A Single Autoimmune T Cell Receptor Recognizes More Than a Million Different Peptides*1

Linda Woolridge1,2, Julia Ekeruche-Makinde1, Hugo A. van den Berg1, Anna Skowera1,3, John J. Miles1,4, Mai Ping Tan4, Garry Dolton1,5, Mathew Clement4, Sian Llewellyn-Lacey4, David A. Price5, Mark Peakman1,5, and Andrew K. Sewell1

From the Institute of Infection and Immunity, Cardiff University School of Medicine, Henry Wellcome Building, Heath Park, Cardiff CF14 4XN, United Kingdom, the Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom, the Department of Immunobiology, King’s College London, London SE1 9RT, United Kingdom, and the National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre at Guy’s and St. Thomas’ National Health Service Foundation Trust and King’s College London, London SE1 9RT, United Kingdom

Background: How does a limited pool of <10⁸ T cell receptors (TCRs) provide immunity to >10¹⁵ antigens?

Results: A single TCR can respond to >one million different decamer peptides.

Conclusion: This unprecedented level of receptor promiscuity explains how the naïve TCR repertoire achieves effective immunity.

Significance: TCR degeneracy has enormous potential to be the root cause of autoimmune disease.

The T cell receptor (TCR) orchestrates immune responses by binding to foreign peptides presented at the cell surface in the context of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules. Effective immunity requires that all possible foreign peptide-MHC molecules are recognized or risks leaving holes in immune coverage that pathogens could quickly evolve to exploit. It is unclear how a limited pool of <10⁸ human TCRs can successfully provide immunity to the vast array of possible different peptides that could be produced from 20 proteogenic amino acids and presented by self-MHC molecules (>10¹⁵ distinct peptide-MHCs). One possibility is that T cell immunity incorporates an extremely high level of receptor degeneracy, enabling each TCR to recognize multiple peptides. However, the extent of such TCR degeneracy has never been fully quantified. Here, we perform a comprehensive experimental and mathematical analysis to reveal that a single patient-derived autoimmune CD8⁺ T cell clone of pathogenic relevance in human type I diabetes recognizes >one million distinct decamer peptides in the context of a single MHC class I molecule. A large number of peptides that acted as substantially better agonists than the wild-type “index” preproinsulin-derived peptide (ALWGDPDAAAA) were identified. The RQFGPDFPTI peptide (sampled from >10⁸ peptides) was >100-fold more potent than the index peptide despite differing from this sequence at 7 of 10 positions. Quantification of this previously unappreciated high level of CD8⁺ T cell cross-reactivity represents an important step toward understanding the system requirements for adaptive immunity and highlights the enormous potential of TCR degeneracy to be the causative factor in autoimmune disease.

The mammalian T cell receptor (TCR)6 orchestrates immune responses by binding to foreign peptides presented at the cell surface in the context of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules. Recognition is mediated by the highly variable complementarity-determining regions of the αβ TCR (1, 2). A priori, the TCR repertoire must be broad enough to respond to all foreign peptides that can bind to self-MHC molecules (3). If this were not the case, then pathogens could rapidly evolve to exploit such deficiencies in immune coverage. Current estimates of human αβ TCR diversity suggest that there are <10⁸ different antigen receptors in the naïve T cell pool (4), a number that is dwarfed by the potential number of antigenic peptide-MHC molecules that could be encountered. Although next generation sequencing technologies may lead to an increased estimate of TCR diversity, such future revisions are unlikely to alter the fact that a relatively small number of TCRs must, and do, provide effective immune recognition of all peptides that can be generated from 20 proteogenic amino acids and that also bind self-MHC molecules (>10¹⁵ distinct peptide-MHCs). This represents a particular biochemical challenge to the immune system because the TCR, unlike the B cell receptor, cannot undergo affinity maturation in the form of somatic hypermutation.
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It is unclear how the limited naïve T cell pool responds to a multitude of ligands that it has never encountered before and cannot adapt to at the protein sequence level. One possibility is that T cell immunity inherently features an extremely high level of receptor degeneracy, enabling each TCR to recognize multiple peptides. However, clonal selection theory suggests that individual T cells are specific for a single peptide-MHC molecule with recognition of alternative ligands unlikely. In contrast, studies published in the 1990s demonstrated that T cells can recognize several peptides (5–11). Since then, observations of TCR degeneracy have continued to accumulate in the literature (12–19). In addition, other studies have shown that TCRs can recognize distinct peptides in the context of non-self-MHC, a phenomenon known as alloreactivity (20–25). The majority of previous studies of TCR degeneracy have examined sets of between 2 and 200 peptides, with one recent study examining 4,000 peptides (19). Given that the entire universe of decamer peptides alone comprises $10^{13}$ distinct amino acid sequences, the proportion of the peptide universe at this length that has been examined in the most comprehensive study to date (19) remains extremely small ($<0.000000045\%$).

