CARDIFF UNIVERSITY PRIFYSGOL CAERDYD

**ORCA – Online Research @ Cardiff** 

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/68290/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Man, Pui-Sin, Wells, Timothy and Carter, David Allan 2014. Cellular distribution of Egr1 transcription in the male rat pituitary gland. Journal of Molecular Endocrinology 53 (2), pp. 271-280. 10.1530/JME-14-0158

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1530/JME-14-0158

## Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



2 3 4	Cellular distribution of <i>Egr1</i> transcription in the male rat pituitary gland.
5	
6 7	Pui-Sin Man, Timothy Wells and David A. Carter
8 9	School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK.
10	
11	
12	Correspondence:
13	Professor David Carter,
14	School of Biosciences,
15	Cardiff University,
16	Museum Avenue,
17	Cardiff CF10 3AX. UK.
18	
19	Tel: 029-20-874095
20	Fax: 029-20-876328
21	Email: smbdac@cardiff.ac.uk
22	
23	Short title: <i>Egr1</i> gene expression in male rat pituitary
24	Key words: pituitary, rat, EGR1, luteinizing hormone, growth hormone, prolactin.
25	Word Count: 4216
26	
27	
28 29 30 31	

## 4 Abstract

5 The transcription factor gene, *Eqr1*, is necessary for female fertility; EGR1 protein is an established molecular regulator of adult female gonadotroph function where 6 7 it mediates GnRH-stimulated transcription of the Lhb gene. Recent studies have 8 also implicated pituitary EGR1 in the mediation of other physiological signals 9 suggesting an integrative function. However, the role of EGR1 in males is less 10 well defined and this uncertainty is compounded by the absence of cellular 11 expression data in the male pituitary gland. The aim of the present study, 12 therefore, was to define the distribution of *Eqr1* gene expression in the adult male 13 rat pituitary. To further this aim, we have evaluated cellular populations in a 14 transgenic rat model (Egr1-d2EGFP) in which we demonstrate regulated GFP 15 (green fluorescent protein) expression in EGR1+ pituitary cells. Cellular filling by 16 GFP enabled morphological and molecular differentiation of different populations 17 of gonadotrophs; Eqr1 transcription and LHB were highly co-localized in a major 18 population of large cells but only minimally co-localized in small GFP+ cells; the 19 latter cells were shown to be largely (80%) composed of minority populations of 20 GH+ somatotrophs (9% of total GH+) and PRL+ lactotrophs (3% of total PRL+). 21 Eqr1 transcription was not found in TSH+, ACTH+ or SOX2+ precursor cells and 22 was only minimally co-localized in S-100<sup>β+</sup> folliculostellate cells. Our 23 demonstration that the Egr1 gene is actively and selectively transcribed in a 24 major sub-population of male LHB+ cells indicates a largely conserved role in 25 gonadotroph function and has provided a basis for further defining this role.

#### 2 Introduction

3 Cell-specific molecular mechanisms regulate anterior pituitary hormone production; each 4 hormone cell-type also has distinct sets of developmental and physiological regulatory 5 factors (as reviewed by Perez-Castro et al, 2012). One important molecular regulator in 6 the adult pituitary is the C2H2 zinc finger transcription factor, EGR1 (early growth 7 response factor 1), that is expressed as a 75kDa nuclear protein in the rat anterior 8 pituitary (Tremblay & Drouin, 1999; Wolfe & Call, 1999; Slade & Carter, 2000; Knight et 9 al, 2000). A requirement for EGR1 in the control of gonadotroph function was revealed 10 by gene knockout in mice (Lee et al, 1996; Topilko et al, 1998) and supported by a 11 substantial body of data showing that EGR1 is a transcriptional regulator of the Lhb gene 12 (luteinizing hormone beta-subunit gene; Tremblay & Drouin, 1999; Wolfe & Call, 1999; 13 Slade & Carter, 2000), acting in association with other nuclear factors (as reviewed by 14 Thackray et al. 2010; Miller et al. 2012).

15

16 EGR1 acts as a mediator of GnRH-induced *Lhb* transcription (Tremblay & Drouin, 1999; 17 Wolfe & Call, 1999), and also appears to integrate other physiological inputs at a 18 pituitary level. These include insulin and fatty acids (Buggs et al, 2006; Garrel et al, 19 2014), indicating a potential role in mediating effects of nutritional status and possibly 20 obesity on reproductive capacity (see Brothers et al, 2010). Additionally, there is 21 evidence that EGR1 mediates effects of glucocorticoids/stress at the *Lhb* gene promoter 22 (Breen et al, 2012). EGR1 also appears to mediate intra-pituitary actions of kisspeptin on 23 Lhb expression (Witham et al, 2013). Overall, therefore, EGR1 has been identified as a 24 potential mediator of multiple different endocrine signals at the level of the gonadotroph. 25

The relatively restricted endocrine phenotype of *Egr1* null mutants (Lee et al, 1996; Topilko et al, 1998) is indicative of cellular specificity of EGR1 expression. We have

