recombination, and olefin hydrogenation, while largely preserving CO and H₂ adsorption/activation. The strategy reported in this work enables a near-zero-CO₂ pathway for highly selective olefin (or liquid fuels) production in Fe-based FTS processes that achieved high α -olefin selectivity and maintained industrially competitive activity, surpassing other reported low-CO₂ systems (Table S8).

Although halogen-containing co-feed could pose challenges in scaled-up industrial reactors, similar strategies have been adopted in commercialized processes, such as chlorine co-feed silver-catalyzed ethylene epoxidation process (34, 35). Coupled with CO₂-free gasification reaction (e.g., CH₄ + 3CO₂ = 4CO + 2H₂O) and green hydrogen from water electrolysis (36), the process developed in this work could offer a viable pathway toward carbon-neutral coal-to-liquid/olefins or gas-to-liquid/olefins processes.

Figure 1. Impact of halogen co-feeding on catalytic performance in FTS. (A) Effect of co-feeding halomethanes (CH_3F , CH_3Cl , CH_3Br , and CH_3I , 20 ppm) on CO conversion and product selectivity in FTS reaction over $\chi\text{-Fe}_5\text{C}_2$ catalyst. Reaction conditions: 300 °C, 20 bar, 12000 $\text{mL}\cdot\text{g}_{\text{cat}}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$, $\text{H}_2/\text{CO} = 2$. (B) Dependence of CO conversion, selectivity of CO_2 and olefin on the concentration of co-feeding CH_3Br (0-50 ppm). Reaction conditions: 300 °C, 10 bar, 12000 $\text{mL}\cdot\text{g}_{\text{cat}}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$, $\text{H}_2/\text{CO} = 2$. (C) Influence of olefin/paraffin ratio and CO_2 selectivity for different iron carbide catalysts ($\epsilon\text{-Fe}_2\text{C}$, $h\text{-Fe}_7\text{C}_3$, $\chi\text{-Fe}_5\text{C}_2$, and $\theta\text{-Fe}_3\text{C}$) and commercial Fe-1 under 20 ppm CH_3Br co-feeding. Reaction conditions: 300 °C, 10 bar, $\text{H}_2/\text{CO} = 2$, CO conversion controlled at ~30% for all data points. (D) Comparison of catalytic selectivity and olefin yield of $\chi\text{-Fe}_5\text{C}_2$ catalyst with CH_3Br co-feed against representative literature-reported catalysts. The $\chi\text{-Fe}_5\text{C}_2\text{-Br}$ catalyst demonstrates simultaneous ultra-low CO_2 selectivity and higher olefin yield compared to state-of-art catalytic systems. (E) Plot of olefin-to- CO_2 selectivity ratio ($S_{\text{olefins}}/S_{\text{CO}_2}$) against olefin space-time yield ($\text{STY}_{\text{olefins}}$) on $\chi\text{-Fe}_5\text{C}_2\text{-Br}$ (this work) and various catalytic systems from literature: OX-ZEO (12-13, 37-41) (blue triangles), Fe-based (8-11, 16, 20, 27, 32, 42-45) (yellow circles), Co-based (21, 46-47) (purple circles), and Ru-based (22) (green squares), and this work (red circles). Note that the selectivity of olefins is based on all carbon-containing products. The shaded region highlights the exceptional catalytic performance of the $\chi\text{-Fe}_5\text{C}_2\text{-Br}$ catalyst.

1

2 **Figure 2. Structure of χ -Fe₅C₂ and χ -Fe₅C₂-Br catalysts.** Synchrotron XRD patterns (A) and
3 Fe 2*p* XPS spectra (B) of pristine and spent χ -Fe₅C₂ and χ -Fe₅C₂-Br catalysts. (C) Br *K*-edge
4 XANES spectra of χ -Fe₅C₂-Br-spent catalyst compared with reference bromide compounds.
5 (D) HAADF-STEM image and corresponding EDS mapping of Fe and Br distributions in χ -
6 Fe₅C₂-Br-spent catalyst. (E) HS-LEIS depth profile of χ -Fe₅C₂-Br-spent catalyst. Reaction
7 conditions: 100 mg catalyst, 300 °C, 10 bar, SV = 12000 mL·g_{cat}⁻¹·h⁻¹, H₂/CO = 2. χ -Fe₅C₂,
8 syngas; χ -Fe₅C₂-Br, syngas with co-feeding 20 ppm CH₃Br. Catalysts were pretreated at 280 °C
9 and 1 bar for 6 h, SV = 12000 mL·g_{cat}⁻¹·h⁻¹, H₂/CO = 2 (χ -Fe₅C₂, syngas; χ -Fe₅C₂-Br, syngas
10 with co-feeding 20 ppm CH₃Br).

