

## A Promising Target for Treatment of Multidrug-Resistant Bacterial Infections

Martin S. Davey, Jonathan M. Tyrrell, Robin A. Howe,  
Timothy R. Walsh, Bernhard Moser, Mark A. Toleman and  
Matthias Eberl

*Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2011, 55(7):3635. DOI:  
10.1128/AAC.00382-11.

Published Ahead of Print 2 May 2011.

---

Updated information and services can be found at:  
<http://aac.asm.org/content/55/7/3635>

---

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <i>These include:</i>   |
| <b>REFERENCES</b>     | This article cites 7 articles, 3 of which can be accessed free at:<br><a href="http://aac.asm.org/content/55/7/3635#ref-list-1">http://aac.asm.org/content/55/7/3635#ref-list-1</a> |
| <b>CONTENT ALERTS</b> | Receive: RSS Feeds, eTOCs, free email alerts (when new<br>articles cite this article), <a href="#">more»</a>  |

---

---

Information about commercial reprint orders: <http://journals.asm.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>  
To subscribe to to another ASM Journal go to: <http://journals.asm.org/site/subscriptions/>

---

## Letters to the Editor

### A Promising Target for Treatment of Multidrug-Resistant Bacterial Infections<sup>▽</sup>

Multidrug-resistant infections pose a major quandary for clinicians by complicating therapy choice, compromising patient recovery, and creating a serious threat to public health (1). In Gram-negative bacteria, resistance to almost all  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics, including the carbapenems, can be conferred by metallo- $\beta$ -lactamases (MBLs), such as imipenemase (IMP), Verona imipenemase (VIM), German imipenemase (GIM), New Delhi MBL (NDM), and São Paulo MBL (SPM), which are invariably accompanied by additional resistance mechanisms. The ease with which multidrug resistance disseminates among Gram-negative pathogens is illustrated by the rapid global spread of NDM-1 (5). For patients infected with MBL-positive (MBL<sup>+</sup>) bacteria, last-resort compounds such as tigecycline and colistin offer the only means of treatment, and, in the case of colistin, often at the cost of serious side effects. Moreover, tigecycline is currently not licensed for treatment of urinary tract infections, the primary infection associated with NDM-1<sup>+</sup> bacteria, and neither agent is 100% effective for all MBL<sup>+</sup> strains. There is thus an urgent need for novel and safe antibiotics that are effective in controlling these “super-bug” infections.

One potential target for new-generation antibiotics are the seven enzymes of the 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate (MEP) or nonmevalonate pathway of isoprenoid biosynthesis, an essential metabolic route in many Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria and in malaria parasites (3, 4). The compound 3-(N-formyl-N-hydroxyamino)propyl-phosphonic acid (fosmidomycin), a specific inhibitor of the first step in the MEP pathway, was described in the 1980s as an effective antibacterial agent and has more recently been the subject of clinical trials demonstrating its safety and potency in malaria patients (6). Of note, fosmidomycin not only has a direct antimicrobial effect but may also possess immediate anti-inflammatory properties by inhibiting  $\gamma\delta$  T-cell-driven immune responses to the MEP pathway intermediate, (*E*)-4-hydroxy-3-methyl-but-2-enyl pyrophosphate (HMB-PP) (2).

Here we report the first evidence of activity of fosmidomycin against multidrug-resistant bacteria with acquired MBL genes. Our data demonstrate that irrespective of MBL type and origin, all isolates of *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* tested were susceptible to fosmidomycin, including laboratory-generated colistin-resistant derivatives (Table 1). Control strains not possessing the MEP pathway, such as *Chryseobacterium indologenes*, were not affected by fosmidomycin. As the MICs defined here are achievable *in vivo* (7), our findings suggest that fosmidomycin and related compounds might be useful in the clinic and help reduce the exposure of patients to the toxic side effects of colistin and the risk of generating colistin-resistant mutants. Taken together, we provide proof of concept that fosmidomycin and other MEP pathway inhibitors represent promising leads for future drugs by targeting an essential met-

abolic route that is shared by the majority of pathogenic bacteria, including multidrug-resistant clinical isolates, but is absent in humans.

We declare that we have no conflicts of interest.

This study was supported by grants from the Welsh Assembly Government and Baxter Healthcare, an RCUK Fellowship in Translational Research (M.E.), and a Ph.D. studentship from the Veterinary Laboratories Agency and Public Health Wales (J.M.T.).