2 confirmed that EGR1 protein is highly restricted to LHB-expressing cells in the female rat 3 anterior pituitary (Knight et al, 2000). In addition, we have generated Egr1 transgenic rat 4 models and have demonstrated that rat Eqr1 genomic (promoter and intron I) sequence 5 directs transgene sequence to Lhb pituitary cells (Man & Carter, 2003), indicating that 6 the pattern of pituitary expression is largely transcriptionally determined. Our 7 understanding of anterior pituitary *Ear1* expression is incomplete, however, because 8 previous cellular localization studies (Knight et al, 2000; Man & Carter, 2003) have been 9 conducted in proestrous female rats and therefore potential differences in expression 10 both across the oestrous cycle and in male rats are undefined. This is particularly 11 relevant in the case of males because data from one Eqr1 null mutant model (Lee et al, 12 1996) indicates a sex difference in phenotype in that only females are infertile, arguing 13 for a sex-specific role for Egr1. However, in an independently derived null-mutant model, 14 males are also affected (Topilko et al, 1998). This difference has been attributed to 15 mouse strain background (see Tourtellotte et al, 2000), coupled with an involvement of 16 (male-specific) redundancy of Eqr1 with Eqr4 (Tourtellotte et al, 2000). It is also clear 17 that sex differences in functional necessity for Egr1 could reflect sex differences in the 18 dynamics of this transcription factor. Thus, in females, there appears to be a requirement 19 for phasic (and gene-specific) up-regulation of EGR1 in gonadotrophs during the pre-20 ovulatory stage of the oestrous cycle (Lee et al, 1996; Topilko et al, 1998; Slade & 21 Carter, 2000). In males, however, there are likely to be no phasic actions of EGR1 in 22 gonadotrophs, rather EGR1 may have only basal activity in these cells. Currently, the 23 absence of data on Egr1 in the male pituitary is confounding investigation of these 24 alternatives and consequently we have now conducted a detailed analysis of Egr1 25 transcription in the male rat pituitary.

26

27 In the present study we used a second generation *Egr1* transgenic rat model generated

2 in our laboratory (Egr1-d2EGFP; Man et al, 2007). This model retains a rat Egr1 3 promoter sequence used in our first generation model (Man & Carter, 2003) but does not 4 include Eqr1 intronic sequence. We have shown that the Eqr1-d2EGFP model exhibits 5 cell-specific expression of a destabilized green fluorescent protein variant (d2EGFP) in a 6 range of tissues including the pituitary (Man et al, 2007; present study). A feature of this 7 model is cellular-filling by the non-native GFP molecule that both enhances visualization 8 of transgene expression and, importantly, provides an aid to cellular classification and 9 identification by revealing cellular morphology that is absent in (nuclear) EGR1 detection. 10 Using this model, we have therefore aimed to characterize GFP/EGR1 expression in the 11 male rat anterior pituitary, determining the pattern of co-localization in both hormone-12 producing, and also other pituitary cell types. In this way, we hope to reveal if apparent 13 sex differences in Egr1 function are related to male-specific expression in the anterior 14 pituitary gland.

15

#### 16 Methods

17 Animals and tissue sampling

18 Animal studies were conducted in accordance with the Animal (Scientific Procedures) 19 Act 1986, and local (Cardiff University) ethical review. Rats were maintained in a 14:10 20 light:dark cycle (lights on: 05.00h) in conventional rat cages (2-5/cage) with standard rat 21 chow and water freely available. Health status was monitored frequently and assessed 22 by veterinarian consultation if required. Transgenic rats of the Egr1-d2EGFP line (Man et 23 al, 2007) were maintained on a Sprague-Dawley background by breeding hemizygote 24 transgenic males with wild-type females (Charles River UK, Margate, Kent). For the 25 majority of studies, the genotype of offspring was determined by PCR analysis of tissue 26 biopsies (Man et al, 2007), and hemizygote females and males were selected for the 27 current experiments. In one experiment only, offspring from a transgenic/wild-type cross

2 litter were killed on postnatal day 5 (P5, prior to genotyping) for direct analysis of transgene expression. In this case, a *post-mortem* tissue sample was taken for genotype 3 4 analysis and confirmation of transgenic status. For direct analysis of transgene 5 fluorescence, whole dissected pituitary glands (P5 and P20) were rinsed in phosphate 6 buffered saline (PBS), positioned on microscope slides and imaged using a 2.5X 7 objective and 'GFP' optics (excitation filter: BP 470/40: dichromatic mirror: 500; 8 suppression filter: BP 525/50) on an epifluorescence microscope (Leica DM-LB, Leica 9 Microsystems Imaging Solutions Ltd, Cambridge, UK). 10

11 Female rats for immunoblot analysis of pituitary transgene expression were selected for 12 analysis on certain days of the oestrous cycle, determined by recording at least two full 13 cycles as assessed by microscopic examination of cell populations in vaginal washings. 14 Females, and males of equivalent age (5 months) were killed at 12.00h, and pituitary 15 glands were dissected for protein extraction and analysis (Holter et al, 2008). Male rats 16 for immunohistochemical analysis (adult, 5-6 months old) were terminally anaesthetized 17 with sodium pentobarbitone (150 mg/kg, i.p., 17.00h) and perfused via the ascending 18 aorta with phosphate buffered saline, followed by 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1M 19 phosphate buffer (PFA). Dissected whole pituitary glands were post-fixed in PFA 20 overnight at 4°C, and then cryoprotected in 20% sucrose in 0.1M phosphate buffer at 21 4°C overnight. The glands were suspended in tin-foil wells of CRYO-M-BED (Bright 22 Instrument Company Ltd, Huntingdon, UK) and frozen at -80°C prior to sectioning. 23

24 Immunoblot analysis

25 Western immunoblot analysis was conducted as described previously (Holter et al,

26 2008), using whole cell extracts (60µg protein/lane). Whole cell extracts were obtained

by homogenizing pituitary glands to a paste in ice-cold buffer (20 mM Hepes, pH 7.9, 1.5