The aim of this study was to probe the entire decamer peptide universe systematically to quantify how many peptides a single TCR can recognize in the context of a single MHC molecule. We demonstrate an unprecedented level of receptor degeneracy that allows a single monoclonal T cell to respond to >one million distinct peptides. As such, the TCR represents one of the most remarkable biological receptors and by far the most promiscuous known.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

*Generation and Maintenance of an Autoimmune CD8$^+$ T Cell Clone*

The 1E6 CD8$^+$ T cell clone specific for the human leukocyte antigen (HLA) A*0201-restricted autoantigen preproinsulin peptide ALWGPDPAAA (PPI$_{15–24}$) was generated as described previously (26).

*Decamer Combinatorial Peptide Library (CPL) Scan*

The decamer combinatorial peptide library (Pepscan) contains a total of $10^{12}$ ($= (10 + 19) \times 19^9$) different decamer peptides and is divided into 200 different peptide mixtures (see Fig. 1). In every peptide mixture, one position has a fixed l-amino acid residue and all other positions are degenerate, with the possibility of any one of 19 natural l-amino acids being incorporated in each individual position (cysteine is excluded). Each library mixture consists of $3.2 \times 10^{11}$ (19$^9$) different decamer peptides in approximately equimolar concentrations. For CPL screening, 1E6 CD8$^+$ T cells were washed and rested overnight in RPMI 1640 medium containing 100 units/ml penicillin, 100 $\mu$g/ml streptomycin, 2 mM l-glutamine, and 2% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (all Invitrogen). In 96-well U-bottom plates, $6 \times 10^4$ C1R-A2 cells were incubated with various peptide library mixtures (at 100 $\mu$g/ml) in duplicate with each mixture from a decamer CPL (100 $\mu$g/ml) at 37 °C. After 2 h, $3 \times 10^4$ 1E6 CD8$^+$ T cells were added and incubated overnight. Supernatant was harvested and assayed for MIP1$\beta$. B, data from A are displayed as a box plot summary. The index insulin peptide sequence is shown below the boxes in black.
cate for 2 h at 37 °C. Following peptide pulsing, 3 \times 10^4 1E6 CD8\(^+\) T cells were added, and the assay was incubated overnight at 37 °C. Subsequently, the supernatant was harvested and assayed for MIP1\(\alpha\) by ELISA according to the manufacturer’s instructions (R&D Systems).

**CD8\(^+\) T Cell Effector Function Assays: MIP1\(\alpha\) ELISA**

Individual peptides were assayed for agonist activity by MIP1\(\alpha\) ELISA as described above. Functional sensitivity is expressed by the \(pEC_{50}\) of each peptide with respect to the TCR. This is defined as \(-1 \times \log_{10}(p)\) of the 50% efficacy concentration (EC\(_{50}\)); a greater functional sensitivity is indicated by a larger \(pEC_{50}\) value, which was estimated as described in supplemental Equations.

