

ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/97184/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Hillitt, K. L., Jenkins, R. E., Spiller, Owen Bradley and Beeton, M. L. 2017. Antimicrobial activity of Manuka honey against antibiotic resistant strains of the cell wall free bacteria ureaplasma parvum and Ureaplasma urealyticum. Letters In Applied Microbiology 64 (3), pp. 198-202. 10.1111/lam.12707

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/lam.12707

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



1	Article title: Antimicrobial activity of Manuka honey against antibiotic resistant strains of the						
2	cell wall free bacteria Ureaplasma parvum and Ureaplasma urealyticum.						
3							
4	Hillitt K. L. ¹ , Jenkins, R. E. ¹ , Spiller O. B ² and Beeton M. L. ^{1*}						
5							
6	¹ Cardiff School of Health Sciences, Cardiff Metropolitan University, Cardiff, UK; ² School o						
7	Medicine, Cardiff University, University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff, UK.						
8							
9	*Corresponding author: Dr Michael L Beeton; Telephone: 02920 205557; e-mail:						
10	mbeeton@cardiffmet.ac.uk						
11							
12	Running title: Activity of honey against Ureaplasma						
13							
14							

15 Significance and impact of the study

Manuka honey is known to have a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity, with the bacterial cell wall being suggested as a predominant site of action. This study has demonstrated that Manuka honey has activity against *Ureaplasma* spp., a genus of cell-wall free bacteria which are intrinsically resistant to many available antibiotics making treatment inherently difficult. This is the first report of the antimicrobial activity of Manuka honey against a bacterial pathogen, in the absence of a cell well and opens scope for the use of components of Manuka honey as a therapeutic among *Ureaplasma* infections.

23

24 Abstract

25 The susceptibility of the cell-wall free bacterial pathogens Ureaplasma spp. to Manuka 26 honey was examined. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of Manuka honey for four Ureaplasma urealyticum and four Ureaplasma parvum isolates was determined. 27 28 Sensitivity to honey was also compared to clinical isolates with resistance to tetracycline, 29 macrolide and fluoroquinolone antibiotics. Finally step-wise resistance training was utilised 30 in an attempt to induce increased tolerance to honey. The MIC was dependent on the initial 31 bacterial load with 7.5 % and 18.0 % w/v honey required to inhibit U. urealyticum at 1 and 32 10⁶ colour changing units (CCU), respectively, and 4.8 % and 15.3 % w/v required to inhibit 33 U. parvum at 1 and 10⁶ CCU, respectively. MIC values were consistently lower for U. parvum compared with U. urealyticum. Antimicrobial activity was seen against tetracycline 34 resistant, erythromycin resistant and ciprofloxacin resistant isolates at 10⁵ CCU. No 35 resistance to honey was observed with fifty consecutive challenges at increasing 36

37	concentrations of honey. This is the first report of the antimicrobial activity of Manuka
38	honey against a cell-wall free bacterial pathogen. The antimicrobial activity was retained
39	against antibiotic resistant strains and it was not possible to generate resistant mutants.
40	
41	Key Words: Antimicrobials, Microbial structure, Infection, Microbial physiology, Resistance
42	
43	

44 Introduction

45 Ureaplasma spp. are a genus of bacteria of clinical relevance strongly linked with preterm 46 birth and subsequent development of neonatal complications such as bronchopulmonary 47 dysplasia, intraventricular haemorrhaging and necrotising enterocolitis (Viscardi, 2014). 48 Additionally these pathogens are becoming recognised in sexual health (Zhang et al., 2014, 49 Ondondo et al., 2010) and immune compromised transplant patients (Bharat et al., 2015). 50 The unique physiology of these organisms results in high levels of intrinsic resistance to 51 many clinically available antibiotics. For example, the absence of a peptidoglycan cell wall 52 renders these organisms resistant to all beta-lactam and glycopeptide antibiotics. Only a 53 limited number of antimicrobial classes are available for treatment including the macrolides, tetracyclines, fluoroquinolones and chloramphenicols. With respect to infection during 54 55 pregnancy and among preterm neonates these options are further limited due to host 56 toxicity issues. Tetracyclines are associated with deposition in growing teeth and bones 57 whereas systemic administration of chloramphenicol is associated with "Grey baby" 58 syndrome. Further complications arise as a result of isolates harbouring acquired resistance 59 to the limited number of available antibiotics, with exception to chloramphenicol (Beeton et al., 2015, Beeton et al., 2009b). For these reasons alternatives are urgently required. 60

