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mGluR Control of Interneuron Output Regulates Feedforward Tonic GABA<sub>A</sub> Inhibition in the Visual Thalamus

Adam C. Errington, Giuseppe Di Giovanni, Vincenzo Crunelli, and David W. Cope

Introduction

GABAAergic interneurons play a critical role in the dynamic regulation of information transfer through primary sensory thalamic nuclei. In the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus (dLGN), interneurons can alter the temporal precision of retinogeniculate inputs (Blitz and Regehr, 2005; Crunelli et al., 1988), the receptive field properties of thalamocortical (TC) neurons, the principal projection neurons of the thalamus (Sillito and Kemp, 1983; Berardi and Morrone, 1984; Holdefer et al., 1989), and dynamically sculpt thalamic network activity (Lorincz et al., 2009). Interneurons innervate TC neurons via conventional axodendritic synapses, or F1 terminals, and dendrodendritic synapses, or F2 terminals, that partake in specialized synaptic "triads" where the interneuron dendrite is postsynaptic to retinogeniculate terminals and presynaptic to the TC neuron dendrite (Famiglietti and Peters, 1972; Grossman et al., 1973; Ohara et al., 1983; Hamos et al., 1985). GABA release from the two terminals differs in its action potential dependence, F1 and F2 terminals being action potential dependent and action potential independent, respectively (Cox and Sherman, 2000; Govindaiah and Cox, 2006), and F2 terminals express both ionotropic and metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) (Godwin et al., 1996; Cox and Sherman, 2000). Glutamate release from retinogeniculate terminals can therefore monosynaptically excite TC neuron dendrites and disynaptically inhibit them via glutamate receptor-dependent GABA release from F2-type terminals on interneuron dendrites and demonstrate for the first time the presence of eGABA<sub>R</sub> on TC neuron dendritic elements that participate in "triadic" circuitry within the dLGN. These findings present a plausible novel mechanism for visual contrast gain at the thalamic level and shed new light upon the potential role of glial ensheathment of synaptic triads within the dLGN.

Metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) play a crucial role in regulation of phasic inhibition within the visual thalamus. Here we demonstrate that mGluR-dependent modulation of interneuron GABA release results in dynamic changes in extrasynaptic GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor (eGABA<sub>A</sub>Rs)-dependent tonic inhibition in thalamocortical (TC) neurons of the rat dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus (dLGN). Application of the group I selective mGluR agonist dihydroxyphenylglycine produces a concentration-dependent enhancement of both IPSC frequency and tonic GABA<sub>A</sub> current (I<sub>GABA tonic</sub>) that is due to activation of both mGluR1a and mGluR5 subtypes. In contrast, group II/III mGluR activation decreases both IPSC frequency and I<sub>GABA tonic</sub> amplitude. Using knock-out mice, we show that the mGluR-dependent modulation of I<sub>GABA tonic</sub> is dependent upon expression of δ-subunit containing eGABA<sub>A</sub>Rs.
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 Cells of the visual thalamus that lack metabotropic glutamate receptor (mGluR) activation demonstrate that mGluR-dependent modulation of interneuron GABA release results in dynamic changes in extrasynaptic GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor (eGABA<sub>A</sub>Rs)-dependent tonic inhibition in thalamocortical (TC) neurons of the rat dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus (dLGN). Application of the group I selective mGluR agonist dihydroxyphenylglycine produces a concentration-dependent enhancement of both IPSC frequency and tonic GABA<sub>A</sub> current (I<sub>GABA tonic</sub>) that is due to activation of both mGluR1a and mGluR5 subtypes. In contrast, group II/III mGluR activation decreases both IPSC frequency and I<sub>GABA tonic</sub> amplitude. Using knock-out mice, we show that the mGluR-dependent modulation of I<sub>GABA tonic</sub> is dependent upon expression of δ-subunit containing eGABA<sub>A</sub>Rs. Furthermore, unlike the dLGN, no mGluR-dependent modulation of I<sub>GABA tonic</sub> is present in TC neurons of the somatosensory ventrobasal thalamus, which lacks GABAAergic interneurons. In the dLGN, enhancement of IPSC frequency and I<sub>GABA tonic</sub> by group I mGluRs is not action potential dependent, being insensitive to TTX, but is abolished by the L-type Ca<sup>2+</sup> channel blocker nimodipine. These results indicate selective mGluR-dependent modulation of dendrodendritic GABA release from F2-type terminals on interneuron dendrites and demonstrate for the first time the presence of eGABA<sub>A</sub>Rs on TC neuron dendritic elements that participate in "triadic" circuitry within the dLGN. These findings present a plausible novel mechanism for visual contrast gain at the thalamic level and shed new light upon the potential role of glial ensheathment of synaptic triads within the dLGN.

Interruption

GABAergic interneurons play a critical role in the dynamic regulation of information transfer through primary sensory thalamic nuclei. In the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus (dLGN), interneurons can alter the temporal precision of retinogeniculate inputs (Blitz and Regehr, 2005; Crunelli et al., 1988), the receptive field properties of thalamocortical (TC) neurons, the principal projection neurons of the thalamus (Sillito and Kemp, 1983; Berardi and Morrone, 1984; Holdefer et al., 1989), and dynamically sculpt thalamic network activity (Lorincz et al., 2009). Interneurons innervate TC neurons via conventional axodendritic synapses, or F1 terminals, and dendrodendritic synapses, or F2 terminals, that partake in specialized synaptic "triads" where the interneuron dendrite is postsynaptic to retinogeniculate terminals and presynaptic to the TC neuron dendrite (Famiglietti and Peters, 1972; Grossman et al., 1973; Ohara et al., 1983; Hamos et al., 1985). GABA release from the two terminals differs in its action potential dependence, F1 and F2 terminals being action potential dependent and action potential independent, respectively (Cox and Sherman, 2000; Govindaiah and Cox, 2006), and F2 terminals express both ionotropic and metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) (Godwin et al., 1996; Cox and Sherman, 2000). Glutamate release from retinogeniculate terminals can therefore monosynaptically excite TC neuron dendrites and disynaptically inhibit them via glutamate receptor-dependent GABA release from F2-type terminals on interneuron dendrites and demonstrate for the first time the presence of eGABA<sub>A</sub>Rs on TC neuron dendritic elements that participate in "triadic" circuitry within the dLGN. These findings present a plausible novel mechanism for visual contrast gain at the thalamic level and shed new light upon the potential role of glial ensheathment of synaptic triads within the dLGN.
ated, at least in part, by the spillover of neurotransmitter from the synaptic cleft following conventional vesicular GABA release (Bright et al., 2007). Modulating vesicular GABA release may therefore influence tonic as well as phasic GABA \( A \) inhibition (Bright and Brickley, 2008). Since mGluR activation modulates GABA release from both F1 and F2 terminals (Cox and Sherman, 2000; Govindiaah and Cox, 2004, 2006), we have here examined the effect of mGluR activation on tonic GABA \( A \) inhibition. Our data show that mGluRs control tonic inhibition in TC neurons of the dLGN, but not VB thalamus, almost exclusively by modulating GABA release from F2 terminals in an L-type \( \mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \) channel-dependent manner, indicating that GABA \( A \) Rs may play a previously unappreciated role in modulating sensory information processing in the visual thalamus.