11

1

2 **Figure 3. Mechanism of Br in regulating the catalytic behavior.** (A) The reaction pathways
3 in FTS network. (B) Inhibition effect of CH₃Br co-feeding on CO₂ formation in water-gas shift
4 reaction over Fe₃O₄ catalyst. Reaction conditions: 50 mg catalyst, 300 °C, 1 bar, 10 mL/min
5 CO/H₂O/Ar = 2/2/96 switched to CO/H₂O/Ar = 2/2/96 with co-feeding 300 ppm CH₃Br. (C)
6 Temperature-programed desorption of H₂O over Fe₃O₄ and Fe₃O₄-Br catalysts. Reaction
7 conditions: 100 mg catalyst, 1 bar, pretreated in 20 mL/min H₂O/Ar = 2.5/97.5 at 50 °C; then
8 switched to 20 mL/min Ar, purging for 20 min, followed by temperature ramping from 50 °C
9 to 300 °C at 10 °C/min. (D) Near ambient pressure XPS results of Fe₃O₄ and Fe₃O₄-Br catalysts
10 at different temperatures in 1 mbar H₂O. Conditions: catalysts were pretreated at 400 °C in Ar
11 for 1 h, cooled to room temperature, introduced to 1 mbar H₂O and treated at different
12 temperatures. (E) CO pulse experiment over χ -Fe₅C₂ and χ -Fe₅C₂-Br catalysts. Reaction
13 conditions: 100 mg catalyst, 300 °C, 1 bar, 5 mL/min Ar, 250 μ L sampling loop, pulse gas:
14 CO/He = 0.5/99.5. (F) Transient kinetic analysis of Ar $\rightarrow$ C¹⁸O/He/Ar = 5/15/80 over χ -Fe₅C₂
15 and χ -Fe₅C₂-Br catalysts. Reaction conditions: 50 mg catalyst, 300 °C, 1 bar, 10 mL/min Ar
16 switched to C¹⁸O/He/Ar = 5/15/80. (G) Pulse experiment of C₃H₆ in H₂ for χ -Fe₅C₂ and χ -
17 Fe₅C₂-Br catalysts. Reaction conditions: 50 mg catalyst, 300 °C, 1 bar, 20 mL/min H₂, 250 μ L
18 sampling loop, pulse gas: C₃H₆/Ar/He = 3/3/94. (H) Temperature-programed experiment of
19 C₃H₆ hydrogenation for χ -Fe₅C₂ and χ -Fe₅C₂-Br catalysts with co-feeding D₂. Reaction
20 conditions: 50 mg catalyst, 1 bar, 10 mL/min C₃H₆/H₂/D₂/Ar = 1/82/10/7, temperature ramping
21 from 50 °C to 300 °C at 10 °C/min.

22

1

2 **Figure 4. Theoretical investigation of olefin hydrogenation inhibition mechanism and**
3 **catalytic stability of χ -Fe₅C₂ catalyst with CH₃Br co-feed in FTS process.** (A) DFT
4 calculated reaction energy profiles for propene hydrogenation on clean and Br-covered ($\theta_{\text{Br}} =$
5 $1/3$) χ -Fe₅C₂ (100) surfaces. Reaction intermediates and transition states illustrate two
6 hydrogenation pathways, through CH₃CH₂CH₂ (solid line) and CH₃CHCH₃ (dashed line),
7 highlighting increased hydrogenation barriers upon Br modification. (B) Catalytic stability and
8 product selectivity of the χ -Fe₅C₂-Br catalyst. Reaction conditions: 100 mg catalyst, 300 °C,
9 5 bar, H₂/CO = 2, co-feeding 20 ppm CH₃Br. The catalyst exhibited stable CO conversion and
10 sustained high selectivity toward C₂₊ olefins with minimal CH₄ and CO₂ byproduct formation
11 over 450 hours at two different space velocities (12000 and 6000 mL·g_{cat}⁻¹·h⁻¹).