61

Manuka honey has been shown to be a promising natural product with potent antimicrobial activity against pathogens such as *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.(Jenkins et al., 2011, Jenkins et al., 2012) Unlike many traditional antibiotics which have a single site of action, honey has been suggested to have multiple antimicrobial components such as hydrogen peroxide, high levels of sugars, and methylglyoxal (Maddocks

and Jenkins, 2013). Due to the multifaceted antimicrobial nature of this product it has been
difficult to generate resistance *in vitro* (Cooper et al., 2010).

69

Here we present data demonstrating the first report of antimicrobial activity of Manuka honey against a cell-wall free bacterial pathogen. Additionally, we show no increase in susceptibility for clinical isolates characterised to have known mechanisms of antibiotic resistance, nor could resistance to honey be induced with repeated challenge of strains with concentrations of Manuka honey just below the MIC with classic *in vitro* step-wise training.

75

76 **Results and discussion**

A total of eight antibiotic susceptible Ureaplasma strains were initially examined for 77 78 baseline susceptibility to Manuka honey using the modified broth microdilution method. For 79 both U. urealyticum and U. parvum the percentage of Manuka honey required to yield 80 inhibition increased in relation to the increase in initial inoculum (from 7.5% at 1 CCU to 18.0% at 10⁶ CCU for *U. urealyticum* and 4.8% at 1 CCU to 15.3% at 10⁶ for *U. parvum*) 81 82 (Table 1). At the Clinical & Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) recommended inoculum of 83 10^4 - 10^5 for testing antimicrobials against *Ureaplasma* spp., the mean MIC for *U*. *urealyticum* was higher than that of *U. parvum* (13.5 vs 12.7 at 10^4 and 16.7 vs 15.8 at 10^5), 84 85 but this difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.49). Following the establishment of 86 baseline MIC values for Manuka honey against both U. urealyticum and U. parvum, the 87 activity was then assessed against a small representative collection of antibiotic resistant 88 strains. No increase in MIC was noted for any resistant strain at the recommended 10⁴ or 89 10⁵ CCU relative to the matched inoculum for each respective antibiotic susceptible species

90 (Table 2). The antibiotic susceptible strain HPA5 was serially passaged in sub-inhibitory
91 concentrations of Manuka honey in an attempt to generate honey resistant isolates. After
92 50 serial passages no elevation in Manuka honey MIC was noted (data not shown).