**Materials and Methods**

**Electrophysiology.** Coronal slices (300 \( \mu \)m) containing the dLGN or horizontal slices containing the VB thalamus and the thalamic reticular nucleus (NRT) were prepared from postnatal day 20 (P20) to P25 Wistar rats of either sex in chilled (1–3°C) cutting solution bubbled with carbogen (95% \( \mathrm{O}_2 \)/5% \( \mathrm{CO}_2 \)) (in mM: 60 sucrose, 85 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1 CaCl\(_2\), 2 MgCl\(_2\), 1.25 NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 25 NaHCO\(_3\), 25 \( \rho \)-glucose, 3 kynurenic acid, 0.045 indomethacin) in accordance with the Home Office Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986, United Kingdom. For experiments in \( \delta \)-subunit knock-out mice (\( \delta^{-/-} \)), coronal slices including the dLGN were prepared from postnatal day 21–24 \( \delta^{-/-} \) mice or wild-type (WT) littermates. Slices were stored for 20 min at 35°C in sucrose containing solution and then maintained at room temperature in artificial CSF (aCSF) (in mM: 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1 CaCl\(_2\), 2 MgCl\(_2\), 1.25 NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 25 NaHCO\(_3\), 25 \( \rho \)-glucose, 305 mOsm) and used within 4–6 h. For recording, slices were transferred to a submersion chamber continuously perfused with warmed (33–34°C) aCSF (in mM: 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1 CaCl\(_2\), 1 MgCl\(_2\), 1.25 NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 25 NaHCO\(_3\), 25 \( \rho \)-glucose, 305 mOsm) at a flow rate of 2–2.5 ml/min. For voltage-clamp recordings of IPSCs and I\( _{\text{GABA}} \) tonic, 3 mM kynurenic acid was added to the solution to block ionotropic glutamate receptors and isolate GABAergic currents. Somatic whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings were performed on TC neurons (visually identified by infrared videomicroscopy) using pipettes with resistances of 2–5 M\( \Omega \) when filled with internal solution containing the following (in mM): 130 CsCl, 2 MgCl\(_2\), 4 Mg-ATP, 0.3 Na-GTP, 10 Na-HEPES, 0.1 EGTA, pH 7.3, 290–295 mOsm. All experiments were performed at a holding voltage (\( V_h \)) of –70 mV unless specifically indicated otherwise. For current-clamp recordings of NRT neurons, an internal solution containing (in mM): 140 K gluconate, 4 Na\(_2\)ATP, 0.1 CaCl\(_2\), 5 MgCl\(_2\), 1 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 15 Na\(^{+}\)-phosphocreatine, pH 7.3, and 290–295 mOsm was used. For anatomical identification of NRT neurons, internal patch solution was supplemented with 1% biocytin and post hoc histochemical procedures were performed as previously described (Williams et al., 1996). Electrophysiological data were acquired at 20 kHz and filtered at 6 kHz using a Multichip 700B patch-clamp amplifier and pClamp 9.0/10.0 software (Molecular Devices). Series resistance at the start of experiments was between 11 and 15 M\( \Omega \), was compensated by ~80%, and varied ≤30% during recordings. The presence of I\( _{\text{GABA}} \) tonic was determined following the focal application of 100 \( \mu \)M 6-imino-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1(6H)-pyridazinebutanoic acid hydrobromide [gabazine (GBZ)] as previously described (Cope et al., 2005). All other drugs were bath applied.

For synaptic stimulation experiments, an angled slice preparation was used to maintain intact retinal ganglion cell (RGC) input to the dLGN. Briefly, a tissue block was prepared by performing two cuts angled at 45° to the midline to preserve the optic tract running from the optic chiasm to the LGN of one hemisphere. Typically, two 300 \( \mu \)m slices could be obtained from each brain with demonstrable synaptic connectivity. Stimulation was achieved by placing a bipolar tungsten electrode (Fredrick Haer) into the optic tract before it entered the ventral LGN (vLGN) (compare Fig. 7A) and delivering pulses of 200 \( \mu \)s duration with intensities between 10 and 300 \( \mu \)A. Tetanic stimulation was achieved using a train of 40 pulses delivered at 200 Hz (total stimulus duration 200 ms).

**Data analysis.** Data were filtered off-line at 3 kHz and analyzed using LabView-based software, as described previously (Cope et al., 2005, 2009). To determine the effect of (RS)-3,5-dihydroxyphenylglycine

---

**Table 1. IPSC properties in TC neurons under control conditions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>Peak amplitude (pA)</th>
<th>Weighted decay (ms)</th>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Charge (pC)</th>
<th>Total current (pA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dLGN (pre-bath–applied DHPG)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>–40.4 ± 2.7</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>6.9 ± 2.4</td>
<td>–122.1 ± 15.7</td>
<td>–0.9 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dLGN (control population)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>42.3 ± 2.0</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>9.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>–139.4 ± 8.1</td>
<td>–1.4 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VB thalamus</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>–47.0 ± 6.1</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>–136.5 ± 30.7</td>
<td>–1.0 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Number of recorded neurons (\( n \)) are as indicated.
Figure 2. IPSC summation does not account for the inward current shift evoked by mGluR activation. A, A typical trace showing a recording made from a vLGN neuron under our standard recording conditions. DHPG (100 μM) application (black bar) produced a significant increase in IPSC frequency without inducing a persistent inward current. Application of GBZ (gray bar) revealed a lack of IPSC amplitude in these neurons as has been shown previously. B, Expanded traces showing the regions labeled I, II, and III in A, respectively. In the presence of GBZ (gray trace overlay) IPSCs are completely blocked without any change in holding current. Note the presence of IPSC bursts (indicated by open circle and expanded further right) in these vLGN neurons that are comparable to those observed in dLGN and the relative lack of baseline "noise" in the absence of GBZ. C, The cumulative probability distribution for the cell depicted in A shows a significant (p < 0.001) left shift in inter-IPSC interval in the presence of DHPG (control black line, n = 302 events from 4 cells; DHPG gray line, n = 1852 events from 4 cells). Summary of the increase in IPSC frequency in four vLGN neurons. D, A simple model assuming linear summation shows that even high-frequency IPSCs do not summate sufficiently to produce large steady-state inward currents. White circles: IPSC amplitude = 41 pA, τ_m = 2.6 ms; black squares: IPSC amplitude = 82 pA, τ_m = 2.6 ms. The shaded gray area illustrates the increase in tonic current produced by 100 μM DHPG (see Fig. 3C). "p < 0.05. (DHPG) "wash-on" on baseline current, 10 s periods of current were measured before and after DHPG application. Epochs of 5 ms duration were sampled every 100 ms during these 10 s periods, and those that fell on IPSCs were discarded. The average baseline current was then calculated from the remaining epochs, and the difference between pre- and post-DHPG application determined. To measure tonic currents in different populations of neurons, the average baseline current was calculated as above for three 10 s periods, two before focal application of GBZ (1 and 2), and one after (3). IPSC tonic was then determined by subtracting the stimulus-evoked response in the presence of GBZ from control responses. The effect of mGluR antagonists on evoked responses was determined by measuring the mean holding current in a 5 s bin after the end of the stimulus. Tonic current amplitude, IPSC frequency and IPSC burst interval were compared under different experimental conditions using Student's unpaired t test or one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test, as appropriate. Inter-IPSC interval distributions were compared using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Correlations between tonic current amplitude and IPSC frequency were determined using Pearson’s test. Significance in all cases was set at p < 0.05. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM throughout.