M.S.D. and J.M.T. contributed equally to this work.

#### REFERENCES

1. Boucher, H. W., et al. 2009. Bad bugs, no drugs: no ESKAPE! An update from the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **48**:1–12.
2. Davey, M. S., et al. 2011. Human neutrophil clearance of bacterial pathogens triggers anti-microbial  $\gamma\delta$  T cell responses in early infection. *PLoS Pathog.* **7**:e1002040.
3. Eberl, M., et al. 2003. Microbial isoprenoid biosynthesis and human  $\gamma\delta$  T cell activation. *FEBS Lett.* **544**:4–10.
4. Jomaa, H., et al. 1999. Inhibitors of the nonmevalonate pathway of isoprenoid biosynthesis as antimalarial drugs. *Science* **285**:1573–1576.
5. Kumarasamy, K. K., et al. 2010. Emergence of a new antibiotic resistance mechanism in India, Pakistan, and the UK: a molecular, biological, and epidemiological study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **10**:597–602.
6. Missinou, M. A., et al. 2002. Fosmidomycin for malaria. *Lancet* **360**:1941–1942.
7. Murakawa, T., et al. 1982. Pharmacokinetics of fosmidomycin, a new phosphonic acid antibiotic. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **21**:224–230.

**Martin S. Davey**

**Jonathan M. Tyrrell**

*Department of Infection, Immunity and Biochemistry  
School of Medicine  
Cardiff University  
Cardiff, United Kingdom*

**Robin A. Howe**

*Welsh Antimicrobial Resistance Programme  
Public Health Wales Microbiology  
University Hospital of Wales  
Cardiff, United Kingdom*

**Timothy R. Walsh**

**Bernhard Moser**

**Mark A. Toleman\***

**Matthias Eberl\***

*Department of Infection, Immunity and Biochemistry  
School of Medicine  
Cardiff University  
Cardiff, United Kingdom*

\*Phone for Mark A. Toleman: (44) 29 2074 3129

Fax: (44) 29 206 87303

E-mail: TolemanMA@cf.ac.uk

Phone for Matthias Eberl: (44) 29 206 87011

Fax: (44) 29 206 87303

Email: EberlM@cf.ac.uk

<sup>▽</sup> Published ahead of print on 2 May 2011.

TABLE 1. Susceptibility of multidrug-resistant bacteria to fosmidomycin<sup>a</sup>