93

94 The purpose of this study was to evaluate the antimicrobial activity of Manuka honey 95 against a panel of clinical and laboratory strains of *Ureaplasma* spp. From this we report the first example of antimicrobial activity of Manuka honey against a cell-wall free bacterial 96 97 pathogen as well as retention of activity against clinically relevant antibiotic resistant 98 strains. Data available to date on the antimicrobial activity of Manuka honey has been generated in respect to typical bacterial pathogens such as S. aureus and P. aeruginosa 99 100 (Jenkins et al., 2011, Camplin and Maddocks, 2014). It has been suggested that one of the 101 primary mechanisms of action of Manuka honey is targeting the cell wall murein hydrolase 102 therefore disrupting cellular division (Jenkins et al., 2011). As a result of reductive 103 evolution ureaplasmas have lost the biosynthetic capabilities to synthesise the 104 peptidoglycan cell wall. From the data presented here we can speculate there are 105 additional cellular targets other than the cell wall which leads to the antimicrobial activity, 106 which reflects that previously suggested by Jenkins *et al.*, (Jenkins et al., 2014). In addition 107 non-specific effects as a result of osmotic imbalances may have contributed to the antimicrobial activity. The MIC values for both *Ureaplasma* spp. were lower than those 108 reported for the ATCC 9027 strain of *P. aeruginosa* (25.6 % w/v), yet comparable to a clinical 109 110 P. aeruginosa isolate (15.3 % w/v), (Camplin and Maddocks, 2014) but were much higher 111 than those previously reported for S. aureus <6 % w/v (Jenkins et al., 2012). These subtle 112 differences may be due to the sites of action upon the pathogen in question, such as the cell 113 wall in *S. aureus*, or differences in the Unique Manuka Factor between batches of honey 114 examined. When examining the MIC values between the Ureaplasma spp. we noted that U. 115 *urealyticum* had consistently higher MIC values at the CLSI recommended inoculum of 10⁴ to 116 10⁵ when compared with *U. parvum*. Although this was not a statistically significant 117 difference, this reflects the observations in species difference seen when examining the 118 activity of antibiotics against these pathogens (Beeton et al., 2016). Of clinical relevance was 119 the observation that bacterial load played a substantial role in the MIC for both U. parvum Low grade infections would be treatable with much lower 120 and *U. urealyticum*. 121 concentrations of honey, where as those with high titres, as seen clinically, would require 122 much higher concentrations (Beeton et al., 2016). Antibiotic resistant strains have been reported for the major classes of antibiotics effective against ureaplasmas, most notably the 123 124 macrolides, tetracyclines and fluoroquinolones (Beeton et al., 2009b, Beeton et al., 2015). 125 For this reason we examined the antimicrobial activity of honey against a panel of antibiotic 126 resistant clinical isolates. We observed retention of antimicrobial activity against these 127 isolates suggesting no cross-resistance from either antibiotic resistance mechanism or the 128 activity of honey. This is of significance in the case of preterm neonatal infections where 129 macrolides are regarded the predominant antibiotic class of choice. Pereyre et al. 2007, 130 have previously demonstrated the ease by which ureaplasmas can acquire point mutations 131 resulting in the development of resistance following exposure to macrolides via step wise 132 resistance training (Pereyre et al., 2007). Similarly resistance to fluoroquinolones among 133 Ureaplasma spp. results from the accumulation of mutations in the quinolone resistance 134 determining regions (Beeton et al., 2009a). The data presented here demonstrated that it 135 was not possible to generate isolates with an increased honey MIC following a similar time 136 frame in which macrolide resistance was generated (Pereyre et al., 2007). This is likely due 137 to the suggested multiple antimicrobial agents present with in Manuka honey (Maddocks and Jenkins, 2013). The inability to generate mutants is in line with previous reports for *S*. *aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* although a report by Camplin and Maddocks demonstrated an
increase in MIC for *P. aeruginosa* isolates recovered from honey treated *in vitro* biofilms
(Cooper et al., 2010, Camplin and Maddocks, 2014).

142

143 In summary we have successfully demonstrated antimicrobial activity of Manuka honey 144 against a bacterial pathogen with high levels of intrinsic and acquired antibiotic resistance in 145 the absence of a cell wall. The mechanisms by which Manuka honey exerts antimicrobial 146 activity in this atypical bacterial pathogen of increasing clinical significance warrants further 147 investigation.