**Sources of drugs.** Drugs were obtained from the following sources: DHPG, tetrodotoxin (TTX), (S)-(+)-α-amino-4-carboxy-2-methylbenzeneacetic acid (LY367385), 1,4-dihydro-2, 6-dimethyl-4-(3-nitrophenyl)-3,5-pyridinedicarboxylic acid 2-methoxyethyl 1-methylpropyl ester (nimodipine), and GBZ are from Tocris Bioscience; 3-((2-methyl-1,3-thiazol-4-yl)ethyl)pyridine (MTEP), (1S,2S,5R,6S)-2-amino-3-[3,4,5]-hexahydroxy-2,6-dicarboxylic acid (LY534740), t- (+)-2-amino-4-phosphonobutyric acid (L-AP4), 6-cyano-7-nitroquinazoline-2,3-dione (CNQX), and t-(-)-Amphiphosphonopentanoic acid (n-AP5) are from Ascent Scientific. Nimodipine was dissolved in DMSO and LY354740 in equimolar NaOH, before addition to the aCSF.

**Results**

**Activation of group I mGluRs increases tonic inhibition in TC neurons of the dLGN**

Application of the group I-specific mGluR agonist DHPG increases vesicular GABA release from thalamic interneurons, resulting in augmented phasic GABA_0 inhibition in TC neurons of the dLGN (Govindaiah and Cox, 2006). Therefore, we bath applied DHPG (100 μM) to TC neurons in slices of rat brain maintained in vitro to test whether increased vesicular GABA release also enhanced eGABA_0s activation and IPSC frequency in TC neurons. In whole-cell patch-clamp recordings (V_H = -70 mV) under control conditions (i.e., in the presence of kynurenic acid (3 mM)) to block ionotropic glutamate receptors, baseline current was −209.3 ± 18.2 pA (n = 9), and the properties of spontaneous IPSCs were similar to those described previously (Cope et al., 2005; Bright et al., 2007) (Table 1). In all neurons tested, DHPG caused an inward shift in holding current that reached a plateau 1–2 min after commencing DHPG application (Fig. 1A). At the plateau, baseline current values were significantly more negative than under control conditions (−326.5 ± 26.1 pA, p < 0.001, Student's paired t test), indicating a total inward shift of 117.2 ± 10.8 pA. Furthermore, DHPG application resulted in an increased IPSC frequency (from 6.9 ± 2.4 to 76.3 ± 10.1 Hz) that was reflected by a leftward shift in the distribution of inter-IPSC intervals (p < 0.001, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). Noticeably, in all neurons tested discrete IPSC clusters or bursts were regularly observed, and these had mean peak-to-peak intervals of 204.5 ± 6.7 ms (Fig. 1BII,C). To test whether the DHPG-
induced inward current was due to the activation of eGABA_ARs, we focally applied the GABA_A, R antagonist GBZ (100 μM). Application of GBZ, in the continuing presence of DHPG, not only blocked all spontaneous IPSCs but also caused an outward shift in holding current, revealing the presence of I_{GABA_A tonic} (Fig. 1A). Since mGluR activation has multiple postsynaptic effects in TC neurons (McCormick and von Krosigk, 1992; Hughes et al., 2002), it is possible that part of the DHPG-induced inward current may have been caused by the activation of other cellular mechanisms in addition to eGABA_A activation. To test this hypothesis, we compared currents evoked by DHPG in the presence and absence of GBZ. In a separate group of dLGN TC neurons, we found that DHPG produced an inward current of 129 ± 14.6 pA at plateau level, which was significantly larger (p < 0.05, unpaired t test, n = 6) (Fig. 1D, E) than that evoked in another group of neurons previously perfused with 100 μM GBZ (83.5 ± 9.9 pA, n = 6), a difference of 46.3 ± 11.6 pA (Fig. 1E). This indicated that a fraction of the DHPG-induced inward current in TC neurons resulted from activation of a GBZ-insensitive conductance. For the purpose of this study, we have not investigated the molecular identity of this current and shall simply refer to it as I_{DHPG}. Despite a contribution of I_{DHPG} to the total inward current evoked by the drug, we can, nonetheless, clearly demonstrate a GBZ-sensitive persistent increase in holding current. In neurons where GBZ was perfused before DHPG application, I_{GABA_A tonic} was 34.4 ± 5.0 pA (n = 6) (Fig. 1D, F). In contrast, in those neurons in which GBZ was added after DHPG perfusion, I_{GABA_A tonic} (which represents the sum of the DHPG-induced increase in I_{GABA_A tonic} and basal I_{GABA_A tonic}) was 98.2 ± 13.6 pA (a 2.9-fold increase, n = 6, p < 0.01) (Fig. 1D, F) revealing an apparent increase in I_{GABA_A tonic} of 63.8 ± 10.2 pA. This difference was similar (p > 0.05) to that observed in DHPG-induced currents observed in the presence and absence of GBZ (see above), demonstrating that the fraction of DHPG-induced current not produced by I_{DHPG} is due entirely to increased I_{GABA_A tonic}.