2	mM MgCl <sub>2</sub> , 0.42 M NaCl, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol and 25% glycerol together
3	with protease (P8340, Sigma, Bournemouth, UK) and phosphatase (P2850, Sigma)
4	inhibitor cocktails at the manufacturer's suggested dilution) using a glass pestle in a
5	1.5ml microtube. Homogenates were centrifuged (12,000rpm, 10 s), vortexed briefly,
6	frozen on dry ice for 5 mins and then incubated on wet ice for 15 mins. Finally,
7	homogenates were centrifuged again (12,000 rpm, 15 mins, $4^{\circ}$ C) and supernatants were
8	removed and stored at -80 $^\circ\text{C}.$ The total protein content of the extracts was estimated
9	using the QuickStart <sup>™</sup> Bradford reagent (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). d2EGFP protein
10	was detected with a monoclonal antibody (632375; Clontech Laboratories Inc., Mountain
11	View, CA, USA). Purified recombinant GFP protein (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA,
12	USA) was used as a positive control, and protein samples from non-transgenic animals
13	served as a negative control. Western blots were re-probed with anti-ACTB (ab8227;
14	Abcam, Cambridge, UK). Quantitative estimations of d2EGFP protein levels relative to
15	levels of ACTB were obtained by densitometry (ImageQuant <sup>TM</sup> software 3.0, GE
16	Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK).
17	
18	Immunohistochemical analysis
19	Sections of rat pituitary gland were cut in the transverse plane (12 $\mu$ m; Bright OTF
20	cryostat with Magnacut knife (Bright) and mounted on glass slides (SuperFrost Plus,
21	VWR International, Poole, Dorset, UK). Slides were dried briefly, and stored at -80 $^{\circ}\text{C}$
22	prior to immunohistochemistry. GFP and various endogenous proteins were detected by
23	fluorescence immunohistochemistry using procedures established in our laboratory
24	(Holter et al, 2008). The following primary antisera, diluted in PBS-T (0.15% Triton X-100
25	in PBS), were used for non-hormone proteins: (chicken) anti-GFP, ab13970, Abcam,
26	1/400; (rabbit) anti-EGR1, 15F7, Cell Signalling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA, 1/400;
27	(rabbit) anti-SOX2, 39823, Active Motif, Carlsbad, CA, USA, 1/1000; (mouse) anti-S-

2	100 $\beta$ $\Box$ SH-B1, Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA, 1/1000. Specificity of the EGR1 and S-
3	$100\beta$ antisera has been verified in a previous publication (Wells et al, 2011). Specificity
4	of the SOX2 antisera was verified by demonstrating similar SOX2 detection to a
5	previously verified antibody (Wells et al, 2011). Efficacy and specificity of the chicken
6	antibody for GFP detection in the current application was verified in preliminary studies
7	(see below). Hormone proteins were detected using custom antisera produced for this
8	purpose by the Pituitary Hormones and Antisera Center (see Acknowledgements):
9	ACTH, AFP-156102789, 1/100; FSHB, AFP-77981289, 1/100; GH, AFP-5672099,
10	1/100; LHB, AFP-C697071P, 1/100; PRL, AFP-4251091, 1/200; TSHB, AFP-1274789,
11	1/100. These hormone antisera were generated using highly purified immunogens,
12	giving minimal cross-reactivity (datasheets available at
13	www.humc.edu/hormones/material) and have been validated by antigen absorption in
14	previous immunocytochemical analyses of the rodent pituitary (Yin et al, 2008). The
15	specificity of these antisera was verified in the current study by showing that each
16	antibody detected a selective population of cells in the anterior pituitary gland, but did
17	not detect antigens in the neurointermediate lobe of the pituitary (exemplar LH data
18	shown in Fig.4).
10	

The primary antisera listed above were used in combination with appropriate speciesspecific, fluorophore-tagged, secondary antisera: Cy3-conjugated sheep anti-rabbit IgG, Sigma; Cy3-conjugated donkey anti-mouse IgG, Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories Inc., West Grove, PA, USA; Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-chicken IgG, abcam. Following washing, sections were sealed under coverslips using Vectashield with DAPI (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA). Pituitary sections were viewed using an fluorescence microscope (Leica DM-LB). Images were captured using a Leica DFC-

2 300FX digital camera and Leica QWin software (V3), and montaged in Photoshop (CS2,
3 Adobe Systems Inc., San Jose, CA, USA).

4

5 Sections were selected for cell counting at a 'mid'-transverse level with (approximately) 6 maximal lateral width of both anterior and neural lobes. The design for cell counting was 7 similar to our previous publication (Knight et al. 2000; n=6 cell groups taken from 2 8 sections cut from each of 3 pituitary glands. However, in the current study each 'cell 9 group' was generally a randomly selected group of 50 (defined) cells rather than 10 microscope fields; this is because individual cells were sometimes indistinguishable 11 when detecting particular antigens such as GH. The exception to this protocol was the 12 counting of total anterior pituitary GFP+ve cells where entire (x40) fields were counted. 13 In this case, images of microscope fields for both GFP and DAPI were captured and 14 cells were counted using Adobe Photoshop tools to label cells and avoid double 15 counting. 16 17 Statistical Analysis 18 Statistical comparison of experimental groups was conducted using Student t test for 19 independent samples (p<0.05 significance level; SPSS 20, SPSS Inc., Chicago, ILL, 20 USA).