148

149 Materials and methods

150 A total of eight antibiotic susceptible Ureaplasma strains were examined. These comprised 151 of four U. urealyticum including two clinical isolates (HPA99 and W11) and two reference strains (ATCC 27814 SV2 and ATCC 27618 SV8), in addition four U. parvum including two 152 clinical isolates (HPA2 and HPA5) and two reference strains (ATCC 700970 SV3 and ATCC 153 154 Representative antibiotic resistant strains ATCC 33175 SV9 (tetracycline 27818 SV6). 155 resistant), UHWO10 (erythromycin resistant) and HPA116 (ciprofloxacin resistant) were included (Beeton et al., 2009b, Beeton et al., 2015). All Ureaplasma isolates were grown in 156 Ureaplasma selective media purchased from Mycoplasma Experience (Surrey, UK). 157 158 Susceptibility to Activon 100% Medical Grade Manuka honey, purchased from Advancis Medical (Nottinghamshire, UK), was determined using CLSI M43-A guidelines for 159 160 antimicrobial susceptibility testing for human mycoplasmas. In brief, a dilution gradient of

honey prepared in Ureaplasma Selective Media from 20 % w/v to 0 % w/v (2% increments) 161 162 were prepared. 180 μ l of each dilution was then added to all wells with in columns of a 96 163 well microtiter plate. For example 180 µl 20 % w/v honey was added to wells A12 – H12, 180 164 μ l 18 % w/v honey was added to wells A11 – H11. Finally 20 μ l of a logarithmic phase 165 culture of Ureaplasma was added to the all wells from A1 – A12. 1:10 dilutions from this 166 were made across the plate from column one though to column eight as a means for determining the inhibitory activity of the Manuka honey at multiple concentrations of 167 168 bacteria. Plates were sealed with an adhesive sealing film and incubated statically at 37 °C 169 until all colour change had ceased as determined visually (c.a 48 hours). Colour changing units (CCU) were defined by determining the final dilution in which colour change had 170 171 occurred, orange to red due to increased pH as a result of urea hydrolysis, therefore giving 172 one CCU. From this it was then possible to work back through the dilution gradient to 173 determine the percentage of honey required to inhibit the growth of Ureaplasma at each 174 CCU. The methodology as previously described by Pereyre et al., was used to select for 175 honey resistant mutants using the antibiotic susceptible strain HPA5 (Pereyre et al., 2007). 176 Statistical analysis was performed using Minitab version 17.0 to determine the statistical 177 significance using a one-way ANOVA.

178

179 Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the Society for Applied Microbiology for supporting the work
presented in this manuscript via a Society for Applied Microbiology Students into Work
Grant 2015

183

184 Transparency declarations

185 None to declare

186

187 References

- BEETON, M. L., CHALKER, V. J., JONES, L. C., MAXWELL, N. C. & SPILLER, O. B. 2015.
 Antibiotic resistance among clinical Ureaplasma isolates recovered from neonates in
 England and Wales between 2007 to 2013. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*.
- BEETON, M. L., CHALKER, V. J., KOTECHA, S. & SPILLER, O. B. 2009a. Comparison of full gyrA,
 gyrB, parC and parE gene sequences between all Ureaplasma parvum and
 Ureaplasma urealyticum serovars to separate true fluoroquinolone antibiotic
 resistance mutations from non-resistance polymorphism. J Antimicrob Chemother,
 64, 529-38.
- BEETON, M. L., CHALKER, V. J., MAXWELL, N. C., KOTECHA, S. & SPILLER, O. B. 2009b.
 Concurrent titration and determination of antibiotic resistance in ureaplasma species
 with identification of novel point mutations in genes associated with resistance.
 Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 53, 2020-7.
- BEETON, M. L., MAXWELL, N. C., CHALKER, V. J., BROWN, R. J., ABOKLAISH, A. F. & SPILLER,
 O. B. 2016. Isolation of Separate Ureaplasma Species From Endotracheal Secretions
 of Twin Patients. *Pediatrics*.
- BHARAT, A., CUNNINGHAM, S. A., SCOTT BUDINGER, G. R., KREISEL, D., DEWET, C. J.,
 GELMAN, A. E., WAITES, K., CRABB, D., XIAO, L., BHORADE, S., AMBALAVANAN, N.,
 DILLING, D. F., LOWERY, E. M., ASTOR, T., HACHEM, R., KRUPNICK, A. S., DECAMP, M.
 M., ISON, M. G. & PATEL, R. 2015. Disseminated Ureaplasma infection as a cause of
 fatal hyperammonemia in humans. *Sci Transl Med*, 7, 284re3.
- CAMPLIN, A. L. & MADDOCKS, S. E. 2014. Manuka honey treatment of biofilms of
 Pseudomonas aeruginosa results in the emergence of isolates with increased honey
 resistance. *Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob*, 13, 19.
- COOPER, R. A., JENKINS, L., HENRIQUES, A. F., DUGGAN, R. S. & BURTON, N. F. 2010.
 Absence of bacterial resistance to medical-grade manuka honey. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*, 29, 1237-41.
- 215JENKINS, R., BURTON, N. & COOPER, R. 2011. Manuka honey inhibits cell division in216methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. J Antimicrob Chemother, 66, 2536-42.
- JENKINS, R., BURTON, N. & COOPER, R. 2014. Proteomic and genomic analysis of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) exposed to manuka honey in vitro
 demonstrated down-regulation of virulence markers. *J Antimicrob Chemother*, 69,
 603-15.
- JENKINS, R., WOOTTON, M., HOWE, R. & COOPER, R. 2012. Susceptibility to manuka honey
 of Staphylococcus aureus with varying sensitivities to vancomycin. *Int J Antimicrob Agents,* 40, 88-9.