In addition to excluding I_{DHPG}, we were also able to exclude temporal summation of IPSCs as a significant contributing factor to the persistent DHPG-induced inward current by performing recordings in the lateral subdivision of the vLGN-l. Unlike their dorsal counterparts, vLGN neurons do not express δ-subunit containing eGABA_ARs, although kinetic properties of phasic synaptic IPSCs are very similar (Bright et al., 2007). Moreover, it has been demonstrated in the vLGN-l that group I mGluR activation enhances the frequency of GABA_A, R-mediated IPSCs in a TTX-insensitive manner (Govindiah and Cox, 2009). In our experiments in vLGN-l neurons, application of DHPG (100 μM) increased IPSC frequency (control: 58 ± 1.7 Hz; DHPG: 58.8 ± 20.8 Hz, n = 4, p < 0.05, unpaired t test) (Fig. 2A–C), and occurrence of IPSC bursts (Fig. 2B) similar to the dLGN cells but did not evoke any persistent inward current (Fig. 2A). Thus, IPSC decay kinetics that are similar between dLGN and vLGN appear to be sufficiently rapid to prevent temporal summation of currents even at relatively high frequencies. This was further tested by using a simple model of linear IPSC summation based upon the amplitude and weighted τ_w of IPSCs measured in the dLGN under our control conditions. The mean steady-state current during the model train was calculated as the product of the integral of individual IPSCs (A) and the inverse of the inter-IPSC interval. Across a range of simulated IPSC frequencies (10–120 Hz), the mean current was insufficient to account for the increased GBZ-sensitive current observed in the presence of DHPG (100 μM) (Fig. 2D). In support of our experimental findings, these data show that IPSC summation does not make a large contribution to the measured persistent current at similar IPSC frequencies as those evoked by DHPG application.

Due to the presence of I_{DHPG}, in subsequent experiments, we measured the effects of drugs on GBZ-sensitive I_{GABA_A tonic} in different populations of TC neurons and compared them to a separate population of control TC neurons, thereby excluding any contamination of baseline current shifts by non-GABA_A, R-mediated mechanisms (unless otherwise indicated). In a control group of dLGN neurons (n = 15), IPSC amplitude and τ_w were similar to those neurons under control conditions before bath application of DHPG, and basal frequency was 9.7 ± 1.4 Hz (Table 1). Focal application of GBZ to these neurons not only blocked all spontaneous IPSCs, but also revealed a I_{GABA_A tonic} of 58.9 ± 6.2 pA (Fig. 3A, C). In the continuous presence of 100 μM DHPG (n = 13), IPSC frequency (90.5 ± 9.9 Hz) (Fig. 3A, C), inter-IPSC interval distribution (Fig. 3B), and I_{GABA_A tonic} amplitude (158.1 ± 13.5 pA) (Fig. 3A, C), were significantly different compared with control (all p < 0.001). I_{GABA_A tonic} in the presence of DHPG in these neurons was 2.7-fold larger than control neurons, a value similar to that observed in “wash-in” experiments.
DHPG-induced increase in $I_{\text{GABA}_\text{tonic}}$ was mGluR1a specific or mGluR5 specific. Application of 100 $\mu$M DHPG together with the mGluR1a selective antagonist LY367385 (100 $\mu$M, $n=14$) reduced $I_{\text{GABA}_\text{tonic}}$ amplitude relative to DHPG alone (114.9 ± 7.4 pA, $p < 0.01$) (Fig. 5C), but did not significantly affect the DHPG-induced increase in spontaneous IPSC frequency (73.0 ± 6.7 Hz) (Fig. 5C) or IPSC burst interval (238.1 ± 7.0 ms). By comparison, application of 100 $\mu$M DHPG together with the mGluR5 selective antagonist MTEP (100 $\mu$M, $n=8$) reduced both IPSC frequency and $I_{\text{GABA}_\text{tonic}}$ amplitude (53.1 ± 4.7 Hz and 101.1 ± 8.6 pA, both $p < 0.01$) (Fig. 5C), and increased the IPSC burst interval (317.2 ± 9.0 ms, $p < 0.001$) relative to DHPG alone. Similarly, coapplication of 100 $\mu$M LY367385 and 100 $\mu$M DHPG...
MTEP with 100 μM DHPG (n = 8) also reduced $I_{\text{GABA}}^{\text{tonic}}$ amplitude and IPSC frequency (97.7 ± 6.4 pA and 55.9 ± 8.2 Hz; p < 0.01 and 0.05, respectively) (Fig. 5C), and increased the IPSC burst interval (339.9 ± 18.0 ms, p < 0.001), compared with DHPG alone. Plotting $I_{\text{GABA}}^{\text{tonic}}$ against IPSC frequency for all tested conditions revealed a significant correlation between both parameters ($r = 0.65$, $p < 0.0001$) (Fig. 5C). However, neither individual nor combined block of mGluR1a or mGluR5 was capable of fully reversing the DHPG-induced increases in IPSC frequency and $I_{\text{GABA}}^{\text{tonic}}$ amplitude (all $p < 0.001$ compared with control). Thus, maximal concentrations of selective mGluR1α and mGluR5 antagonists are unable to block the effects of 100 μM DHPG.

To address this problem, we repeated experiments using the lower concentration of DHPG. In the presence of 25 μM DHPG, application of 100 μM LY367385 (n = 9) significantly decreased IPSC frequency (31.6 ± 9.0 Hz, $p < 0.01$), increased IPSC burst interval (436.8 ± 50.7 ms, $p < 0.01$), and did not significantly reduce $I_{\text{GABA}}^{\text{tonic}}$ amplitude (75.6 ± 5.9 pA) relative to 25 μM DHPG alone (n = 11) (Fig. 5C), although a downward trend in the latter was observed. Similarly, application of 100 μM MTEP (n = 13) together with 25 μM DHPG significantly decreased IPSC frequency (49.5 ± 6.0 Hz, $p < 0.05$) and increased IPSC burst interval (462.9 ± 43.3 ms, $p < 0.001$), but did not significantly reduce tonic current amplitude (78.7 ± 5.0 pA) (Fig. 5C). Under both these conditions, IPSC frequency and $I_{\text{GABA}}^{\text{tonic}}$ amplitude were still larger compared with control ($p < 0.05$). However, coapplication of LY367385 and MTEP together with 25 μM DHPG significantly decreased both IPSC frequency and $I_{\text{GABA}}^{\text{tonic}}$ amplitude compared with 25 μM DHPG alone (12.5 ± 3.4 Hz and 57.7 ± 7.4 pA; $p < 0.001$ and 0.01, respectively) (Fig. 5A–C), so that values were not significantly different to control ($p > 0.05$). Moreover, coapplication of LY367385 and MTEP increased IPSC burst interval to 879.4 ± 90.6 ms ($p < 0.001$), although shorter bursts of reduced amplitude were still apparent (Fig. 5B). As for 100 μM DHPG, a significant correlation ($r = 0.64$, $p < 0.0001$) between $I_{\text{GABA}}^{\text{tonic}}$ and IPSC frequency was observed under these conditions (Fig. 5C). Thus, in contrast to previous studies we found that the combined activation of both mGluR1a and mGluR5 mediates the DHPG-induced increase in IPSC frequency and eGABA,R-dependent $I_{\text{GABA}}^{\text{tonic}}$ amplitude.

