



Epitaxial growth of high quality WO3 thin films

X. Leng, J. Pereiro, J. Strle, A. T. Bollinger, and I. Božović

Citation: APL Mater. **3**, 096102 (2015); doi: 10.1063/1.4930214 View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4930214 View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/aplmater/3/9?ver=pdfcov Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in

Optical properties of WO3 thin films using surface plasmon resonance technique J. Appl. Phys. **115**, 043104 (2014); 10.1063/1.4862962

Effect of silver incorporation in phase formation and band gap tuning of tungsten oxide thin films J. Appl. Phys. **112**, 114311 (2012); 10.1063/1.4768206

Visible light-induced photocatalytic properties of WO3 films deposited by dc reactive magnetron sputtering J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A **30**, 031503 (2012); 10.1116/1.3696876

High-quality thin single-crystal γ - Al 2 O 3 films grown on Si (111) Appl. Phys. Lett. **87**, 091908 (2005); 10.1063/1.2037205

Epitaxial growth of high quality ZnO:Al film on silicon with a thin γ - Al 2 O 3 buffer layer J. Appl. Phys. **93**, 3837 (2003); 10.1063/1.1556181



teuse of AIP Publishing content is subject to the terms at: https://publishing.aip.org/authors/rights-and-permissions. Download to IP: 131.251.254.72 On: Wed, 21 Sep



Epitaxial growth of high quality WO₃ thin films

X. Leng,¹ J. Pereiro,^{1,a} J. Strle,^{1,2} A. T. Bollinger,¹ and I. Božović^{1,3,b} ¹Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA ²Jožef Stefan Institute, Jamova 39, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia ³Applied Physics Department, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, USA

(Received 22 July 2015; accepted 25 August 2015; published online 9 September 2015)

We have grown epitaxial WO₃ films on various single-crystal substrates using radio frequency magnetron sputtering. While pronounced surface roughness is observed in films grown on LaSrAlO₄ substrates, films grown on YAlO₃ substrates show atomically flat surfaces, as demonstrated by atomic force microscopy and X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements. The crystalline structure has been confirmed to be monoclinic by symmetric and skew-symmetric XRD. The dependence of the growth modes and the surface morphology on the lattice mismatch are discussed. © 2015 Author(s). All article content, except where otherwise noted, is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4930214]

Tungsten trioxide (WO₃) is a well-known electrochromic material which changes color under an applied electric field.^{1–5} It is also very sensitive to NO_x exposure, and hence it is used to fabricate gas sensors.^{6–10} Both of these applications require WO₃ to be grown in a thin film form. Various methods have been used to prepare WO₃ thin films, including thermal evaporation,^{2,7,11,12} chemical vapor deposition (CVD),^{9,13} sputtering,^{6,8,14–17} and pulsed laser deposition (PLD).^{18,19} Films prepared on glass or Si substrates usually have amorphous or polycrystalline structure and rough surfaces.^{9,15} Growth of epitaxial films of WO₃ on single-crystal substrates such as SrTiO₃, MgO, and sapphire has been reported as well.^{13,16,18,20} However, because of large lattice mismatch, the surface morphology of these films has been inadequate for superlattice growth or for surface-sensitive experiments such as electrolyte gating,^{21–26} which generally require atomically flat surfaces and interfaces with a root-mean-square (rms) roughness less than 1 nm. Our main goal here has been to develop a method, relatively simple if possible, of fabricating atomically smooth WO₃ films suitable for such experiments.

In the present study, we synthesized epitaxial WO₃ thin films on single-crystal LaSrAlO₄ (LSAO) and YAlO₃ (YAO) substrates using radio frequency (RF) magnetron sputtering technique. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements show that in either case the films are epitaxially oriented with respect to the substrates. Both LSAO and YAO substrates as-purchased come with atomically flat surfaces, as we verified by atomic force microscopy (AFM) scans before growth. However, only films grown on YAO substrates with the surfaces polished perpendicular to the crystallographic [110] direction (for brevity, (110) YAO in what follows) have atomically flat surfaces, as demonstrated by AFM and XRD measurements. Our data indicate that the lattice mismatch between the film and the substrate plays a key role in controlling the growth mode and the surface morphology.