21

## 22 Results

In initial studies, we confirmed expression of the *Egr1-d2EGFP* transgene in rat pituitary
gland using GFP immunoblot analysis (Holter et al, 2008). In two independent transgenic
lines, this analysis demonstrated both restriction of GFP immunoreactivity (indicative of
Egr1 transcription) to transgenic animals (vs. wild-type) and also sex- and oestrous
cycle-dependent expression of the transgene (Fig.1A, results for one transgenic line are

2 shown). Across three sample groups (male, female proestrus [P], female diestrus day 1 [D1, metestrus]), pituitary GFP levels were found to be highest in proestrous females 3 4 (Fig.1.) and lowest in males (Fig.1A.). Lower levels of Eqr1 transcription in males 5 compared with D1 females accords with previous analysis of Egr1 mRNA (Slade & 6 Carter, 2000). Notably, this analysis also reveals remarkably uniform expression levels 7 (notwithstanding apparent biological variation in ACTB levels) across individual female 8 transgenic rats that is suggestive of a tight association between Egr1 transcription and 9 the physiological rhythm of the rat oestrous cycle.

10

11 Next, we confirmed localization of *Eqr1* transgene expression to the pituitary gland using 12 both direct detection of GFP fluorescence and immunohistochemical detection of GFP 13 protein (Fig.2). Direct detection of GFP fluorescence in developing rat pituitary glands 14 revealed apparent pan-pituitary expression on postnatal day 5 (P5, Fig. 2A), a pattern of 15 expression that retracted to anterior/intermediate pituitary expression on P20 (Fig. 2B). 16 This developmental progression (of EGR1) has been observed previously in the mouse 17 pituitary gland (Topilko et al, 1998). Although direct detection of GFP fluorescence is 18 clearly feasible in this transgenic model, the requirement for extensive analysis at the 19 cellular level demands that immunohistochemical procedures are used for quantitative 20 co-localization studies. Immunohistochemical analysis of the rat anterior pituitary gland is 21 greatly facilitated by the provision of custom antisera that are specific for the various 22 hormone sub-units (see Acknowledgements), however, the use of these rabbit antisera 23 necessitates the use of alternative species antisera for the detection of both EGR1 24 (Wells et al, 2011) and GFP (Holter et al, 2008) in co-localization studies. Accordingly, 25 we characterized a chicken GFP antibody for this purpose, showing that this antibody 26 detected a sub-population of rat anterior pituitary cells (Fig.2C) that matched expression 27 of EGR1 protein with respect to both cellular localization and expression level (Fig.2D-F).

In non-transgenic animals, the chicken GFP antibody did not detect protein above
background levels (data not shown). The sub-population of pituitary cells detected by
GFP/EGR1 antisera in transgenics was approximately 5% of total DAPI+ cells (5.4% ±
0.35, n=6 x40 fields). As observed for pituitary EGR1 distribution (Knight et al, 2000), the
GFP+ve cells were not uniformly scattered across the anterior pituitary but often seen
collected into small groups of cells (see below).

8

9 Dual immunohistochemical detection of GFP together with other pituitary proteins using 10 green (Alexa488) and red (Cy3) fluorophores demonstrated a novel pattern of cellular 11 co-localization in male rat pituitary glands (Fig. 3). GFP+ cells were initially distinguished 12 into 'large' and 'small' sub-groups by virtue of cytoplasmic extent of GFP protein (see 13 Figs.3A & 3E); cells designated 'large' exhibited a GFP diameter that was 2.5-3.5-fold 14 greater than the nuclear (DAPI staining) diameter and often irregularly shaped, whereas 15 the small GFP+ve cells ranged between 1.5-2-fold greater than the nuclear diameter, 16 and were either uniformly round, or ovoid. Based on the size difference, and our initial 17 observations of large GFP cell co-localization with LHB, cellular co-localization of GFP 18 with pituitary hormone sub-units was quantified by counting co-localization in these two 19 sub-groups separately (Fig. 3; Table 1). As indicated, the large GFP+ cells were 20 extensively LHB+ (Figs. 3A-D) but negative for GH, PRL, ACTH and TSHB (data not 21 shown). Conversely, the small GFP+ cells were largely LHB-negative (Table 1) but 22 extensively expressed GH (Figs. 3E-H) and to a lesser extent PRL (Figs. 3I-L). 23 Interestingly, the GFP/PRL+ cells were often observed with cellular protrusions (Figs. 3I-24 L). Again, the small GFP+ cells did not express either TSHB (Fig. 3M) or ACTH (Fig. 25 3N). These results therefore indicate that GFP+ (EGR1) cells in the male rat pituitary are 26 largely made up of gonadotrophs together with somatotrophs and lactotrophs. The cell 27 count data presented here indicates either, the presence of additional GFP/EGR1+ cell-

2 types or alternatively, inherent errors in the counting procedure that results in under-3 estimations of the co-localized LHB, GH and PRL populations. Our analysis appears to 4 rule out ACTH and TSHB as possible alternatives. We also investigated three other 5 pituitary cell types: SOX2+ precursor cells (Andoniadou et al, 2013), S100B+ 6 folliculostellate cells (Itakura et al, 2007) and FSH gonadotrophs (Childs et al, 1983). 7 With respect to the first two types, we did not detect co-localization in SOX2+ cells (Fig. 8 3O) and only rare (1-2/tissue section) GFP co-localization with S100B immunoreactivity 9 in undefined cellular processes (Fig 3P). With respect to FSH, we detected extensive co-10 localization of FSHB and GFP in the large GFP+ sub-group (Table 1, Figs. 4A-C), but 11 found no evidence of FSHB in small GFP+ cells (Table 1, Figs. 4A-C). 12 13 Further studies are required to identify possible alternative, minority EGR1+ cell groups. 14 The present results demonstrate, however, that transcription of the Ear1 gene is largely 15 restricted to three cell types in the adult rat pituitary gland. The large and small LHB+ 16 cells were often found to be spatially distinct within the pituitary gland; relative to the 17 laterally-concentrated large cells, small cells were generally grouped more medially, 18 adjacent to the neurointermediate lobe (Fig. 4D). The large LHB+ cells were extensively 19 co-localized with GFP; cell counts of LHB/GFP co-localization generated a value (90.7  $\pm$ 20 1.8%, n=6), similar to those for 'Large'GFP/LHB co-localization in Table 1, indicating that 21 these cellular populations are highly similar. Conversely, the small LHB+ cells exhibit 22 only a minor co-localization with GFP  $(4.0 \pm 1.0\%, n=6)$ . With respect to large FSHB+ 23 cells, these were extensively co-localized with GFP (47.3±4.8%, n=6) whereas we found 24 no evidence of GFP co-localization in small FSHB+ cells. With respect to GH and PRL 25 cells, GFP was co-localized in minority populations only (GH: 9.0  $\pm$  1.5%; PRL: 3.3  $\pm$ 26 1.0%; n=6).