| Species                             | Gram stain | MEP | Isolate | Origin  | MBL   | MIC ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) for: |            |            |          |             |             |           |
|-------------------------------------|------------|-----|---------|---------|-------|-------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
|                                     |            |     |         |         |       | Azt                           | Amk        | Gen        | Mer      | Imp         | Fof         | Fos       |
| <i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>         | -          | +   | 481234  | Brazil  | IMP-1 | <b>0.19</b>                   | <b>2</b>   | 12         | <b>3</b> | <b>3</b>    | >32         | <b>1</b>  |
|                                     |            |     | 69-7329 | Brazil  | IMP-4 | <b>6</b>                      | <b>1.5</b> | <b>1.5</b> | 12       | <b>3</b>    | >32         | <b>1</b>  |
|                                     |            |     | IR19    | India   | NDM-1 | >64                           | >64        | >32        | >32      | 32          | <b>16</b>   | <b>32</b> |
|                                     |            |     | IR36    | India   | NDM-1 | >64                           | >64        | >32        | 32       | >32         | >32         | <b>8</b>  |
|                                     |            |     | IR38    | India   | NDM-1 | >64                           | >64        | >32        | >32      | >32         | <b>32</b>   | <b>2</b>  |
|                                     |            |     | IR44    | India   | NDM-1 | >64                           | >64        | >32        | 16       | 16          | <b>32</b>   | <b>4</b>  |
|                                     |            |     | IR59    | India   | NDM-1 | >64                           | >64        | >32        | 32       | >32         | <b>32</b>   | <b>4</b>  |
| <i>Escherichia coli</i>             | -          | +   | IR9     | India   | NDM-1 | >64                           | >64        | >32        | >32      | 16          | <b>0.38</b> | <b>32</b> |
|                                     |            |     | IR31    | India   | NDM-1 | >64                           | >64        | >32        | >32      | 16          | ND          | <b>64</b> |
|                                     |            |     | IR60    | India   | NDM-1 | >64                           | >64        | >32        | 16       | 16          | <b>32</b>   | <b>16</b> |
|                                     |            |     | IR62    | India   | NDM-1 | >64                           | >64        | 32         | >32      | >32         | <b>16</b>   | <b>64</b> |
| <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>        | -          | +   | WCH7    | Greece  | IMP-4 | <b>0.064</b>                  | <b>2</b>   | <b>2</b>   | <b>1</b> | <b>0.75</b> | <b>32</b>   | <b>32</b> |
|                                     |            |     | IR17    | India   | NDM-1 | >64                           | <b>6</b>   | >32        | >32      | >32         | <b>24</b>   | <b>32</b> |
|                                     |            |     | IR17-D* | India   | NDM-1 | ND                            | ND         | ND         | ND       | ND          | ND          | <b>32</b> |
|                                     |            |     | K1      | India   | NDM-1 | >64                           | >64        | >32        | >32      | >32         | <b>6</b>    | <b>8</b>  |
|                                     |            |     | K1-D*   | India   | NDM-1 | >64                           | >64        | >32        | 24       | 16          | <b>8</b>    | <b>32</b> |
|                                     |            |     | K7      | India   | NDM-1 | >64                           | >64        | >32        | >32      | >32         | >32         | <b>16</b> |
| <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>       | -          | +   | A1      | Brazil  | SPM-1 | <b>6</b>                      | >64        | >32        | >32      | >32         | >32         | <b>8</b>  |
|                                     |            |     | A22     | Brazil  | SPM-1 | <b>12</b>                     | >64        | >32        | >32      | >32         | <b>32</b>   | <b>16</b> |
|                                     |            |     | A25     | Germany | GIM-1 | <b>12</b>                     | <b>4</b>   | 12         | >32      | >32         | <b>12</b>   | <b>16</b> |
|                                     |            |     | A31     | Greece  | VIM-1 | 48                            | >64        | >32        | >32      | >32         | <b>12</b>   | <b>8</b>  |
|                                     |            |     | A37     | Italy   | VIM-1 | <b>8</b>                      | >64        | >32        | >32      | >32         | <b>16</b>   | <b>4</b>  |
|                                     |            |     | A41     | Italy   | VIM-1 | <b>8</b>                      | >64        | >32        | >32      | >32         | >32         | <b>8</b>  |
|                                     |            |     | A57     | Italy   | VIM-1 | <b>8</b>                      | >64        | >32        | >32      | 16          | <b>12</b>   | <b>8</b>  |
|                                     |            |     | A63     | France  | VIM-2 | <b>4</b>                      | >64        | >32        | >32      | >32         | >32         | <b>32</b> |
|                                     |            |     | A67     | Poland  | VIM-2 | <b>6</b>                      | 32         | >32        | >32      | >32         | <b>2</b>    | <b>4</b>  |
|                                     |            |     | A70     | Russia  | VIM-2 | <b>8</b>                      | >64        | >32        | >32      | >32         | <b>16</b>   | <b>8</b>  |
| <i>Chryseobacterium indologenes</i> | -          | -   | S281    | UK      |       | ND                            | ND         | ND         | ND       | ND          | >128        |           |
| <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>        | +          | -   | IQA     | UK      |       | ND                            | ND         | ND         | ND       | ND          | >128        |           |
| <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>        | +          | -   | S288    | UK      |       | ND                            | ND         | ND         | ND       | ND          | >128        |           |

<sup>a</sup> The majority of clinical isolates were resistant (R) to aztreonam (Azt; R > 8  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ; R > 16 for *P. aeruginosa*), amikacin (Amk; R > 16), gentamicin (Gen; R > 4), meropenem (Mer; R > 8), and imipenem (Imp; R > 8), as defined by EUCAST. MICs below breakpoints are bold. Fosfomycin (Fof; R > 32), a specific inhibitor of peptidoglycan biosynthesis, was included in the present study as it shares some pharmacokinetic properties with fosmidomycin (Fos) and targets a similar but not identical spectrum of Gram-positive and Gram-negative species. Fos MICs were determined using the ISO microbroth dilution method accepted by both EUCAST and CLSI. \*, laboratory-generated colistin resistant mutants; ND, not done.