The WO₃ can be viewed as a cubic ReO₃ structure with eight WO₆ octahedra centered at the eight corners. The center of the cube is empty, and hence the structure is easily distorted and tilted upon heating or cooling, with concomitant symmetry lowering. Five different crystal structures of WO₃ have been observed below 1000 K.^{27–29} At room temperature, the most stable structure is γ -monoclinic with the following lattice parameters: $a_1 = 7.306$ Å, $b_1 = 7.540$ Å, $c_1 = 7.692$ Å, and $\beta = 90.88^\circ$; note that this unit cell contains eight WO₃ formula units.

3, 096102-1



^aCurrent address: School of Physics and Astronomy, Cardiff University, The Parade, Cardiff, CF243AA, United Kingdom ^bAuthor to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: <u>bozovic@bnl.gov</u>.

096102-2 Leng et al.

LSAO substrate has a tetragonal structure with an in-plane lattice constant a = 3.754 Å. At room temperature, the lattice mismatch between the substrate and the WO₃ film, defined as $\varepsilon = (a_s - a_f)/a_s$, is -0.4% in one direction and 2.7% in the other. (Note that in order to compare LSAO and WO₃ on equal footing, one has to double the lattice constant of LSAO in each direction.) Another substrate that we explored, YAO, has an orthorhombic structure with the lattice constants $a_0 = 5.176$ Å, $b_0 = 5.307$ Å, and $c_0 = 7.355$ Å. Along the [110] direction, the lattice mismatch between YAO and the γ -monoclinic WO₃ is +0.7% (7.355 Å vs. 7.306 Å) along the *a*-axis and -1.7% (7.413 Å vs. 7.540 Å) along the *b*-axis.

In the present study, WO₃ films were deposited in an RF magnetron sputtering system at a growth temperature varied from 550 °C to 850 °C. The pressure during growth was kept at 60 mTorr with an O₂/Ar ratio 4:1. The growth rate was kept at approximately 1 nm/min. The film thickness was determined using X-ray reflectivity measurements. Fig. 1 shows XRD patterns for seven WO₃ films deposited on (001) LSAO substrates at various growth temperatures. All these films were grown at the same RF power (60 W) and for the same time (1 h), and are of similar thickness, determined to be around 60 nm. For the γ -monoclinic WO₃, a peak around 23.1° is expected, corresponding to the out-of-plane lattice constant c = 7.692 Å. For films grown at a temperature ≤ 650 °C, the XRD pattern indeed shows a single peak at about 23.1°, suggesting that the films are epitaxial and the structure is monoclinic. However, as the growth temperature increases to 700 °C and above, extra peaks show up in the XRD patterns, while the main peak near 23.1° diminishes and finally disappears at 850 °C.

Although the WO₃ films grown on LSAO at temperatures ≤ 650 °C are epitaxially aligned with respect to the substrate, their surfaces are not atomically flat, as can be seen from a typical AFM image shown in Fig. 2. The rms surface roughness is about 1.2 nm, and the entire film surface is covered by grains with a diameter of about 100 nm, indicating a three-dimensional (island) growth mode.³⁰ We have grown dozens of films on LSAO substrates at various temperatures with thicknesses ranging from 10 nm to 100 nm, and the rms roughness falls in the range of 1–10 nm. These films are epitaxial but fall short of our goal of fabricating WO₃ samples with atomically flat surfaces.

In an attempt to improve the surface morphology, we have deposited WO₃ films on (110) YAO substrates. Sputtering was done at temperatures between 750 °C and 850 °C, with other conditions similar to what we have used before in the growth of WO₃ films on LSAO. In Fig. 3, we show a typical AFM image of a WO₃ film grown on a (110) YAO substrate. One can clearly discern steps and terraces. The average rms roughness is only 1.6 Å, indicating that the film surface is indeed atomically flat. In the bottom panel in Fig. 3, we show the height-profile scan obtained from a horizontal cut across the AFM image. The step heights are found to be either 3.5 Å or 7 Å,



FIG. 1. Wide-angle XRD patterns (triple axis, ω -2 θ coupled scan) of WO₃ films grown at different temperatures on (001) LSAO substrates.



FIG. 2. A surface height AFM image of a 60 nm thick WO3 film grown at 600 °C on (001) LSAO surface.

corresponding to one-half or one unit cell of WO₃, indicating a two-dimensional (layer-by-layer or step-flow) growth mode.³⁰

Fig. 4 shows wide angle X-ray diffraction patterns of WO₃ films with thickness ranging from 4 nm to 84 nm, grown on (110) YAO substrates. A very high crystal quality is apparent from very pronounced finite-thickness (Laüe) fringes, which testify that the film surfaces and the substrate-film interfaces are perfect and parallel on the atomic scale and the film is single-crystalline throughout its whole thickness. Only the peaks corresponding to the (00n) family of crystallographic planes of WO₃ can be seen over the entire scan range (5° < 20 < 85°). The out-of-plane lattice constant calculated from the WO₃ Bragg peak (84 nm film) is 7.73(2) Å, which matches the known monoclinic structure. The in-plane lattice constants have been determined to be 7.31 Å and 7.51 Å from skew-symmetric XRD measurements of (202) and (222) reflections, as shown in Fig. 5, which are also consistent with the monoclinic structure.