27

#### 2 **Discussion**

3 The present study has revealed that *Egr1* is actively, and selectively, transcribed in a 4 major population of male rat gonadotrophs, indicating that this transcription factor is 5 potentially involved in the molecular regulation of gonadotroph function in males. In 6 accordance with previous studies, it is likely that EGR1 acts as a transcriptional regulator 7 of the Lhb gene in male rats (Lee et al, 1996; Topilko et al, 1998; Tremblay & Drouin, 8 1999; Wolfe & Call, 1999). Our results argue that minor expression of EGR1 in male 9 gonadotrophs does not explain the apparent sex-difference in absolute requirement for 10 EGR1 in gonadotroph function (see Tourtellotte et al, 2000). Our study has provided a 11 basis for further investigations of sex differences in pituitary EGR1 function that mirrors a 12 general sexual dimorphism in the regulation of the GnRH-gonadotrophin axis (Colin et al, 13 1996; as reviewed by Bliss et al, 2010). Because our data also shows, however, that 14 *Eqr1* is not actively transcribed in a proportion of male rat gonadotrophs, it may be that 15 only particular aspects of gonadotroph functionality in the male rat pituitary are 16 compromised in the absence of EGR1. In this is indeed the case, then the distinct 17 phenotypes of male Egr1 knockout mice observed in previous studies (Lee et al, 1996; 18 Topilko et al, 1998), may be explained by differential, strain-dependent, modifier gene 19 influence in the two lines of knockout mice (Tourtellotte et al, 2000).

20

We have also made the novel observation that *Egr1* is transcribed in significant subpopulations of both somatotrophs and lactotrophs in male rats. These results appear to reveal a major sex-difference. Our previous analyses of *Egr1* expression in female rats showed that approximately 90% of Egr1+ve cells were LHB+ve gonadotrophs (Knight et al, 2000; Man & Carter, 2003). However, the latter two studies were conducted using female rats at the proestrous stage of the oestrous cycle, and currently it is not known whether the described pattern of female EGR1 co-localization is maintained across the

2 four days of the rat oestrous cycle. The role of EGR1 in the somatotroph/lactotroph 3 populations in male rats is undefined. Clearly, Egr1 is transcribed in only relatively 4 minority populations in both cases and it may be that this reflects the particular functional 5 dynamics within these sub-populations. In one of the previously established mouse Egr-6 1 null-mutants (Topilko et al, 1998), a co-allelic reporter gene was also expressed in 7 some somatotrophs and there was also impaired growth in this particular model. With 8 respect to PRL, it is interesting that GFP/PRL+ cells were often observed with cellular 9 protrusions – these morphological variations may reflect functionally-related changes in 10 cell shape (see Navratil et al, 2007). Our current analysis of 8 pituitary proteins has 11 revealed no evidence for another significant population of EGR1+ve cells in the male 12 anterior pituitary gland; alternative approaches are required to determine whether the 13 current indication of a non-LHB/GH/PRL population simply reflects a counting 14 underestimate that may be related, for example, to the mass of partially overlapping 15 somatotrophs in the tissue sections.

16

17 The present study has confirmed a primary role of 5' proximal Egr1 sequence (1.5kb) in 18 directing cell-specific expression; this accords with our previous studies in brain (Man et 19 al, 2007; Wells et al, 2011), and further indicates that the Egr1 intronic sequence used in 20 our first generation transgenic model (Man & Carter, 2003) does not have a significant 21 role in spatial or physiological regulation. The relative cellular specificity of Egr1 22 transcription is interesting because it is distinct from other inducible transcription factors 23 like *c-Fos*, for example, that is expressed equally in all types of pituitary hormone-24 producing cells in female rats (Armstrong & Childs, 1997). The specific sequences that 25 direct Egr1 expression to pituitary sub-populations are interesting for two reasons. First, 26 they could be exploited to control transgene expression in these populations. Second, 27 knowledge of the *trans*-acting factors that interact with these sequences may provide

2 new insights into cell-specific regulatory mechanisms. Our recent analysis of *cis*-acting 3 sequences within the Egr1 transgene is consistent with a dominant role for multiple SRE 4 (serum response element) sequences in determining the overall level of transcription 5 (Wells et al, 2011). However, given the ubiquity of SRE-related signaling it is clear that 6 either, other *Egr1* sequence elements must contribute to cellular specificity or 7 alternatively, cell-type selective activation of signaling pathways such as the MAPK 8 pathway (see Man & Carter, 2003) may be involved. One contributing mechanism could 9 be estrogen receptor-linked SRE activation via phosphorylation of the SRF factor, Elk-1 10 (Duan et al, 2001).