**Overview of Sampling Approaches Used to Quantify TCR Degeneracy**

Although the TCR has an appreciable degeneracy, it is still specific enough that sampling peptides at random will most likely result in less than \(~10\) strong agonists for every \(~10,000\) peptides sampled. For this reason, we employed conditioned sampling. In one approach, we sampled from motif-restricted peptide sets. This results in lower bound estimates of the actual number of agonist ligands, in that any agonist not fitting the prescribed motif is excluded from the sample. In general, more stringent motifs exclude more agonists but provide better resolution at the high \(pEC_{50}\) end of the curve, and vice versa; for this reason, a range of motifs of varying stringency was used. In a second approach (CPL-based importance sampling), we sampled from the entire peptide universe with bias toward peptides that were likely to elicit a response, then estimated a true distribution by applying a correction weighting to the observations (i.e. dividing back by the bias).

**Sampling Equations**

**Motif-restricted Sampling**—A sampling motif specifies, for each of the \(m\) positions in an \(m\)-mer peptide, one or more amino acid residues that may occur at that position. If \(n_p\) alternative residues have been specified at position \(p\), the probability that a sampled peptide has a given residue at position \(p\) equals \(1/n_p\), if the given residue is one of the \(n_p\) given alternatives, and zero otherwise. Consider a sample of \(n\) peptides, consisting of peptides \(p^{[1]}, p^{[2]}, \ldots, p^{[n]}\), with measured functional sensitivities \(pEC_{50}^{[1]}, pEC_{50}^{[2]}, \ldots, pEC_{50}^{[n]}\). The cross-reactivity of the TCR is

![Graph showing the recognition of 30 peptides sampled at random from a large peptide set (motif, RQWGDPA(A/C/D/F/H/I/K/L/M/N/P/R/S/V/Y)(A/C/G/H/I/K/L/N/P/Q/R/S/T/V/A); total set size = 225)]. 6 \times 10^4 C1R-A2 cells were pulsed with peptides at various concentrations. After 2 h, 3 \times 10^4 1E6 CD8\(^+\) T cells were added and incubated overnight. Supernatant was harvested and assayed for MIP1\(\alpha\). Each panel displays titrations of five different peptides relative to index. A, titrations of peptides with the highest functional sensitivities. F, titrations of peptides with the lowest functional sensitivities. Error bars, S.D. from the mean of two replicates. \(pEC_{50}\) values for each peptide are displayed in supplemental Table S3.
expressed by the number of peptides whose \( pEC_{50} \) exceeds a given value. The degeneracy of the TCR is then represented by determining this number for a range of \( \omega \) values, estimated as follows.

\[
N[pEC_{50} > \omega] \geq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} I(pEC_{50}^{[j]} > \omega)}{n} \prod_{p=1}^{m} n_p \quad \text{(Eq. 1)}
\]

Here, \( N[pEC_{50} > \omega] \) is the number of peptides that have a \( pEC_{50} \) for the TCR that exceeds \( \omega \), and

\[
I(pEC_{50}^{[j]} > \omega) = 1 \quad \text{(Eq. 2)}
\]

if sample peptide \( j \) has a \( pEC_{50} \) that exceeds \( \omega \);

\[
I(pEC_{50}^{[j]} > \omega) = 0 \quad \text{(Eq. 3)}
\]

otherwise. The sampling motif typically excludes a number of agonists (unless \( n_p = 20 \) at every position, i.e. the “universal motif”, which was not used). This exclusion means that the quantity on the right in Equation 1 always underestimates the true \( N[pEC_{50} > \omega] \). This means that the motif-based method provides a lower boundary to TCR degeneracy, i.e. a conservative estimate.

CPL-based Importance Sampling—The idea of sampling motifs can be generalized to the well known strategy of importance sampling by specifying, for all positions and amino acids, the probability that a given amino acid occurs at a given position. Then, the probability of drawing a peptide \( P \) that has amino acid residue \( P(p) \) at position \( p \) is given by

\[
P(P) = \frac{\prod_{p=1}^{m} P(P(p))}{\prod_{p=1}^{m} P(P(p))} \quad \text{(Eq. 4)}
\]

where \( P(P(p)) \) is the probability of drawing amino acid \( P \) at position \( p \). Standard rules of probability stipulate that for each fixed \( p \), the \( P(P(p)) \) sum to unity over the 20 amino acids. The CPL was used to generate distributions that could be expected to bias the sample toward good agonists. However, to correct for the bias in the estimate, observations must be weighted by the reciprocal of the bias. Accordingly, degeneracy was estimated as follows:

\[
N[pEC_{50} > \omega] \approx n \exp[H] \quad \text{(Eq. 5)}
\]

where \( H \) is the entropy of the sampling distribution, defined by

\[
H = -\sum_{p=1}^{m} \sum_{\rho=1}^{20} P(P(p)) \ln P(P(p)) \quad \text{(Eq. 6)}
\]
The factor $n \exp(H)$ in Equation 5 is an estimate of the effective sample size. This estimate is based on a standard result concerning the probability of sampling a fixed set of $n$ items. A well-known theorem (27) states that this probability is close to $\exp\left(-n \frac{H}{100}\right)$. On the other hand, in unbiased sampling from a set of size $N$, the probability of obtaining any given $n$-element sample is exactly equal to $\frac{N}{100^n}$. Together, these observations indicate that $\exp(H)$ can be interpreted as the “diameter” of the population from which the peptide is drawn. Consequently, an $n$-element sample of $m$-mer peptides probes an effective set size of

$$20^n \left(1 - (1 - \exp(H)20^{-m})^n\right) \approx n \exp(H);$$

(Eq. 7)

the approximation is accurate when $n$ is tiny compared with $\exp(-H)$, as is the case in the experiments reported here.

RESULTS

**CPL Screening Reveals the Potential for TCR Degeneracy**—To determine the extent of T cell cross-reactivity, we probed the peptide recognition degeneracy of the autoimmune CD8$^+$ T cell clone IE6 using a CPL comprising $9.36 \times 10^{12}$ different decamer peptides (Fig. 1). Using this approach, we were able to scan every amino acid at every position of the peptide within a random residue “backbone” and build a detailed picture of the molecular landscape preferred by the 1E6 TCR. The 1E6 clone was generated from a patient with type 1 diabetes and is the only documented example of an autoreactive CD8$^+$ T cell that can kill human pancreatic islet $\beta$-cells (26). Killing is mediated via recognition of residues 15–24 (ALWGPDPAAA) of the autoantigen preproinsulin bound to HLA A*0201 on the $\beta$-cell surface. The majority of HLA A*0201$^+$ patients with type I diabetes recognize the preproinsulin 15–24 epitope (26), and HLA A*0201 is known to confer an increased risk of disease (28, 29). The number of amino acids that were recognized by the 1E6 clone was restricted in the central region of the peptide (residues 4 – 6), suggesting that this TCR makes the majority of its peptide contacts with these residues. In contrast, recognition was highly degenerate at the remaining positions. This degeneracy was confirmed by the ability of 1E6 T cells to recognize a panel of peptides robustly with any of the 20 natural proteogenic l-amino acids at peptide position 8 (Supplemental Fig. S1), with half of the substitutions leading to increased levels of functional sensitivity. Similar results were obtained with corresponding scans at other degenerate positions (data not shown). The CPL scan results also revealed that the index peptide is suboptimal in all positions outside the central region (residues 4 – 6). Thus, positional peptide degeneracy is extreme at 7 of 10 positions, hinting at the
potential for a single TCR to recognize a multitude of different amino acid combinations.

Quantifying the Number of Decamer Peptides That Can Be Recognized by a Single TCR—We next sought to quantify the number of decamer peptides that can be recognized by the 1E6 clone. The total number of decamers that can be made by combinations of the 20 natural proteogenic L-amino acids is $20^{10}$ ($1.02 \times 10^{13}$). Only a small proportion (~1–3%) of all peptides are predicted to bind any given MHC (3, 19), although our own experiments predict that this percentage could be far greater for HLA A*0201 (data not shown). Even with the most conservative estimates of MHC binding (19), the number of potential antigenic HLA A*0201-restricted decamer peptides is still extremely large ($1.02 \times 10^{13}$) and precludes screening all possibilities in T cell recognition assays. To overcome this problem, we screened sets of 30 peptides sampled (Mathematica®; supplemental Fig. S5) from larger motif-restricted or CPL-based importance-sampled sets differing in total size from 225 to 1.66 $\times 10^{8}$ individual peptides as described below.