FIG. 3. (Top) Surface height AFM image of a 14 nm WO_3 film. The black line indicates where the AFM profile shown in the bottom was taken. (Bottom) Cross-sectional profile of a horizontal cut across the top image.



FIG. 4. Wide-angle x-ray diffraction of WO_3 films grown on (110) YAO substrates, with the film thickness ranging from 4 nm to 84 nm.

Comparison of films grown on LSAO and YAO substrates suggests that it is possible to grow epitaxial films on substantially mismatched ($\varepsilon > 2\%$) substrates, if the growth conditions (especially the growth temperature) are appropriately adjusted. However, the growth mode and thus the surface morphology may be very different. For III-V semiconductors, it is known that the lattice mismatch plays a crucial role in determining the film growth mode and surface morphology.^{31–33} In general, the growth mode depends on the competition of the free energy of a film/epilayer (σ_f) and the surface energy of a substrate (σ_s). For a lattice-mismatched system, σ_f consists of the total surface energy of the epilayer and the strain energy that results from the lattice mismatch. The island morphology always provides a larger surface energy of the epilayer favors a flat film. However, the strain energy stored in islands is always less than that stored in a flat film. Thus, in the case of a sufficiently large lattice mismatch, even though the surface energy of the epilayer favors a flat film morphology, the total free energy of the film may still favor an island morphology if the reduction in strain energy is large enough to offset the increase in surface energy.³⁴ Furthermore, it has been shown that films grown under a tensile strain tend to crack much more readily than those under the compressive strain.³¹ In the present study, the in-plane area of the unit cell of γ -monoclinic WO₃ is



FIG. 5. Symmetric and skew-symmetric X-ray diffraction of an 84 nm WO₃ film grown on (110) YAO substrate. In the skew-symmetric scan, the sample is tilted over a fixed angle around the axis that is parallel to the sample surface and the plane of the incident and diffracted beam.

096102-5 Leng et al.

2.3% smaller than that of the (001) LSAO (quadrupled) while being 1.0% larger than that of a (110) YAO. Thus, one would indeed expect the stretched WO₃ films on LSAO to crack more readily, and have a rougher surface, than the compressed WO₃ films on YAO substrates.

In summary, we have grown atomically flat epitaxial WO₃ thin films on (110) YAO substrates using RF magnetron sputtering. We have also shown that films grown on the other substrates such as (001) LSAO may have an epitaxial orientation, if the growth temperature is in the range of 550 °C to 650 °C. However, WO₃ films on LSAO always show island morphology with a much larger rms surface roughness. We ascribe this to the facts that WO₃ has a larger lattice mismatch with LSAO than with YAO, and that the tensile strain in WO₃ films on LSAO makes them crack more readily than the compressed WO₃ films on YAO.

The authors gratefully acknowledge Xiao Zhang and Ibrahim Turhan for technical help at early stages of this project. This work was supported by the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Materials Science and Engineering, U.S. Department of Energy. X.L. was supported by the Center for Emergent Superconductivity, an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the U.S. DOE, Office of Basic Energy Sciences.