**Figure 5.** Tonic currents are enhanced by activation of mGluRs 1a and 5, and reduced by group II/III mGluR activation. **A,** Representative current traces from different TC neurons of the dLGN in the presence of 25 μM DHPG alone (black bar, top), and in the combined presence of 25 μM DHPG, the mGluR1a antagonist LY367385 (100 μM), and mGluR5 antagonist MTEP (100 μM) (bottom). $I_{\text{GABA}}^{\text{tonic}}$ tonics were revealed by focal application of GBZ (gray bars). **B,** Expanded current traces from the same cells as in A showing that coapplication of DHPG with LY367385 and MTEP decreases the frequency of IPSCs. Note that large IPSC bursts (open circle) are prevalent in the presence of DHPG alone, but bursts of reduced amplitude and duration occur even in the combined presence of DHPG, LY367385, and MTEP (filled circle). **C,** Summary of the effects of LY367385 and MTEP in isolation and in combination upon DHPG-induced increases in IPSC frequency and $I_{\text{GABA}}^{\text{tonic}}$. Combined application of both antagonists completely blocked the effects of 25 μM DHPG. Control levels are indicated by dashed lines. Plot of $I_{\text{GABA}}^{\text{tonic}}$ against IPSC frequency for individual neurons under all tested conditions (both 25 and 100 μM DHPG) shows the significant correlation between both parameters. Note the block of DHPG (25 μM, red) effect in all cells by combined application of LY367385 and MTEP (gray). Black lines represent linear regression fits to the pooled data. **D,** Groups II and III mGluRs modulate GABA release. Graphs show the effects of the group II mGluR agonist LY354740 (10 μM) and group III agonist L-AP4 (10 and 250 μM) on IPSC frequency and $I_{\text{GABA}}^{\text{tonic}}$ amplitude. There is no significant correlation between $I_{\text{GABA}}^{\text{tonic}}$ and IPSC frequency under these conditions ($p > 0.05$). *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$. 

Group II and group III mGluRs modulate tonic inhibition

Activation of group II and group III mGluRs has been shown to reduce phasic inhibition in TC neurons (Govindaiah and Cox, 2006); therefore, we examined whether their activation also affected tonic inhibition. Application of the group II (i.e., mGluRs 2 and 3) selective agonist LY354740 (10 μM, n = 10) reduced IPSC frequency compared with control (3 ± 0.5 Hz, p < 0.001), but did not significantly reduce I_{GABA}^\text{tomic} amplitude (44.5 ± 5.9 pA), although there was a clear trend for smaller tonic currents (Fig. 5D). By comparison, application of the group III agonist D-AP4, at a concentration selective for mGluRs 4 and 8 (10 μM, n = 10), not only significantly reduced IPSC frequency (3.5 ± 0.5 Hz, p < 0.01), but also reduced I_{GABA}^\text{tomic} amplitude (39.4 ± 5.7 pA, p < 0.05) (Fig. 5D). Increasing the concentration of D-AP4 to 250 μM (n = 9) caused no further decrease in either IPSC frequency (2.6 ± 0.3 Hz) or I_{GABA}^\text{tomic} amplitude (39.3 ± 3.6 pA), indicating no contribution of mGluR7 to this effect (Fig. 4D).

Unlike the effect of DHPG, when I_{GABA}^\text{tomic} was plotted against IPSC frequency in the presence of group II/III mGluR agonists no significant correlation was observed between both measurements (r = 0.26, p = 0.46) (Fig. 5D). Thus, activation of mGluRs 4 and 8 can modulate vesicular GABA release and control tonic inhibition. Furthermore, despite the lack of a significant reduction in I_{GABA}^\text{tomic} amplitude in the presence of LY354740, the significant reduction in IPSC frequency and a clear downward trend in I_{GABA}^\text{tomic} amplitude also indicate a likely contribution of mGluRs 2 and/or 3.

Increased tonic inhibition is L-type Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channel dependent

Thalamic interneurons can release GABA through action potential-dependent and action potential-independent mechanisms, apparently corresponding to release from axonal F1 and dendritic F2 terminals, respectively (Cox and Sherman, 2000; Govindaiah and Cox, 2006). We therefore tested whether the mGluR1a- or mGluR5-mediated increases in tonic inhibition were action potential dependent by testing whether TTX (0.5 μM), coapplied with either LY367385 or MTEP, could block the effects of 25 μM DHPG. Application of TTX together with DHPG and LY367385 (n = 10), to test the action potential dependence of mGluR5-mediated effects, caused no further reduction in either I_{GABA}^\text{tomic} amplitude or IPSC frequency compared with the application of just DHPG and LY367385 together (74.8 ± 5.9 pA and 32.6 ± 8.1 Hz, respectively). Similarly, applying TTX together with DHPG and MTEP (n = 8), to test the action potential dependence of mGluR1a-mediated effects, had no further effect on either I_{GABA}^\text{tomic} amplitude or IPSC frequency compared with the application of just DHPG and MTEP together (88.4 ± 11.3 pA and 41.4 ± 9.6 Hz). Thus, neither mGluR1a- or mGluR5-mediated increases in tonic inhibition are action potential dependent. To test for any action potential dependence of DHPG effects in general, we applied only TTX with 25 μM DHPG (n = 9). Coapplication had no effect on I_{GABA}^\text{tomic} amplitude or IPSC frequency compared with DHPG alone (97.8 ± 8.0 pA and 61.9 ± 8.8 Hz) (Fig. 6A,C), and IPSC bursts were readily apparent in the presence of TTX with the burst interval significantly shorter compared with 25 μM DHPG alone (245.5 ± 12.3 ms, p < 0.01) (Fig. 6A). F1 terminals, therefore, appear to have a negligible contribution to mGluR-mediated increases in either phasic or tonic GABA\textsubscript{A} inhibition, contrary to previous findings (Cox and Sherman, 2000).