12

References and Notes

1. K. T. Rommens, M. Saeys, Molecular views on Fischer–Tropsch synthesis. *Chem. Rev.* **123**, 5798-5858 (2023) doi: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.2c00508.
2. X. Pan, F. Jiao, D. Miao, X. Bao, Oxide-zeolite-based composite catalyst concept that enables syngas chemistry beyond Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. *Chem. Rev.* **121**, 6588-6609 (2021) doi: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c01012.
3. J. Li *et al.*, Integrated tuneable synthesis of liquid fuels via Fischer–Tropsch technology. *Nat. Catal.* **1**, 787-793 (2018) doi: 10.1038/s41929-018-0144-z.
4. H. Zhao *et al.*, Synthesis of iron-carbide nanoparticles: identification of the active phase and mechanism of Fe-based Fischer–Tropsch synthesis. *CCS Chem.* **3**, 2712-2724 (2021) doi: 10.31635/ccschem.020.202000555.
5. P. Wang *et al.*, Synthesis of stable and low-CO₂ selective ϵ -iron carbide Fischer-Tropsch catalysts. *Sci. Adv.* **4**, eaau2947 (2018) doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aau2947
6. S. Lyu *et al.*, Stabilization of ϵ -iron carbide as high-temperature catalyst under realistic Fischer–Tropsch synthesis conditions. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 6219 (2020) doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-20068-5.
7. D. Wang *et al.*, Insights into the mechanism of high CO₂ selectivity over Co₂C-based Fischer–Tropsch to olefins. *ACS Catal.* **15**, 7028-7039 (2025) doi:10.1021/acscatal.4c06992.
8. X. Zhang *et al.*, Shielding the Hägg carbide by a graphene layer for ultrahigh carbon efficiency during syngas conversion. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **121**, e2407624121 (2024) doi: 10.1073/pnas.2407624121.
9. H. M. Torres Galvis *et al.*, Supported iron nanoparticles as catalysts for sustainable production of lower olefins. *Science* **335**, 835-838 (2012) doi: 10.1126/science.1215614
10. P. Wang *et al.*, Efficient conversion of syngas to linear α -olefins by phase-pure χ -Fe₅C₂. *Nature* **635**, 102-107 (2024) doi:10.1038/s41586-024-08078-5.
11. Y. Xu *et al.*, A hydrophobic FeMn@Si catalyst increases olefins from syngas by suppressing C₁ by-products. *Science* **371**, 610-613 (2021) doi:

10.1126/science.abb3649.