- 224 MADDOCKS, S. E. & JENKINS, R. E. 2013. Honey: a sweet solution to the growing problem of 225 antimicrobial resistance? *Future Microbiol*, 8, 1419-29.
- ONDONDO, R. O., WHITTINGTON, W. L., ASTETE, S. G. & TOTTEN, P. A. 2010. Differential
 association of ureaplasma species with non-gonococcal urethritis in heterosexual
 men. Sex Transm Infect, 86, 271-5.
- PEREYRE, S., METIFIOT, M., CAZANAVE, C., RENAUDIN, H., CHARRON, A., BEBEAR, C. &
 BEBEAR, C. M. 2007. Characterisation of in vitro-selected mutants of Ureaplasma
 parvum resistant to macrolides and related antibiotics. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*, 29, 207-11.
- VISCARDI, R. M. 2014. Ureaplasma species: role in neonatal morbidities and outcomes. Arch
 Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed, 99, F87-92.
- ZHANG, N., WANG, R., LI, X., LIU, X., TANG, Z. & LIU, Y. 2014. Are Ureaplasma spp. a cause of
 nongonococcal urethritis? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*, 9,
 e113771.

239

	Colour Changing Units (CCU)							
	1	101	10 ²	103	104	105	106	
U. urealyticum								
ATCC 27814 SV2	4.0 <u>+</u> 3.2	7.0 <u>+</u> 5.5	11.3 <u>+</u> 1.1	11.3 <u>+</u> 1.1	12.7 <u>+</u> 1.1	16.7 <u>+</u> 4.2	16.0 <u>+</u> *	
HPA99	7.3 <u>+</u> 4.2	8.7 <u>+</u> 3.1	9.3 <u>+</u> 2.3	10.7 <u>+</u> 1.2	12.7 <u>+</u> 1.2	17.0 <u>+</u> 4.2	N/A	
W11	8.7 <u>+</u> 4.2	10.0 <u>+</u> 3.5	10.0 <u>+</u> 3.5	12.0 <u>+</u> 3.5	13.3 <u>+</u> 3.1	14.0 <u>+</u> *	20.0 <u>+</u> *	
ATCC 27618 SV8	10.0 <u>+</u> 2.0	12.0 <u>+</u> 2.0	14.0 ± 0.0	14.0 ± 0.0	15.3 <u>+</u> 2.3	19.0 <u>+</u> 1.4	N/A	
U.u mean	7.5 <u>+</u> 2.6	9.4 <u>+</u> 2.1	11.1 <u>+</u> 2.1	12.0 <u>+</u> 1.4	13.5 <u>+</u> 1.2	16.7 <u>+</u> 2.1	18.0 <u>+</u> 2.8	
U. parvum								
HPA5	2.3 <u>+</u> 1.5	9.3 <u>+</u> 6.4	11.3 <u>+</u> 4.6	12.0 <u>+</u> 3.45	12.7 <u>+</u> 2.3	16.7 <u>+</u> 1.2	20.0 <u>+</u> *	
ATCC 700970 SV3	7.3 <u>+</u> 4.6	10.7 <u>+</u> 1.2	10.7 <u>+</u> 1.2	11.3 <u>+</u> 2.3	12.7 <u>+</u> 2.3	18.0 <u>+</u> *	N/A	