However, a recent study (Acuna-Goycolea et al., 2008) indicated that L-type Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels appear to be critically involved in GABA release from F2, but not F1, terminals. Therefore, we tested whether the mGluR-mediated increase in I_{GABA}^\text{tomic} is also L-type Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channel dependent. Application of the L-type Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channel blocker nimodipine (10 μM) together with 25 μM DHPG (n = 9) caused a significant reduction in both I_{GABA}^\text{tomic} amplitude and IPSC frequency compared with 25 μM DHPG alone (54.7 ± 7.8 pA and 17.1 ± 5.8 Hz; p < 0.01 and 0.001, respectively) (Fig. 6A,C), and significantly increased the IPSC burst interval (1090.6 ± 17.7 ms, p < 0.001) (Fig. 6B). In fact, values of I_{GABA}^\text{tomic} amplitude and IPSC frequency were not significantly different to those seen under control conditions (both p > 0.05). There was a significant correlation (r = 0.69, p < 0.0001) between I_{GABA}^\text{tomic} amplitude and IPSC frequency when the data
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**Figure 6.** Effects of DHPG are L-type Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channel-dependent but TTX insensitive. A, Representative current traces from different TC neurons of the dLGN in the presence of 25 μM DHPG and 0.5 μM TTX (black bar, top) and in the presence of 25 μM DHPG and 10 μM nimodipine (black bar, bottom). I_{GABA}^\text{tonic} was revealed by focal application of GBZ (gray bar). B, Expanded current traces from the same cells as in A showing that application of nimodipine, but not TTX, blocks the DHPG-induced increase in sIPSC frequency and I_{GABA}^\text{tonic}. Note the prevalence of IPSC bursts (open circles) in the presence of DHPG and TTX, but their absence in the presence of DHPG and nimodipine. C, Comparison of the effects of TTX and nimodipine on DHPG-induced increases in IPSC frequency and I_{GABA}^\text{tonic} amplitude. Dotted lines represent the mean values of IPSC frequency and I_{GABA}^\text{tonic} amplitude under control conditions. **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 vs DHPG alone.
were plotted for these conditions (Fig. 6C). Furthermore, we tested the effects of TTX and nimodipine on the changes in phasic and I_{GABA,tonic} following application of 100 μM DHPG. Coapplication of TTX with 100 μM DHPG (n = 11) did not significantly affect IPSC frequency (85.1 ± 9.1 Hz), IPSC burst interval (233.4 ± 10.4 ms), or I_{GABA,tonic} amplitude (149.9 ± 10.6 pA) compared with 100 μM DHPG alone. However, coapplication of nimodipine and 100 μM DHPG (n = 8) significantly decreased both IPSC frequency (26.3 ± 3.5 Hz, p < 0.001) and I_{GABA,tonic} amplitude (55.4 ± 9.2 pA, p < 0.001), although only I_{GABA,tonic} amplitude returned to control levels, and increased the IPSC burst interval (1042.7 ± 72.0 ms, p < 0.001) compared with DHPG alone. In summary, these data show that mGluR-induced GABA release is action potential independent but L-type Ca^{2+} channel dependent, suggesting that mGluRs 1a and 5 control GABA release almost exclusively from F2 terminals.

Activation of group I mGluRs does not increase tonic inhibition in TC neurons of the VB thalamus

To confirm the apparent requirement of F2 terminals in the mGluR-mediated modulation of tonic current, we performed recordings from VB thalamic neurons. Unlike the dLGN, the rodent VB thalamus contains no interneurons (Barbaresi et al., 1986; Harris and Hendrickson, 1987), and GABAergic innervation is provided solely by neurons of the NRT. We therefore tested whether activation of group I mGluRs also modulates vesicular GABA release and I_{GABA,tonic} amplitude in TC neurons of the VB. In the control population of VB TC neurons (n = 10), IPSC properties (Table 1) and I_{GABA,tonic} amplitude were similar to those described previously (Fig. 7A) (Bellessi et al., 2005; Cope et al., 2005, 2009; Jia et al., 2005). In contrast to TC neurons of the dLGN, however, 100 μM DHPG (n = 7) had no significant effect on either IPSC frequency (control: 6.8 ± 0.7 Hz; DHPG: 7.9 ± 1.4 Hz) or I_{GABA,tonic} amplitude (control: 90.7 ± 7.8 pA; DHPG: 112.5 ± 18.9 pA) (Fig. 7A), although there was a trend for both to be slightly increased. However, whereas there was a trend toward a leftward shift in the distribution of inter-IPSC intervals in the presence of DHPG compared with control (p < 0.01) normalized I_{GABA,tonic} amplitude was no different in the presence and absence of DHPG (control: 1.3 ± 0.1 pA/pF; DHPG: 1.4 ± 0.2 pA/pF).

The lack of effect of DHPG upon phasic and tonic inhibition in the VB thalamus suggested that group I mGluR receptors do not modulate output from neurons of the NRT, the sole GABAergic inputs into these nuclei. To test this, we made current-clamp recordings from NRT neurons, which we identified by their position within the slice, their characteristic electrophysiological properties, and by post hoc histochemistry (Fig. 7B). These neurons displayed relatively hyperpolarized resting membrane potentials (~74.9 ± 2.3 mV uncorrected for liquid junction potential, n = 8), and although DHPG (100 μM) produced depolarizing responses in all cells tested (18.2 ± 2.9 mV), this was sufficient to evoke action potential firing in only 50% of neurons tested. On average, the firing frequency in response to DHPG of the NRT neurons examined was only 4.2 ± 2.6 Hz (maximum 20.4 Hz). Thus, under our conditions in vitro, DHPG does not control tonic inhibition in VB thalamic TC neurons because it cannot modulate GABA release from the NRT. In addition, our findings suggest that an NRT-mediated contribution to increased I_{GABA,tonic} in the dLGN is negligible. This does not however, discount a potential mGluR-dependent modulatory role for NRT neurons in vivo where they are often resting at much more depolarized potentials as a result of sustained neuromodulatory influences that are absent in vitro.

Synaptic activation of mGluRs enhances tonic currents in the dLGN

Finally, we sought to determine whether synaptic activation of interneuronal mGluRs was able to modulate I_{GABA,tonic} in the dLGN. To do this, we used a slice preparation designed to maximize connectivity of RGC axons in the optic tract with the dLGN. This allowed us to exploit the specialized “triadic” synaptic circuitry of RGC, TC neuron, and interneuron connections in the dLGN (Fig. 8A,B). Under control conditions, electrical stimulation of the optic tract several millimeters away from the recording site resulted in a range of responses. Low-intensity single stimuli (70 μA) typically produced monosynaptic EPSCs in TC neurons that were characteristically depressing at short interstimulus intervals (30 ms) (Fig. 8C). Increasing stimulus intensity (>~100 μA) typically resulted in recruitment of disynaptic inhibition, which was in the form of both fast and/or delayed GABAergic IPSCs (Fig. 8C middle), as has been previously described (Acuna-Goycolea et al., 2008). Delayed IPSCs occurred with a latency of several tens of milliseconds after the single stimulus. In all neurons tested (n = 12), application of the ionotropic glutamate receptor [iGluR (AMPA/NMDA)] antagonists CNQX (50 μM) and d-AP5 (50 μM) completely blocked both monosynaptic ex-
citatory responses and disynaptic inhibitory fast and delayed responses (Fig. 8C, bottom). Axons from RGCs form synaptic connections onto both TC neuron and interneuron dendrites. Crucially, however, RGC synapses onto TC neurons do not contain mGluRs (which are only found postsynaptically to corticothalamic inputs into these neurons), whereas retinal input does activate mGluRs in dLGN interneurons. Thus, in the presence of iGluR blockers we selectively activated interneuron mGluRs by tetanic stimulation (40 pulses, 200 Hz) of the optic tract fibers (Fig. 8D, E). This resulted in a significant increase in IPSC frequency (maximum: 59.1 ± 9.8 Hz; prestimulus: 10.61 ± 1.9 Hz; p < 0.001, n = 7), which was sustained for several seconds after the stimulus (Fig. 8E, F) and was accompanied by a concurrent increase in holding current. Stimulation was repeated twice at 60 s intervals before and after bath application of GBZ. By subtraction of evoked responses in the presence of bath-applied GBZ from control data, a synchronically evoked increase in $I_{\text{GABA,tonic}}$ was revealed. Stimulus-evoked $I_{\text{GABA,tonic}}$ had a peak amplitude of $-282.4 ± 45.2 \text{ pA (} n = 7\text{)}$, which was significantly (p < 0.01) different from the mean $I_{\text{GABA,tonic}}$ in the 5 s before the stimulus ($-82.8 ± 15.6 \text{ pA}$) (Fig. 8F). Furthermore, in a separate group of neurons coapplication of LY367385 (100 µM) and MTEP (100 µM) significantly reduced the effects of stimulation on both IPSC frequency and increase in holding current (Fig. 8D, E, G). The amplitude of the stimulation-evoked mean increase in holding current (measured in a 5 s bin after the stimulus) was reduced from 88.4 ± 22.4 pA under control conditions to 27.95 ± 8.5 pA (p < 0.05, n = 5) in the presence of group I mGluR blockers (Fig. 8G). Thus, we show that mGluR-dependent synaptic activation of dLGN interneurones produces enhanced GABA release that not only results in increased disynaptic phasic inhibition in TC neurons but also dynamically regulates eGABA$_R$-dependent tonic inhibition.