12. F. Jiao *et al.*, Selective conversion of syngas to light olefins. *Science* **351**, 1065 (2016) doi: 10.1126/science.aaf1835
13. F. Jiao *et al.*, Disentangling the activity-selectivity trade-off in catalytic conversion of syngas to light olefins. *Science* **380**, 727-730 (2023) doi: 10.1126/science.adg2491.
14. E. de Smit *et al.*, On the surface chemistry of iron oxides in reactive gas atmospheres. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* **123**, 1622-1626 (2011) doi: 10.1002/ange.201005282.
15. M. Ojeda *et al.*, CO activation pathways and the mechanism of Fischer–Tropsch synthesis. *J. Catal.* **272**, 287-297 (2010) doi: 10.1016/j.jcat.2010.04.012.
16. F. Qian *et al.*, Stabilized ϵ -Fe₂C catalyst with Mn tuning to suppress C1 byproduct selectivity for high-temperature olefin synthesis. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 5128 (2024) doi:10.1038/s41467-024-49472-x.
17. X.-W. Liu *et al.*, Iron carbides in Fischer–Tropsch synthesis: theoretical and experimental understanding in epsilon-iron carbide phase assignment. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **121**, 21390-21396 (2017) doi: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b06104.
18. X. W. Liu *et al.*, Mössbauer spectroscopy of iron carbides: from prediction to experimental confirmation. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 26184 (2016) doi: 10.1038/srep26184.
19. C. Yang, H. Zhao, Y. Hou, D. Ma, Fe₅C₂ nanoparticles: a facile bromide-induced synthesis and as an active phase for Fischer–Tropsch synthesis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 15814-15821 (2012) doi:10.1021/ja305048p.
20. W. Ma *et al.*, Fischer–Tropsch synthesis: effects of hydrohalic acids in syngas on a precipitated iron catalyst. *ACS Catal.* **5**, 3124-3136 (2015) doi: 10.1021/acscatal.5b00023.
21. L. Zhong *et al.*, Cobalt carbide nanoprisms for direct production of lower olefins from syngas. *Nature* **538**, 84-87 (2016) doi: 10.1038/nature19786.
22. H. Yu *et al.*, Direct production of olefins from syngas with ultrahigh carbon efficiency. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 5987 (2022) doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-33715-w.
23. M. Claeys *et al.*, Oxidation of Hägg carbide during high-temperature Fischer–Tropsch synthesis: size-dependent thermodynamics and in situ observations. *ACS Catal.* **11**, 13866-13879 (2021) doi: 10.1021/acscatal.1c03719.

24. E. de Smit *et al.*, Stability and reactivity of ϵ - χ - θ iron carbide catalyst phases in Fischer–Tropsch synthesis: controlling μ_C . *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 14928-14941 (2010) doi: 10.1021/ja105853q.
25. C. Zhan *et al.*, Critical roles of doping Cl on Cu₂O nanocrystals for direct epoxidation of propylene by molecular oxygen. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **142**, 14134-14141 (2020) doi: 10.1021/jacs.0c03882.
26. C. J. Keturakis *et al.*, Dynamics of CrO₃–Fe₂O₃ Catalysts during the high-temperature water-gas shift reaction: molecular structures and reactivity. *ACS Catal.* **6**, 4786-4798 (2016) doi: 10.1021/acscatal.6b01281.
27. P. Zhai *et al.*, Highly tunable selectivity for syngas-derived alkenes over zinc and sodium-modulated Fe₅C₂ catalyst. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **55**, 9902-9907 (2016) doi: 10.1002/anie.201603556.
28. R. Gubo *et al.*, Mechanistic insights into CO reactivity on iron-based catalysts: role of surface atomic carbon. *ACS Catal.* **14**, 14721-14732 (2024) doi: 10.1021/acscatal.4c03596.
29. M. Zhu, I. E. Wachs, Iron-based catalysts for the high-temperature water–gas shift (HT-WGS) reaction: a review. *ACS Catal.* **6**, 722-732 (2015) doi: 10.1021/acscatal.5b02594.
30. F. Polo-Garzon *et al.*, Elucidation of the reaction mechanism for high-temperature water gas shift over an industrial-type copper-chromium-iron oxide catalyst. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **141**, 7990-7999 (2019) doi: 10.1021/jacs.9b03516.
31. H. Yan *et al.*, Promoted Cu-Fe₃O₄ catalysts for low-temperature water gas shift reaction: Optimization of Cu content. *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* **226**, 182-193 (2018) doi: 10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.12.050.
32. Y. Liu, J.-F. Chen, J. Bao, Y. Zhang, Manganese-modified Fe₃O₄ microsphere catalyst with effective active phase of forming light olefins from syngas. *ACS Catal.* **5**, 3905-3909 (2015) doi: 10.1021/acscatal.5b00492.
33. R. Wang *et al.*, Reversing the selectivity of alkanes and alkenes in iron-based Fischer–Tropsch synthesis: the precise control and fundamental role of sodium promotor. *ACS Catal.* **14**, 11121-11130 (2024) doi: 10.1021/acscatal.4c02252.
34. V. P. Santos *et al.*, The complex chlorination effects on high selectivity industrial EO