- ¹ P. R. Somani and S. Radhakrishnan, Mater. Chem. Phys. 77(1), 117 (2002).
- ² T. Brezesinski, D. Fattakhova-Rohlfing, S. Sallard, M. Antonietti, and B. M. Smarsly, Small 2(10), 1203 (2006).
- ³ S. K. Deb, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells **92**(2), 245 (2008).
- ⁴ R. J. Mortimer, Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. **41**(1), 241 (2011).
- ⁵ Y. Djaoued, S. Balaji, and R. Brüning, J. Nanomater. 2012, 1.
- ⁶ G. Sberveglieri, L. Depero, S. Groppelli, and P. Nelli, Sens. Actuators, B 26(1-3), 89 (1995).
- ⁷ C. Cantalini, H. T. Sun, M. Faccio, M. Pelino, S. Santucci, L. Lozzi, and M. Passacantando, Sens. Actuators, B **31**(1–2), 81 (1996).
- ⁸ M. Di Giulio, D. Manno, G. Micocci, A. Serra, and A. Tepore, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 30(23), 3211 (1997).
- ⁹ M. Tong, G. Dai, Y. Wu, X. He, and D. Gao, J. Mater. Sci. 36(10), 2535 (2001).
- ¹⁰ E. Rossinyol, A. Prim, E. Pellicer, J. Arbiol, F. Hernández-Ramírez, F. Peiró, A. Cornet, J. R. Morante, L. A. Solovyov, B. Tian, T. Bo, and D. Zhao, Adv. Funct. Mater. **17**(11), 1801 (2007).
- ¹¹ A. Agrawal and H. Habibi, Thin Solid Films **169**(2), 257 (1989).
- ¹² L. J. LeGore, O. D. Greenwood, J. W. Paulus, D. J. Frankel, and R. J. Lad, J. Vac. Sci. Technol., A 15(3), 1223 (1997).
- ¹³ P. Tägtström and U. Jansson, Thin Solid Films **352**(1–2), 107 (1999).
- ¹⁴ H. Akram, H. Tatsuoka, M. Kitao, and S. Yamada, J. Appl. Phys. 62(5), 2039 (1987).
- ¹⁵ H. Kaneko, S. Nishimoto, K. Miyake, and N. Suedomi, J. Appl. Phys. **59**(7), 2526 (1986).
- ¹⁶ Y. Kobayashi, S. Terada, and K. Kubota, Thin Solid Films **168**(1), 133 (1989).
- ¹⁷ M. Li, E. I. Altman, A. Posadas, and C. H. Ahn, Thin Solid Films 446(2), 238 (2004).
- ¹⁸ A. Garg, J. A. Leake, and Z. H. Barber, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 33(9), 1048 (2000).
- ¹⁹ C. V. Ramana, S. Utsunomiya, R. C. Ewing, C. M. Julien, and U. Becker, J. Phys. Chem. B 110(21), 10430 (2006).
- ²⁰ S. Yamamoto, A. Inouye, and M. Yoshikawa, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B 266(5), 802 (2008).
- ²¹ S. G. Haupt, D. R. Riley, and J. T. McDevitt, Adv. Mater. 5(10), 755 (1993).
- ²² M. B. Clevenger, C. E. Jones, S. G. Haupt, J. Zhao, and J. T. McDevitt, Proc. SPIE 2697, 508 (1996).
- ²³ K. Ueno, S. Nakamura, H. Shimotani, A. Ohtomo, N. Kimura, T. Nojima, H. Aoki, Y. Iwasa, and M. Kawasaki, Nat. Mater. 7(11), 855 (2008).
- ²⁴ J. T. Ye, S. Inoue, K. Kobayashi, Y. Kasahara, H. T. Yuan, H. Shimotani, and Y. Iwasa, Nat. Mater. 9(2), 125 (2010).
- ²⁵ A. T. Bollinger, G. Dubuis, J. Yoon, D. Pavuna, J. Misewich, and I. Bozovic, Nature **472**(7344), 458 (2011).
- ²⁶ X. Leng, J. Garcia-Barriocanal, S. Bose, Y. Lee, and A. M. Goldman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107(2), 027001 (2011).
- ²⁷ P. M. Woodward, A. W. Sleight, and T. Vogt, J. Solid State Chem. **131**(1), 9 (1997).
- ²⁸ A. Aird, M. C. Domeneghetti, F. Mazzi, V. Tazzoli, and E. K. H. Salje, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 10(33), L569 (1998).
- ²⁹ J. H. Christopher, L. Vittorio, and S. K. Kevin, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14(3), 377 (2002).
- ³⁰ D. J. Eaglesham and M. Cerullo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**(16), 1943 (1990).
- ³¹ G. H. Olsen, J. Cryst. Growth **31**, 223 (1975).
- ³² K. H. Chang, R. Gilbala, D. J. Srolovitz, P. K. Bhattacharya, and J. F. Mansfield, J. Appl. Phys. 67(9), 4093 (1990).
- ³³ Y. H. Xie, G. H. Gilmer, C. Roland, P. J. Silverman, S. K. Buratto, J. Y. Cheng, E. A. Fitzgerald, A. R. Kortan, S. Schuppler, M. A. Marcus, and P. H. Citrin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**(22), 3006 (1994).
- ³⁴ R. V. Kukta and L. B. Freund, J. Mech. Phys. Solids 45(11–12), 1835 (1997).