**Discussion**

Previous studies have clearly demonstrated that phasic feedforward inhibition in TC neurons of the dLGN is regulated by mGluR-dependent modulation of GABA release from interneurones (Cox et al., 1998; Cox and Sherman, 2000; Govindiah and Cox, 2004, 2006). Our present results significantly expand these findings by demonstrating that group I mGluR-mediated modulation in the dLGN not only results in increased phasic inhibition but also enhanced tonic GABAergic inhibition in TC neurons. Indeed, we demonstrate for the first time that activation of group I mGluRs by stimulation of optic tract inputs to the dLGN results in dynamic increases in $I_{\text{GABA,tonic}}$. The failure of previous studies to observe similar mGluR-dependent increases in $I_{\text{GABA,tonic}}$ can be readily explained by two significant factors. First, and most simply, these studies were not specifically looking for enhanced $I_{\text{GABA,tonic}}$, in fact most were performed before the first description of $I_{\text{GABA,tonic}}$ in the thal-
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**Figure 8.** Synaptic activation of interneuron mGluRs modulates $I_{\text{GABA,tonic}}$ in TC neurons of the dLGN. **A,** Photomicrograph of the angled slice preparation used to preserve connectivity of inputs from retinal ganglion cell axons into dLGN. Recording and stimulating locations are illustrated. **B,** A schematic representation of the triadic circuitry of RGC axon, interneuron, and TC connectivity in dLGN. Excitatory synapses are represented by red arrows, and inhibitory synapses by blue arrows. **C,** Weak stimulation of the optic tract mostly recruited monosynaptic EPSCs, which were strongly depressing at short interstimulus intervals typical of RGC inputs to dLGN neurons. In a different neuron, stronger stimulation produced monosynaptic EPSCs and disynaptic IPSCs. Fast and delayed IPSCs were commonly observed, suggesting release from both F1 and F2 dendrodendritic terminals as previously described (Acuna-Goycolea et al., 2008). Inhibition of AMPA/NMDA glutamate receptors by CNQX and D-APV (50 µM each) blocked evoked EPSCs and both fast and delayed IPSCs. **D,** Tetanic stimulation of the optic tract resulted in a marked and sustained increase in IPSC frequency and an increase in the holding current. LY367385 and MTEP (100 µM) were applied for 3 min and produced significant inhibition of the tetanus-evoked response. Bath application of GBZ revealed $I_{\text{GABA,tonic}}$. **E,** Expanded traces (stimulus artifact removed for clarity) show the stimulus-evoked response under control (**E**, I), LY367385 and MTEP (**E**, II), and LY367385, MTEP, and GBZ (**E**, III), respectively. Inset in **E** shows tetanically evoked bursts of IPSCs similar to those observed with bath application of DHPG. The traces are shown overlaid on the right. **F,** Time course of tetanically evoked $I_{\text{GABA,tonic}}$ and IPSC frequency increase for cells under control conditions (n = 7). $I_{\text{GABA,tonic}}$ is calculated by subtraction of evoked responses in GBZ (**E**, III) from control responses (**E**, I) and are binned in 1 s intervals. **G,** Comparison of the stimulus-evoked change in mean holding current for 5 s periods after the stimulus under each condition (n = 5 different neurons from those shown in F). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.
amus (Cox et al., 1998; Cox and Sherman, 2000; Govindaiah and Cox, 2004; Belelli et al., 2005; Cope et al., 2005; Jia et al., 2005). Second, the age of many of the animals used in previous studies (P10–P20 compared with P21–P25 in our study) was often younger than that at which significant expression of eGABA_A Rs is observed.

Interestingly, unlike previous findings (Govindaiah and Cox, 2006), our data suggest that mGluR-dependent modulation is mediated by both mGluR1a and mGluR5 on thalamic interneurons and results in sufficient GABA spillover from synaptic terminals to significantly increase I_GABA tonic. Moreover, it is apparent that there is a clear correlation between the enhanced frequency of GABA release from interneurons and the increased I_GABA tonic in dLGN TC neurons. In agreement with previous findings in dLGN (Cox and Sherman, 2000; Govindaiah and Cox, 2006), we found little sensitivity of mGluR-mediated effects to TTX, suggesting only a minor contribution of conventional action potential-dependent axonal release from F1 terminals. This finding was complemented by a lack of effect of DHPG in the VB thalamus where interneurons are not present (Barbarese et al., 1986; Harris and Hendrickson, 1987) and NRT neurons provide the solitary source of GABAergic input via F1 terminals. Although earlier reports indicated a TTX-sensitive increase in IPSC frequency in rodent VB with the nonspecific mGluR agonist ACPD (Cox and Sherman, 2000), this could not be replicated in later studies using DHPG (Govindaiah and Cox, 2006), suggesting, in conjunction with our results, that group 1 mGluRs are absent from NRT terminals. Furthermore, in contrast to previous work we did not find evidence for the presence of distinct populations of neurons in the rat dLGN that were differentially sensitive to TTX, suggesting that most, if not all, TC neurons in the rodent participate in triads with interneurons. Fascinatingly, our data reveal that the mGluR1a- and mGluR5-dependent increases in IPSC frequency and I_GABA tonic are completely absent in the presence of L-type voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channel blockers. Together, these results strongly suggest that mGluR1a- and mGluR5-dependent enhancement of GABA release from dLGN interneurons is mediated solely by F2-type terminals found at dendro-dendritic release sites. Additionally, experiments performed in δ−/− mice confirm that the extrasynaptic receptors recruited by mGluR-dependent enhancement of GABA spillover from F2 terminals contain GABA_A receptor δ-subunits. Finally, we show that the increase in inward currents we observed was not dependent upon IPSC summation. This is similar to the findings presented by Glykys and Mody (2007) in CA1 pyramidal cells where enhanced I_GABA tonic in control animals, resulting from sucrose-induced IPSC bursts (at up to 65 Hz), were absent in α5- and δ-subunit double-KO mice. Thus, for the first time we functionally demonstrate that eGABA_A Rs are located at putative post-synaptic locations on TC neuron dendrites that participate in the triadic circuitry characteristic of the dLGN and that these receptors can be dynamically activated by robust dendro-dendritic GABA release (Fig. 9). It is important to note, however, that anatomical data demonstrating the presence of δ-subunit-containing eGABA_A Rs in glomeruli remains to be obtained and our findings do not exclude the possibility of eGABA_A Rs outside of the glomeruli in dLGN TC neurons.