11

12 In addition to conferring authentic spatial expression in the rat pituitary gland, our results 13 also demonstrate that the Egr1 transgene also confers appropriate physiological 14 regulation of expression. This aspect of Egr1 regulation is also mediated at a 15 transcriptional level, confirming our previous work with an earlier transgenic model (Man 16 & Carter, 2003), but, as noted above, now specifying a primary role for 5' proximal Egr1 17 sequence rather than intronic sequence. The demonstrated up-regulation of Egr1 during 18 proestrous also indicates that Egr1 3' UTR sequence (absent from the transgene) does 19 not determine this aspect of regulated expression.

20

A relatively unrecognized advantage of using GFP and related FPs as a transgene reporter is that cellular filling by this protein can greatly enhance cellular identification and classification (see Wells et al, 2011). Here, we have used this attribute to differentiate between two populations of gonadotrophs. Morphological heterogeneity of gonadotrophs has been described in both female (Childs et al, 1992) and male rats (Jeziorowski et al, 1997) and recent studies have also described functional heterogeneity in male gonadotrophs (Wen et al, 2008). Interestingly, the large GFP+

2 gonadotrophs were sometimes observed in apparent strings (see Figs. 3A-D), an 3 organization that has also been observed for gonadotrophs in the mouse pituitary (as 4 reviewed by Le Tissier et al, 2012). Currently, the functional distinction between the two 5 populations of male rat gonadotrophs observed in the current study is unknown. 6 Previous work has classified a population of large gonadotrophs as dual LH/FSH-7 expressing cells (Childs et al. 1983) and our demonstration of FSHB in 50% of large 8 GFP+ cells is consistent with many of these large gonadotrophs being dual expressing 9 cells. The availability of alternative species antibodies may, in future, permit triple co-10 localization of GFP/LH/FSH and further classification of the cell population identified in 11 our study. Differential sorting of the large fluorescent cells identified in our model (as 12 reviewed by Carter, 2006) could also be used to generate samples for gene expression 13 profiling analysis that could identify molecular classifiers additional to Egr1. 14 15 We have demonstrated that Eqr1 transcription in male rats is selectively expressed in a 16 significant sub-population of male rat gonadotrophs, allowing us to conclude that a 17 selective association between Egr1 and Lhb is maintained in male as well as female 18 rats, and therefore an absence of Egr1 in male gonadotrophs does not fully explain sex 19 differences in *Eqr1* knockout phenotypes. At the same time, we have made the novel 20 observation that Eqr1 is also actively transcribed in minority populations of somatotrophs 21 and lactotrophs indicating additional pituitary roles for this transcription factor. 22 23 **Declaration of Interest** 24 There is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of 25 the research reported.

- 26
- 27 Funding

2	This study was supported by a Cardiff School of Biosciences studentship awarded to PS
3	Man and by a Cardiff University fellowship awarded to TW.
4	
5	Author Contributions
6	PSM, TW and DAC generated the transgenic rat model. PSM and DAC conducted the
7	breeding and experimental analysis. DAC drafted the manuscript which was reviewed
8	and revised by TW and PSM.
9	
10	Acknowledgements
11	Dr. A.F. Parlow (Pituitary Hormones and Antisera Center, Torrance, CA, USA) is
12	thanked for pituitary hormone antisera and Dr. J. Milbrandt (Washington University
13	School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA) is thanked for the gift of the Egr1/Ngfia rat
14	genomic DNA sequence.
15	
16	References
17	Alim Z, Hartshorn C, Mai O, Stitt I, Clay C, Tobet S & Boehm U 2012 Gonadotroph
18	plasticity at cellular and population levels. Endocrinology <b>153</b> 4729-4739.
19	
20	Andoniadou CL, Matsushima D, Mousavy Gharavy SN, Signore M, Mackintosh AI,
21	Schaeffer M, Gaston-Massuet C, Mollard P, Jacques TS, Le Tissier P et al 2013 Sox2(+)
22	stem/progenitor cells in the adult mouse pituitary support organ homeostasis and have
23	tumor-inducing potential. Cell Stem Cell <b>13</b> 433-445.
24	
25	Armstrong J & Childs GV 1997 Differential expression of c-fos in vitro by all anterior
26	pituitary cell types during the estrous cycle: enhanced expression by luteinizing hormone
27	but not by follicle-stimulating hormone cells. Journal of Histochemistry and

2 Cytochemistry **45** 785-794.

3

4	Bliss SP, Navratil AM, Xie J & Roberson MS 2010 GnRH signaling, the gonadotroph and
5	endocrine control of fertility. Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology <b>31</b> 322-340.
6	
7	Breen KM, Thackray VG, Hsu T, Mak-McCully RA, Coss D & Mellon PL 2012 Stress
8	levels of glucocorticoids inhibit LH $\beta$ -subunit gene expression in gonadotroph cells.
9	Molecular Endocrinology <b>26</b> 1716-1731.
10	
11	Brothers KJ, Wu S, DiVall SA, Messmer MR, Kahn CR, Miller RS, Radovick S,
12	Wondisford FE, Wolfe A 2010 Rescue of obesity-induced infertility in female mice due to
13	a pituitary-specific knockout of the insulin receptor. Cell Metabolism <b>12</b> 295-305.
14	
15	Buggs C, Weinberg F, Kim E, Wolfe A, Radovick S & Wondisford F 2006 Insulin
16	augments GnRH-stimulated LHbeta gene expression by Egr-1. Molecular and Cellular
17	Endocrinology 249 99–106.
18	
19	Carter DA 2006 Cellular transcriptomics: the next phase of endocrine expression
20	profiling. Trends in Endocrinology and Metabolism <b>17</b> 192-198
21	
22	Childs GV, Hyde C, Naor Z & Catt K 1983 Heterogeneous luteinizing hormone and
23	follicle-stimulating hormone storage patterns in subtypes of gonadotrophs
24	separated by centrifugal elutriation. Endocrinology <b>113</b> 2120-2128.
25	
26	Childs GV, Unabia G & Lloyd J 1992 Recruitment and maturation of small subsets of
27	luteinizing hormone gonadotrophs during the estrous cycle. Endocrinology <b>130</b> 335-344.