- catalysts: dynamic interplay between catalyst composition and process conditions. *ACS Catal.* **14**, 10839-10852 (2024) doi: 10.1021/acscatal.4c01764.
35. R. M. Lambert, R. L. Cropley, A. Husain, M. S. Tikhov, Halogen-induced selectivity in heterogeneous epoxidation is an electronic effect--fluorine, chlorine, bromine and iodine in the Ag-catalysed selective oxidation of ethene. *Chem. Commun. (Camb)*, 1184-1185 (2003) doi: 10.1039/b302620e.
36. L. C. Buelens, V. V. Galvita, H. Poelman, C. Detavernier, G. B. Marin, Super-dry reforming of methane intensifies CO₂ utilization via Le Chatelier's principle. *Science* **354**, 449-452 (2016) doi: 10.1126/science.aah7161.
37. K. Cheng *et al.*, Direct and highly selective conversion of synthesis gas into lower olefins: design of a bifunctional catalyst combining methanol synthesis and carbon–carbon coupling. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **55**, 4725-4728 (2016) doi: 10.1002/anie.201601208.
38. F. Jiao *et al.*, Shape-selective zeolites promote ethylene formation from syngas via a ketene intermediate. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **57**, 4692-4696 (2018) doi: 10.1002/anie.201801397.
39. Y. Ni *et al.*, Realizing and recognizing syngas-to-olefins reaction via a dual-bed catalyst. *ACS Catal.* **9**, 1026-1032 (2019) doi: 10.1021/acscatal.8b04794.
40. Y. Zhu *et al.*, Role of manganese oxide in syngas conversion to light olefins. *ACS Catal.* **7**, 2800-2804 (2017) doi: 10.1021/acscatal.7b00221.
41. J. Su *et al.*, Syngas to light olefins conversion with high olefin/paraffin ratio using ZnCrOx/AlPO-18 bifunctional catalysts. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1297 (2019) doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-09336-1.
42. J. Lu *et al.*, Promotion effects of nitrogen doping into carbon nanotubes on supported iron Fischer–Tropsch catalysts for lower olefins. *ACS Catal.* **4**, 613-621 (2014) doi: 10.1021/cs400931z.
43. O. Zhuo *et al.*, Stabilizing the active phase of iron-based Fischer–Tropsch catalysts for lower olefins: mechanism and strategy. *Chem. Sci.* **10**, 6083-6090 (2019) doi: 10.1039/C9SC01210A.
44. Y. He, H. Shi, O. Johnson, B. Joseph, J. N. Kuhn, Selective and stable In-promoted Fe

- catalyst for syngas conversion to light olefins. *ACS Catal.* **11**, 15177-15186 (2021) doi: 10.1021/acscatal.1c04334.
45. J. Wang *et al.*, Directly converting syngas to linear α -olefins over core-shell $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{MnO}_2$ catalysts. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **10**, 43578-43587 (2018) doi: 10.1021/acscami.8b11820.
46. J. Xie *et al.*, Promoted cobalt metal catalysts suitable for the production of lower olefins from natural gas. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 167 (2019) doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-08019-7.
47. T. Lin *et al.*, Designing silica-coated CoMn-based catalyst for Fischer-Tropsch synthesis to olefins with low CO_2 emission. *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* **299**, 120683 (2021) doi: 10.1016/j.apcatb.2021.120683.
48. B. Ravel, M. Newville, ATHENA, ARTEMIS, HEPHAESTUS: data analysis for X-ray absorption spectroscopy using IFEFFIT. *J. Synchrotron Radiat.* **12**, 537-541 (2005) doi: 10.1107/S0909049505012719.
49. N. Fairley *et al.*, Systematic and collaborative approach to problem solving using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. *Appl. Surf. Sci. Adv.* **5**, 100112 (2021) doi: 10.1016/j.apsadv.2021.100112.
50. G. Kresse, J. Furthmüller, Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **6**, 15-50 (1996). doi: 10.1016/0927-0256(96)00008-0.
51. G. Kresse, J. Furthmüller, Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 11169-11186 (1996) doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169.
52. J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, Generalized gradient approximation made simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865-3868 (1996) doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865.
53. H. Jónsson, G. Mills, K.W. Jacobsen, Nudged elastic band method for finding minimum energy paths of transitions, in: B.J. Berne, G. Ciccotti, D.F. Coker (Eds.), *Classical and Quantum Dynamics in Condensed Phase Simulations*, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 1998, pp. 385–404. doi: 10.1142/9789812839664_0016.
54. G. Henkelman, H. Jónsson, Improved tangent estimate in the nudged elastic band method for finding minimum energy paths and saddle points. *J. Chem. Phys.* **113**, 9978-9985 (2000) doi: 10.1016/j.commatsci.2005.04.010.