The presence of eGABA_A Rs on dendrites that are postsynaptic to F2 dendritic release sites is particularly fascinating because of the glial enhancement of the triadic circuitry within the glomerulus (Szentagothai, 1963). Glial cells, in particular astrocytes, are the only cell type within the dLGN that express GABA transporters (GAT-1 and GAT-3) (De Biasi et al., 1998) and as such are entirely responsible for GABA reuptake within the visual thalamus. The absence of glial processes from the ensheathed glomerulus may thus impose a significantly lower spatiotemporal restriction upon GABA diffusion from F2 terminals allowing greater extrasynaptic GABA accumulation and more robust activation of eGABA_A Rs compared with extraglomerular F1-type synapses, which are directly juxtaposed to glial processes (Sherman, 2004). This is analogous to a mechanism proposed for tonic current modulation in the glomerular structure surrounding mossy fiber to granule cell synapses in the cerebellum (Wall and Usowicz, 1997; Rossi et al., 2003). It is therefore likely that F2 terminal-mediated GABA release can produce relatively long-lasting changes in tonic GABA_A signaling that may be restricted to a single triad or glomerulus. As such, during intense retinal input sufficient to activate interneuron mGluRs, activation of eGABA_A Rs through enhanced GABA release may act to locally inhibit focused dendritic regions and damp down further strengthening input from specific RGCs. In fact, because I_GABA tonic is estimated to contribute ~80–90% of the total GABA_A receptor-mediated inhibition in TC neurons under basal conditions (dLGN: Cope et al., 2005; VB: Belelli et al., 2005), transiently enhanced I_GABA tonic within the glomerulus could contribute more strongly to inhibition through shunting mechanisms than increased phasic IPSCs. Intriguingly, this mechanism could represent a potential molecular mechanism for thalamic level adjustment of visual contrast gain by facilitating long-lasting reduction in TC neuron responsiveness to retinal inputs (Sherman, 2004).
Thus, as RGC firing increases monotonically with increased contrast in the external visual stimulus, progressive recruitment of mGluRs 1a and 5 enhances tonic GABAergic inhibition, thereby reducing TC neuron gain. Another potential contribution of relatively long-lasting inhibition by enhanced \( I_{\text{GABA}} \) tonic may be to control dendritic branch-specific spatial regulation of intrinsic dendritic Ca\(^{2+}\) signals produced by backpropagating action potentials or low-threshold Ca\(^{2+}\) spikes (Errington et al., 2010, Crandall et al., 2010). In this way, \( I_{\text{GABA}} \) tonic may have a significant role in integration of both local and global dendritic signals.

The reliance of mGluR-dependent enhancement of \( I_{\text{GABA}} \) tonic upon L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels is consistent with GABA release from F2 terminals that is dependent upon dendritic Ca\(^{2+}\) spikes in interneurons. Previous anatomical and electrophysiological studies have suggested that group I mGluRs are located on F2 terminals (Godwin et al., 1996, Cox and Sherman, 2000) and that their activation leads to local dendritic signals that are independent of membrane potential changes at the interneuron soma (Govindaiah and Cox, 2004, 2006). An elegant Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging study by Acuna-Goycolea et al. (2008) proposed that Ca\(^{2+}\) spikes in dLGN interneurons can be readily initiated by synaptic inputs from RGCs, that they produce large intracellular \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) accumulation that can be restricted to dendrites and are dependent upon L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels. Moreover, they suggest that L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channel-dependent dendritic spikes, which evoke Ca\(^{2+}\) signals that last several hundred milliseconds, contribute to delayed disynaptic inhibition by triggering dendritic GABA release. Thus, it appears likely that activation of group I mGluRs on F2 terminals can initiate local L-type Ca\(^{2+}\)-channel-dependent dendritic Ca\(^{2+}\) spikes that result in dendrodendritic GABA release. Furthermore, the slow kinetics of mGluR-dependent EPSCs and dendritic Ca\(^{2+}\) spikes can explain the sustained release of GABA after relatively short but intense retinal stimuli. Such long-lasting responses may be necessary to provide sufficient GABA accumulation in the extrasympatic space of the glomerulus to activate eGABA\(_R\)Rs, ensuring that enhancement of tonic inhibition occurs only during periods of intense or sustained retinal activity.

Nonetheless, it has also been demonstrated that synaptic activation of dLGN interneurons can induce sustained intrinsic oscillatory multispiky bursting at the somatic level (Zhu et al., 1999). This is interesting in light of the pseudo-oscillatory IPSC bursts we observed in TC neurons in the presence of DHPG (Fig. 1C) and after tetanic stimulation (Fig. 7E) of the optic tract, where interburst intervals (200–300 ms) were similar to those described in the aforementioned study. It therefore seems plausible that mGluR activation could provide sufficient depolarization (Govindaiah and Cox, 2006) at the somatic level to induce such rhythmic activity. However, the intrinsic oscillatory bursting observed in thalamic interneurons was highly Na\(^{+}\) channel dependent, whereas the mGluR-evoked increases in IPSC frequency and \( I_{\text{GABA}} \) tonic in our study were insensitive to block by TTX. Thus, our data fit more closely with the former hypothesis and support previous findings that suggest mGluR-dependent enhancement of GABA release occurs independently of action potential discharge.

In conclusion, our results show that expression of \( \delta \)-subunit-containing eGABA\(_R\)Rs in the triadic synaptic circuitry within the dLGN and their dynamic modulation by mGluR-dependent interneuron GABA release may play a significant role in visual processing within the thalamus. In fact, along with effects on GABA\(_B\) receptors (including presynaptic inhibition of RGC terminals) and increased phasic IPSCs, enhanced eGABA\(_R\)-mediated \( I_{\text{GABA}} \) tonic represents the third element of a "triad of inhibition" within the anatomical triads of the dLGN in response to retinal input.
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