~
)
4

3	Colin IM, Bauer-Dantoin AC, Sundaresan S, Kopp P & Jameson JL 1996
4	Sexually dimorphic transcriptional responses to gonadotropin-releasing hormone
5	require chronic in vivo exposure to estradiol. Endocrinology <b>137</b> 2300-2307.
6	
7	Duan R, Xie W, Burghardt RC & Safe S 2001 Estrogen receptor-mediated activation of
8	the serum response element in MCF-7 cells through MAPK-dependent phosphorylation
9	of Elk-1. Journal of Biological Chemistry 276 11590-11598.
10	
11	Garrel G, Simon V, Denoyelle C, Ishaq M, Rouch C, Dairou J, Magnan C, Migrenne S,
12	Cruciani-Guglielmacci C & Cohen-Tannoudji J 2014 Unsaturated fatty acids disrupt
13	Smad signaling in gonadotroph cells leading to inhibition of FSH $\beta$ gene expression.
14	Endocrinology <b>155</b> 592-604.
15	
16	Holter JL, Davies JS, Man P-S, Wells T & Carter DA 2008 Transgenic delivery and
17	detection of GFP in neuropeptide neurons. In Neuropeptide Techniques, pp 31-44. Ed I
18	Gozes. Totowa: Humana Press.
19	
20	Itakura E, Odaira K, Yokoyama K, Osuna M, Hara T & Inoue K 2007 Generation of
21	transgenic rats expressing green fluorescent protein in S-100beta-producing pituitary
22	folliculo-stellate cells and brain astrocytes. Endocrinology <b>148</b> 1518-1523.
23	
24	Jeziorowski T, Watanabe T, Bargsten G & Grube D 1997 Heterogeneity of pituitary
25	gonadotroph cells in male rats. Archives of Histology and Cytology 60 355-370.
26	

2	Knight C, Slade JP & Carter D 2000 The nuclear, 75 kDa form of early growth response
3	protein-1/nerve growth factor-induced A protein is primarily restricted to LH beta-subunit-
4	expressing cells in rat anterior pituitary. European Journal of Endocrinology 143 817-
5	821.
6	
7	Lee SL, Sadovsky Y, Swirnoff AH, Polish JA, Goda P, Gavrilina G & Milbrandt J 1996
8	Luteinizing hormone deficiency and female infertility in mice lacking the transcription
9	factor NGFI-A (Egr-1). Science 273 1219-1221.
10	
11	Le Tissier PR, Hodson DJ, Lafont C, Fontanaud P, Schaeffer M & Mollard P 2012
12	Anterior pituitary cell networks. Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology <b>33</b> 252-266.
13	
14	Man PS & Carter DA. 2003 Oestrogenic regulation of an <i>egr-1</i> transgene in rat anterior
15	pituitary. Journal of Molecular Endocrinology <b>30</b> 187-193.
16	
17	Man PS, Wells T & Carter, D.A. 2007 Egr-1-d2EGFP transgenic rats identify transient
18	populations of neurons and glial cells during postnatal brain development. Gene
19	Expression Patterns 7, 872-883
20	
21	Masuyama H & Hiramatsu Y 2011 Potential role of estradiol and progesterone in insulin
22	resistance through constitutive androstane receptor. Journal of Molecular Endocrinology
23	<b>47</b> 229-239.
24	
25	Miller RS, Wolfe A, He L, Radovick S & Wondisford FE 2012 CREB binding protein
26	(CBP) activation is required for luteinizing hormone beta expression and normal fertility
27	in mice. Molecular and Cellular Biology <b>32</b> 2349-2358.

~
2
_

2	Neurotil AM Knell IC, Whitegell ID, Tebet SA & Clay CM 2007 Neuroendeering
3	Navratii Aivi, Kholi JG, Whiteseli JD, Tobet SA & Clay CM 2007 Neuroendochne
4	plasticity in the anterior pituitary: gonadotropin-releasing hormone-mediated movement
5	in vitro and in vivo. Endocrinology <b>148</b> 1736-1744.
6	
7	Perez-Castro C, Renner U, Haedo MR, Stalla GK & Arzt E 2012 Cellular and molecular
8	specificity of pituitary gland physiology. Physiological Reviews 92 1-38.
9	
10	Slade, J.P & Carter, D.A 2000 Cyclical expression of Egr-1/NGFI-A in the rat anterior pituitary
11	- a molecular signal for ovulation? Journal of Neuroendocrinology <b>12</b> 671-676
12	
13	Thackray VG, Mellon PL & Coss D 2010 Hormones in synergy: regulation of the pituitary
14	gonadotropin genes. Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology <b>314</b> 192–203.
15	
16	Topilko P, Schneider-Maunoury S, Levi G, Trembleau A, Gourdji D, Driancourt MA, Rao
17	CV & Charnay P 1998 Multiple pituitary and ovarian defects in Krox-24 (NGFI-A, Egr-1)-
18	targeted mice. Molecular Endocrinology <b>12</b> 107-122.
19	
20	Tourtellotte WG, Nagarajan R, Bartke A & Milbrandt J 2000 Functional compensation
21	by Egr4 in Egr1-dependent luteinizing hormone regulation and Leydig cell
22	steroidogenesis. Molecular and Cellular Biology. 20 5261-5268.
23	
24	Tremblay JJ & Drouin J 1999 Egr-1 is a downstream effector of GnRH and synergizes by
25	direct interaction with Ptx1 and SF-1 to enhance luteinizing hormone beta gene
26	transcription. Molecular and Cellular Biology 19 2567-2576
27	