- 1 55. G. Henkelman, A. Arnaldsson, H. Jónsson, A fast and robust algorithm for Bader
2 decomposition of charge density. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **36**, 354-360 (2006) doi:
3 10.1016/j.commatsci.2005.04.010.
- 4 56. Sanville E, Kenny SD, Smith R, Henkelman G. Improved grid-based algorithm for
5 Bader charge allocation. *J. Comput. Chem.* **28**, 899-908 (2007) doi: 10.1002/jcc.20575.
- 6 57. H. M. Torres Galvis et al., Iron particle size effects for direct production of lower olefins
7 from synthesis gas. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 16207-16215 (2012) doi:
8 10.1021/ja304958u.
- 9

Acknowledgments:

The authors acknowledge the BL02U2 beamlines at the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (<https://cstr.cn/31124.02.SSRF.BL02U2>) for technical support and assistance with XRD measurements. The authors acknowledge the BL02B01, BL20U1 beamlines at the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (<https://cstr.cn/31124.02.SSRF.BL02B01>, <https://cstr.cn/31124.02.SSRF.BL20U1>) for technical support and assistance with XPS and XAS measurements, respectively. The authors thank the BL01B1 beamline at SPring-8, Japan, for their valuable technical support and assistance with XAS data collection. The AI-driven experiments, simulations and model training were performed on the robotic AI-Scientist platform of Chinese Academy of Sciences. We thank Prof. Wu Zhou and Dr. Junhu Gao for helpful discussions. The authors gratefully acknowledge the resources and financial support provided by the Research and Design Center of Synfuels China Co., Ltd. D. M. acknowledges support from the Tencent Foundation through the XPLOER PRIZE and New Cornerstone Investigator Program.

Funding:

National Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars of China 22225206 (X.-D. W.), 22025804 (Y. Y.)

National Key R&D Program of China 2022YFA1604100 (X.-D. W.), 2022YFB4101200 (Y. Y.), 2023YFB4103100 (Y. X.), 2021YFA1501102 (D.M.)

National Natural Science Foundation of China 22172183(X.-W. L.), 22572210 (X.-W. L.), 22232001 (D. M.)

Beijing Natural Science Foundation L255001 (X.-W. L.)

Ordos Key R&D Program YF20232316 (X.-W. L.), YF20232317 (X.-D. W.)

National Key R&D Program of China 2021YFA1500300(X. L.) National Natural Science Foundation of China 22272106 (X. L.)

Author contributions: D. M., X. -D. W., X.-W. L. conceived and supervised the project. Y.C. and X.-W.L. conducted most of the catalytic reactions. M.W. performed the transient kinetic experiments. F. Q. performed Mössbauer spectroscopy. M.W., L.Z., Z.C. and Y.X. carried out and analyzed the X-ray-based characterizations (XRD, XAS and XPS). Y.C., X.-W.L. and X.L. conducted the electron microscopy studies. S.Z., X.-W.L., and X.-D.W. performed the DFT calculations. Y.C., M.W., X.-W.L., S.Z., and D.M. wrote the original draft. All authors contributed to discussions and revisions of the manuscript.

Competing interests: A Chinese patent covering the strategy of co-feeding halogen-containing gases, as reported in this paper, has been filed. Other than this, the authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability: All data supporting the findings of this study are available within the paper and its supplementary materials.

List of Supplementary Materials

Materials and Methods

Supplementary Figures 1 to 47

Supplementary Tables 1 to 18

References (48-57).