Quantifying T Cell Cross-reactivity Using Motif-restricted Sampling—Motif-restricted peptide sets were designed based on CPL evidence for amino acid preference at each position of the peptide. First, we screened 30 peptides sampled at random from a total set size of 225 (Motif I, RQWGPDPA[V/A/C/D/F/H/I/K/L/M/N/P/R/S/V/Y]{A/C/G/H/I/K/L/M/N/P/Q/R/S/T/V}A; Fig. 2 and supplemental Fig. S2). Values of $p_{EC_{50}} (\sim \times \text{the base 10 logarithm of the } EC_{50})$ as a measure of functional sensitivity were estimated for all peptides using simultaneous curve fitting (as described in supplemental Equation S1, Fig. S6, and Table S3). Accordingly, increases in functional sensitivity translate into increases in the $p_{EC_{50}}$ value. The 1E6 clone recognized all peptides within this subset efficiently, with 24 of 30 peptides eliciting greater levels of functional sensitivity than the index peptide. A further 30 peptides were sampled at random from a total set size of 5,776 (Motif II, RQWGPD{D/F}{P/F}XX{A/I/L/V}, where X denotes any one of the amino acids excluding cysteine (Fig. 3 and supplemental Fig. S2 and Table S3). One peptide from this subset was recognized poorly; 16 were recognized with $p_{EC_{50}} > 7$, with a total of 8 peptides recognized more efficiently than the index peptide. A further 30 peptides were sampled at random from a total set size of 19,44 ($19^{5}$; and Motif IV, XQXP[AXXV], total set size 19$^{5}$; and Motif V, XQXP[DXXV], total set size 19$^{5}$; X denotes any one of the amino acids excluding cysteine; Figs. 4 and 5 and supplemental Fig. S3 and Table S3). The extent of peptide recognition when peptides are sampled at random from large subsets demonstrates the

![Figure 5. Recognition of 30 peptides sampled at random from a large peptide set (motif, XQXGPDXXXV; total set size = 19$^{5}$). Details are as described for Fig. 2.](image-url)
considerable degree of degeneracy exhibited by the clonal 1E6 TCR.

Quantifying T Cell Cross-reactivity Using CPL-based Importance Sampling—A limitation of sampling from motif-restricted sets is that strong ligands will invariably be excluded, resulting in an underestimate of the true number of agonists (i.e. a lower bound estimate of the number of different peptides that a single TCR can recognize). To obtain a more accurate estimate of true T cell cross-reactivity, we employed CPL-based importance sampling, which makes no assumptions about TCR contact or MHC class I-binding residues. Importance sampling ensures that every peptide has a chance of being sampled (although cysteine was excluded to avoid the potential for oxidation), but incorporates bias toward strong agonists predicted using CPL scan data. This bias is adjusted for to yield unbiased estimates of agonist numbers (see under “Sampling Equations,” Equation 7). Of a total of 60 peptides, 34 were recognized efficiently with a $p_{EC_{50}} > 7$, and 22 were better agonists than the index peptide (Figs. 6 and 7 and supplemental Fig. S4 and Table S3). Interestingly, just this set of 60 peptides identified four peptides with functional sensitivities 100-fold better than the index peptide, despite differing from the index peptide sequence (ALWGPDPAA) at 6 (YQFGPDFPIA, KQFGPDFPTA) or 7 (RQFGPDFPKL, RQFGPDFPTI) positions (Figs. 6 and 7 and supplemental Fig. S4 and Table S3). Thus, CPL-based importance sampling demonstrates that a large proportion of peptides from a biased set of 1.66 $\times 10^8$ peptides would be recognized and that these recognized peptides can differ considerably from the index peptide.