2	Wells T, Rough K & Carter DA 2011 Transcription mapping of embryonic rat brain
3	reveals EGR-1 induction in SOX2+ neural progenitor cells.
4	Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience <b>4</b> :6.
5	
6	Wen S, Schwarz JR, Niculescu D, Dinu C, Bauer CK, Hirdes W & Boehm U 2008
7	Functional characterization of genetically labeled gonadotrophs. Endocrinology 149
8	2701-2711.
9	
10	Witham EA, Meadows JD, Hoffmann HM, Shojaei S, Coss D, Kauffman AS & Mellon PL
11	2013 Kisspeptin regulates gonadotropin genes via immediate early gene induction in
12	pituitary gonadotrophs. Molecular Endocrinology 27 1283-1294.
13	
14	Wolfe MW & Call GB 1999 Early growth response protein 1 binds to the luteinizing
15	hormone-beta promoter and mediates gonadotropin-releasing hormone-stimulated gene
16	expression. Molecular Endocrinology <b>13</b> 752-763.
17	
18	Yin Z, Williams-Simons L, Parlow AF, Asa S, Kirschner LS 2008 Pituitary-specific
19	knockout of the Carney complex gene Prkar1a leads to pituitary tumorigenesis.
20	Molecular Endocrinology 22 380-387.

# 2 Figure Legends

3	Fig.1. Sex- and oestrous cycle-dependent expression of the <i>Egr1-d2EGFP</i> transgene in
4	adult pituitary glands. A. Chemiluminescence images of immunoblot analyses detecting
5	GFP protein and beta-actin (ACTB) in different protein extracts from individual rats
6	(60 $\mu$ g/lane). A non-transgenic sample (NTG) and purified GFP protein (BD, 1 ng) are
7	included as controls. Note that the transgene-derived protein (d2EGFP) is detected as a
8	doublet that has a slightly greater mass compared with the native GFP due to inclusion
9	of additional (destabilizing) protein sequence. D = diestrus day 1 (metestrus), P =
10	proestrous. Horizontal bars are molecular mass markers. B. Densitometric analysis of
11	GFP protein levels confirmed significantly higher levels of GFP in proestrous, compared
12	with diestrous female rat glands (P<0.05, t = -3.437, Independent samples t test, n =
13	3/group. Values Mean $\pmSEM$ of fold-difference compared with D
14	
15	Fig.2. Expression of the Egr1-d2EGFP transgene is developmentally regulated and
16	recapitulates expression of EGR1 in the adult pituitary. A&B. Direct detection of GFP
17	fluorescence in P5 (A) and P20 (B) pituitary glands showing pan-pituitary expression on
18	P5 that becomes largely restricted to the anterior lobe on P20. C. Representative
19	fluorescence microscopic images of adult male rat pituitary showing
20	immunohistochemically detected GFP (C, green) and EGR1 (D, red). Note both co-
21	localization of GFP and EGR1 (merged images, E $\&$ F) and also relative similarity of
22	expression level in a high (arrowheads) and medium (arrows) expressing cell. Blue
23	staining in F is DAPI. Scale bars are 200 $\mu$ m (A), 300 $\mu$ m (B), and 20 $\mu$ m (C-F). AL =
24	anterior lobe; NL = neural lobe.
25	

Fig. 3. *Egr1-d2EGFP* transgene expression in adult male rats is highly co-localized with
LHB in large pituitary cells and also co-expressed with GH and PRL in minority

populations of a population of smaller cells. Representative fluorescence microscopic
images of adult male rat pituitary showing immunohistochemically detected GFP (green)
together with other pituitary proteins (red): LHB (B,C,D), GH (F,G,H), PRL (J,K,L), TSHB
(M), ACTH (N), SOX2 (O), S-100β (P). Blue staining in some merged images is DAPI.
Arrows show: co-localized GFP and GH in (H); a cellular protrusion in a GFP/PRL colocalized cell in (I-L); minor and rare co-localization of GFP and S-100β immunoreactivity
in (P); one example of SOX2/DAPI nuclear co-localization in (O). Scale bars = 20µm.

10 Fig.4. Eqr1-d2EGFP transgene expression in adult male rats is co-localized with FSHB 11 in a population of large pituitary cells. A-C. Representative fluorescence microscopic 12 images of adult male rat pituitary showing immunohistochemically detected GFP (green) 13 together with FSHB (red). Blue staining in merged image is DAPI. Note two large cells in 14 the upper part of the images showing co-localized GFP and FSHB. Arrows indicate small 15 cells that are either GFP+/FSHB-ve (left-facing) or GFP-ve/FSHB+ (right-facing). Scale 16 bar =  $20\mu m$ . D. Distribution of LHB in adult male pituitary gland showing the differential 17 localization of large and small gonadotrophs. Representative fluorescence microscopic 18 image showing immunohistochemically detected LHB in large, laterally localized LHB+ 19 cells (arrows show clusters of cells) and medially localized small LHB+ cells (not 20 labelled) adjacent to the AL/NL border (dashed line). Scale bar =  $100\mu m$ .