ATCC 27818 SV6	2.3 <u>+</u> 1.6	11.3 <u>+</u> 1.1	12.7 <u>+</u> 1.2	12.7 <u>+</u> 1.2	13.3 <u>+</u> 1.2	15.3 <u>+</u> 3.0	12.0 <u>+</u> *	
HPA2	7.3 <u>+</u> 3.0	10.7 <u>+</u> 1.2	11.3 <u>+</u> 1.2	11.3 <u>+</u> 1.1	12.0 <u>+</u> 0.0	13.3 <u>+</u> 2.3	14.0 <u>+</u> 2.8	
U.p mean	4.8 <u>+</u> 2.9	10.5 <u>+</u> 0.8	11.5 ± 0.8	11.8 <u>+</u> 0.7	12.7 <u>+</u> 0.5	15.8 <u>+</u> 2.0	15.3 <u>+</u> 4.2	

240

241 Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of Manuka honey against varying inoculum numbers of Ureaplasma urealyticum and

242 Ureaplasma parvum isolates. Results represent the mean Manuka honey minimum active dilution (% w/v) as well as standard

- 243 deviation (triplicates). '*' indicates only a single replicate was tested. CLSI guidelines recommend a level of $10^4 10^5$ CCU for
- reliable antimicrobial susceptibility testing. N/A = non-applicable. U.u = U. urealyticum. U.p = U. parvum
- 245
- 246
- 247

	Colour Changing Units (CCU)							
	1	10 ¹	10 ²	10³	104	10 ⁵	106	
Ureaplasma spp.		•			•	•		
ATCC 33175 SV9 (Tet ^r)	6.7 <u>+</u> 5.0	9.3 <u>+</u> 3.0	10.7 <u>+</u> 2.3	10.7 <u>+</u> 2.3	11.3 <u>+</u> 1.2	11.3 <u>+</u> 1.2	12.0 <u>+</u> 2.0	
UHWO10 (Ery ^r)	7.0 <u>+</u> 5.6	8.0 <u>+ </u> 5.3	8.0 <u>+</u> 5.3	8.0 <u>+</u> 5.3	8.7 <u>+</u> 4.2	9.3 <u>+</u> 5.0	10.0 <u>+</u> 5.3	
HPA116 (Cip ^r)	8.0 <u>+</u> 3.6	9.3 <u>+</u> 4.6	10.0 <u>+</u> 3.5	10.7 <u>+</u> 4.2	11.3 <u>+</u> 4.6	12.0 <u>+</u> 3.5	12.0 <u>+</u> 3.5	

249

250 Table 2. Antimicrobial activity of Manuka honey against varying inoculum numbers of antibiotic resistant *Ureaplasma spp*.

Results represent the mean Manuka honey minimum active dilution (% w/v) as well as standard deviation (triplicates). ATCC 33175

252 SV9 (Tet') represents a tetracycline resistant strain, UHWO10 (Ery') represents an erythromycin resistant strain and HPA116 (Cip')

indicates a ciprofloxacin resistant strain. CLSI guidelines recommend a level of 10⁴ - 10⁵ CCU for reliable antimicrobial

susceptibility testing.