A Single TCR Can Recognize More Than One Million Different Peptides—The $p_{EC_{50}}$ expresses the potency of a ligand, often referred to as “functional avidity” (supplemental Fig. S6). Cross-reactivity can be quantified precisely by specifying the number of ligands that the TCR recognizes with a $p_{EC_{50}}$ of at least a given value $\omega$. This number decreases as $\omega$ increases; an insight into the nature of TCR degeneracy is afforded by plotting this agonist number as a function of $\omega$. Estimation of this number was performed using Equations 1 and 5, resulting in Fig. 8. The motif-restricted estimate (solid lines in Fig. 8) is a lower boundary, which becomes tighter as the degeneracy of the motif...
increases; however, this advantage is offset by the decreasing chances of finding good agonists in a sample of 30 peptides. The estimates based on the biased sampling (dashed lines in Fig. 8) indicate that in the order of one million agonists exist for 1E6 that are as least as good as the index peptide. For comparison, the curve derived from TCR activation theory (30, 31) is also shown (gray dashed line in Fig. 8; supplemental Equation S2).

**DISCUSSION**

Despite the huge potential importance of TCR degeneracy to human health, there has never been a comprehensive attempt to quantify the number of peptides that can be recognized by a single TCR. To address this issue, we examined the extent of cross-reactivity exhibited by a single autoimmune T cell clone with pathogenic relevance in human type I diabetes (1E6). Our analysis demonstrates that the 1E6 TCR can recognize $10^6$ peptides within a factor 2 of the optimal agonist (i.e., peptides that have a functional sensitivity that is at least 50% of the functional sensitivity of the optimal agonist). An estimated 60,000 peptides have a functional sensitivity within a factor 10 of the optimal agonist, and $10^4$ peptides are within a factor 100 of the optimal agonist. These considerations are especially significant given that the functional sensitivity of 1E6 CD8$^+$ T cells for the index peptide is at least 100-fold lower than the index. Almost 10 million peptides are within a factor 1,000 of the optimal agonist, but such weak agonists will not generally be physiologically significant unless presented at very high copy numbers. Taken together, these results indicate that the 1E6 TCR has $>1$ million significant peptide agonists at concentrations with the potential to be physiologically relevant.

When putting TCR degeneracy into perspective, it is important to realize that individual TCRs capable of recognizing $10^6$ decamer peptides still only have a less than 1 in $10^7$ chance of cross-reacting with any peptide chosen at random from the entire decamer peptide universe ($\sim 10^{13}$). A high level of cross-reactivity is therefore amply compatible with the degree of specificity required for self/non-self determination. Furthermore, the number of decamer peptides that it is possible to make from the entire human proteome (excluding post-translational amino acid modifications) is only one millionth of the possible peptide universe at this length. Functional recognition of $10^6$ decamer peptides by a single TCR translates into a frequency of cross-reactivity of 1:100,000 (assuming that 1% of peptides bind to MHC), which is likely to be the most accurate estimate of this parameter to date due to the comprehensive nature of our approach. The probability of cross-reactivity with any individual peptide is an important consideration in terms of viral escape, bystander activation and autoimmune side effects,
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and the results presented here fit well with theoretical considerations of T cell immunity (3). It should be noted, however, that the degeneracy curves are estimates based on samples that constitute a small fraction of the number of possible peptide ligands and, hence, should be considered as depicting an order-of-magnitude estimate that is supported by the lower bounds inferred from motif-based samples.

The 1E6 CD8+ T cell clone was chosen for these studies to highlight the huge potential for T cell cross-reactivity as a possible cause of autoimmunity. In support of the generality of our findings, we have also observed high levels of degeneracy at some positions in a 9-amino acid residue nonautoimmune epitope (32). Furthermore, the recognition of longer, MHC class II-restricted peptides by CD4+ T cells with a “TCR footprint” of similar size could ensure that these cells incorporate the capacity to recognize tens, or possibly even hundreds, of millions of peptides at physiologically relevant surface densities (33). The reality of T cell cross-reactivity, as quantified here, has far reaching implications. It provides an explanation for how a limited pool of TCRs can provide the broad antigenic coverage that is required for effective immunity. In addition, the extent of TCR degeneracy suggests that almost any peptide antigen can be improved for any given cognate TCR, in the sense of these being at least one stronger agonist than the original index peptide, thereby providing scope for rational therapeutic interventions based on the directed manipulation of T cell immunity.
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